

1-1-2017

On certain semigroups of full contraction maps of a finite chain

GOJE UBA GARBA

MUHAMMAD JAMILU IBRAHIM

ABDUSSAMAD TANKO IMAM

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

GARBA, GOJE UBA; IBRAHIM, MUHAMMAD JAMILU; and IMAM, ABDUSSAMAD TANKO (2017) "On certain semigroups of full contraction maps of a finite chain," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 41: No. 3, Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1602-52>

Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol41/iss3/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

On certain semigroups of full contraction maps of a finite chain

Goje Uba GARBA, Muhammad Jamilu IBRAHIM, Abdussamad Tanko IMAM*
Department of Mathematics, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

Received: 14.02.2016

Accepted/Published Online: 06.06.2016

Final Version: 22.05.2017

Abstract: Let $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with its natural order and let \mathcal{T}_n be the full transformation semigroup on X_n . A map $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is said to be order-preserving if, for all $x, y \in X_n$, $x \leq y \Rightarrow x\alpha \leq y\alpha$. The map $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is said to be a contraction if, for all $x, y \in X_n$, $|x\alpha - y\alpha| \leq |x - y|$. Let \mathcal{CT}_n and \mathcal{OCT}_n denote, respectively, subsemigroups of all contraction maps and all order-preserving contraction maps in \mathcal{T}_n . In this paper we present characterisations of Green's relations on \mathcal{CT}_n and starred Green's relations on both \mathcal{CT}_n and \mathcal{OCT}_n .

Key words: Full transformation, order-preserving, contraction, Green's relations, starred Green's relations

1. Introduction

The full transformation semigroup on $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, under its natural order, is denoted by \mathcal{T}_n . The importance of the study of \mathcal{T}_n , as a naturally occurring semigroup, is justified by its universal property in which every finite semigroup is embeddable in some \mathcal{T}_n . This is analogous to Cayley's theorem for symmetric group \mathcal{S}_n , of all permutations of X_n , in group theory. Thus, just as the study of alternating and dihedral groups has made a significant contribution to group theory, there is some interest in identifying and studying certain special subsemigroups of \mathcal{T}_n . The subsemigroups $\mathcal{O}_n = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n : x \leq y \Rightarrow x\alpha \leq y\alpha, \text{ for all } x, y \in X_n\}$, of order-preserving elements and $\mathcal{S}_n^- = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n : x\alpha \leq x, \text{ for all } x \in X_n\}$, of order-decreasing elements of \mathcal{T}_n have been studied. In [14], Howie showed that every element of \mathcal{O}_n is expressible as a product of idempotents and also obtained formulae for the number of elements and the number of idempotents in \mathcal{O}_n . Umar in [22] showed that every element of \mathcal{S}_n^- is expressible as a product of idempotents. The rank and idempotent rank of \mathcal{O}_n were computed by Gomes and Howie [12] to be n and $2(n-1)$, respectively. Maximal subsemigroups, maximal idempotent-generated/regular subsemigroups, and locally maximal idempotent-generated subsemigroups of \mathcal{O}_n were described and classified in [24–26]. The results of [26] were simplified in [28]. Maximal regular subsemibands of the two-sided ideals of \mathcal{O}_n were completely described by Zhao [27]. In [8], a description of the endomorphisms of \mathcal{O}_n was presented. Other algebraic properties in the semigroup \mathcal{O}_n and some of its notable subsemigroups and oversemigroups may be found in [3–7,9].

On a semigroup S the relation \mathcal{L}^* is defined by the rule that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if and only if a, b are related by the Green's relation \mathcal{L} in some over semigroup of S . The relation \mathcal{R}^* is defined dually. These relations have played a fundamental role in the study of many important classes of semigroups; see for example the work by Fountain [10, 11]. Moreover, many papers have appeared describing the relations \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* in certain

*Correspondence: atimam@abu.edu.ng

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20.

subsemigroups of \mathcal{T}_n preserving order and an equivalence relation. Araujo and Konieczny [2] characterised \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* in the subsemigroup of \mathcal{T}_n , consisting of all transformations preserving an equivalence relation and a cross-section of the relation. Pei and Zhou [18] characterised \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* in the subsemigroup of \mathcal{T}_n , consisting of all transformations preserving an equivalence relation. Similar characterisations of \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* were presented in [16–21]. In this current article we consider an algebra study for the so-called subsemigroups of contraction mappings of \mathcal{T}_n . In particular, we present characterisations of both Green’s and starred Green’s relations for these semigroups.

