

1-1-2017

Topological entropies of a class of constrained systems

YANNI MA

BINGZHE HOU

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

MA, YANNI and HOU, BINGZHE (2017) "Topological entropies of a class of constrained systems," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 41: No. 2, Article 8. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1512-104>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol41/iss2/8>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Topological entropies of a class of constrained systems

Yanni MA, Bingzhe HOU*

School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun, P.R. China

Received: 26.12.2015

Accepted/Published Online: 25.04.2016

Final Version: 03.04.2017

Abstract: In this paper, we consider a class of constrained systems named double upper bounds (p, q) -constrained systems ((p, q) -DUB systems in brief), which are one-dimensional subshifts of finite type. We determinate the topological entropies (Shannon capacities) $C(p, q)$ of all (p, q) -DUB systems and consequently order all (p, q) -DUB systems according to the size of topological entropies. In particular, $C(p, \infty) = C(p + 1, p + 1)$ are the only equalities possible among the topological entropies of (p, q) -DUB systems.

Key words: Constrained systems, topological entropy, Shannon capacity, subshifts of finite type, order

1. Introduction

Subshifts of finite type are an important branch in topologically dynamical systems. As a special class of subshifts of finite type, some constrained systems are widely studied, especially run-length-limited (d, k) -constrained systems. Given two nonnegative integers d, k with $d < k$, a binary $\{0, 1\}$ -sequence is called (d, k) -constrained if it has at least d zeros and at most k zeros between any two successive ones. A run-length-limited (d, k) -constrained system, or (d, k) -RLL systems in brief, is the set of all (d, k) -constrained binary sequences and the shift on it. (d, k) -RLL systems were first studied by Shannon [9] and are used today in all manners of storage systems [2,7,8]. In particular, the Shannon capacity plays a major role in the research of (d, k) -RLL systems (see, e.g., [1,3–5]). In fact, the Shannon capacity is the topological entropy of shift on a (d, k) -RLL system.

In this article, we are interested in a class of constrained systems named “double upper bounds (p, q) -constrained systems, which are similar to but different from run-length-limited constrained systems. Given two positive integers p, q , we say that a bilateral or unilateral $\{0, 1\}$ -sequence is double upper bounds (p, q) -constrained if it includes neither a run of zeros of length more than p nor a run of ones of length more than q . A double upper bounds (p, q) -constrained system, or (p, q) -DUB system in brief, is the set of all double upper bounds (p, q) -constrained bilateral or unilateral sequences and the shift on it. It is obvious that a (p, q) -DUB system is topologically conjugate to the (q, p) -DUB system. Thus, all through the present paper, we assume $p \leq q$. Moreover, we can take p or q to be infinity, which means that a run of zeros or ones of arbitrary length is admitted. Notice that the (∞, ∞) -DUB system is the full 2-shift, a bilateral (p, ∞) -DUB system is a $(0, p)$ -RLL system for every positive integer p , a bilateral $(1, q)$ -DUB system is a $(1, q)$ -RLL system for every positive integer q , and other (p, q) -DUB systems are not RLL systems.

*Correspondence: houbz@jlu.edu.cn

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37B10, 37B40; Secondary 94A55.

Let $S(p, q)$ be a bilateral or unilateral (p, q) -DUB system, where p and q are two positive integers with $p \leq q \leq \infty$. Obviously, it is a subshift of finite type in the full 2-shift $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$ or $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \sigma)$, where σ is the shift mapping on $\{0, 1\}$ -sequences space. Denote by $C(p, q)$ the topological entropy or Shannon capacity of $S(p, q)$. Let A_n be the number of n -length codes in $S(p, q)$. Then

$$C(p, q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln A_n.$$

It is easy to see that $C(1, 1) = 0$ and $C(\infty, \infty) = \ln 2$. We will determinate $C(p, q)$ for all p and q . Furthermore, we will order all (p, q) -DUB systems according to the size of topological entropies. In particular, $C(p, \infty) = C(p+1, p+1)$ are the only equalities possible among the topological entropies of (p, q) -DUB systems.

2. Topological entropies of (p, q) -DUB systems

For a bilateral or unilateral (p, q) -DUB system $S(p, q)$, let Λ be the set of all q -length codes in $S(p, q)$. One can write $\Lambda = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$, where each $\beta_i = z_1 \dots z_q$ is a q -length code in $S(p, q)$. Define an $m \times m$ matrix B by, for any $\beta_i = z_1 \dots z_q$ and $\beta_j = w_1 \dots w_q$ in Λ ,

$$B_{ij} \triangleq B(\beta_i, \beta_j) = 1$$

if $z_2 \dots z_q = w_1 \dots w_{q-1}$ and $z_1 \dots z_q w_q$ is a $(q + 1)$ -length code in $S(p, q)$; otherwise, $B_{ij} \triangleq B(\beta_i, \beta_j) = 0$. Moreover, we obtain a subshift of finite type (Σ_B, σ) with transition matrix B , where

