

1-1-2016

A remark on singularity of homeomorphisms and Hausdorff dimension

CHUN WEI

SHENGYOU WEN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

WEI, CHUN and WEN, SHENGYOU (2016) "A remark on singularity of homeomorphisms and Hausdorff dimension," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 40: No. 4, Article 7. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1501-34>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol40/iss4/7>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

A remark on singularity of homeomorphisms and Hausdorff dimension

Chun WEI^{1,*}, Sheng-you WEN²

¹Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, P.R. China

²Department of Mathematics, Hubei University, Wuhan, P.R. China

Received: 16.01.2015

Accepted/Published Online: 14.10.2015

Final Version: 16.06.2016

Abstract: We prove that there is a homeomorphism of the unit interval onto itself that is so singular that it maps some set E of $\dim_H E = 0$ onto a set F of $\dim_H [0, 1] \setminus F = 0$.

Key words: Singularity, homeomorphism, Hausdorff dimension

1. Introduction

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $s > 0$, and $\delta > 0$. A family of sets $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is called a δ -covering of the set E if $\cup_{i=1}^\infty U_i \supset E$ and $0 < |U_i| \leq \delta$ for all i , where $|U_i|$ denotes the diameter of U_i . The s -dimension Hausdorff measure of the set E is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum |U_i|^s : \{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \text{ is a } \delta\text{-covering of } E \right\}.$$

There is a unique value of s such that $\mathcal{H}^s(E)$ jumps from ∞ to 0. This value, denoted by $\dim_H E$, is called the Hausdorff dimension of E . Thus,

$$\dim_H E = \sup \{s : \mathcal{H}^s(E) > 0\} = \inf \{s : \mathcal{H}^s(E) < \infty\}. \quad (2)$$

For the properties of the Hausdorff dimension we refer to [1].

A homeomorphism $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is singular if it maps some set of Lebesgue measure zero onto a set of Lebesgue measure 1. Singular homeomorphisms can be used to construct measurable sets that are not Borel. They also act as examples of increasing functions satisfying

$$\int_0^1 f'(x) dx < f(1) - f(0).$$

We may give a finer description for the singularity of a homeomorphism by means of Hausdorff dimension. We say that a homeomorphism is (α, β) -singular if it maps some set E of $\dim_H E \leq \alpha$ onto a set F of

*Correspondence: hbxt1986v@163.com

Supported by the NSFC (No. 11271114) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2015ZM193).

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 28A80, 60G30.

$\dim_H[0, 1] \setminus F \leq \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$. It is known that for any $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$ there are (α, β) -singular quasisymmetric homeomorphisms (see [4]). However, quasisymmetric homeomorphisms are not $(0, 0)$ -singular because they preserve sets of $\dim_H = 0$ by their Hölder-continuity (see [3]). In this note, we shall prove that a general homeomorphism can be $(0, 0)$ -singular.

Theorem 1 *There is a homeomorphism $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and a set $E \subset [0, 1]$ such that $\dim_H E = \dim_H[0, 1] \setminus f(E) = 0$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We start by recalling middle interval Cantor sets. Let $E_0 = [a, b]$ be a closed interval. Let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of numbers in $(0, 1)$. Removing an open interval of length $\lambda_1(b - a)$ from the middle of $[a, b]$, we get a set E_1 consisting of 2 intervals each of length $\frac{1-\lambda_1}{2}(b - a)$. Removing an open interval of length $\lambda_2|I|$ from the middle of every component interval I of E_1 , we get a set E_2 consisting of 2^2 intervals each of length $\frac{(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)}{2^2}(b - a)$. Proceeding infinitely, we get a nested sequence of compact sets $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$. The set

$$E := \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i \quad (3)$$

is called a middle interval Cantor set in $[a, b]$. In this case, we also say that E is a $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ -Cantor set. Obviously, the set E is totally disconnected and has no isolated points. From the definition, the set E_n consists of 2^n disjoint closed intervals each of length

$$\delta_n = \frac{b-a}{2^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i). \quad (4)$$

The Hausdorff dimension of the $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ -Cantor set E is

$$\dim_H E = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log 2}{-\log \delta_n}. \quad (5)$$

We refer to [2] for a proof of (5). For the $\{\frac{i}{i+1}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ -Cantor set E in $[a, b]$ it follows from (4) and (5) that

$$\dim_H E = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log 2}{-\log \frac{(b-a)}{(n+1)!2^n}} = 0. \quad (6)$$

We shall use this fact in the following construction.

For a $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ -Cantor set C in $[a, b]$ we denote by $\mathcal{I}_n(C)$ the set of components of E_n and let $\mathcal{I}(C) = \cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{I}_n(C)$. Denote by $\mathcal{G}(C)$ the set of components of $[a, b] \setminus C$. An element in $\mathcal{I}(C)$ will be called a basic interval of C and an element in $\mathcal{G}(C)$ will be called a gap of C .

Now we introduce composite Cantor sets. Let C_1 be a $\{\lambda_i^{(1)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ -Cantor set in $[0, 1]$. For every gap $J \in \mathcal{G}(C_1)$ we take a $\{\lambda_i^{(2)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ -Cantor set C_J in the closure \bar{J} and write

$$C_2 = C_1 \cup \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_1)} C_J,$$

$$\mathcal{G}(C_2) = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_1)} \mathcal{G}(C_J),$$

$$\mathcal{I}(C_2) = \mathcal{I}(C_1) \cup \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_1)} \mathcal{I}(C_J).$$

Proceeding infinitely, we get three sequences $\{\mathcal{I}(C_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $\{\mathcal{G}(C_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$, and $\{C_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. We call the set

$$C := \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty C_k \tag{7}$$

a composite Cantor set in $[0, 1]$. Clearly, a composite Cantor set consists of a countable number of middle interval Cantor sets. It is not a compact set.

