Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences

Manuscript 1929

Facies architecture and depositional evolution of the Middle Eocene mass flow-dominated fan delta complex in the multifeeder areas: Elazığ Marine Basin (Eastern Türkiye)

ONUR ALKAÇ

Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences
http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/earth/
Research Article

Turkish J Earth Sci
(2024) 33: 557-575
© TÜBİTAK
doi:10.55730/1300-0985.1929

Facies architecture and depositional evolution of the Middle Eocene mass flow-dominated fan delta complex in the multifeeder areas: Elazığ Marine Basin (Eastern Türkiye)

Onur ALKAÇ*
Department of Geological Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Fırat University, Elazığ, Turkiye

Received: 18.11.2023 • **Accepted/Published Online:** 22.05.2024 • **Final Version:** 12.07.2024

Abstract: The mass flow-dominated fan delta complex is developed by the rapid deposition of coarse-grained materials in front of uplifted areas under the influence active tectonism. This is important for providing clues about the first opening stages of marine basins. The study aims to shed light on the fact that mass flow-dominated fan delta complexes play an active role in determining the characterization of tectonism controlling basin opening. In the study area, mass flow-dominated deposits of the Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex (DFDC) represent the first deposits of the southern part of the Middle Eocene Elazığ Marine Basin, whose development is controlled by normal block faults. The basin consists of the alluvial fan succession, the fan delta succession and the turbidite succession overlying both successions. DFDC is composed of fan delta facies assemblages deposited in the form of shallow water coastal prism in front of the multifeeder areas controlled by extensional tectonism. Conglomerates and sandstones predominate in the DFDC successions. The system forming the DFDC is a good example for high-energy, mass flow-dominated, multifeeder fan delta environments with different source areas surrounding the Elazığ Basin from the north and south. Sedimentological studies were carried out from 11 measured stratigraphic sections taken from the DFDC, and three different sequences were defined. These sequences contain the deposits belonging to fan delta plain and fan delta front facies assemblages. The facies architecture of the successions of the DFDC was defined based on measured stratigraphic sections, litho-correlation and field observations, and with the help of the obtained data, the sedimentation evolution of the sequences belonging to it was revealed with three-dimensional basin models. In this way, an approach to the primary geodynamics of the Elaziğ Basin was obtained.

Key words: Fan delta complex, facies architecture, marine basin, primary geodynamics, paleogeographic control

1. Introduction

The most widely known definition of the term fan delta is the deposition of sediments derived from an alluvial fan feeder system as a coastal prism mostly or completely submerged at the interface between the stagnant water body and the active fan (Nemec and Steel, 1988). Fan deltas are considered sensitive indicators of climate change and tectonics (Postma, 1978). In particularly mass flow-dominated fan delta deposits typically form in areas with active tectonics and high topography owing to the rapid deposition of coarse-grained material in front of uplifted areas (Surlyk, 1984; Massari and Collela, 1988; Kazanci and Varol, 1990; Postma, 2003). Depending on the flow energy, fan delta sediments are transported as stacks in front of the uplifted area, forming the fan delta complex (Kazanci and Varol, 1990; Postma, 1990, 2003; Deynoux et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2020). They are characterized by the sequences formed by fan delta sediments transported from two or more different tributary areas into a single basin (Colella et al., 1987; Stow et al., 1995; Deynoux et al., 2005; Giraldo-Villegas et al., 2024).

Gilbert-type fan deltas have been the focus of most recent and previous research on the tectonic regulation of marine basins (Colella, 1988; Gawthorpe and Colella, 1990; Dorsey et al., 1995; Deynoux et al., 2005; Rees et al., 2017; Pavano et al., 2024). Recently, however, research has shown less focus on mass flow-dominated fan delta complexes formed under the influence of active tectonism (Postma, 1978, 2003; Lopez-Blanco et al., 2000; Benvenuti, 2003; Deynoux et al., 2005; Xiong et al., 2023). It is important to find evidence for the first stages of marine basin opening. The purpose of this study is to clarify that fan delta complexes characterized by mass flow are also actively involved in characterizing the tectonism that determines basin opening.

* Correspondence: oalkac@firat.edu.tr

The study area consists of the western part of the Elazığ Marine Basin. The basin developed through rapid deepening in the Middle Eocene due to the effects of normal block faulting (Aksoy et al., 2005; Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). During this time, the well-exposed, mass flow- dominated Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex (DFDC) formed in front of the uplifted areas that bound the basin from the south and north. Fan delta deposits, which are composed of three distinct fan delta sequences, act as a model of the DFDC. This study focuses on the facies architecture and depositional evolution of the sediments belonging to the fan delta complex in question. The DFDC is a good example of developed fan deltas in the multifeeder areas. The general characteristic of the lithology of the fan delta deposits is the predominance of conglomerates and sandstones. The study aims to define the facies architecture of the sequences of the DFDC based on data from measured stratigraphic sections and litho-correlation, and to show the depositional evolution of the sequences of the complex with three-dimensional basin models. Thus, by defining the clastic facies of the fan delta system, an approach to the basin evolution of the mass flow-dominated fan delta complex is provided. In light of all this data, the sedimentological features such as lithology and facies architecture of the DFDC, which represents the initial deposits of the southern part of the Elazığ Basin, were evaluated to form an opinion on the primary geodynamics of the basin.

2. Geological background: basin stratigraphy and tectonic setting

In the Eastern Anatolia region, which includes the study area, the southern branch of the Neotethys Ocean began to close with northward subduction as part of the N-S-oriented compression regime associated with the convergence of the Arabian and Anatolian plates in the Late Cretaceous (Şengör and Yilmaz, 1981; Yazgan, 1984; Robertson, 2006; Beyarslan and Bingöl, 2014). Due to this compression, many Mesozoic continental margins and oceanic units were deposited on the northern margin of the Arabian Plate over 1000 km from the eastern end of the Mediterranean to Oman (Robertson et al., 2006; Robertson, 2007). The Eastern Taurus Orogenic Belt, which forms the eastern part of the palaeotectonic zone called the Bitlis-Zagros Suture Zone (BZSZ), lies within the boundaries of Türkiye between the Eurasian and the African and Arabian plates. In this orogenic belt, areas of continental uplift and subsiding basins have developed (Sungurlu, 1974; Baştuğ, 1976; Şengör, 1980; Yazgan, 1981; Aksoy et al., 1999, 2005). In the thickened lithospheric region (Bitlis-Pütürge Massif) during orogen formation, a volcano-sedimentary basin (the Maden Basin) with restricted calc-alkaline volcanism developed in the early to Middle Eocene (Ertürk et al., 2018). The Elazığ Basin located behind (northern) of the Maden Basin was formed in relation to normal block faulting under the extension regime (Figure 1; Ertürk et al., 2018; Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). It was separated from the Maden Basin by a palaeotopographic uplift. The study area is located in the Elazığ Basin, which extends in approximately NE-SW direction in the Eastern Taurus Orogenic Belt.