$$\Sigma_B = \{(x_i) \in \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ (or } \Lambda^{\mathbb{N}}); B(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1, \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ (or } \mathbb{N})\}$$

and σ is the shift on Σ_B . As is known as a classic conclusion in symbolic dynamical systems, (Σ_B, σ) is topologically conjugate to the (p, q) -DUB system $(S(p, q), \sigma)$. Furthermore, if λ is the spectral radius of B , then

$$C(p, q) = \ln \lambda.$$

For instance, let us consider $S(1, 2)$. Choose $\Lambda = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$, where $\beta_1 = 01$, $\beta_2 = 10$, and $\beta_3 = 11$. Define

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the spectral radius of B is $\lambda = 1.3247\dots$, and consequently

$$C(1, 2) = \ln \lambda = 0.2812\dots > 0.$$

To determinate the topological entropies of (p, q) -DUB systems, we need to review some conclusions in Perron–Frobenius theory (refer to [6, 10]).

Lemma 2.1 *Let $B \geq 0$ be a square matrix. Then $B^N > 0$ for some positive integer N if and only if B is primitive.*

Lemma 2.2 Suppose that B is a primitive nonnegative square matrix. Let λ be the spectral radius of B . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B^n}{\lambda^n} = rl,$$

where r and l are the left and right eigenvectors for B normalized so that $lr = 1$.

Denote by a_n the number of n -length codes ending with zero in $S(p, q)$, and denote by b_n the number of n -length codes ending with one in $S(p, q)$. Then, obviously, $A_n = a_n + b_n$.

Proposition 2.3 The transition matrix B defined as above is primitive. Furthermore, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{A_n}$ exists.

Proof Obviously, B is a square $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix. To prove that B is primitive, we will show that for $N = q + 4$, $B^N > 0$. Given any $\beta_i = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_q)$ and $\beta_j = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_q)$ in Λ :

(1) If $z_q = 0$ and $z'_1 = 0$, then there exists a code

$$C = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{q-1}, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, z'_2, z'_3, \dots, z'_q)$$

in $S(p, q)$. Consequently, there exists a $(q + 4)$ -length code from β_i to β_j in Σ_B and hence $B_{ij}^N = B^N(\beta_i, \beta_j) > 0$.

(2) If $z_q = 0$ and $z'_1 = 1$, then there exists a code

$$C = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{q-1}, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, z'_2, z'_3, \dots, z'_q)$$

in $S(p, q)$. Consequently, there exists a $(q + 4)$ -length code from β_i to β_j in Σ_B and hence $B_{ij}^N = B^N(\beta_i, \beta_j) > 0$.

(3) If $z_q = 1$ and $z'_1 = 0$, then there exists a code

$$C = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{q-1}, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, z'_2, z'_3, \dots, z'_q)$$

in $S(p, q)$. Consequently, there exists a $(q + 4)$ -length code from β_i to β_j in Σ_B and hence $B_{ij}^N = B^N(\beta_i, \beta_j) > 0$.

(4) If $z_q = 1$ and $z'_1 = 1$, then there exists a code

$$C = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{q-1}, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, z'_2, z'_3, \dots, z'_q)$$

in $S(p, q)$. Consequently, there exists a $(q + 4)$ -length code from β_i to β_j in Σ_B and hence $B_{ij}^N = B^N(\beta_i, \beta_j) > 0$.

In conclusion, we have $B^N > 0$. Notice that A_n is the sum of all elements of B^n and a_n is the sum of elements in some certain columns of B^n . Then, by Lemma 2.2, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{A_n}$ exists. \square

According to Proposition 2.3, denote

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{A_n} = x$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{A_n} = y = 1 - x.$$

In addition, if λ is the the spectral radius of B , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{n+1}}{A_n} = \lambda.$$

For $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, we have for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= b_n + b_{n-1} + b_{n-2} + \cdots + b_{n-p+1}, \\ b_{n+1} &= a_n + a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{A_{n-p+1}} = \frac{b_n + b_{n-1} + b_{n-2} + \cdots + b_{n-p+1}}{A_{n-p+1}}$$

and

$$\frac{b_{n+1}}{A_{n-q+1}} = \frac{a_n + a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-q+1}}{A_{n-q+1}}.$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\lambda^p x = \lambda^{p-1}y + \lambda^{p-2}y + \lambda^{p-3}y + \cdots + \lambda y + y$$

and

$$\lambda^q y = \lambda^{q-1}x + \lambda^{q-2}x + \lambda^{q-3}x + \cdots + \lambda x + x.$$

