

1-1-2017

## A note on the generalized Matsumoto relation

ELİF DALYAN

ELİF MEDETOĞULLARI

MEHMETCİK PAMUK

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

DALYAN, ELİF; MEDETOĞULLARI, ELİF; and PAMUK, MEHMETCİK (2017) "A note on the generalized Matsumoto relation," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 41: No. 3, Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1512-87>

Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol41/iss3/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

## A note on the generalized Matsumoto relation

Elif DALYAN<sup>1</sup>, Elif MEDETOĞULLARI<sup>2</sup>, Mehmetcik PAMUK<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hitit University, Çorum, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Atılım University, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 23.12.2015

Accepted/Published Online: 13.06.2016

Final Version: 22.05.2017

**Abstract:** We give an elementary proof of a relation, first discovered in its full generality by Korkmaz, in the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface. Our proof uses only the well-known relations between Dehn twists.

**Key words:** Mapping class groups, braid relation, chain relation

### 1. Introduction

Our aim here is to give an alternative proof of Theorem 3.4 of [3], given below. This theorem is a generalization of the Matsumoto relation in the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface of genus 2 obtained in [4], to the higher genus case. We will refer to this relation as the generalized Matsumoto relation. It gives a relation involving  $2g + 4$  (respectively  $2g + 10$ ) Dehn twists when the genus of the surface is even (respectively odd).

Throughout the paper we denote the isotopy class of the right-handed Dehn twist about a simple closed curve  $c$  by the same letter  $c$ . We use functional notation, that is, for any two mapping classes  $f$  and  $g$ , the multiplication  $fg$  means that  $g$  is applied first. Let  $\Sigma_g$  denote a closed connected orientable surface of genus  $g$ .

**Theorem(Korkmaz).** *In the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$ , the following relations between right Dehn twists hold (see Figures 1 and 2):*

$$(i) (B_0B_1B_2 \cdots B_g\sigma)^2 = 1 \text{ if } g \text{ is even,}$$

$$(ii) (B_0B_1B_2 \cdots B_ga^2b^2)^2 = 1 \text{ if } g \text{ is odd.}$$

The above theorem is used to show that there are infinitely many pairwise nonhomeomorphic 4-manifolds that admit genus- $g$  Lefschetz fibrations over  $S^2$  but do not carry any complex structure with either orientation (see [3, 5]).

Recall that the hyperelliptic mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$  is a quotient of the braid group  $B_{2g+2}$  on  $2g + 2$  strings. The quotient of the hyperelliptic mapping class group with the cyclic subgroup of order 2 generated by the hyperelliptic involution is isomorphic to the mapping class group of a sphere with  $2g + 2$  punctures. The hyperelliptic mapping class group is equal to the mapping class group when  $g = 2$ . Using these facts, to

\*Correspondence: mpamuk@metu.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20F38.




Figure 1. The curves  $B_i$ , when  $g$  is even.




Figure 2. The curves  $B_i$ , when  $g$  is odd.

obtain the above-mentioned relations in the mapping class group, Korkmaz lifts Matsumoto’s relation to the braid group  $B_6$  and generalizes it to a relation in the braid group  $B_{2g+2}$ . He then projects it to the surface  $\Sigma_g$  to get these relations in the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$ .

In our main theorem, we obtain different set of curves. We then find a self-homeomorphism  $R$  of  $\Sigma_g$ , which takes  $B_i$ ’s to  $A_{g-i}$ ’s, i.e.  $R(B_i) = A_{g-i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq g$ . Here is our main theorem:

**Main Theorem.** *In the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$ , the following relations hold:*

- (i)  $(A_g A_{g-1} \cdots A_0 \sigma)^2 = 1$  if  $g$  is even,
- (ii)  $(A_g A_{g-1} \cdots A_0 a^2 b^2)^2 = 1$  if  $g$  is odd.

In Figures 3 and 4, the curves  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_g$  are given for  $g = 6$  and  $g = 7$ , respectively.




Figure 3. Curves  $A_i$  when  $g$  is even.




Figure 4. Curves  $A_i$  when  $g$  is odd.

In the proof, we only use the following well-known relations among Dehn twists. For completeness of the text we recall them here.

**Commutativity Relation:** If the geometric intersection number of the curves  $a$  and  $b$  is zero, then the Dehn twists about these curves commute, i.e.  $ab = ba$ .