The DFDC is located in an area of approximately 15 km^2, 40 km southwest of Baskil district of Elazığ Province (Figure 1a). The oldest observed unit is the Elazığ Magmatites, which form the bedrock of the basin (Aksoy et al., 1999; Cronin et al., 2005; Beyarslan and Bingöl, 2010; Çelik and Cronin, 2020; Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). The unit occurs in an uplifted area of 6 km^2 in the north and southwest of the basin (Figures 1b and 1c). The Elazığ Magmatites are composed of volcanic and plutonic rocks and are considered to be the result of an intraoceanic arc system (Beyarslan and Bingöl, 2018; Bingöl et al., 2018; Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). Granitic and diorite rocks are indicative of plutonic rocks, whereas basalts are representative of volcanic rocks. The age of Elazığ Magmatites is between 72 and 84 Ma (Yazgan, 1983, 1984; Lin et al., 2015; Beyarslan and Bingöl, 2018; Sar et al., 2019). Regional compression thrust the Devonian-Jurassic Keban Metamorphites over the Elazığ Magmatites during this unit's formation, transporting them from north to south (Figure 1c). The Harami Formation, which occurs in an area of about 2 km^2, covers the Elazığ Magmatites (Figure 2). In the study area, the lithology of the unit consists of massive conglomerates derived from older rocks at the bottom and grading upward into medium-grained sandstone and sandy limestone with conglomerate interbeds. The age of the formation has been determined to be Late Cretaceous (Perinçek, 1979; Bingöl, 1984; Turan and Bingöl, 1991; Aksoy, 1992; Aksoy et al., 1999; Turan, 2011; Kayğılı, 2023). The unit is unconformably overlain by sedimentary deposits known as the Kuşçular Formation. To the east of the basin, the Kuşçular Formation covers an area of approximately 1 km^2 (Figure 2). It consists of poorly sorted conglomerates consisting of pebble to boulder size at the base, grading up into bedded mudstone and siltstone couples in the upper layers (Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). The Kuşçular Formation aged as early Paleocene in studies (Perinçek, 1980; Koç-Taşgın, 2017; Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). Massive, medium- to thick-bedded, fossiliferous limestone comprises the Seske Formation, which outcrops in an area of approximately 1 km^2 and unconformably covers the Kuşçular Formation (Figure 2). The age of the unit is late Paleocene–early Eocene (Yazgan, 1984; Türkmen et al., 2001). In the early-middle Eocene, limited calc-alkaline volcanism was active in the thickened lithospheric region of the Eastern Taurus Orogenic Belt,



Figure 1. Location map (a) and the simplified geological map (b) covering the study area; (c) the Geotectonic crosssection model of the Elazığ Basin (modified by Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022).

and a volcano-sedimentary basin, the Maden Basin, was formed (Ertürk et al., 2018). The Elazığ Basin opened as a rapidly deepening depositional area by block faulting on the Late Cretaceous Elazığ Magmatites in the extensional area north of the Maden Basin in association with the geodynamic evolution of the basin (Cronin et al., 2005; Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). Due to the high relief topography of the base controlled by block faulting, the Middle Eocene Kırkgeçit Formation, the first sediments deposited in the basin, exhibits uneven and variable stacking (Aksoy et al., 2005). In the Elazığ Basin, block faulting controlled the northern and southern uplifted zones, in front of which DFDC deposits of the Kırkgeçit Formation were stacked (Figure 2). In the early periods when the basin started to develop, the alluvial fan succession in the terrestrial realm was deposited in front of the uplifted zones consisting of the underlying Elazığ Magmatites in the south of the study area. Shallow marine conditions prevailed in the basin, which deepened rapidly as a result of increased tectonic extensional movement in the middle periods of the middle Eocene. The fan delta successions were deposited unconformably on the alluvial fan succession in the south of the study area and on the Elazığ Magmatites that form the uplifted area in the north (Figure 2). The



Figure 2. Geological map of the study area.

fan delta succession is characterized by conglomerate, pebbly sandstone and sandstone. The conglomerate clasts consist of grains ranging in size from boulders to pebbles and originate from the uplifted areas at the basin margin. The conglomerates are very poorly sorted and very poorly rounded, indicating a short transport distance. The fan delta complex in the study area is overlain by a turbidite succession that dominated the marine environment due to block faulting and a rapidly developing subsidence that persisted in the Middle Eocene (Cronin et al., 2005; Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). Due to the rapid rise in sea level, the marine area in question shifted northward. Under the tectonic compression regime, which lasted until the end of the Early Miocene, the fan delta complex gained slope and was exposed in its present position (Figure 2).

3. Materials and methods

The Eocene strata of the first deposits of the Kırkgeçit Formation in the southern part of the Elazığ Basin were the main target of the field investigation. A total of 11 stratigraphic sections were taken from three different outcrops of the fan delta deposits of the Kırkgeçit Formation. These measured sections reflect the depositional characteristics and facies architecture of the fan delta system in the Elazığ Basin based on the fabric features, matrix type, composition, and structural characteristics of the sediments through the identification and stratigraphy of the fan delta deposits. The facies analysis was performed based on the facies and subfacies classifications of fan deltas (Middleton and Hampton, 1976; Miall, 1977, 1978, 1985; Nemec et al., 1984; Rust, 1984; Shultz, 1984; Kazanci and

Varol, 1990; Postma, 1990; Deynoux et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2020). The classifications of Nemec et al. (1984) and Postma (1990) were used for the facies assemblages derived from the interpretations of the facies classifications. Thus, seven facies and two subfacies were identified and described from the sections of the Eocene units in the southern part of the Elazığ Basin. In addition, a three-dimensional facies model of the Middle Eocene fan delta system was created by analysing and describing the facies based on the data in these sections. The data from the field studies were collected in two steps: in the first step, a detailed geological map was created to determine the relationships and correlations of the conglomerates, sandstones and their associations deposited in front of the uplifted areas that border the basin from the north and south. In the second step, considering the sedimentological features such as lithology and facies architecture of the DFDC, which represent the first deposits of the southern part of the Elazığ Basin, an opinion on the primary geodynamics of the basin was evaluated.