Consequently,

$$x = \frac{\lambda^{p-1} + \lambda^{p-2} + \lambda^{p-3} + \cdots + \lambda + 1}{\lambda^p + \lambda^{p-1} + \lambda^{p-2} + \cdots + \lambda + 1}$$

and

$$x = \frac{\lambda^q}{\lambda^q + \lambda^{q-1} + \lambda^{q-2} + \cdots + \lambda + 1}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\lambda^{p-1} + \lambda^{p-2} + \lambda^{p-3} + \cdots + \lambda + 1}{\lambda^p + \lambda^{p-1} + \lambda^{p-2} + \cdots + \lambda + 1} = \frac{\lambda^q}{\lambda^q + \lambda^{q-1} + \lambda^{q-2} + \cdots + \lambda + 1},$$

and hence

$$\frac{\lambda^p - 1}{\lambda^{p+1} - 1} + \frac{\lambda^q - 1}{\lambda^{q+1} - 1} = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Equation (2.1) is said to be the characteristic equation of $S(p, q)$ for $0 < p \leq q < \infty$.

Similarly, for $0 < p < \infty$ and $q = \infty$, we have for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= b_n + b_{n-1} + b_{n-2} + \cdots + b_{n-p+1}, \\ b_{n+1} &= A_n, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\lambda^p x = \lambda^{p-1}y + \lambda^{p-2}y + \lambda^{p-3}y + \cdots + \lambda y + y$$

and

$$\lambda y = 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\lambda^{p-1} + \lambda^{p-2} + \lambda^{p-3} + \dots + \lambda + 1}{\lambda^p + \lambda^{p-1} + \lambda^{p-2} + \dots + \lambda + 1} = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\lambda^p - 1}{\lambda^{p+1} - 1} + \frac{1}{\lambda} = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Equation (2.2) is said to be the characteristic equation of $S(p, \infty)$ for $0 < p < \infty$.

For $(p, q) \neq (1, 1), (\infty, \infty)$, it is not difficult to see that $S(p, q)$ is a subsystem of $S(\infty, \infty)$ and $S(1, 2)$ is a subsystem of $S(p, q)$. Then

$$0 < C(1, 2) \leq C(p, q) = \ln \lambda \leq C(\infty, \infty) = \ln 2.$$

Thus, $\lambda \in (1, 2]$. We will prove that λ is the unique root of the characteristic equation in $(1, 2)$.

Theorem 2.4 For $(p, q) \neq (1, 1), (\infty, \infty)$, there exists one and only one root λ of the characteristic equation (2.1) or (2.2) in the open interval $(1, 2)$. Furthermore, $C(p, q) = \ln \lambda$.

Proof Let $f(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^p - 1}{\lambda^{p+1} - 1}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\lambda) &= \frac{-\lambda^{2p} + (p+1)\lambda^p - p\lambda^{p-1}}{(\lambda^{p+1} - 1)^2} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{p-1}((p+1)\lambda - \lambda^{p+1} - p)}{(\lambda^{p+1} - 1)^2} < 0, \end{aligned}$$

one can see that $f(\lambda)$ is a strictly decreasing function, and so is the function $F(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^p - 1}{\lambda^{p+1} - 1} + \frac{\lambda^q - 1}{\lambda^{q+1} - 1}$.

Notice

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^p - 1}{\lambda^{p+1} - 1} = \frac{1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{p-1}}{1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^p} + \frac{1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{q-1}}{1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^q},$$

and then

$$F(1) = \frac{p}{p+1} + \frac{q}{q+1} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{q}} \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $p = q = 1$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} F(2) &= \frac{2^p - 1}{2^{p+1} - 1} + \frac{2^q - 1}{2^{q+1} - 1} \\ &= \frac{2^{p+q+2} - 3 \cdot 2^p - 3 \cdot 2^q + 2}{(2^{p+1} - 1)(2^{q+1} - 1)} \\ &< \frac{2^{p+q+2} - 3 \cdot 2^p - 3 \cdot 2^q + 2}{(2^{p+1} - 1)(2^{q+1} - 1)} + \frac{2^p + 2^q - 1}{(2^{p+1} - 1)(2^{q+1} - 1)} \\ &= \frac{2^{p+q+2} - 2^{q+1} - 2^{p+1} + 1}{(2^{p+1} - 1)(2^{q+1} - 1)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the characteristic equation (2.1) has a unique root in the open interval (1, 2). Similarly, the characteristic equation (2.2) has a unique root in the open interval (1, 2). It follows from the discussions before this theorem that the unique root λ is the spectral radius of B corresponding to $S(p, q)$, and hence $C(p, q) = \ln \lambda$. \square

Now we will order all (p, q) -DUB systems according to the size of topological entropies. First, let us consider the equalities possible among the topological entropies of (p, q) -DUB systems.

