

1-1-2018

Reducing subspaces of Toeplitz operators on Dirichlet type spaces of the bidisk

HONGZHAO LIN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

LIN, HONGZHAO (2018) "Reducing subspaces of Toeplitz operators on Dirichlet type spaces of the bidisk," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 1, Article 20. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1603-134>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol42/iss1/20>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Reducing subspaces of Toeplitz operators on Dirichlet type spaces of the bidisk

HongZhao LIN*

College of Computer and Information Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fujian, P. R. China

Received: 29.03.2016

Accepted/Published Online: 17.04.2017

Final Version: 22.01.2018

Abstract: The reducing subspaces of Toeplitz operators $T_{z_1^N}$ (or $T_{z_2^N}$), $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$, and $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ on Dirichlet type spaces of the bidisk $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ are described, which extends the results for the corresponding operators on the Bergman space of the bidisk.

Key words: Reducing subspace, Toeplitz operator, Dirichlet type spaces, bidisk

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} denote the open unit disk of complex plane \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R} denote the set of real numbers. $\mathbb{D}^2 = \{(z_1, z_2); z_1 \in \mathbb{D}, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}\}$ is called the bidisk. We say that a function $f : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if it is holomorphic in each variable separately. Each holomorphic function f on the bidisk can be represented as

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j,$$

with $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D}^2$ and $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$; the Dirichlet type space of the bidisk $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ consists of all holomorphic functions f on the bidisk satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{i,j}|^2 (1+i)^{\alpha_1} (1+j)^{\alpha_2} < \infty.$$

$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{i,j} \overline{b_{i,j}} (1+i)^{\alpha_1} (1+j)^{\alpha_2},$$

where $f = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j$ and $g = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} b_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j$. Given $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2$, each point evaluation $\lambda_z^\alpha(f) = f(z)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Hence, for each $z \in \mathbb{D}^2$, there exists a unique reproducing kernel $K_z(w) \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ with $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2$ such that

$$f(z) = \langle f(w), K_z(w) \rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2).$$

*Correspondence: hzl_076@163.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B35

Actually, it can be calculated that $K_z(w) = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \frac{w_1^i w_2^j z_1^i z_2^j}{(i+1)^{\alpha_1} (j+1)^{\alpha_2}}$. One can see [1] for more details about Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Throughout this paper, we denote $\gamma_{i,j}^\alpha = \|z_1^i z_2^j\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)} = \sqrt{(i+1)^{\alpha_1} (j+1)^{\alpha_2}}$. For simplicity, we denote $\|z_1^i z_2^j\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)}$ by $\|z_1^i z_2^j\|$.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}_{(0,0)}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is the Hardy space over the bidisk $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{(-1,-1)}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is the Bergman space over the bidisk $B^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. In this paper, we only deal with $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ satisfying $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \neq 0$.

Given holomorphic function f on the bidisk \mathbb{D}^2 , if $hf \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$, we define $T_f : \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ by

$$T_f(h) = fh, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2).$$

If N, M are integers larger than 1 with $N \neq M$, it is easy to check that $T_{z_1^N}$ (or $T_{z_2^N}$) is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Note that

$$\|T_{z_1^N z_2^N}\| = \|T_{z_1^N} T_{z_2^N}\| \leq \|T_{z_1^N}\| \|T_{z_2^N}\|, \quad \|T_{z_1^N z_2^M}\| = \|T_{z_1^N} T_{z_2^M}\| \leq \|T_{z_1^N}\| \|T_{z_2^M}\|;$$

both $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ and $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ are bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a closed subspace of Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Recall that \mathfrak{M} is a reducing subspace of the operator T if $T(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ and $T^*(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$. A reducing subspace \mathfrak{M} is said to be minimal if there are no nontrivial reducing subspaces of T contained in \mathfrak{M} .

Stessin and Zhu [6] completely characterized the reducing subspaces of weighted unilateral shift operators of finite multiplicity. As a consequence, they gave the description of the reducing subspaces of T_{z^N} on the Bergman space and Dirichlet space of the unit disk. For more general symbols, the reducing subspaces of the Toeplitz operators with finite Blaschke product were well studied (see [2, 3, 8] for example). Recently, Lu et al. extended the result in [6] to Bergman space with several variables. They completely characterized the reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N}$ and $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ in [4] on the weighted Bergman space of the bidisk and on the weighted Bergman space over polydisk in [7], respectively. Moreover, they [5] solved the problems of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ with $N \neq M$ on both settings.

Motivated by the above work, we will investigate the reducing subspaces of Toeplitz operators $T_{z_1^N}$ (or $T_{z_2^N}$), $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$, and $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ on Dirichlet type spaces of the bidisk. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give the description of the reducing subspace of Toeplitz operators $T_{z_1^N}$ (or $T_{z_2^N}$). We characterize the reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ in section 3 and the case of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ is discussed in section 4.

2. The reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N}$ on Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$

In this section, we will characterize the reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N}$ (or $T_{z_2^N}$) on Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

We observe that each $f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ has the decomposition

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} z_1^i f_i(z_2), \tag{2.1}$$

where $f_i(z_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{i,j} z_2^j \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_2}(\mathbb{D})$ for each i .