**Braid Relation:** If the geometric intersection number of the curves  $a$  and  $b$  is 1, then we have  $aba = bab$ .

**Chain Relation:** If  $a$  and  $b$  ( $\sigma$ ) are the boundary curves of a regular neighborhood of the chain of simple closed curves  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  for  $k$  odd (for  $k$  even), then (see Figures 5 and 6)

- (i) when  $k$  is odd  $(c_k c_{k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1)^{k+1} = ab$ ,
- (ii) when  $k$  is even  $(c_k c_{k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1)^{2k+2} = \sigma$ .

To make the text easier to follow, we underline the curves before and after we apply the above relations. We refer the reader to [1] for more details on the basic concepts of mapping class groups.




Figure 5. Chain relation for  $k$  odd.




Figure 6. Chain relation for  $k$  even.

2. Proofs

In the following proof we generalize the techniques used in the proof of [2, Lemma 2.3] to arbitrary genera. Throughout this section let  $c_i$  denote the right-handed Dehn twist about the simple closed curve in Figure 7 for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2g + 1$ .




Figure 7. Genus  $g$  surface,  $\Sigma_g$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The product  $(c_{2g+1}c_{2g}c_{2g-1} \cdots c_2c_1)^n$  can be expressed as*

$$\left( \prod_{i=-n+2}^1 c_{2g+i} \prod_{i=-n+2}^1 c_{2g+i-1} \cdots \prod_{i=-n+2}^1 c_{g+i+1} \prod_{i=-n+2}^1 c_{g+i} \right) (c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2 c_1)^n,$$

for  $1 \leq n \leq g + 1$ .

**Proof** We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , the statement is clear, that is we have

$$(c_{2g+1}c_{2g}c_{2g-1} \cdots c_2c_1) = (c_{2g+1}c_{2g} \cdots c_{g+1})(c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1).$$

For  $n = 2$ , note first that the set of curves  $\{c_{g-1}, c_{g-2}, \dots, c_2, c_1\}$  are disjoint from the set of curves  $\{c_{2g+1}, c_{2g}, \dots, c_{g+2}, c_{g+1}\}$ ; hence Dehn twists about these curves commute. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (c_{2g+1}c_{2g}c_{2g-1} \cdots c_2c_1)^2 \\ &= (c_{2g+1}c_{2g} \cdots c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1)(c_{2g+1}c_{2g} \cdots c_{g+1}c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1) \\ &= (c_{2g+1}c_{2g}c_{2g-1} \cdots c_{g+1}c_g)(c_{2g+1}c_{2g} \cdots c_{g+1}c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1 c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the commutativity relation again to  $c_g, c_{g+1}, \dots, c_{2g-1}$  with this order,

$$\begin{aligned} & (c_{2g+1}c_{2g}c_{2g-1} \cdots c_{g+1} c_g)(c_{2g+1}c_{2g} \cdots c_{g+1}c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1 c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1) \\ &= (c_{2g+1}c_{2g})(c_{2g+1}c_{2g-1}c_{2g} \cdots c_{g+1}c_{g+2}c_g c_{g+1}c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1 c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1). \end{aligned}$$

We can regroup these terms in the following way:

$$(c_{2g+1}c_{2g}c_{2g+1}c_{2g-1}c_{2g} \cdots c_{g+1}c_{g+2}c_g c_{g+1}c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1)(c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2c_1).$$