4. Results

4.1. Middle Eocene Elazığ Marine Basin Fill

The Elazığ Marine Basin Fill is formed in the Middle Eocene by the deposits of the Kırkgeçit Formation, which have reached a thickness of 750 m. They are divided into a 200-m thick alluvial fan succession, a 250 m-thick mass flow-dominated fan delta complex succession, and a 300-m thick turbidite succession that unconformably overlies both successions (Figure 3).

4.2. The alluvial fan succession

The alluvial fan succession forms the bottom deposits of the Elazığ Marine Basin and is represented by conglomerates, sandstones, mudstones and gypsum as terrestrial environments (Figure 3). It is characterised from bottom to top with bedded gypsum, nodular gypsum mudstone, red-coloured mudstone, bedded sandstone, massive sandstone and massive conglomerate (Figure 4a). The thickness of the bedded gypsum and the nodular gypsum mudstones is 50 m. The red-coloured mudstones are mostly massive and rarely laminated. In some levels, the thickness of the mudstones and sandstones, which are interspersed with interbedded conglomerates, is 60 m. The bedded sandstones are weakly cemented and medium-grained, with a thickness of between 20 m and 25 m. Coarse-grained massive sandstones have a lenticular geometry and a maximum thickness of 35 m. Massive conglomerates with an average thickness of 30 m and well-rounded components are sand matrix.

4.3. The Deliktaş mass flow-dominated fan delta complex succession

Middle Eocene deposits of the DFDC in the basin generally consist of conglomerate, pebbly sandstone and sandstones that were transported from the uplifted areas surrounding the basin from the north and south (Figure 4b). They are overlain by the succession of alluvial fans. (Figure 4c).

4.3.1. Facies analysis of the Deliktaş mass flow-dominated fan delta complex

The facies analysis of the mass flow-dominated Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex was performed using well-defined fan delta facies classifications (Middleton and Hampton, 1976; Miall, 1977, 1978, 1985; Nemec et al., 1984; Rust, 1984; Shultz, 1984; Kazanci and Varol, 1990; Postma, 1990; Deynoux et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2020). The identification and stratigraphy of the fan delta complex deposits by the sediments is based on the fabric features, matrix type, composition and structural characteristics. Seven facies and two subfacies were identified and described from the sections of the Eocene units in the southern part of the Elazığ Marine Basin. The facies and subfacies were evaluated together and two facies assemblages were defined using facies-assemblage classifications (Nemec et al., 1984; Postma, 1990). These are the conglomerate- and sandstone-dominated 'fan delta plain' and the 'fan delta front', which consists of planar conglomerate, interbedded pebbly sandstone and laminated fine-grained sandstones. Profan delta deposits were not found in the study region and were most likely covered by turbidites. The deposits of the profan delta are often fine-grained lithologies such as mudstone or siltstone. Table summarises the stratigraphic relationships corresponding to the facies, subfacies and facies assemblages of the fan delta complex deposits in the study area.

4.3.2. Fan delta plain

The fan delta plain facies assemblage are divided into different facies as (1) channel facies, (2) sandstones, and (3) planar-bedded conglomerates. The channel facies and sandstones facies represent the distal part of the fan delta plain assemblage, the planar-bedded conglomerates characterize the proximal part (Kazanci and Varol, 1990). Fan delta plain consists of facies containing conglomerate and sandstone. These facies assemblage deposits are mostly derived from granite and diorite type plutonic rocks and basalt type volcanic rocks of the Elazığ Magmatites, which form the feeder area in the northern and southern parts of the study area. It is also observed to a lesser extent in components derived from recrystallized limestones of the Keban Metamorphites.

Channel facies

The channel facies is divided into two subfacies: distributary channel (DC) – conglomerates and plain channel (PC) – sandstones (Figures 5a and 5b). The border relation of the facies to each other is planar, erosional or laterally transitional.



Figure 3. Generalized stratigraphic section through the Middle Eocene basin fill in the study area (prepared using the design of Kazanci and Varol, 1990).

Distributary channel (DC) – conglomerates subfacies

Description. This facies is usually characterised by conglomerates. Planar-bedded conglomerate levels have also been found. The conglomerate beds become finer towards the top, starting from clast-supported conglomerates at the base, which are supported more by the matrix towards the top, with some fining to medium sandstone. The subfacies has formed erosional surfaces with the underlying facies, and it has mostly formed flat bounding surfaces with the overlying facies. It also has an average thickness of 6.6 m and varies between 1 m and 30 m. The rounding and sorting of the components of the subfacies deposits change from very poor to moderate. Block-sized components with an average grain size of 61.6 cm are generally found in the lower levels of the subfacies, with a maximum grain size of ≥250 cm. According to the long-axis imbrication observed in the subfacies conglomerates, the palaeocurrent direction is between 85° and 90° SE.

Interpretation. According to Miall (1977, 1978), the subfacies were described as massive, matrix- or grain-supported conglomerates (Gms). The fact that the



Figure 4. (a) Bedded gypsum, red-coloured mudstone and bedded sandstone of the alluvial fan succession; (b) General view of the fan delta succession; (c) Boundary relationship between the alluvial fan succession and the fan delta succession.

subfacies components rarely show distinct orientations, have very poorly sorted grain sizes ranging from block to pebble size, and lack a specific fabrication characteristic, indicates subaerial cohesive debris flows that developed under high-density and high-energy flows (Middleton and Hampton, 1976; Miall, 1978, 1985; Lowe, 1982; Nemec et al., 1984; Shultz, 1984; Kazanci and Varol, 1990; Postma, 1990; Deynoux et al., 2005).

Plain channel (PC) – sandstones subfacies

Description. The facies consists of pebbly sandstones with medium-fine to very coarse grains. Planar-bedded conglomerates are also found in the pebbly sandstones at some levels (Figure 5c). The PC sandstone subfacies has erosional surfaces at the bottom and mostly planar surfaces at the top. The subfacies varies in thickness from 1 m to 30 m as a plain channel, with an average thickness of 7.3 m. The sandstones contain components ranging from boulders to gravel. The components in the sandstones are very poorly rounded and very poorly to moderately sorted, with an average grain size of 78.8 cm. Block-sized components with a maximum grain size of 170 cm are mostly observed at the base of the subfacies.