If we denote $\gamma_i^a = \sqrt{(1+i)^a}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, it is easy to get the relationship between $\gamma_{i,j}^\alpha$ and $\gamma_i^{\alpha_1}$ or $\gamma_j^{\alpha_2}$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Direct computation shows the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose i, j, k are nonnegative integers; then $\frac{\gamma_{i,j}^\alpha}{\gamma_{k,j}^\alpha} = \frac{\gamma_i^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_k^{\alpha_1}}, \frac{\gamma_{i,j}^\alpha}{\gamma_{i,k}^\alpha} = \frac{\gamma_j^{\alpha_2}}{\gamma_k^{\alpha_2}}$.*

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose i, n are nonnegative integers; then*

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+hN}^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_i^{\alpha_1}} = \frac{\gamma_{n+hN}^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_n^{\alpha_1}}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N},$$

holds if and only if $i = n$.

Proof We only need to prove the necessity. By the assumption,

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+hN}^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_{n+hN}^{\alpha_1}} = \frac{\gamma_i^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_n^{\alpha_1}}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking $h \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{i+hN}^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_{n+hN}^{\alpha_1}} = 1,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+hN}^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_{n+hN}^{\alpha_1}} = 1, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the definition of $\gamma_i^{\alpha_1}$, it is equivalent to

$$(i + hN + 1)^{\alpha_1} = (n + hN + 1)^{\alpha_1}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, then $i = n$. □

Lemma 2.3 *Suppose \mathfrak{M} is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N}$ on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_1^n f_n(z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $f_n(z_2) \neq 0$, then $z_1^n f_n(z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}$;*
- (2) *If $g = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_2^n g_n(z_1) \in \mathfrak{M}^\perp$ with $g_n(z_1) \neq 0$, then $z_2^n g_n(z_1) \in \mathfrak{M}^\perp$.*

Proof First assume $f = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} z_1^p f_p(z_2)$. Let $z_1^p f_p(z_2) = u(z_1, z_2) + v(z_1, z_2)$ be the orthogonal decomposition on \mathfrak{M} , where $u(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_1^k u_k(z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $v(z_1, z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}^\perp$.

For nonnegative integer h , note that $T_{z_1^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN}} z_1^k z_2^j = \frac{(\gamma_{k+hN}^{\alpha_1})^2}{(\gamma_k^{\alpha_1})^2} z_1^k z_2^j$. By Lemma 2.1, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} T_{z_1^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN}} P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^p f_p(z_2)) &= T_{z_1^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN}} P_{\mathfrak{M}}(u + v) \\ &= T_{z_1^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN}} u \\ &= T_{z_1^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_1^k u_k(z_2) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_{k+hN}^{\alpha_1})^2}{(\gamma_k^{\alpha_1})^2} z_1^k u_k(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{z_1^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN}} (z_1^p f_p(z_2)) &= P_{\mathfrak{M}} \left(\frac{(\gamma_{p+hN}^{\alpha_1})^2}{(\gamma_p^{\alpha_1})^2} z_1^p f_p(z_2) \right) \\ &= \frac{(\gamma_{p+hN}^{\alpha_1})^2}{(\gamma_p^{\alpha_1})^2} u \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_{p+hN}^{\alpha_1})^2}{(\gamma_p^{\alpha_1})^2} z_1^k u_k(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{z_1^{hN}}$ and $T_{z_1^{hN}}^*$ commute with $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$, it follows that, if $u_k(z_2) \neq 0$, then for each positive integer h

$$\frac{\gamma_{k+hN}^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_k^{\alpha_1}} = \frac{\gamma_{p+hN}^{\alpha_1}}{\gamma_p^{\alpha_1}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\gamma_{k+hN}^2}{\gamma_{p+hN}^2} = \frac{\gamma_k^2}{\gamma_p^2}.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$k = p.$$

Therefore, $P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^p f_p(z_2)) = u = z_1^p u_p(z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Now assume $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_1^n f_n(z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $P_{\mathfrak{M}} z_1^n f_n(z_2) = z_1^n u_n(z_2)$. By the above discussion,

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}} f = P_{\mathfrak{M}} \sum_{n \geq 0} z_1^n f_n(z_2) = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_1^n u_n(z_2).$$

Note that $P_{\mathfrak{M}} f = f$. Comparing the expressions, it follows that

$$f_n(z_2) = u_n(z_2).$$

That is

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}} z_1^n f_n(z_2) = z_1^n f_n(z_2),$$

which means that $z_1^n f_n(z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}$. Thus statement (1) is obtained.

Note that if \mathfrak{M} is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N}$, so is \mathfrak{M}^\perp . By the symmetry, statement (2) is desired. \square

Let $\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} = \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^{n_1+hN} : h \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The next theorem characterizes the reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N}$ on Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose n_1 is an integer with $0 \leq n_1 \leq N - 1$. The reducing subspaces*

$$f(z_2) \mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} = \overline{\text{span}}\{f(z_2) z_1^{n_1+hN} : h \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad \text{where } f(z_2) \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$$

are the only minimal reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N}$ on Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Each reducing subspace \mathfrak{M} contains some minimal reducing subspace as above.