Since we have  $(P_{2g+1}^1)^{k+1} = P_{2g+1}^1 (P_{2g+1}^1)^k$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{2g+1}^1)^{k+1} &= (P_{2g+1}^1) \left( Q_{2g-k+2}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) (P_g^1)^k \\ &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+2} P_{2g-k+1}^{g-k+1} P_{g-k}^1 \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+2}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) (P_g^1)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since the curves in the product  $P_{g-k}^1 = c_{g-k} \cdots c_2 c_1$  are disjoint from all the curves  $c_{g-k+2}, c_{g-k+3}, \dots, c_{2g}, c_{2g+1}$  in the product  $Q_{2g-k+2}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1}$ , by commutativity of the Dehn twists about these curves,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+2} P_{2g-k+1}^{g-k+1} P_{g-k}^1 \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+2}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) (P_g^1)^k \\ &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+2} P_{2g-k+1}^{g-k+1} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+2}^{2g+1} Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g} \cdots Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly again by commutativity, we can write the Dehn twist about the product of the curves  $P_{2g-k+1}^{g-k+1} = c_{2g-k+1} \cdots c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+1}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+2} P_{2g-k+1}^{g-k+1} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+2}^{2g+1} Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g} \cdots Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k \\ &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+2} \right) \left( \underline{c_{2g-k+1} Q_{2g-k+2}^{2g+1} c_{2g-k} Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g}} \cdots c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} \underline{c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1}} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the braid relation,  $c_{2g-k+2} c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k+2} = c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k+2} c_{2g-k+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \underline{c_{2g-k+2}} \left( \underline{c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k+2} Q_{2g-k+3}^{2g+1}} \cdots c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k \\ &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( \underline{c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k+2} c_{2g-k+1} Q_{2g-k+3}^{2g+1}} \cdots c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since the curve  $c_{2g-k+1}$  is disjoint from all the curves in  $Q_{2g-k+3}^{2g+1}$ , by commutativity we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k+2} \underline{c_{2g-k+1} Q_{2g-k+3}^{2g+1}} \cdots c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k \\ &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k+2} Q_{2g-k+3}^{2g+1} \underline{c_{2g-k+1}} \cdots c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k, \end{aligned}$$

which can also be written as

$$\left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k} Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g} \cdots c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k.$$

Applying braid relations

$$\begin{aligned} c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k} c_{2g-k+1} &= c_{2g-k} c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k} \\ \vdots & \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ c_{g-k+3} c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+3} &= c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+3} c_{g-k+2} \end{aligned}$$

and commutativity succesively, and by using our increasing product notation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} \underline{c_{2g-k+1} c_{2g-k} Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g}} \cdots c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k \\ &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} c_{2g-k} Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g} \cdots c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+2} \underline{c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1}} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k, \end{aligned}$$

which can also be written as

$$\left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} Q_{2g-k}^{2g} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} \underline{c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+1} Q_{g-k+2}^{g+1}} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k.$$

Let us write the final braid and commutativity relation explicitly. Applying the braid relation  $c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+1} c_{g-k+2} = c_{g-k+1} c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} \underline{c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+1} c_{g-k+2}} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k \\ &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} \underline{c_{g-k+1} c_{g-k+2} c_{g-k+1}} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k, \end{aligned}$$

and now applying the commutativity relation:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} c_{g-k+2} \underline{c_{g-k+1}} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k \\ &= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+1} \underline{c_{g-k+1}} \right) P_{g-k}^1 (P_g^1)^k. \end{aligned}$$

After this procedure the Dehn twist  $c_{2g-k+2}$  becomes  $c_{g-k+1}$  and hence we obtain  $P_{g-k+1}^1$  on the immediate left-hand side of  $(P_g^1)^k$ .

$$= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} c_{g-k+1} c_{g-k+2} Q_{g-k+3}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k+1}^1 (P_g^1)^k.$$

Therefore, by using our increasing index product notation, we can write the above expression as

$$= \left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right) \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+1}^{g+1} \right) P_{g-k+1}^1 (P_g^1)^k.$$

Applying the same procedure to the Dehn twists  $c_{2g-k+3}, \dots, c_{2g}, c_{2g+1}$  in  $\left( P_{2g+1}^{2g-k+3} \right)$ , they become  $c_{g-k+2}, \dots, c_{g-1}, c_g$  respectively and as a result we obtain  $P_g^1$ .

$$= \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+1}^{g+1} \right) c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_{g-k+2} P_{g-k+1}^1 (P_g^1)^k.$$

Therefore we have the desired result

$$\left( P_{2g+1}^1 \right)^{k+1} = \left( Q_{2g-k+1}^{2g+1} Q_{2g-k}^{2g} \cdots Q_{g-k+2}^{g+2} Q_{g-k+1}^{g+1} \right) (P_g^1)^{k+1}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *In the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$ , we have*

$$(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_g)^{g+1} = (c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_2 c_1)^{g+1}$$

**Proof** When  $g$  is odd, by the chain relation (see Figure 5) we have

$$(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_g)^{g+1} = ab = (c_g c_{g-1} \cdots c_1)^{g+1}.$$

Thus we are done when  $g$  is odd.