Interpretation. The subfacies are exactly the same in the literature as identified by Sun et al. (2020) and used with the same name in this study. Sandstone and conglomerate interbedded pebbly sandstones have a pattern stacked along multiple channels that migrate and cut under strong hydrodynamic force (Sun et al., 2020). The presence of randomly distributed components of different sizes in the sandstones suggests that these sediments were carried by high-density subaerial debris flows (Lowe, 1982; Mulder and Alexander, 2001).

Sandstones facies

Description. The sandstone facies is characterized by normally graded, very coarse- to coarse-grained sandstone and has an average thickness of 2 m. At the base of the sandstones, boulder-sized clasts with a maximum grain size of 45 cm can be observed, originating from diorite and granite.

Table. Summary of the sedimentary facies architecture of the Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex.

Facies assemblages	Facies	Subfacies	Brief description	Brief interpretation
Fan delta plain	Channel	Distributary channel	Conglomerate, sandy matrix and grain supported. At the basement, grain supported conglomerates and upward sandy matrix conglomerates in the same layer.	Subaerial cohesionless debris flow. Cohesive debris flow. Middleton and Hampton (1976); Miall (1978, 1985); Lowe (1982); Nemec et al. (1984); Shultz (1984); Kazanci and Varol (1990); Postma (1990); Deynoux et al. (2005).
		Plain channel	Medium to fine, medium, coarse, very coarse to coarse-grained sandstone. Pebbly sandstone with planar stratified conglomerates.	Debris flow. Subaqueous debris flow. Hyperconcentrated density flow. Lowe (1982); Mulder and Alexander (2001); Sun et al. (2020).
	Sandstones		Normal graded, very coarse to coarse-grained sandstone.	Donjek type flood regime. Miall (1978); Rust (1984); Marzo et al. (1988). Lower delta plain. Kazanci and Varol (1990).
	Planar-bedded conglomerates		Grain supported and/or sandy matrix supported, planar-bedded conglomerates.	Flash foods from tractional transport. Rust (1984); Marzo et al. (1988); Kazanci and Varol (1990).
Fan delta front	Distribution		Coarse- to medium-grained, pebbly sandstones with planar conglomerates.	Inner front, distribution migrating and incising frequently. Sun et al. (2020)
	Subaqueous distributary channel		Medium to fine, medium, coarse to medium, coarse-grained, pebbly sandstone or medium-grained, layered sandstone.	Bedload deposition and traction carpet. Postma (1990).
	Subaqueous interdistributary channel		Fine-grained, laminar or fine layered sandstone and/or pebbly sandstone.	Lower and upper flow regime. Miall (1977, 1978); Postma (1990); Sun et al. (2020).
	Planar stratified conglomerate		Sandy matrix, conglomerate with interbedded conglomerate.	Subaqueous delta slope. Postma (1984a, b); Kazanci and Varol (1990).

Interpretation. This facies is used with the same name by Miall (1978), Postma (1978), and Kazanci and Varol (1990). The facies is an upper part of the nonmarine realm commonly observed in the uppermost part of the fan delta plain (Kazanci and Varol, 1990). Deposition processes in the fan delta system occurs in environments where the lower fan delta plain has a high sediment flow and the flow energy gradually decreases (Kazanci and Varol, 1990). In addition, it has been noted in the literature (Miall, 1978; Rust, 1984; Marzo et al., 1988) that facies sediments accumulate in small braided streams of the Donjek type flood regime as longitudinal bars or mega-ripples.

Planar-bedded conglomerates facies

Description. The facies consists of mostly planar-bedded conglomerates with grain-supported and/or sand matrix support. It has mostly formed flat and rarely erosional boundaries with the overlying and underlying facies. The



Figure 5. (a) Distributary channel (DC) – conglomerates subfacies of the channel facies in the fan delta complex; (b) boundary relationship between the distributary channel (DC) – conglomerates subfacies and the plain channel (PC) – sandstones subfacies of the channel facies; (c) plain channel (PC) – sandstones subfacies observed in the fan delta complex; (d) inner front (distribution) facies determined in the fan delta complex; (e) boundary relationship between the distributary channel (DC) – conglomerates subfacies of the fan delta plain and the subaqueous distributary channel (SDC) facies of the fan delta front observed in the Deliktaş mass flow-dominated fan delta complex; (f) subaqueous distributary channel facies in the fan delta complex.

thickness of the planar-bedded conglomerate facies varies between 4 m and 12 m. Their average thickness is 7.3 m. The average grain size of the conglomerates is 38.75 cm, and the maximum grain size is 55 cm. The grains are also very poorly sorted and very poorly rounded. According to the long axis imbrication observed in a conglomerate level, the palaeocurrent direction is 210° SW.

Interpretation. The planar-bedded conglomerate facies was first described by Kazanci and Varol (1990) and was also used in this study with the same name. It can be compared with the massive, thick-bedded conglomerate facies (Gm) of Miall (1977, 1978). The planar-bedded conglomerates are a transitional facies between fan delta plain and fan delta front deposits (Kazanci and Varol, 1990), and their deposition processes were probably during the sudden flooding of the tractional transition (Rust, 1984; Marzo et al., 1988).

4.3.3. Fan delta front

The sediments of the fan delta front assemblage consist of conglomerate, planar conglomerate with interbedded pebbly sandstone, fine-grained, laminated or thin-bedded sandstones. The components of the sediments of the assemblage originate from the diorite and granitic plutonic rocks of the Elazığ Magmatites and to a lesser extent from the recrystallized limestones of the Keban Metamorphites. The facies of the fan delta are divided into four different facies groups: (1) inner front (distribution), (2) subaqueous distributary channel, (3) subaqueous interdistributary channel, and (4) planar stratified conglomerates. The boundary relationship between the facies is planar or erosional. In general, the facies of the assemblage are largely covered by the DC conglomerate subfacies of the fan delta plain facies assemblage in the basin.

Inner front (distribution) facies

Description. The facies consists of medium-coarse-grained, planar conglomerate interbedded with pebbly sandstone and has a thickness of 1.6. Interbedded conglomerates are very good rounded and moderately sorted, with a sheet size of 10 cm (Figure 5d).

Interpretation. This facies was first defined by Sun et al. (2020) and was also used in this study with the same name. Distribution channels show the process of transporting less sediment from the source under the decreasing hydrodynamic force from bottom to top. Large sandstones that migrate both upward and downward are a common feature of sediments transported by this mechanism; small-scale sandstones are uncommon (Sun et al., 2020). Sun et al. (2020) found that the planar conglomerate interbedded within the sandstones indicates the presence of periodic high hydrodynamic forces and high-energy currents during migration.