Proposition 2.5 *For every positive integer p ,*

$$C(p, \infty) = C(p + 1, p + 1).$$

Proof For $S(p, \infty)$, the characteristic equation (2.2) can be written as follows:

$$\lambda^{p+2} - 2\lambda^{p+1} + 1 = 0.$$

For $S(p + 1, p + 1)$, the characteristic equation is

$$\frac{\lambda^{p+1} - 1}{\lambda^{p+2} - 1} = \frac{1}{2},$$

that is also

$$\lambda^{p+2} - 2\lambda^{p+1} + 1 = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$C(p, \infty) = C(p + 1, p + 1).$$

\square

Next, we will prove some strict inequalities.

Proposition 2.6 *For any p, q , and q' with $q < q' \leq \infty$, we have*

$$C(p, q) < C(p, q').$$

Proof Let $\lambda_0, \lambda_1 \in (1, 2)$ with $C(p, q) = \ln \lambda_0$ and $C(p, q') = \ln \lambda_1$. Let $g_q(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^q - 1}{\lambda^{q+1} - 1}$ for positive integer q and $g_\infty(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$. For any $\lambda_0 \in (1, 2)$, one can see

$$\begin{aligned} g_{q+1}(\lambda_0) - g_q(\lambda_0) &= \frac{\lambda_0^{q+1} - 1}{\lambda_0^{q+2} - 1} - \frac{\lambda_0^q - 1}{\lambda_0^{q+1} - 1} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0^{q+2} + \lambda_0^q - 2\lambda_0^{q+1}}{(\lambda_0^{q+2} - 1)(\lambda_0^{q+1} - 1)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0^q(\lambda_0 - 1)^2}{(\lambda_0^{q+2} - 1)(\lambda_0^{q+1} - 1)} \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_\infty(\lambda_0) - g_q(\lambda_0) = \frac{\lambda_0 - 1}{\lambda_0(\lambda_0^{q+1} - 1)} > 0.$$

Consequently, if $\lambda_0 \in (1, 2)$ satisfies equation (2.1), i.e.

$$\frac{\lambda_0^p - 1}{\lambda_0^{p+1} - 1} + \frac{\lambda_0^q - 1}{\lambda_0^{q+1} - 1} = 1,$$

then for q' with $q < q' < \infty$,

$$\frac{\lambda_0^p - 1}{\lambda_0^{p+1} - 1} + \frac{\lambda_0^{q'} - 1}{\lambda_0^{q'+1} - 1} > 1$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda_0^p - 1}{\lambda_0^{p+1} - 1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} > 1.$$

Since the functions $\frac{\lambda^p-1}{\lambda^{p+1}-1} + \frac{\lambda^q-1}{\lambda^{q+1}-1}$ and $\frac{\lambda^p-1}{\lambda^{p+1}-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda}$ are strictly decreasing on $(1, 2)$, we have $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1$. In conclusion, $C(p, q) < C(p, q')$. \square

Following from the two above propositions, we obtain the complete size relationship of the topological entropies of all (p, q) -DUB systems.

Theorem 2.7

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= C(1, 1) < C(1, 2) < \dots < C(1, \infty) = C(2, 2) < C(2, 3) < \dots < C(2, \infty) \\ &= C(3, 3) < C(3, 4) < \dots < C(\infty, \infty) = \ln 2. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Imminck K, Siegel P, Wolf J. Codes for digital recorders. *IEEE T Inform Theory* 1988; 44: 2260-2299.
- [2] Imminck K. Codes for Mass Data Storage Systems. Eindhoven, the Netherlands: Shannon Foundation Publishers, 2004.
- [3] Kashyap N, Siegel P. Equalities among capacities of (d,k)-constrained systems. *SIAM J Discrete Math* 2003; 17: 276-297.
- [4] Kashyap N. Maximizing the Shannon capacity of constrained systems with two constraints. *SIAM J Discrete Math* 2003; 17: 298-319.
- [5] Kashyap N, Siegel P. Sliding-block decodable encoders between (d,k) runlength-limited constraints of equal capacity. *IEEE T Inform Theory* 2004; 50: 1327-1331.
- [6] Kitchens B. *Symbolic Dynamics: One-Sided, Two-Sided and Countable State Markov Shifts*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [7] Marcus B, Siegel P, Wolf J. Finite-state modulation codes for data storage. *IEEE J Select Areas Commun* 1992; 10: 5-37.
- [8] Marcus B, Roth R, Siegel P. Constrained systems and coding for recording channels. In: Brualdi R, Huffman C, Pless V, editors. *Handbook of Coding Theory*, Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier, 1998, pp. 1635-1764.
- [9] Shannon C. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell Syst Tech J* 1948; 27: 379-423.
- [10] Varga R. *Matrix Iterative Analysis*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2000.