Note that a composite Cantor set C may not be dense in $[0, 1]$. The following lemma gives some necessary and sufficient conditions under which C is dense in $[0, 1]$.

Lemma 1 *Let C be a composite Cantor set in $[0, 1]$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) C is dense in $[0, 1]$.
- (ii) $\frac{1}{2} \in \overline{C}$, where \overline{C} is the closure of C .
- (iii) $\prod_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_1^{(k)} = 0$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). For every $i \geq 1$ let J_i denote the gap of C_i in the midst of $[0, 1]$. Then

$$|J_i| = \prod_{j=1}^i \lambda_1^{(j)}.$$

Let

$$x_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^i \lambda_1^{(j)} \right), \quad i \geq 1.$$

We see that x_i is the left endpoint of the gap J_i . By (ii) and the construction of C , we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = \frac{1}{2}$, which implies

$$\prod_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_1^{(k)} = 0.$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $k \geq 1$ and let $J \in \mathcal{G}(C_k)$ be given. Denote by $M(J)$ the middle point of J . We have $M(J) = \inf J + \frac{1}{2}|J|$. Let

$$x_i = \inf J + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^i \lambda_1^{(k+j)} \right) |J|, \quad i \geq 1. \tag{8}$$

We see that x_i is the left endpoint of the gap of C_{k+i} in the midst of J . By (iii), we have $\prod_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_1^{(k+j)} = 0$, so $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = M(J)$. This implies $M(J) \in \overline{C}$. Next we show that $J \subset \overline{C}$. In fact, given $u \in J \setminus C$, we have

from the construction of the composite Cantor set C that

$$\text{dist}(u, C) \leq |x_i - M(J)|$$

for all x_i in (8), which gives $u \in \overline{C}$, and thus $J \subset \overline{C}$. Finally, since $J \subset \overline{C}$ for all $J \in \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}(C_k)$, it is easy to see that C is dense in $[0, 1]$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Note that if X, Y are two dense composite Cantor sets in $[0, 1]$ then we have a unique increasing homeomorphism $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(X) = Y$. Therefore, to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to construct two dense composite Cantor sets X and Y in $[0, 1]$ such that $\dim_H X = \dim_H [0, 1] \setminus Y = 0$.

The dense composite Cantor set X is constructed as follows: let all middle interval Cantor sets be chosen to be $\{\frac{i}{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ -Cantor sets, and then it follows from (6) that $\dim_H X = 0$ because X consists of a countable number of sets of Hausdorff dimension zero. It is also clear that X is dense in $[0, 1]$ by Lemma 1.

Finally we construct a dense composite Cantor set Y such that $\dim_H [0, 1] \setminus Y = 0$. We will use the following simple fact repeatedly: for any $s, \alpha > 0$ and for any closed interval I there is a $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ -Cantor set C in I with $\lambda_i \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C)} |J|^s \leq \alpha. \quad (9)$$

Let $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be two fixed sequences of positive numbers such that s_i is decreasing to zero and $\sum \alpha_i = 1$. The desired composite of Cantor sets Y can be inductively constructed as follows:

Choose a $\{\lambda_i^{(1)}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ -Cantor set C_1 in $[0, 1]$ with $\lambda_i^{(1)} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_1)} |J|^{s_1} \leq 1.$$

Let $\{J_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an enumeration of members of $\mathcal{G}(C_1)$. For every J_i choose a $\{\lambda_i^{(2)}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ -Cantor set C_{J_i} in the closure $\overline{J_i}$ with $\lambda_i^{(2)} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_{J_i})} |J|^{s_2} \leq \alpha_i.$$

Take $C_2 = C_1 \cup \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{J_i}$. Since $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ is assumed, it follows that

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_2)} |J|^{s_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_{J_i})} |J|^{s_2} \leq 1.$$

Proceeding infinitely, we get an increasing sequence $\{C_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of sets such that

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_k)} |J|^{s_k} \leq 1 \text{ for all } k \geq 1. \quad (10)$$

Let $Y = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k$. Then Y is a composite of Cantor sets and Y is dense in $[0, 1]$ by Lemma 1. To complete this proof we are going to show $\dim_H [0, 1] \setminus Y = 0$. By the construction, $\mathcal{G}(C_k)$ is a covering of $[0, 1] \setminus Y$ and

every member of $\mathcal{G}(C_k)$ has diameter of at most 2^{-k} . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}_{2^{-k}}^{s_i}([0, 1] \setminus Y) \leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_k)} |J|^{s_i}$$

for all $i, k \geq 1$. Given $i \geq 1$, since s_k has been assumed to be decreasing, it follows from (10) that

$$\mathcal{H}_{2^{-k}}^{s_i}([0, 1] \setminus Y) \leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}(C_k)} |J|^{s_k} \leq 1$$

for any $k > i$, and so $\mathcal{H}^{s_i}([0, 1] \setminus Y) \leq 1$. Since s_i is also assumed to tend to zero, we get $\dim_H[0, 1] \setminus Y = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referee for his or her helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] Falconer KJ. *The Geometry of Fractal Sets*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [2] Feng DJ, Rao H, Wu J. The net measure properties for symmetric Cantor sets and their applications. *Progress in Natural Sciences* 1997; 7: 172-178.
- [3] Heinonen J. *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [4] Tukia P. Hausdorff dimension and quasisymmetrical mappings. *Math Scand* 1989; 65: 152-160.