Subaqueous distributary channel (SDC) facies

Description. The lithology of the facies generally consists of weakly cemented, coarse- to medium-grained, pebbly sandstones and rare medium-grained, bedded sandstones. Interbeds of conglomerate were found in some layers of conglomerate. The average thickness of the facies is 2.6 m. SDC is mostly flat bounded with underlying and overlying facies and was deposited in some levels by erosion on the subaqueous interdistributary channel facies (SIC) (Figure 5e). The components within the sandstones and conglomerate interlayers are medium to very poorly rounded and poorly sorted and have an average grain size of 52 cm.

Interpretation. SDC was described by Postma (1990) as horizontally bedded sandstones. The deposits of these facies are intensively transported from land to the subaqueous by hyperpycnal flow in the form of delta fronts, bedload deposits and traction carpets (Postma, 1990).

Subaqueous interdistributary channel (SIC) facies

Description. The facies represents laminated or thin-bedded, fine-grained sandstone or pebbly sandstone. The thickness of the facies observed as a layer is 1.76 m (Figure 5f).

Interpretation. It was defined by Miall (1977, 1978) with the facies code Sh, which is represented by very coarse to very fine-grained or less pebbly sandstones. As planar bedload in the lower or upper flow regime, the SIC sediments were deposited horizontally with partial or continuous lamination (Miall, 1977, 1978; Postma, 1990). In addition, the sudden transition between the lower and upper layers of the thin-bedded sandstones indicates a rapid and weak change in the depositional environment (Sun et al., 2020).

Planar stratified conglomerates facies

Description. The facies consists of conglomerate-interbedded and sand matrix conglomerates. The average thickness of the facies is 11.5 m. The constituents of the conglomerate are very poorly rounded and moderately sorted, with a maximum grain size of 30 cm.

Interpretation. The facies was named by Kazanci and Varol (1990) with the Cs facies code, and the same name was used in this study. Postma (1984a, 1984b) described this subfacies in similar studies and stated that the effect of gravity processes was formed by the distal deposition of stratified conglomerates on the subaqueous delta slope steps.

4.3.4. The litho-correlation of Deliktaş mass flow fan delta complex

The DFDC successions are characterized by three different sequences: fan delta sequence 1 (FD1), fan delta sequence 2 (FD2), and fan delta sequence 3 (FD3). Each sequence can be distinguished from the next sequence by the angular relationship of the layers. FD1 sequence was spread on the alluvial fan succession and erosionally overlapped the Elazığ Magmatites due to erosion. FD2 sequence was deposited directly on the Elazığ Magmatites, and FD3 sequence spread over the Harami Formation (Figure 6). Lateral and vertical litho-correlations of the DFDC are shown in Figures 7–9. According to the litho-correlations, the thickness of the fan delta plain facies deposits is 80 m in FD1, 60 m in FD2, and 30 m in FD3. The Fan Delta Front facies is observed in all three fan delta sequences. The thickness of the assemblages was 13 m in FD1, 50 m in FD2, and 54 m in FD3.

4.4. Turbidite succession

At the end of the middle Eocene, marine conditions formed in the region due to the effective block normal fault and the rapidly developing subsidence. Due to the rapid rise in sea level, the marine environment shifted northwards. As a result of this process, the turbidite succession, which forms the uppermost part of the basin deposits, consists of medium- and fine-grained sandstone and sandstone-siltstone couples of the Kırkgeçit Formation and unconformably overlies the fan delta complex succession (Figure 10). The massive and normally graded sandstone layers (Ta; Bouma, 1962) in the approximately 300-m thick succession have a thickness of 15–20 cm, while the thickness of the sandstone-siltstone couples (Tab, Tabc; Bouma, 1962) is 10 cm.

5. The sedimentary evolution and paleogeographic depositional models of the DFDC in relation to the Elazığ Marine Basin

The Elazığ Basin expanded rapidly from the continental uplifted area to the shallow marine environment at the beginning of the Middle Eocene due to normal block faulting under the influence of gravity (Aksoy et al., 2005; Alkaç and Aksoy, 2022). During this time, components ranging from boulders to sand, carried into the basin by breakoffs from various uplifted areas surrounding and feeding the basin from the north and south, formed sedimentary sequences belonging to the high-energy, mass flow-dominated Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex (Figure 11a). The sequences of the fan delta complex cannot be



Figure 6. Distribution map of fan delta sequences in the fan delta complex.



Figure 7. Litho-correlation profile of sedimentary facies of fan delta 1 sequence (FD1) in the Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex (AFS: alluvial fan succession; DC: distributary channel; PC: plain channel; S: sandstones; T: planar-bedded conglomerates; DF: distribution facies; subaqueous distributary channel; SIC: subaqueous interdistributary channel; PSC: planar stratified conglomerates).

transported over long distances due to several factors such as the narrow and long geometry, the irregular basin floor morphology of the restricted basin character, and the irregular sorting of sediments (Figures 5a and 11a) in a high-energy environment. Due to back-feeding and/or bypass development in front of the uplifted where they form, sediments transported over short distances are deposited in the channel morphology (Mutti and Ricci Luchi, 1972; Lowe, 1982; Fisher, 1983; Nemec and Steel, 1984; Stow, 1985). The channels dip 45–50° to the south (Figure 11b).

The Keban Metamorphites overtook the Elazığ Magmatites with a southward advance at the end of the Late Cretaceous (Figure 12a). In the early middle Eocene, the first sediments of the DFDC started to be deposited on the basement rocks consisting of the Elazığ Magmatites



Figure 8. Litho-correlation profile of sedimentary facies of fan delta 2 sequence (FD2) in the Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex (DC: distributary channel; PC: plain channel; S: sandstones; T: planar-bedded conglomerates; DF: distribution facies; subaqueous distributary channel; SIC: subaqueous interdistributary channel; PSC: planar stratified conglomerates).



Figure 9. Litho-correlation profile of sedimentary facies of fan delta 3 sequence (FD3) in the Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex (DC: distributary channel; PC: plain channel; S: sandstones; T: planar-bedded conglomerates; DF: distribution facies; subaqueous distributary channel; SIC: subaqueous interdistributary channel; PSC: planar stratified conglomerates).

and the Late Campanian-Maastrichtian Harami Formation. The boulder, block-sized components in the sediments originate from the Elazığ Magmatites and the Keban Metamorphites at the northern uplifted areas. In the southern uplifted areas of the basin, they began to be deposited on alluvial fans. The fan delta complex, which is fed by both uplifted areas, represents the early depositional development of the basin (Figure 12b). In the middle and late stages of the middle Eocene, with the increasing extensional tectonic regime, the basin deepened rapidly under the control of normal block faults, and sandstones and conglomerates began to be deposited together (Figure 12c). Due to the rapid rise in sea level and the turbidite sequence deposited as unconformable deposits on the fan deltas (Figure 12c), the basin became deeper during the last stages of the Middle Eocene due to block faulting.