Proof For each $h \in \mathbb{N}$, we calculate

$$T_{z_1^N} f(z_2) z_1^{n_1+hN} = f(z_2) z_1^{n_1+(h+1)N} \in f(z_2) \mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)}$$

and

$$T_{z_1^N}^* f(z_2) z_1^{n_1+hN} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\gamma_{n_1+hN}^{\alpha_1})^2}{(\gamma_{n_1+(h-1)N}^{\alpha_1})^2} f(z_2) z_1^{n_1+(h-1)N} \in f(z_2) \mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)}, & h \geq 1 \\ 0, & h = 0 \end{cases},$$

which means $f(z_2) \mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)}$ is the reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N}$. Next we will show that such type of reducing subspace is minimal.

If $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is the reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N}$, since \mathfrak{M} is nonempty, there is nonzero $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_1^n f_n(z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z_1^{n_0} f_{n_0}(z_2) \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $f_{n_0}(z_2) \neq 0$. Let $n_1 = n_0 \bmod N$; then $f_{n_0}(z_2) \mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ is the minimal reducing subspace.

Furthermore, if \mathfrak{M} is minimal, then $f_{n_0}(z_2) \mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{M}$. The result is desired. \square

Theorem 2.5 Suppose n_2 is an integer with $0 \leq n_2 \leq N - 1$. The reducing subspaces

$$g(z_1) \mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)} = \overline{\text{span}}\{g(z_1) z_2^{n_2+kN}\}, \quad \text{where } g(z_1) \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$$

are the only minimal reducing subspaces of $T_{z_2^N}$ on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Each reducing subspace \mathfrak{M} contains some minimal reducing subspace as above.

Proof The result is immediately followed by Theorem 2.4. \square

Theorem 2.6 Suppose N_1, N_2 are positive integers larger than 1 and \mathfrak{M} is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. If \mathfrak{M} is the reducing subspaces of both $T_{z_1^{N_1}}$ and $T_{z_2^{N_2}}$ on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$, then there exist $\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)}$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)} := \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^{n_1+hN_1} z_2^{n_2+kN_2} : h, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{M},$$

where n_1 and n_2 are integers with $0 \leq n_1 \leq N_1 - 1, 0 \leq n_2 \leq N_2 - 1$. In particular, \mathfrak{M} is the minimal reducing subspace if and only if $\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{M}$. There are totally $N_1 N_2$ such minimal reducing subspaces on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

Proof Since \mathfrak{M} is the reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^{N_1}}$, then by Theorem 2.4 there exists

$$f(z_2) z_1^{n_1} \in \mathfrak{M},$$

where nonzero function $f(z_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z_2^i \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq n_1 \leq N_1 - 1$. Note that $f(z_2) z_1^{n_1} = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z_2^i) z_1^{n_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_2^i a_i z_1^{n_1} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Since \mathfrak{M} is also the reducing subspaces of $T_{z_2^{N_2}}$, by Lemma 2.3, there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z_2^{i_0} z_1^{n_1} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Let $n_2 = i_0 \bmod N_2$, and it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$$

is the reducing subspace of both $T_{z_1^{N_1}}$ and $T_{z_2^{N_2}}$.

If reducing subspace \mathfrak{M} is minimal, it certainly follows that $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)}$.

Examining the proof above, it is easy to see that all the minimal reducing subspaces of both $T_{z_1^{N_1}}$ and $T_{z_2^{N_2}}$ can be expressed as $\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)}$. The total number of the minimal reducing subspaces is $N_1 N_2$ since there are N_1 different spaces $\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)}$ and N_2 different spaces $\mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)}$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.7 *Suppose N is a positive integer larger than 1 and \mathfrak{M} is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. If \mathfrak{M} is the reducing subspaces of both $T_{z_1^N}$ and $T_{z_2^N}$ on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$, then there exist $\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)} \subseteq \mathfrak{M},$$

where n_1 and n_2 are integers with $0 \leq n_1 \leq N - 1, 0 \leq n_2 \leq N - 1$. In particular, \mathfrak{M} is the minimal reducing subspace if and only if $\mathcal{M}_{n_1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n_2}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{M}$. There are totally N^2 such minimal reducing subspaces on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

Proof It follows from Theorem 2.6. \square

Zhou and Lu [4] describe all the reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N}$ (or $T_{z_2^N}$) on the Bergman space of the bidisk. Observe that $\mathcal{D}_{(-1,-1)}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is the common Bergman space of the bidisk, and we extend the result in [4]. Comparing with the results in [4], Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 imply that $T_{z_1^N}$ (or $T_{z_2^N}$) shares the same structure of reducing subspaces on each Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. In other words, if $\alpha \neq 0$, the structure of reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N}$ (or $T_{z_2^N}$) on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is independent of the weight α whenever $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \neq 0$.

3. The reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ on Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$

In this section, we will study reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ on Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. It shows that the structure of reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is dependent on α .

Firstly we make further study of $\gamma_{i,j}^\alpha$.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose k, m, i, j, N are nonnegative integers and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. If*

$$\frac{(\gamma_{i+hN, j+hN}^\alpha)^2}{(\gamma_{i,j}^\alpha)^2} = \frac{(\gamma_{k+m+hN, m+hN}^\alpha)^2}{(\gamma_{k+m, m}^\alpha)^2}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N},$$

then the following statements hold:

(1) If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then $(i, j) = (k + m, m)$ or $(i, j) = (m, k + m)$.