A map α in \mathcal{T}_n is said to be a *contraction* if $|x\alpha - y\alpha| \leq |x - y|$, for all $x, y \in X_n$. The sets of all contraction maps and of all order-preserving contraction maps in \mathcal{T}_n are, respectively, denoted by \mathcal{CT}_n and \mathcal{OCT}_n , which are subsemigroups of \mathcal{T}_n . The term contraction map first appeared in [13] but algebraic and combinatorial studies of the semigroups \mathcal{CT}_n and \mathcal{OCT}_n were initiated by Dauda [1]. Orders and regularity for both \mathcal{CT}_n and \mathcal{OCT}_n were investigated in [1]. He also characterises Green’s relations on \mathcal{OCT}_n . Here we investigate Green’s relations on \mathcal{CT}_n and starred Green’s relations on both \mathcal{CT}_n and \mathcal{OCT}_n .

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{O}_n = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n \setminus \mathcal{S}_n : (\forall x, y \in X_n) x \leq y \Rightarrow x\alpha \leq y\alpha\}$, $\mathcal{CT}_n = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n \setminus \mathcal{S}_n : (\forall x, y \in X_n) |x\alpha - y\alpha| \leq |x - y|\}$, and $\mathcal{OCT}_n = \mathcal{CT}_n \cap \mathcal{O}_n$ be the subsemigroups of $\mathcal{T}_n \setminus \mathcal{S}_n$ consisting of all order-preserving maps, all contraction maps, and all order-preserving contraction maps, respectively.

Definition 2.1 *Let A be a subset of X_n and let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r\}$ be a partition of X_n . Then A is called convex if, for all $x, y \in X_n$, $(x, y \in A \text{ and } x \leq z \leq y) \Rightarrow z \in A$. A is called a transversal of $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r\}$ if $|A| = r$ and each A_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) contains exactly one point of A . The partition $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r\}$ is called a convex partition if it possesses a convex transversal.*

From the definition of contraction maps, it is easy to notice (which is also noticed in [1, Lemma 3.1.2]) that if $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is a contraction, then there exists $s \in X_n$ such that

$$\text{im}(\alpha) = \{s, s + 1, \dots, t - 1, t\},$$

in other words, $\text{im}(\alpha)$ is convex.

Each map $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$ can be written as

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_r \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_r \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where $\text{im}(\alpha) = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r\}$ and A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r are equivalence classes under the equivalence $\ker(\alpha) = \{(x, y) \in X_n \times X_n : x\alpha = y\alpha\}$. Thus, $x\alpha = a_i$ for all $x \in A_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). It is then easy to see, from the order-preserving property, that the $\ker(\alpha)$ -classes A_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are convex subsets of X_n . We start by characterising contraction maps in \mathcal{O}_n .

Lemma 2.1 *$\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is a contraction if and only if $\text{im}(\alpha)$ is convex.*

Proof Since \mathcal{O}_n is a subsemigroup of \mathcal{T}_n it is clear, from our observation just after Definition 2.1, that $\text{im}(\alpha)$ is convex whenever $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is a contraction.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{im}(\alpha) = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r\}$ is convex. Then $a_{i+1} = a_i + 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq r - 1$). Let $x, y \in X_n$ and suppose (without loss of generality) that $x < y$. Then either $x, y \in a_i\alpha^{-1}$ (for some i) or $x \in a_i\alpha^{-1}$ and $y \in a_j\alpha^{-1}$ (for some $i < j$). In the former, we have $|x\alpha - y\alpha| = |a_i - a_i| = 0 < |x - y|$. In the latter, assume that $j = i + k$, where k is any positive integer, so that $|x\alpha - y\alpha| = |a_{i+k} - a_i| = |a_i + k - a_i| = k \leq |x - y|$ since $\ker(\alpha)$ -classes $a_i\alpha^{-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are convex. Thus, $|x\alpha - y\alpha| \leq |x - y|$ for all $j \geq i$ and so α is a contraction. \square

Next we characterise contraction maps in \mathcal{T}_n .