When  $g$  is even, i.e.  $g = 2k$ , by using the commutativity and braid relations

$$\begin{aligned} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k})^{2k+1} &= \overbrace{(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k})}^{2k\text{-many}} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}) \cdots (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}) (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}) \\ &= (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1}) \overbrace{(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k})}^{(2k-1)\text{-many}} \cdots (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}) \underline{c_1} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}) \\ &= (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1}) (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1}) \cdots (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}) \underline{c_2 c_1} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}) \\ &\vdots \\ &= \overbrace{(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1})}^{2k\text{-many}} \cdots (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1}) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1 (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}) \\ &= (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1})^{2k} c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1} c_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

By the previous case, the above product is equal to

$$= (c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1)^{2k} c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1} c_{2k}.$$

Rephrasing, we have

$$= \overbrace{(P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^1)}^{2k\text{-many}} c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1 Q_1^{2k}$$

By commutativity we can move  $c_1$  in  $P_{2k-1}^1$  and write the right-hand side as in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^2 \underline{c_1}) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1 Q_1^{2k} \\ &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^2) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots \underline{c_1} c_2 c_1 Q_1^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying braid relation  $c_1 c_2 c_1 = c_2 c_1 c_2$  we can write the right-hand side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^2) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots \underline{c_1 c_2 c_1} Q_1^{2k} \\ &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^2) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots \underline{c_2 c_1 c_2} Q_1^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can move the curve  $c_2$  in the product  $P_{2k-1}^2$  as in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^3 \underline{c_2}) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1 c_2 Q_1^{2k} \\ &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^3) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots \underline{c_2 c_3 c_2 c_1 c_2} Q_1^{2k}, \end{aligned}$$



and after braid relation  $c_2c_3c_2 = c_3c_2c_3$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^3) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots \underline{c_2 c_3 c_2} c_1 c_2 Q_1^{2k} \\ &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^3) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots \underline{c_3 c_2 c_3} c_1 c_2 Q_1^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Dehn twists  $c_3$  and  $c_1$  commute, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^3) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_3 c_2 c_3 c_1 c_2 Q_1^{2k}. \\ &= (P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^3) c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_3 c_2 c_1 c_3 c_2 Q_1^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, applying commutativity and braid relations to the Dehn twists in the product  $P_{2k-1}^3$  repeatedly we get

$$= \overbrace{(P_{2k-1}^1 P_{2k-1}^1 \cdots P_{2k-1}^1)}^{(2k-1)\text{-many}} P_{2k}^1 P_{2k}^2 Q_1^{2k}.$$

Similarly when we apply the same operations to the  $2k - 1$  Dehn twists in the remaining  $2k - 1$  products  $P_{2k-1}^1$ , we obtain

$$= P_{2k}^1 (P_{2k}^2)^{2k} Q_1^{2k}.$$

Now, applying commutativity and braid relations,

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1)^{2k} &= \overbrace{(c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1) (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1) \cdots (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1) (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1)}^{2k\text{-many}} \\ &= (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2) \overbrace{(c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1) \cdots (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1) (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1) c_{2k}}^{(2k-1)\text{-many}} \\ &= (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2) (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2) \cdots (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1) (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_1) \underline{c_{2k-1}} c_{2k} \\ &\vdots \\ &= \overbrace{(c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2) \cdots (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2) (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2)}^{2k\text{-many}} \underline{c_1} c_2 \cdots c_{2k} \\ &= (c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2)^{2k} c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get  $(P_{2k}^2)^{2k} Q_1^{2k} = (P_{2k}^1)^{2k}$ .

Let us summarize quickly what we have done:

$$\begin{aligned} &(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k})^{2k+1} \\ &= (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1})^{2k} c_{2k} c_{2k-1} \cdots c_2 c_1 c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2k-1} c_{2k} \\ &= P_{2k}^1 (P_{2k}^2)^{2k} Q_1^{2k} \\ &= P_{2k}^1 (P_{2k}^1)^{2k} \\ &= (P_{2k}^1)^{2k+1} = (c_{2k} \cdots c_2 c_1)^{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *In the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$ , we have the following relations (see Figure 8\*)*

- (i)  $(c_{2g+1}c_{2g} \cdots c_2c_1)^{g+1} = \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}P_{2g}^{\overline{g+1}}Q_g^{2g}P_{2g-1}^{\overline{g}} \cdots Q_1^{g+1}P_{g-1}^{\overline{1}}\right) a^2b^2$ , if  $g$  is odd.
- (ii)  $(c_{2g+1}c_{2g} \cdots c_2c_1)^{g+1} = \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}P_{2g}^{\overline{g+1}}Q_g^{2g}P_{2g-1}^{\overline{g}} \cdots Q_1^{g+1}P_{g-1}^{\overline{1}}\right) \sigma$ , if  $g$  is even.