(2) If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then $(i, j) = (k + m, m)$ or $\frac{m}{k+m} = \frac{j}{i} = 1$.

(3) If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then $(i, j) = (k + m, m)$.

Proof By the assumption,

$$\frac{(\gamma_{i+hN, j+hN}^\alpha)^2}{(\gamma_{k+m+hN, m+hN}^\alpha)^2} = \frac{(\gamma_{i,j}^\alpha)^2}{(\gamma_{k+m, m}^\alpha)^2}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N},$$

Taking $h \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation, it follows that for any positive integer h

$$\frac{(\gamma_{i+hN, j+hN}^\alpha)^2}{(\gamma_{k+m+hN, m+hN}^\alpha)^2} = 1.$$

By definition of $\gamma_{i,j}^\alpha$, it turns into

$$(i + hN + 1)^{\alpha_1} (j + hN + 1)^{\alpha_2} = (k + m + hN + 1)^{\alpha_1} (m + hN + 1)^{\alpha_2}. \tag{3.1}$$

If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \neq 0$, the above equation is equivalent to

$$(i + hN + 1)(j + hN + 1) = (k + m + hN + 1)(m + hN + 1),$$

which implies statement (1).

If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2 \neq 0$, (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(i + hN + 1)(m + hN + 1) = (k + m + hN + 1)(j + hN + 1),$$

which implies statement (2).

Now suppose $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 > 0$. If $\lambda = hN$, (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(i + \lambda + 1)^{\alpha_1} (j + \lambda + 1)^{\alpha_2} = (k + m + \lambda + 1)^{\alpha_1} (m + \lambda + 1)^{\alpha_2}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$; by comparing the multiplicity of zeros on both sides of the equation, statement (3) follows. \square

Next we describe the projection of monomial on reducing subspace \mathfrak{M} .

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ on Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ and $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is the projection onto \mathfrak{M} . Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then*

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) = (az_1^k + bz_2^k)(z_1 z_2)^m, \quad (a - |a|^2) \|z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m\|^2 = |b|^2 \|z_2^k (z_1 z_2)^m\|^2.$$

(2) *If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then*

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) = az_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m, \quad a = |a|^2, \quad \text{for } k > 0;$$

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}((z_1 z_2)^m) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i (z_1 z_2)^i, \quad a_m = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |a_i|^2, \quad \text{for } k = 0.$$

(3) *If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then*

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) = az_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m, \quad a = |a|^2.$$

Proof Let $z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m = f(z_1, z_2) + g(z_1, z_2)$ be the orthogonal decomposition on \mathfrak{M} , where $f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $g(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} g_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}^\perp$.

For nonnegative integer h , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}} T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}} P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) &= T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}} T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}} P_{\mathfrak{M}}(f + g) \\
 &= T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}} T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}} f \\
 &= T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}}^* \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} z_1^{i+hN} z_2^{j+hN} \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} \frac{(\gamma_{i+hN,j+hN}^{\alpha})^2}{(\gamma_{i,j}^{\alpha})^2} z_1^i z_2^j.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}}^* T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}} (z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) &= P_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}}^* (z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^{m+hN}) \\
 &= P_{\mathfrak{M}} \left(\frac{(\gamma_{k+m+hN,m+hN}^{\alpha})^2}{(\gamma_{k+m,m}^{\alpha})^2} z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} \frac{(\gamma_{k+m+hN,m+hN}^{\alpha})^2}{(\gamma_{k+m,m}^{\alpha})^2} z_1^i z_2^j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}}$ and $T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hN}}^*$ commute with $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$, if $f_{i,j} \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{(\gamma_{i+hN,j+hN}^{\alpha})^2}{(\gamma_{i,j}^{\alpha})^2} = \frac{(\gamma_{k+m+hN,m+hN}^{\alpha})^2}{(\gamma_{k+m,m}^{\alpha})^2}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, by Lemma 3.1, $(i, j) = (m + k, m)$ or $(i, j) = (m, m + k)$, which implies that

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) = (az_1^k + bz_2^k)(z_1 z_2)^m, \quad \text{for constants } a, b.$$

Since $\langle z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m - P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m), P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) \rangle = 0$, it follows that

$$\langle z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m, P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) \rangle = \|P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m)\|^2. \tag{3.2}$$

A direct computation shows that $a\|z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m\|^2 = |a|^2\|z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m\|^2 + |b|^2\|z_2^k (z_1 z_2)^m\|^2$. Thus statement (1) holds.

If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, by Lemma 3.1, $(i, j) = (m + k, m)$ or $\frac{m}{k+m} = \frac{j}{i} = 1$, which implies that for $k > 0$

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) = az_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m, \quad \text{for constant } a,$$

and for $k = 0$

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}((z_1 z_2)^m) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i (z_1 z_2)^i, \quad \text{for constants } a_i.$$

Observe that (3.2) holds for any α , and statement (2) follows from the direct computation with (3.2).