Theorem 2.2 *Let α be an element of \mathcal{T}_n of height r , where $r \leq n$. Then α is contraction if and only if*

- (i) $\text{im}(\alpha)$ is a convex subset of X_n , and
- (ii) for each $i \in \text{im}(\alpha)$ and each $x \in i\alpha^{-1}$, if $x - 1 \in k\alpha^{-1}$ and $x + 1 \in t\alpha^{-1}$, then $k, t \in \Phi_i$, where

$$\Phi_i = \begin{cases} \{i, i + 1\} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \{i - 1, i, i + 1\} & \text{if } 1 < i < r \\ \{i - 1, i\} & \text{if } i = r. \end{cases}$$

Proof Suppose that α in \mathcal{T}_n is a contraction. Then, by [1, Lemma 3.1.2], part (i) holds, that is, $\text{im}(\alpha)$ is convex. Now suppose that, for each $i \in \text{im}(\alpha)$ and each $x \in i\alpha^{-1}$, $x - 1 \in s\alpha^{-1}$ and $x + 1 \in t\alpha^{-1}$. We need to show that $s, t \in \Phi_i$. Suppose that either $s \notin \Phi_i$ or $t \notin \Phi_i$. Then

$$|x\alpha - (x - 1)\alpha| = |i - s| > 1 = |x - (x - 1)|$$

or

$$|(x + 1)\alpha - x\alpha| = |t - i| > 1 = |(x + 1) - x|,$$

so that, in both cases, α cannot be a contraction. This is a contradiction to the choice of α . Thus both s and t must be in Φ_i .

Conversely, suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$ satisfies the two conditions of the theorem and let $x, y \in X_n$. If both x and y belong to the same block of α , then

$$|x\alpha - y\alpha| = 0 \leq |x - y|.$$

On the other hand, if x and y belong to different blocks of α , say $x \in s\alpha^{-1}$ and $y \in t\alpha^{-1}$, where $s, t \in \text{im}(\alpha)$ and $s \neq t$, it is then not so hard to see that the two conditions of the theorem ensure that

$$|x\alpha - y\alpha| = |s - t| \leq |x - y|.$$

Thus, α is a contraction. \square

3. Green's relations

For the definition of Green's relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}$, and \mathcal{J} on a semigroup see [15]. As in [23], we shall throughout this and the next sections write $\mathcal{K}(S)$ to emphasise that \mathcal{K} is a relation on a semigroup S . In this section we characterise the relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}$, and \mathcal{J} on \mathcal{CT}_n .

Let $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ be the set of all the equivalence classes of the equivalence relation $\ker(\alpha)$ on X_n , that is $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = X_n/\ker(\alpha)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$. Then*

- (i) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$ if and only if $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta)$, and both $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Ker}(\beta)$ are convex partitions of X_n ;
- (ii) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$ if and only if $\text{ker}(\alpha) = \text{ker}(\beta)$;
- (iii) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$ if and only if $|\text{im}(\alpha)| = |\text{im}(\beta)|$, and both $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Ker}(\beta)$ are convex partitions of X_n .

Proof (i) Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$, then

$$\delta\beta = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma\alpha = \beta \quad \text{for some} \quad \delta, \gamma \in \mathcal{CT}_n^1.$$

This clearly implies that $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta)$. Therefore, $\text{im}(\gamma)$ and $\text{im}(\delta)$ must be transversal of $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Ker}(\beta)$, respectively. However, since $\delta, \gamma \in \mathcal{CT}_n^1$ it follows, by Theorem 2.2(i), that $\text{im}(\delta)$ and $\text{im}(\gamma)$ are convex subsets of X_n . Thus, $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Ker}(\beta)$ are convex partitions of X_n .

Conversely, suppose that $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r\}$ and $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$, $\text{Ker}(\beta)$ are convex partitions of X_n . Let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r\}$ be convex transversal of $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Ker}(\beta)$, respectively, arranged in a way that $a_i \in c_i\alpha^{-1}$ and $b_i \in c_i\beta^{-1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Define maps δ and γ by $\text{ker}(\delta) = \text{ker}(\alpha)$, $\text{ker}(\gamma) = \text{ker}(\beta)$, $(c_i\alpha^{-1})\delta = b_i$, and $(c_i\beta^{-1})\gamma = a_i$, for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $\delta, \gamma \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ and $\delta\beta = \alpha$, $\gamma\alpha = \beta$ so that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$.