Figure 8.

**Proof** By Lemma 2.1, for  $n = g + 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (c_{2g+1}c_{2g} \cdots c_2c_1)^{g+1} \\ &= (c_{g+1} \cdots c_{2g}c_{2g+1})(c_g \cdots c_{2g-1}c_{2g}) \cdots (c_1 \cdots c_gc_{g+1})(c_g \cdots c_2c_1)^{g+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This can be written also as

$$(P_{2g+1}^1)^{g+1} = \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2}Q_1^{g+1}\right) (P_g^1)^{g+1}.$$

Let us denote  $(P_g^1)^{g+1}$  by  $I$ , and multiply the right-hand side of the relation by  $I$  and  $\bar{I}$  as in the following:

$$(P_{2g+1}^1)^{g+1} = \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2}Q_1^{g+1}\right) \bar{I}I (P_g^1)^{g+1}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\bar{I} = (\bar{c}_g\bar{c}_{g-1} \cdots \bar{c}_1)^{g+1} = \left(P_{\overline{g}}^{\overline{1}}\right)^{g+1}$ , and so we can write the right-hand side of the above equation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2}Q_1^{g+1}\right) \left(P_{\overline{g}}^{\overline{1}}\right)^{g+1} (P_g^1)^{g+1} (P_g^1)^{g+1} \\ &= \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2}Q_1^{g+1}\right) \left(P_{\overline{g}}^{\overline{1}}\right)^{g+1} (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \end{aligned}$$

Let us rewrite the terms in the product  $Q_1^{g+1}$  as in the following:

$$= \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2}Q_1^{g-1}c_gc_{g+1}\right) \left(\bar{c}_gP_{\overline{g-1}}^{\overline{1}}(P_{\overline{g}}^{\overline{1}})^g\right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2}$$

By applying braid relation  $c_gc_{g+1}\bar{c}_g = \bar{c}_{g+1}c_gc_{g+1}$  we can write the right-hand side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2}Q_1^{g-1}\right) \underline{c_gc_{g+1}\bar{c}_g} \left(P_{\overline{g-1}}^{\overline{1}}(P_{\overline{g}}^{\overline{1}})^g\right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \\ &= \left(Q_{g+1}^{2g+1}Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2}Q_1^{g-1}\right) \underline{\bar{c}_{g+1}c_gc_{g+1}} \left(P_{\overline{g-1}}^{\overline{1}}(P_{\overline{g}}^{\overline{1}})^g\right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \end{aligned}$$

\*We thank the referee for providing us with this figure.

By commutativity of Dehn twist, we can move the curve  $\bar{c}_{g+1}$  to the left as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} Q_1^{g-1} \right) \bar{c}_{g+1} c_g c_{g+1} \left( P_{g-1}^{\bar{1}} (P_g^{\bar{1}})^g \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \\ &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} \bar{c}_{g+1} Q_1^{g-1} \right) c_g c_{g+1} \left( P_{g-1}^{\bar{1}} (P_g^{\bar{1}})^g \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2}, \end{aligned}$$

which can also be written as

$$\left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} \bar{c}_{g+1} Q_1^{g+1} \right) \left( P_{g-1}^{\bar{1}} (P_g^{\bar{1}})^g \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2}.$$

We can write some of the terms in the product  $Q_2^{g+2}$  explicitly as in the following:

$$= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^g c_{g+1} c_{g+2} \bar{c}_{g+1} Q_1^{g+1} \right) \left( P_{g-1}^{\bar{1}} (P_g^{\bar{1}})^g \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2}$$

Now again we can apply braid relation  $c_{g+1} c_{g+2} \bar{c}_{g+1} = \bar{c}_{g+2} c_{g+1} c_{g+2}$  and obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^g \bar{c}_{g+1} c_{g+2} \bar{c}_{g+1} Q_1^{g+1} \right) \left( P_{g-1}^{\bar{1}} (P_g^{\bar{1}})^g \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \\ &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^g \bar{c}_{g+2} c_{g+1} c_{g+2} Q_1^{g+1} \right) \left( P_{g-1}^{\bar{1}} (P_g^{\bar{1}})^g \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \end{aligned}$$