If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, by Lemma 3.1, $(i, j) = (m+k, m)$, which together with (3.2) implies statement (3) holds. \square

Lemma 3.3 Let Λ be an index set and let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. Suppose that \mathcal{H} is the direct sum of its closed subspace X_i ($i \in \Lambda$), that is $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} X_i$, \mathfrak{M} is a reducing subspace of bounded linear operator T on \mathcal{H} and $P_{\mathfrak{M}}X_i \subseteq X_i$. If $f = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} f_i \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $f_i \in X_i$, then $f_i \in \mathfrak{M}$ for each $i \in \Lambda$.

Proof Note that

$$\sum_{i \in \Lambda} f_i = f = P_{\mathfrak{M}}f = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} P_{\mathfrak{M}}f_i.$$

The result follows from $f_i = P_{\mathfrak{M}}f_i$ since $f_i \in X_i$ and $P_{\mathfrak{M}}f_i \in X_i$. □

Theorem 3.4 Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a nontrivial reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ and $f = \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then $f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j + f_{j,i} z_1^j z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $i \neq j$.
- (2) If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then $\sum_{i=0}^\infty f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $i \neq j$.
- (3) If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then $z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Proof If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, rewrite $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i + \sum_{i > j} f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j + f_{j,i} z_1^j z_2^i$. Theorem 3.2 implies that

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}} z_1^i z_2^i \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^i z_2^i\} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathfrak{M}} \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^i z_2^j, z_1^j z_2^i\} \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^i z_2^j, z_1^j z_2^i\}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j + f_{j,i} z_1^j z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Thus statement (1) holds.

If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, rewrite $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i + \sum_{i \neq j} f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j$. Theorem 3.2 implies that

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}} \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^i z_2^i; i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^i z_2^i; i \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathfrak{M}} z_1^i z_2^j \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^i z_2^j\}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Thus statement (2) holds.

If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, Theorem 3.2 implies that $P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^i z_2^j) \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^i z_2^j\}$. Statement (3) is also achieved from Lemma 3.3. □

Theorem 3.5 Suppose that M is the minimal reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then

$$M = \overline{\text{span}}\{(az_1^k + bz_2^k)(z_1^{m+lN} z_2^{m+lN}) : l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}, m, k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq m \leq N - 1.$$

(2) If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then

$$M = \overline{\text{span}}\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z_1^i z_2^i\right)(z_1^{lN} z_2^{lN}) : l \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{C}, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

or $M = \overline{\text{span}}\{az_1^k(z_1^{m+lN} z_2^{m+lN}) : l \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}, m, k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq m \leq N - 1,$

or $M = \overline{\text{span}}\{bz_2^k(z_1^{m+lN} z_2^{m+lN}) : l \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad b \in \mathbb{C}, m, k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq m \leq N - 1.$

(3) If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then

$$M = \overline{\text{span}}\{az_1^k(z_1^{m+lN} z_2^{m+lN}) : l \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}, m, k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq m \leq N - 1,$$

or $M = \overline{\text{span}}\{bz_2^k(z_1^{m+lN} z_2^{m+lN}) : l \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad b \in \mathbb{C}, m, k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq m \leq N - 1.$

Proof Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a nontrivial reducing subspace. Then there exists nonzero function

$$f = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

For $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. We consider the following two cases. If $f_{i,i} \neq 0$ for some i , by Theorem 3.4, $z_1^i z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M}$. Note that if \mathfrak{M} is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$, then $T_{z_1^{lN} z_2^{lN}} \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ and $T_{z_1^{lN} z_2^{lN}}^* \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$. Let $a+b = 1, k = 0, m = i \bmod N$; then $M \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$.

If $f_{i,j} \neq 0$ for some multi-index (i, j) with $i > j$, by Theorem 3.4, $f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j + f_{j,i} z_1^j z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M}$. Let $a = f_{i,j}, b = f_{j,i}, k = i - j$ and $m = j \bmod N$; then $M \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$.

For $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i \neq 0$, by Theorem 3.4, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i \in \mathfrak{M}$. Therefore, $M = \overline{\text{span}}\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i,i} z_1^i z_2^i\right)(z_1^{lN} z_2^{lN}) : h \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$.

If $f_{i,j} \neq 0$ for some multi-index (i, j) with $i \neq j$, without loss of generality we assume $i > j$. By Theorem 3.4, $z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}$. Let $a = f_{i,j}, k = i - j$ and $m = j \bmod N$; then $M = \overline{\text{span}}\{az_1^k(z_1^{m+lN} z_2^{m+lN}) : h \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$.

A similar discussion implies the case of $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$.

From the above proof, we deduce that each reducing subspace \mathfrak{M} contains a reducing subspace M , which means that M consists of all the minimal reducing subspaces.

Therefore, if \mathfrak{M} is a minimal reducing subspace then $\mathfrak{M} = M$.

This complete the proof. □

Theorem 2.5 in [4] showed that if \mathfrak{M} is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^N}$ on the Bergman space over the bidisk, then there exist nonnegative integers a, b, k, m with $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ (Carefully examining the original proof, we find that it should be $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ instead of $b \in \{0, 1\}$ in [4] Theorem 2.5.) such that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{(az_1^k + bz_2^k)(z_1^{m+lN} z_2^{m+lN}) : l \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}. \tag{3.3}$$

In particular, \mathfrak{M} is minimal if and only if $\mathfrak{M} = \overline{\text{span}}\{(az_1^k + bz_2^k)(z_1^{m+lN} z_2^{m+lN}) : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that since $\mathcal{D}_{(-1,-1)}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is the bidisk Bergman space, Theorem 3.5 extends the result of Theorem 2.5 in [4] to more general spaces.