(ii) Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$; then

$$\beta\delta = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha\gamma = \beta \quad \text{for some} \quad \delta, \gamma \in \mathcal{CT}_n^1.$$

From this it follows that $\text{ker}(\alpha) = \text{ker}(\beta)$.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ker}(\beta) = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_r\}$. Then, since $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, we may (without loss of generality) write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 & \cdots & C_r \\ i & i+1 & \cdots & i+r-1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 & \cdots & C_r \\ j & j+1 & \cdots & j+r-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $i, j \in X_n$. Then the maps

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 2, \dots, j\} & j+1 & \cdots & j+r-2 & \{j+r-1, j+r, \dots, n\} \\ & i & & i+1 & \cdots & i+r-2 & & i+r-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 2, \dots, i\} & i+1 & \cdots & i+r-2 & \{i+r-1, i+r, \dots, n\} \\ & j & & j+1 & \cdots & j+r-2 & & j+r-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are in \mathcal{CT}_n^1 and satisfy $\beta\delta = \alpha$, $\alpha\gamma = \beta$ so that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$.

(iii) Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$; then $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$ and $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$, for some $\gamma \in \mathcal{CT}_n$. Using Theorem 3.1, we have that $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\gamma)$, $\text{ker}(\gamma) = \text{ker}(\beta)$, and $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$, $\text{Ker}(\gamma)$ are convex partitions of X_n . This implies that $|\text{im}(\alpha)| = |\text{im}(\beta)|$ and $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$, $\text{Ker}(\beta)$ are convex partitions of X_n .

Conversely, suppose that $|\text{im}(\alpha)| = |\text{im}(\beta)|$, and both $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Ker}(\beta)$ are convex partitions of X_n . Then we can choose $\gamma \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ such that $\text{ker}(\gamma) = \text{ker}(\beta)$ and $\text{im}(\gamma) = \text{im}(\alpha)$. It is then clear that $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$ and $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$, so that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{CT}_n)$. □

4. Starred Green’s relations

Recall that on a semigroup S the relation \mathcal{L}^* is defined by the rule that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if and only if a, b are related by the Green’s relation \mathcal{L} in some oversemigroup of S . The relation \mathcal{R}^* is defined dually. These relations also have the following characterisations (see [10])

$$\mathcal{L}^*(S) = \{(a, b) : (\forall x, y \in S^1) ax = ay \Leftrightarrow bx = by\} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}^*(S) = \{(a, b) : (\forall x, y \in S^1) xa = ya \Leftrightarrow xb = yb\}. \tag{3}$$

The join of the relations \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{R}^* is denoted by \mathcal{D}^* and their intersection by \mathcal{H}^* .

Theorem 4.1 *Let $S \in \{\mathcal{CT}_n, \mathcal{OCT}_n\}$ and let $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Then*

- (i) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*(S)$ if and only if $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta)$,
- (ii) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*(S)$ if and only if $\text{ker}(\alpha) = \text{ker}(\beta)$,
- (iii) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H}^*(S)$ if and only if $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta)$ and $\text{ker}(\alpha) = \text{ker}(\beta)$,
- (iv) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*(S)$ if and only if $|\text{im}(\alpha)| = |\text{im}(\beta)|$.

Proof (i) Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*(S)$. Let $\text{im}(\alpha) = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$, where (by [1, Lemma 3.1.2], or Lemma 2.1) $a_{i+1} = a_i + 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$. Then

$$\alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \{1, \dots, a_1\} & a_2 & \cdots & a_{r-1} & \{a_r, \dots, n\} \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{r-1} & a_r \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \cdot 1_{X_n}$$

and, by Equation (2), if and only if

$$\beta \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \{1, \dots, a_1\} & a_2 & \cdots & a_{r-1} & \{a_r, \dots, n\} \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{r-1} & a_r \end{pmatrix} = \beta \cdot 1_{X_n}$$

which implies that $\text{im}(\beta) \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_r\} = \text{im}(\alpha)$. Similarly, we can show that $\text{im}(\alpha) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$, and so $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta)$.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and, since \mathcal{T}_n is an oversemigroup of S , it follows from definition that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^*(S)$.