By applying commutativity and braid relation  $g - 1$  more times we get

$$= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} \bar{c}_{2g} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} Q_1^{g+1} \right) \left( P_{g-1}^{\bar{1}} (P_g^{\bar{1}})^g \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2}$$

We have started with  $\bar{c}_g$ , applied braid relation and commutativity repeatedly, and obtained  $\bar{c}_{2g}$ . Similarly we apply the same operations to the Dehn twists  $\bar{c}_{g-1}, \bar{c}_{g-2}, \dots, \bar{c}_2, \bar{c}_1$  in  $P_{g-1}^{\bar{1}}$  and obtain  $\bar{c}_{2g-1}, \bar{c}_{2g-2}, \dots, \bar{c}_{g+2}, \bar{c}_{g+1}$ .

Then we can write the above equation as in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} \bar{c}_{2g} \bar{c}_{2g-1} \cdots \bar{c}_{g+1} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} Q_1^{g+1} \right) \left( P_g^{\bar{1}} \right)^g (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \\ &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\bar{g+1}} Q_g^{2g} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} Q_1^{g+1} \right) \left( P_g^{\bar{1}} \right)^g (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \end{aligned}$$

We can apply braid relation and commutativity in the same way to the Dehn twists in  $\left( P_g^{\bar{1}} \right)^g$  and obtain the following:

$$\left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\bar{g+1}} Q_g^{2g} P_{2g-1}^{\bar{g}} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} P_{g+1}^{\bar{2}} Q_1^{g+1} P_g^{\bar{1}} \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2}.$$

Using  $k$ -chain relation for  $k = g$ , we get the following:

When  $g$  is odd

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( P_{2g+1}^1 \right)^{g+1} \\ &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\bar{g+1}} Q_g^{2g} P_{2g-1}^{\bar{g}} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} P_{g+1}^{\bar{2}} Q_1^{g+1} P_g^{\bar{1}} \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \\ &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\bar{g+1}} Q_g^{2g} P_{2g-1}^{\bar{g}} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} P_{g+1}^{\bar{2}} Q_1^{g+1} P_g^{\bar{1}} \right) a^2 b^2 \end{aligned}$$

When  $g$  is even

$$\begin{aligned} & (P_{2g+1}^1)^{g+1} \\ &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\overline{g+1}} Q_g^{2g} P_{2g-1}^{\overline{g}} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} P_{g+1}^{\overline{2}} Q_1^{g+1} P_g^{\overline{1}} \right) (P_g^1)^{2g+2} \\ &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\overline{g+1}} Q_g^{2g} P_{2g}^{\overline{g-1}} \cdots Q_2^{g+2} P_{g+1}^{\overline{2}} Q_1^{g+1} P_g^{\overline{1}} \right) \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are done.

Let us denote the product of Dehn twists of the previous lemma as in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^{g+1} P_g^{\overline{1}} &= (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{g+1} \bar{c}_g \bar{c}_{g-1} \cdots \bar{c}_1) := \mathbf{A}_0, \\ Q_2^{g+2} P_{g+1}^{\overline{2}} &= (c_2 c_3 \cdots c_{g+2} \bar{c}_{g+1} \bar{c}_g \cdots \bar{c}_2) := \mathbf{A}_1, \\ &\vdots \\ Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\overline{g+1}} &= (c_{g+1} c_{g+2} \cdots c_{2g+1} \bar{c}_{2g} \bar{c}_{2g-1} \cdots \bar{c}_{g+1}) := \mathbf{A}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the mapping class group element  $\mathbf{A}_i$  is the Dehn twist around the image of the curve  $c_{i+g+1}$  under the product  $c_{i+1} c_{i+2} \cdots c_{i+g}$  (see Fact 3.7 in [1]), which is the curve  $A_i$  in Figures 3 and 4.

**Main Theorem.** *In the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$ , the following relations hold (see Figures 3 and 4):*

- (i)  $(A_g A_{g-1} \cdots A_0 \sigma)^2 = 1$  if  $g$  is even,
- (ii)  $(A_g A_{g-1} \cdots A_0 a^2 b^2)^2 = 1$  if  $g$  is odd.