4. The reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ on Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$

In this section, we will study reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ on Dirichlet type spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. The result shows that the structure of reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is dependent on α . Here we define

$$\rho_1(n) = \frac{M(n+1)}{N} - 1 \text{ and } \rho_2(m) = \frac{N(m+1)}{M} - 1.$$

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose n, m, i, j, N are nonnegative integers. If*

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+hN, j+hM}^\alpha}{\gamma_{i, j}^\alpha} = \frac{\gamma_{n+hN, m+hM}^\alpha}{\gamma_{n, m}^\alpha}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N},$$

holds, then the following statements hold.

(1) *If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then $(i, j) = (n, m)$ or $(i, j) = (\rho_2(m), \rho_1(n))$ if $\rho_2(m), \rho_1(n) \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(2) *If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then $(i, j) = (n, m)$ or $\frac{i+1}{j+1} = \frac{n+1}{m+1} = \frac{N}{M}$.*

(3) *If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then $(i, j) = (n, m)$.*

Proof By the assumption,

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+hN, j+hM}^\alpha}{\gamma_{n+hN, m+hM}^\alpha} = \frac{\gamma_{i, j}^\alpha}{\gamma_{n, m}^\alpha}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N},$$

Taking $h \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation, it follows that for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+hN, j+hM}^\alpha}{\gamma_{n+hN, m+hM}^\alpha} = 1,$$

By the definition of $\gamma_{i, j}^\alpha$, it converts to

$$(i + hN + 1)^{\alpha_1} (j + hM + 1)^{\alpha_2} = (n + hN + 1)^{\alpha_1} (m + hM + 1)^{\alpha_2}. \tag{4.1}$$

If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then (4.1) is equivalent to

$$(i + hN + 1)(j + hM + 1) = (n + hN + 1)(m + hM + 1).$$

It is easy to see

$$\frac{i + 1}{N} = \frac{n + 1}{N}, \frac{j + 1}{M} = \frac{m + 1}{M}$$

or

$$\frac{i + 1}{N} = \frac{m + 1}{M}, \frac{j + 1}{M} = \frac{n + 1}{N},$$

which implies statement (1).

If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then (4.1) is equivalent to

$$(i + hN + 1)(m + hM + 1) = (n + hN + 1)(j + hM + 1).$$

It is easy to see

$$\frac{i+1}{N} = \frac{n+1}{N}, \quad \frac{m+1}{M} = \frac{j+1}{M}$$

or

$$\frac{i+1}{N} = \frac{j+1}{M}, \quad \frac{m+1}{M} = \frac{n+1}{N},$$

which implies statement (2).

If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$. Firstly, we consider the case of $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 > 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 > 0$. Observe that the left of (4.1) has zeros $-\frac{i+1}{N}, -\frac{j+1}{M}$ with order α_1, α_2 , respectively, while the right of (4.1) has zeros $-\frac{n+1}{N}, -\frac{m+1}{M}$ with order α_1, α_2 , respectively. For $-\frac{i+1}{N} \neq -\frac{j+1}{M}$, note that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, and it follows that

$$-\frac{i+1}{N} = -\frac{n+1}{N}, \quad -\frac{j+1}{M} = -\frac{m+1}{M}.$$

Thus statement (3) holds.

For $-\frac{i+1}{N} = -\frac{j+1}{M}$, it follows that

$$-\frac{i+1}{N} = -\frac{n+1}{N} = -\frac{j+1}{M} = -\frac{m+1}{M},$$

which also implies statement (3).

Secondly, as to the case of $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 < 0$, without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 < 0$. Therefore, (4.1) turns into

$$(i+hN+1)^{\alpha_1}(m+hM+1)^{-\alpha_2} = (n+hN+1)^{\alpha_1}(j+hM+1)^{-\alpha_2}.$$

A similar discussion shows that $i = n$ and $m = j$. This complete the proof. □

Next we describe the projection of monomial on reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$.

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ on Dirichlet type space $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ and $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is the projection onto \mathfrak{M} . Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then*

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m) = az_1^n z_2^m + b\delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)},$$

where $a = |a|^2 + |b\delta_{n,m}|^2$ and $\delta_{n,m} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } \rho_2(m), \rho_1(n) \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & , \text{ if others} \end{cases}$.

(2) *If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, let $S_{N,M,n,m} = \{(i, j); \frac{i+1}{j+1} = \frac{n+1}{m+1} = \frac{N}{M}, i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $S'_{N,M,n,m} = S_{N,M,n,m} - (n, m)$, then*

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m) = az_1^n z_2^m + \sum_{(i,j) \in S'_{N,M,n,m}} a_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j, \quad a = |a|^2 + \sum_{(i,j) \in S'_{N,M,n,m}} |a_{i,j}|^2.$$

(3) *If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then*

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m) = az_1^k (z_1 z_2)^m, \quad a = |a|^2.$$

Proof

Let $z_1^n z_2^m = f(z_1, z_2) + g(z_1, z_2)$ be the orthogonal decomposition on \mathfrak{M} , where $f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $g(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} g_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}^{\perp}$.