Figure 10. Boundary relationship between the fan delta succession and the turbidite succession.



Figure 11. (a) The boulder, block-sized components (indicated as b) observed in the sediments of the fan delta succession; (b) general view of the channel morphology in the fan delta succession.

Thus, the DFDC completed its evolution in a multifeeder environment in the middle Miocene.

6. Conclusion

This study aims to shed light on the fact that mass flow-dominated fan delta complexes also play an active role in characterizing the tectonism that controls the opening of marine basins. Therefore, facies analysis studies and interpretations on the depositional evolution of the mass flow-dominated fan delta complexes in the Kırkgeçit Formation in the Elazığ Marine Basin were carried out.

The Elazığ Marine Basin developed through rapid deepening in the Middle Eocene under the influence of the normal block faults. During this time, the well-exposed Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex, dominated by mass flows, formed in front of the uplifted areas that bound the basin from the south and north. The succession that started in the basin in front of the uplifted areas due to the rapid



Figure 12. (a) Late Cretaceous paleogeography of the study area, (b) Premature Middle Eocene depositional model of the Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex, (c) Mature Middle Eocene depositional model of the Deliktaş Fan Delta Complex.

deepening of the basin under the influence of normally developed block faults in the southern part of the western Elazığ Basin with the increase of the regional extensional regime in the Middle Eocene is a good example of the multifeeder system deposits for the fan delta complexes. The facies architecture of the DFDC successions was defined based on measured stratigraphic sections, litho-correlation and field observations, and the sedimentary evolution of the fan delta complex was revealed with three-dimensional basin models using the data obtained. In this way, an approach to the primary geodynamics of the Elazığ marine basin was obtained.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Prof. Dr. Ercan Aksoy (Fırat University) for his support during the fieldwork and his critical and constructive suggestions during the review. In addition, the author would like to thank the geological engineer Ali Akyıldız for his support during the fieldwork.

References

Aksoy E (1992). Elazığ batı ve güneyinin genel jeolojik özellikleri. Doğa-Türk Yer Bilimleri Dergisi 2 (1): 113-123 (in Turkish).

Aksoy E, Türkmen İ, Turan M, Meriç E (1999). Harami Formasyonu'nun (Üst Kampaniyen-Maastrihtiyen) stratigrafik konumu ve çökel ortamıyla ilgili yeni bulgular, Elazığ güneyi. Türkiye Petrol Jeologları Derneği Bülteni 11 (1): 1-15 (in Turkish).

Aksoy E, Türkmen İ, Turan M (2005). Tectonics and sedimentation in convergent margin basins: an example from the Tertiary Elazığ basin, Eastern Turkey. Journal of Asian Earth Science 25 (3): 459-472. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2004.04.009

Alkaç O, Aksoy E (2022). The provenance of coarse-grained turbidite sandstones in the Kırkgeçit Formation (western part of the Elazığ Basin-east Turkey). Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences 31 (2): 153-177. https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0985.1760

Baştuğ C (1976). Bitlis napının stratigrafisi ve Güneydoğu Anadolu sütur zonunun evrimi. Yeryuvarı ve İnsan 3 (1): 55-61 (in Turkish).

Benvenuti M (2003). Facies analysis and tectonic significance of lacustrine fan-deltaic successions in the Pliocene–Pleistocene Mugello Basin, Central Italy. Sedimentary Geology 157 (3-4): 197-234. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(02)00234-8

Beyarslan M, Bingöl AF (2010). Ultramafics and mafic bodies in cumulates of Ispendere and Kömürhan Ophiolites (SE Anatolian Belt, Turkey). Turkish Journal of Science and Technology 5 (1): 19- 36.

Beyarslan M, Bingöl AF (2014). Petrology of the Ispendere, Kömürhan and Guleman ophiolites (Southeast Turkey): subduction initiation rule (SIR) ophiolites and arc related magmatics. In: 3rd Annual International Conference on Geological and Earth Sciences (GEOS 2014); Singapore. pp. 50-59.

Beyarslan M, Bingöl AF (2018). Zircon U-Pb age and geochemical constraints on the origin and tectonic implications of late cretaceous intra-oceanic arc magmatics in the Southeast Anatolian Orogenic Belt (SE-Turkey). Journal of African Earth Sciences 147: 477-497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2018.07.001

Bingöl AF (1984). Geology of Elazığ area in The Eastern Taurus Region. In: Tekeli O, Göncüoğlu MC (editors). Geology of Taurus Belt. Ankara, Türkiye: MTA Publications, pp. 209-217.

Bingöl AF, Beyarslan M, Lin YC, Lee HY (2018). Geochronological and geochemical constraints on the origin of the Southeast Anatolian ophiolites, Turkey. Arabian Journal of Geosciences 11: 569. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-018-3880-0

Bouma A (1962). Sedimentology of Some Flysch Deposits: A Graphical Approach to Facies Interpretation. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier, p. 168.

Colella A (1988). Pliocene-Holocene fan deltas and braid deltas in the Crati Basin, Southern Italy: a consequence of varying tectonic conditions. In: Nemec W, Steel RJ (editors). Fan Deltas: Sedimentology and Tectonic Settings. Glasgow, Scotland: Blackie and Son, pp. 50-74.