**Proof** By Lemma 2.3 for  $g$  is even we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{2g+1} c_{2g} \cdots c_2 c_1)^{g+1} &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\overline{g+1}} Q_g^{2g} P_{2g-1}^{\overline{g}} \cdots Q_1^{g+1} P_{g-1}^{\overline{1}} \right) \sigma \\ &= (A_g A_{g-1} \cdots A_0) \sigma \end{aligned}$$

and for  $g$  is odd we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{2g+1} c_{2g} \cdots c_2 c_1)^{g+1} &= \left( Q_{g+1}^{2g+1} P_{2g}^{\overline{g+1}} Q_g^{2g} P_{2g-1}^{\overline{g}} \cdots Q_1^{g+1} P_{g-1}^{\overline{1}} \right) a^2 b^2 \\ &= (A_g A_{g-1} \cdots A_0) a^2 b^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now, to finish the proof it is enough to take the squares of both sides of the above relations and use the chain relation.

To see that the above proof is actually an alternative proof of Theorem 3.4 of [3], first recall that in Figures 1 and 2, the curves in the Matsumoto relation were given. Then one can observe that the curves  $B_i$  in the Matsumoto relation and the curves  $A_{g-i}$  are related in the following way. Let

$$R = (\bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \cdots \bar{c}_g)(\bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \cdots \bar{c}_{g-1})(\bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \cdots \bar{c}_{g-2}) \cdots (\bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2) \bar{c}_1.$$

$$R = \bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \bar{c}_3 \bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \bar{c}_1$$




Figure 9.  $R(B_0) = A_3$  in genus 3 surface.

$$R = \bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \bar{c}_3 \bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \bar{c}_1$$




Figure 10.  $R(B_1) = A_2$  in genus 3 surface




Figure 11.  $R(B_2) = A_1$  in genus 3 surface.

$$R = \bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \bar{c}_3 \bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_2 \bar{c}_1$$




Figure 12.  $R(B_3) = A_0$  in genus 3 surface.

Then one can show that  $R(B_i) = A_{g-i}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq g$ , see Figures 9, 10, 11, and 12 for  $R(B_0) = A_3$ ,  $R(B_1) = A_2$ ,  $R(B_2) = A_1$  and  $R(B_3) = A_0$  when  $g = 3$ .

By the above observation we can say that the Dehn twists about the curves  $A_{g-i}$  are conjugate with the Dehn twists about the curves  $B_i$ , i.e.  $A_{g-i} = RB_i\bar{R}$ , where  $A_{g-i}$  and  $B_i$  represent Dehn twists about the corresponding curves. Note also that  $R(\sigma) = \sigma$ , and  $R(a) = a$ ,  $R(b) = b$ . Now it is easy to see that the relations of our Main Theorem derives the relations given in Theorem 3.4 of [3].

$$(A_g A_{g-1} \dots A_0 \sigma)^2 = (RB_0 B_1 \dots B_g \sigma \bar{R})^2 = R(B_0 B_1 \dots B_g \sigma)^2 \bar{R} = 1 \quad \text{for } g \text{ is even,}$$

$$(A_g A_{g-1} \dots A_0 a^2 b^2)^2 = (RB_0 B_1 \dots B_g a^2 b^2 \bar{R})^2 = R(B_0 B_1 \dots B_g a^2 b^2)^2 \bar{R} = 1 \quad \text{for } g \text{ is odd.}$$

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Mustafa Korkmaz for many instructive discussions. The authors also wish to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions, which led to clarification of the text.

### References

- [1] Farb B, Margalit D. A Primer on Mapping Class Groups. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, Volume 49 of Princeton Mathematical Series, 2012.
- [2] Hirose S. Examples of non-minimal Lefschetz Fibrations of Genus 2. Preprint.
- [3] Korkmaz M. Noncomplex smooth 4-manifolds with Lefschetz fibrations. Internat Math Res Notices 2001; 3: 115-128.
- [4] Matsumoto Y. Lefschetz fibrations of genus two: a topological approach in Topology and Teichmüller Spaces. (Katinkulta, Finland, 1995), World Sci., River Edge, NJ, USA, 1996, 123-148.
- [5] Ozbagci B, Stipsicz AI. Noncomplex smooth 4-manifolds with genus-2 Lefschetz fibrations. Proc Amer Math Soc 2000; 128: 3125-3128.