(ii) Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*(S)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in \text{ker}(\alpha) &\iff x\alpha = y\alpha \\ &\iff \begin{pmatrix} X_n \\ x \end{pmatrix} \cdot \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} X_n \\ y \end{pmatrix} \cdot \alpha \\ &\iff \begin{pmatrix} X_n \\ x \end{pmatrix} \cdot \beta = \begin{pmatrix} X_n \\ y \end{pmatrix} \cdot \beta \quad (\text{by Equation (3)}) \\ &\iff x\beta = y\beta \\ &\iff (x, y) \in \text{ker}(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{ker}(\alpha) = \text{ker}(\beta)$.

Similarly, the converse part is clear.

(iii) This follows from parts (i) and (ii).

(iv) Suppose $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*(S)$. Then, by [15, Proposition 1.5.11], for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist elements $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{2n-1} \in S$ such that

$$(\alpha, \delta_1) \in \mathcal{L}^*(S), (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathcal{R}^*(S), (\delta_2, \delta_3) \in \mathcal{L}^*(S), \dots, (\delta_{2n-1}, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*(S).$$

Now, by parts (i) and (ii) of the theorem, we have $|\text{im}(\alpha)| = |\text{im}(\delta_1)| = |X_n/\ker(\delta_1)| = |X_n/\ker(\delta_2)| = |\text{im}(\delta_2)| = |\text{im}(\delta_3)| = \dots = |X_n/\ker(\delta_{2n-1})| = |X_n/\ker(\beta)| = |\text{im}(\beta)|$.

Conversely, suppose that $|\text{im}(\alpha)| = |\text{im}(\beta)|$ and let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_r \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_r \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & \cdots & B_r \\ b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_r \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_{i+1} = a_i + 1$, $b_{i+1} = b_i + 1$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$. Then the map

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & \cdots & B_r \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_r \end{pmatrix}$$

is in S and, by parts (i) and (ii), $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L}^*(S)$ and $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}^*(S)$ so that, by [15, Proposition 1.5.11], $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}^*(S)$. □

The \mathcal{L}^* – class containing an element a is denoted by L_a^* and corresponding notations are used for the remaining starred relations. We define a *left(right) * – ideal* of a semigroup S to be a left(right) ideal I of S for which $L_a^* \subseteq I$ ($R_a^* \subseteq I$) for all $a \in I$. A subset I of S is a ** – ideal* if it is both left and right ** – ideals* of S . The principal ** – ideal*, $J^*(a)$, generated by $a \in S$ is the intersection of all ** – ideals* of S to which a belongs. The relation \mathcal{J}^* is defined by the rule that: $a\mathcal{J}^*b$ if and only if $J^*(a) = J^*(b)$.

Now we are going to show that on the semigroup $S \in \{\mathcal{CT}_n, \mathcal{OCT}_n\}$, $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{J}^*$ but first we record the following lemma from [11].

Lemma 4.2 *Let a, b be elements of a semigroup S . Then $b \in J^*(a)$ if and only if there are elements $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in S$, $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in S^1$ such that $a = a_0, b = a_n$ and $(a_i, x_i a_{i-1} y_i) \in \mathcal{D}^*(S)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Immediately we adopt the method used in [23] to have

Lemma 4.3 *Let $S \in \{\mathcal{CT}_n, \mathcal{OCT}_n\}$. Then for each $\alpha, \beta \in S$, $\alpha \in J^*(\beta)$ implies $|\text{im}(\alpha)| \leq |\text{im}(\beta)|$.*

Proof Let $\alpha \in J^*(\beta)$, then by Lemma 4.2, there exist $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n \in S$, $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in S^1$ such that $\beta = \beta_0, \alpha = \beta_n$ and $(\beta_i, \delta_i \beta_{i-1} \gamma_i) \in \mathcal{D}^*(S)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. However, by Theorem 4.1(iv), this implies that

$$|\text{im}(\beta_i)| = |\text{im}(\delta_i \beta_{i-1} \gamma_i)| \leq |\text{im}(\beta_{i-1})|$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, which implies $|\text{im}(\alpha)| \leq |\text{im}(\beta)|$ as required. □

The fact that $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$ together with Lemma 4.3 gives the following result.