Colella A, De Boer PL, Nio SD (1987). Sedimentology of a marine intermontane Pleistocene Gilbert-type fan-delta complex in the Crati Basin, Calabria, Southern Italy. Sedimentology 34 (4): 721-736. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.1987.tb00798.x

Cronin BT, Çelik H, Hurst A, Turkmen I (2005). Mud prone entrenched deep-water slope channel complexes from the Eocene of Eastern Turkey. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 244 (1): 155-180. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2005.244.01.10

Çelik H, Cronin BT (2020). Controls on deep-water slope channel complex fill, propagation, stacking, and orientation in the Middle Eocene-Oligocene Kırkgeçit Formation, Elazığ, eastern Turkey. Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences 29 (6): 1-28. https://doi.org/10.3906/yer-2001-26

Deynoux M, Çiner A, Modod O, Karabıyıkoğlu M, Manatschal et al. (2005). Facies architecture and depositional evolution of alluvial fan to fan-delta complexes in the tectonically active Miocene Köprüçay Basin, Isparta Angle, Turkey. Sedimentary Geology 173 (1-4): 315-343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2003.12.013

Dorsey RJ, Umhoefer PJ, Renne PR (1995). Rapid subsidence and stacked Gilbert-type fan deltas, Pliocene Loreto basin, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Sedimentary Geology 98 (1-4): 181-204. https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738(95)00032-4

Ertürk MA, Beyarslan M, Chung SL, Lin TH (2018). Eocene magmatism (Maden complex) in the Southeast Anatolian Orogenic Belt: magma genesis and tectonic implications. Geoscience Frontiers 9 (6): 1829-1847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2017.09.008

Fisher RV (1983). Flow transformations in sediment gravity flows. Geology 11 (5): 273-274. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1983)11<273:FTISGF>2.0.CO;2

Gawthorpe RL, Colella A (1990). Tectonic controls on coarse-grained delta depositional systems in rift basins. In: Colella A, Prior D (editors). Coarse-Grained Deltas. International Association of Sedimentologists Special Publications 10: 113-120. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444303858.ch6

Giraldo-Villegas CA, Rodríguez-Tovar FJ, Celis SA, Pardo-Trujillo A (2024). Variable Ophimorpha ichnofabric: improving the understanding of mouth bar environments in fan-delta complex depositional settings from the Upper Cretaceous of NW South America. Cretaceous Research 154: 105730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2023.105730

Kayğılı S (2023). Foraminiferal micropaleontology of the Harami Formation (Elazığ, Eastern Turkey), and reassessment of its age based on larger benthic foraminifera. Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences 32 (4): 487-509. https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0985.1857

Kazanci N, Varol B (1990). Development of a mass flow-dominated fan-delta complex and associated carbonate reefs within a transgressive Paleocene succession, central Anatolia, Turkey. Sediment. Geology 68 (4): 261-278. https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738(90)90014-K

Koç Taşgın C (2017). Soft-sediment deformation related to syntectonic intraformational unconformity in the early Palaeocene alluvial-fan deposits of Kuşçular Formation in the Elazığ sector of Tauride foreland, Eastern Turkey. Journal of African Earth Sciences 134: 665-677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2017.07.030

Lin YC, Chung SL, Bingöl AF, Beyarslan M, Lee HY et al (2015). Petrogenesis of late Cretaceous Elazig magmatic rocks from SE Turkey: new age and geochemical and Sr–Nd–Hf isotopic constraints. In: Goldschmidt Conference; Prague, Czech Republic. p. 1869.

López-Blanco M, Marzo M, Piña JM (2000). Transgressive-regressive sequence hierarchy of foreland, fan-delta clastic wedges (Montserrat and Sant Llorenç del Munt, Middle Eocene, Ebro Basin, NE Spain). Sediment Geology 138 (1-4): 41-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(00)00143-3

Lowe D (1982). Sediment gravity flows: II depositional models with special reference to the deposits of high-density turbidity currents. SEPM Journal of Sedimentary Research 52: 279-297. https://doi.org/10.1306/212F7F31-2B24-11D7-8648000102C1865D

Marzo M, Nijman W, Puigdefabregas C (1988). Architecture of the Castissent fluvial sheet sandstones, Eocene, South Pyrenees, Spain. Sedimentology 35: 719-738. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.1988.tb01247.x

Massari F, Colella A (1988). Evolution and types of fan-delta systems in some major tectonic settings. In: Nemec W, Steel RJ (editors). Fan Deltas: Sedimentology and Tectonic Settings. Glasgow, Scotland: Blackie and Son, pp. 103-122.

Miall AD (1977). Lithofacies types and vertical profile models in braided river deposits. Fluvial Sedimentology. Calgary, Canada: Geological Survey of Canada, pp. 597-604.

Miall AD (1978). Fluvial Sedimentology. Calgary, Canada: Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists Memoir 5, p. 859.

Miall AD (1985). Architectural-element analysis: a new method of facies analysis applied to fluvial deposits. Earth-Science Reviews 22 (4): 261-308. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-8252(85)90001-7

Middleton GV, Hampton MA (1976). Subaqueous sediment transport by sediment gravity flows. In: Stanley DJ, Swift DJP (editors). Marine Sediment Transport and Environmental Management. New York, NY, USA: Wiley, pp. 197-218.

Mulder T, Alexander J (2001). The physical character of subaqueous sedimentary density flows and their deposits. Sedimentology 48 (2): 269-299. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3091.2001.00360.x

Mutti E, Ricci Lucchi F (1972). Turbidites of the northern Apennines: introduction to facies analysis (English translation by T. H. Nilson, 1978). International Geological Review 20 (2): 125-166. https://doi.org/10.1080/00206817809471524

Nemec W, Steel RJ (1984). Alluvial coastal conglomerates: their significant features and some comments on gravelly mass-flow deposits. In: Koster EH, Steel RJ (editors). Sedimentology of Gravels and Conglomerates. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists 10: 1-32.

Nemec W, Steel RJ, Porębski SJ, Spinnangr A (1984). Domba Conglomerate, Devonian, Norway: process and lateral variability in a mass flow-dominated, lacustrine fan delta. In: Koster EH, Steel RJ (editors). Sedimentology of Gravels and Conglomerates. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists 10: 295-320. https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.2862.6562

Nemec W, Steel RJ (1988). What is a fan delta and how do we recognize it? In: W. Nemec W, Steel RJ (editors). Fan Deltas: Sedimentology and Tectonic Settings. Glasgow, Scotland: Blackie and Son, pp. 1-13.

Pavano F, Pazzaglia FJ, Rittenour TM, Catalano S, Corbett LB et al. (2024). Correction to Integrated uplift, subsidence, erosion and deposition in a tightly coupled source-to-sink system, Pagliara basin, northeastern Sicily, Italy. Basin Research 36 (1): 1-29. https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12845

Perinçek D (1979). The geology of Hazro-Korudağ-Çüngüş-Maden-Ergani-Hazar-Elazığ- Malatya region. Ankara, Türkiye: TPAO Publications, pp. 3-33.

Perinçek D (1980). Arabistan Kıtası Kuzeyindeki Tektonik Evrimin Kıta Üzerinde Çökelen İstifteki Etkileri. Ankara, Türkiye: Türkiye Beşinci Petrol Kongresi Bildiri Özetleri Kitabı, pp. 77-93 (in Turkish).