Theorem 4.4 *On the semigroup $S \in \{\mathcal{CT}_n, \mathcal{OCT}_n\}$, $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{J}^*$.*

References

- [1] Adeshola DA. Some Semigroups of Full Contraction Mappings of a Finite Chain, PhD Thesis, University of Ilorin, Nigeria. 2013.
- [2] Araujo J, Konieczny J. Semigroups of transformations preserving an equivalence relation and a cross-section. *Comm Algebra* 2004; 32: 1917-1935.
- [3] Dimitrova I, Koppitz J. On the maximal regular subsemigroups of ideals of order-preserving or order-reversing transformations. *Semigroup Forum* 2011; 82: 172-180.
- [4] Fernandes VH. Semigroup of order preserving mappings on a finite chain: a new class of divisors. *Semigroup Forum* 1997; 54: 230-236.
- [5] Fernandes VH, Gomes GMS, Jesus MM. Congruence on monoids of transformations preserving the orientation on a finite chain. *J Algebra* 2009; 321: 743-757.
- [6] Fernandes VH, Gomes GMS, Jesus MM. Congruence on monoids of order-preserving or order-reversing transformations on a finite chain. *Glasgow Math J* 2005; 47: 413-424.
- [7] Fernandes VH, Gomes GMS, Jesus MM. Presentations for some monoids of partial transformations on a finite chain. *Comm Algebra* 2005; 33: 587-604.
- [8] Fernandes VH, Jesus MM, Maltcev V, Mitchell JD. Endomorphisms of the semigroup of order-preserving mappings. *Semigroup Forum* 2010; 81: 277-285.
- [9] Fernandes VH, Volkov MV. On divisors of semigroups of order-preserving mappings of a finite chain. *Semigroup Forum* 2010; 81: 551-554.
- [10] Fountain JB. Adequate semigroups. *Proc Edinburgh Math Soc* 1979; 22: 113-125.
- [11] Fountain JB. Abundant semigroups. *Proc London Math Soc* 1982; 44: 103-129.
- [12] Gomes GMS, Howie JM. On the ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations. *Semigroup Forum* 1992; 45: 272-282.
- [13] Higgins PM, Howie JM, Mitchell JD, Ruskuc N. Countable versus uncountable rank in finite semigroups of transformations and relations. *Proc Edinburgh Math Soc* 2003; 46: 531-544.
- [14] Howie JM. Products of idempotents in certain semigroups of transformations. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 1971; 17: 223-236.
- [15] Howie JM. *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*. London Mathematical Society, New Series 12. Oxford, UK: The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [16] Ma M, You T, Luo S, Yang Y, Wang L. Regularity and Green's relations for finite E-order-preserving transformations semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*. 2010; 80: 164-173.
- [17] Pei H, Deng W. The natural order for the E-order-preserving transformation semigroups. *Asian Eur J Math* 2012; 5: 1250035.
- [18] Pei H, Zhou H. Abundant semigroups of transformations preserving an equivalence relation. *Algebra Colloq* 2011; 18: 77-82.
- [19] Sun L. A note on abundance of certain semigroups of transformations with restricted range. *Semigroup Forum* 2013; 87: 681-684.
- [20] Sun L, Han X. Abundance of E-order-preserving transformation semigroups. *Turk J Math* 2016; 40: 32-37.
- [21] Sun L, Wang L. Abundance of the semigroup of all transformations of a set that reflect an equivalence relation. *J Algebra Appl* 2014; 13: 1350088.
- [22] Umar A. On the semigroup of order-decreasing full transformation. *Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh* 1992; 120A: 129-142.
- [23] Umar A. On the semigroup of partial one-one order-decreasing finite transformation. *Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh* 1993; 123A: 355-363.

- [24] Xu B, Zhao P, Li P. Locally maximal idempotent-generated subsemigroups of singular order-preserving transformation semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* 2006; 72: 488-492.
- [25] Yang X. A classification of maximal subsemigroups on finite order-preserving transformation semigroups. *Comm Algebra* 2000; 28: 1503-1513.
- [26] Yang X, Lu C. Maximal properties of some subsemigroups in finite order-preserving transformation semigroups. *Comm Algebra* 2000; 28: 3125-3135.
- [27] Zhao P. Maximal regular subsemibands of finite order-preserving transformation semigroups $K(n, r)$. *Semigroup Forum* 2012; 84: 97-115.
- [28] Zhao P, Xu B, Yang M. A note on maximal properties of some subsemigroups on finite order-preserving transformation semigroups. *Comm Algebra* 2012; 40: 1116-1121.