Postma G (1978). Fan delta. In: Sedimentology. Encyclopedia of Earth Science. Berlin, Germany: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-31079-7_82

Postma G (1984a). Sedimentology of a mass flow-dominated fan delta (Abrioja Formation, Pliocene, SE Spain) and its composite conglomerate beds. In: Koster EH, Steel RJ (editors). Sedimentology of Gravels and Conglomerates. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists 10: 237-258.

Postma G (1984b). Slumps and their deposits in fan delta front and slope. Geology 12 (1): 27-30. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1984)12<27:SATDIF>2.0.CO;2

Postma G (1990). Depositional architecture and facies of river and fan deltas: a synthesis. In: Colella A, Prior DB (editors). Coarse-Grained Deltas 10: 13-28. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444303858.ch2

Postma G (2003). Fan delta. In: Middleton GV (editor). Encyclopedia of Sediments and Sedimentary Rocks. Boston, MA, USA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 272-274. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-3609-5_82

Rees C, Palmer J, Palmer A (2017). Gilbert-style Pleistocene fan delta reveals tectonic development of North Island axial ranges, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics 61 (1): 64-78. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288306.2017.1406377

Robertson AHF (2006). Contrasting modes of ophiolite emplacement in the Eastern Mediterranean region. Geological Society, London, Memoirs 32: 235-261. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.2006.032.01.14

Robertson AHF, Ustaömer T, Parlak O, Ünlügenç UC, Taşlı K et al. (2006). The Berit transect of the Tauride thrust belt, S Turkey: Late Cretaceous–Early Cenozoic accretionary/collisional processes related to closure of the Southern Neotethys. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 27: 108-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2005.02.004

Robertson AHF (2007). Overview of tectonic settings related to the rifting and opening of Mesozoic ocean basins in the Eastern Tethys: Oman, Himalayas and Eastern Mediterranean regions. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 282 (1): 325-388. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP282.15

Rust BR (1984). Proximal braidplain deposits in the Middle Devonian Malbaie Formation of Eastern Gaspe, Quebec, Canada. Sedimentology 31 (5): 675-695. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.1984.tb01230.x

Sar A, Ertürk E, Rizeli ME (2019). Genesis of Late Cretaceous intra-oceanic arc intrusions in the Pertek area of Tunceli Province, eastern Turkey, and implications for the geodynamic evolution of the southern Neo-Tethys: results of zircon U–Pb geochronology and geochemical and Sr–Nd isotopic analyses. Lithos 350-351: 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.105263

Shultz AW (1984). Subaerial debris-flow deposition in the upper Paleozoic Cutter Formation, western Colorado. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 54: 579-772. https://doi.org/10.1306/212F84EF-2B24-11D7-8648000102C1865D

Stow DAV (1985). Deep-sea clastics: where are we and where are we going? In: Brenchley PJ, Williams BPJ (editors.). Sedimentology: Recent Developments and Applied Aspects. London, England: Bath, Special Publication of the Geological Society, pp. 67-93. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.sp.1985.018.01.05

Stow DAV, Braakenburg NE, Xenophontos C (1995). The Pissouri Basin fan-delta complex, southwestern Cyprus. Sediment Geology 98 (1-4): 245-262. https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738(95)00035-7

Sun J, Melo AT, Kim JD, Wei X (2020). Unveiling the 3D undercover structure of a Precambrian intrusive complex by integrating airborne magnetic and gravity gradient data into 3D quasi-geology model building. Interpretation 8 (4): 1-50. https://doi.org/10.1190/INT-2019-0273.1

Sungurlu O (1974). VI. Bölge kuzey sahalarının jeolojisi (in Turkish). In: 2nd Petroleum Congress Proceedings; Ankara, Türkiye. pp. 85-107.

Surlyk F (1984). Fan-delta to submarine fan conglomerates of the Volgian-Valanginian Wollaston Forland Group, east Greenland. In: Koster EH, Steel RJ (editors). Sedimentology of Gravels and Conglomerates. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists 10: 359-382.

Şengör AMC (1980). Fundamentals of the neotectonics of Turkey. Special Publication of the Geological Society of Turkey 2: 40.

Şengör AMC, Yilmaz Y (1981). Tethyan evolution of Turkey: a plate tectonic approach. Tectonophysics 75 (3-4): 181-241. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(81)90275-4

Turan M, Bingöl AF (1991). Tectono-stratigraphic characteristics of the region between Kovancılar–Baskil (east of Elazığ, E. Turkey). In: Proceedings of Ahmet Acar Symposium; Adana, Türkiye. pp. 193-204.

Turan M (2011). Alluvial fan growth in a seismically active intermontane foreland basin: Kuşçular formation, Eastern Turkey. Scientific Research and Essays 6: 4681-4699.

Türkmen İ, İnceöz M, Aksoy, E, Sarı M (2001) Elazığ yöresinin Eosen stratigrafisi ve paleocoğrafyası ile ilgili yeni bulgular. Yerbilimleri 24: 81-95 (in Turkish).

Wu W, Li Q, Pei J, Ning S, Tong L et al. (2020). Seismic sedimentology, facies analyses, and high-quality reservoir predictions in fan deltas: a case study of the Triassic Baikouquan Formation on the western slope of the Mahu Sag in China's Junggar Basin. Marine and Petroleum Geology 120: 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2020.104546

Xiong L, Wang P, Cui Y, Liu B, Fayers SR et al. (2023). Quantitatively unlocking lithofacies utilizing high resolution LWD image, core and petrophysical data for a tectonic-controlled fan delta formation, Bohai Bay Basin, Offshore North China. In: Offshore Technology Conference; Houston, TX, USA. https://doi.org/10.4043/32617-MS

Yazgan E (1981). A study of active continental paleomargin in the eastern Taurides (Upper Cretaceous–Middle Eocene) Malatya–Elazıg (Eastern Turkey). Hacettepe Üniversitesi Yer Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi 7: 83-104.

Yazgan E (1983). A Geotraverse between the Arabian platform and the Munzur Nappes. In: Guide book for excursion V. International Symposium on Geology of the Taurus Belt; Ankara, Türkiye. pp. 26-29.

Yazgan E (1984). Geodynamics Evolution of the Eastern Taurus Region: In: Tekeli O, Göncüoğlu MC (editors). Geology of the Taurus Belt. International Symposium Proceedings. Ankara, Türkiye: MTA, pp.199-208.