For nonnegative integer h , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}^* T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}} P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m) &= T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}^* T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}} P_{\mathfrak{M}}(f + g) \\ &= T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}^* T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}} f \\ &= T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}^* \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} z_1^{i+hN} z_2^{j+hM} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} \frac{(\gamma_{i+hN, j+hM}^{\alpha})^2}{(\gamma_{i,j}^{\alpha})^2} z_1^i z_2^j. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}^* T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}(z_1^n z_2^m) &= P_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}^*(z_1^{n+hN} z_2^{m+hM}) \\ &= P_{\mathfrak{M}}\left(\frac{(\gamma_{n+hN, m+hM}^{\alpha})^2}{(\gamma_{n,m}^{\alpha})^2} z_1^n z_2^m\right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} \frac{(\gamma_{n+hN, m+hM}^{\alpha})^2}{(\gamma_{n,m}^{\alpha})^2} z_1^i z_2^j. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}$ and $T_{z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}}^*$ commute with $P_{\mathfrak{M}}$, if $f_{i,j} \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{\gamma_{i+hN, j+hM}^{\alpha}}{\gamma_{i,j}^{\alpha}} = \frac{\gamma_{n+hN, m+hM}^{\alpha}}{\gamma_{n,m}^{\alpha}}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, Lemma 4.1 indicates that $(i, j) = (n, m)$ or $(i, j) = (\rho_2(m), \rho_1(n))$ if $\rho_2(m), \rho_1(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies for constants a, b

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m) = a z_1^n z_2^m + b \delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)}.$$

Note that

$$\|P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m)\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^2)}^2 = \langle P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m), z_1^n z_2^m \rangle \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\|z_1^n z_2^m\|^2 = \|z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)}\|^2,$$

direct computation shows that $|a|^2 + |b\delta_{n,m}|^2 = a$.

If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, Lemma 4.1 indicates that $(i, j) = (n, m)$ or $\frac{i+1}{j+1} = \frac{n+1}{m+1} = \frac{N}{M}$. It follows that $P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m) = b z_1^n z_2^m + \sum_{(i,j) \in S_{N,M,n,m}} a_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j$. Combining like terms, we can write $P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m) = a z_1^n z_2^m + \sum_{(i,j) \in S'_{N,M,n,m}} a_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j$. By (4.2) and the fact that $\|z_1^i z_2^j\|^2 = \|z_1^n z_2^m\|^2$ whenever $(i, j) \in S'_{N,M,n,m}$, direct computation shows that $a = |a|^2 + \sum_{(i,j) \in S'_{N,M,n,m}} |a_{i,j}|^2$.

If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, Lemma 4.1 indicates that $(i, j) = (n, m)$. It follows that $P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m) = az_1^n z_2^m$. By (4.2), direct computation shows that $a = |a|^2$. \square

Note that if $\frac{n+1}{m+1} \neq \frac{N}{M}$, then $S_{N,M,n,m} = \emptyset$. Consequently, $S'_{N,M,n,m} = \emptyset$ for most of $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. That is, $P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^n z_2^m)$ always equals $az_1^n z_2^m$ in statement (2) of Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.3 *Suppose \mathfrak{M} is a nontrivial reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$ and $f = \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then*

$$f_{n,m} z_1^n z_2^m + f_{\rho_2(m), \rho_1(n)} \delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)} \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

(2) *If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then*

$$f_{n,m} z_1^n z_2^m + \sum_{(i,j) \in S'_{N,M,n,m}} f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

(3) *If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then*

$$f_{n,m} z_1^n z_2^m \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Proof For $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, if $\rho_2(m), \rho_1(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\delta_{n,m} = 1$. Note that $\rho_2(\rho_1(n)) = n$, $\rho_1(\rho_2(m)) = m$; by Theorem 4.2 it follows that

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}} \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^n z_2^m, \delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)}\} \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^n z_2^m, \delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)}\}. \quad (4.3)$$

If $\rho_2(m) \notin \mathbb{N}$ or $\rho_1(n) \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $\delta_{n,m} = 0$. It is easy to see that (4.3) holds either. That is, (4.3) holds for any (n, m) . Using Lemma 3.3, statement (1) holds.

For $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, if $\frac{n+1}{m+1} \neq \frac{N}{M}$, then $S'_{N,M,n,m} = \emptyset$. By Theorem 4.2, it is easy to see

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}} \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^h z_2^k; (h, k) \in (n, m) \cup S'_{N,M,n,m}\} \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^h z_2^k; (h, k) \in (n, m) \cup S'_{N,M,n,m}\}. \quad (4.4)$$

If $\frac{n+1}{m+1} = \frac{N}{M}$, note that $S_{N,M,i,j} = S_{N,M,n,m}$ if $(i, j) \in S_{N,M,n,m}$; then

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(z_1^i z_2^j) \in \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^h z_2^k; (h, k) \in (i, j) \cup S'_{N,M,i,j}\} = \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^h z_2^k; (h, k) \in S_{N,M,n,m}\}.$$

Thus (4.4) holds either. Therefore, (4.4) holds for any (n, m) . Statement (2) follows from Lemma 3.3.

By Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 3.3, a similar discussion comes to statement (3). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.4 *Suppose that M is the minimal reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then*

$$M = \overline{\text{span}}\{(az_1^n z_2^m + b\delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)}) z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM} : h \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M - 1$.

(2) If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then

$$M = \overline{\text{span}}\{az_1^n z_2^m (z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}) : h \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M - 1$, or

$$M = \overline{\text{span}}\{z_1^{(h+1)N-1} z_2^{(h+1)M-1} : h \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{4.6}$$

(3) If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then

$$M = \overline{\text{span}}\{az_1^n z_2^m (z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM}) : h \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M - 1$.

Proof Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a nontrivial reducing subspace. Then there exists nonzero $f = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} f_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}$. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then by Theorem 4.3

$$g_{k,l} \triangleq az_1^k z_2^l + b\delta_{k,l} z_1^{\rho_2(l)} z_2^{\rho_1(k)} \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ for any } (k, l),$$

where $a = f_{k,l}$ and $b = f_{\rho_2(l), \rho_1(k)}$. Note that there exists $h_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(T_{z_1^N z_2^M}^*)^{h_0} g_{k,l} \neq 0$ and $(T_{z_1^N z_2^M}^*)^{h_0+1} g_{k,l} = 0$. Let $n = k - h_0 N$, $m = l - h_0 M$; then $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M - 1$. Since \mathfrak{M} is the reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ and $\delta_{k,l} = \delta_{n,m}$, then $az_1^n z_2^m + b\delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Thus statement (1) holds.

If $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, then by Theorem 4.3

$$r_{k,l} \triangleq az_1^k z_2^l \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ for any } (k, l),$$

where $a = f_{k,l}$. Note that there exists $h_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(T_{z_1^N z_2^M}^*)^{h_0} r_{k,l} \neq 0$ and $(T_{z_1^N z_2^M}^*)^{h_0+1} r_{k,l} = 0$. Let $n = k - h_0 N$, $m = l - h_0 M$; then $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ or $0 \leq m \leq M - 1$. Since \mathfrak{M} is the reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$, then $az_1^n z_2^m \in \mathfrak{M}$. Thus statement (3) holds.

If $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2$, then by Theorem 4.3

$$q_{k,l} \triangleq az_1^k z_2^l + \sum_{(i,j) \in S'_{N,M,k,l}} b_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ for any } (k, l),$$

where $a = f_{k,l}$ and $b_{i,j} = f_{i,j}$.

If $\frac{k+1}{l+1} \neq \frac{N}{M}$, then $S'_{N,M,k,l} = \emptyset$. Therefore, $q_{k,l} = az_1^k z_2^l \in \mathfrak{M}$. A similar discussion as the case of $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$ shows \mathfrak{M} has a reducing subspace as (4.5).

If $\frac{k+1}{l+1} = \frac{N}{M}$, then $(k, l) \in S_{N,M,k,l}$. Therefore

$$q_{k,l} = \sum_{(i,j) \in S_{N,M,k,l}} b_{i,j} z_1^i z_2^j \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Since $(i, j) \in S_{N,M,k,l}$, then $i = (h + 1)N - 1$ and $j = (h + 1)M - 1$ for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $h_0 = \min\{h \in \mathbb{N} : b_{i,j} \neq 0\}$ and write

$$q_{k,l} = b_{i_0, j_0} z_1^{i_0} z_2^{j_0} + q'_{k,l}$$

where $(i_0, j_0) = ((h_0 + 1)N - 1, (h_0 + 1)M - 1)$, and $q'_{k,l} = q_{k,l} - b_{i_0, j_0} z_1^{i_0} z_2^{j_0}$. Note that $T_{z_1^{h_0 N} z_2^{h_0 M}} T_{z_1^{(h_0+1)N} z_2^{(h_0+1)M}}^* q_{k,l} = q'_{k,l} \in \mathfrak{M}$, and it follows that

$$z_1^{i_0} z_2^{j_0} \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Since \mathfrak{M} is the reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$, then $z_1^{N-1} z_2^{M-1} \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Consequently, the reducing subspace developed by $z_1^{N-1} z_2^{M-1}$ has the form of (4.6). Thus statement (2) holds.

From the above proof, we deduce that each reducing subspace \mathfrak{M} contains a reducing subspace M , which means that M consists of all the minimal reducing subspaces.

Since each M is a reducing subspace of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$, if \mathfrak{M} is minimal then $\mathfrak{M} = M$.

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 2.4 in [5] by Shi and Lu showed that on the Bergman space over the bidisk the minimal reducing subspaces of $T_{z_1^N z_2^M}$ has the form

$$M_{n,m,a,b} = \overline{span}\{az_1^{n+hN} z_2^{m+hM} + b\delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m+hM)} z_2^{\rho_1(n+hN)}\}.$$

Note that since $(az_1^n z_2^m + b\delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m)} z_2^{\rho_1(n)}) z_1^{hN} z_2^{hM} = az_1^{n+hN} z_2^{m+hM} + b\delta_{n,m} z_1^{\rho_2(m+hM)} z_2^{\rho_1(n+hN)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{(-1,-1)}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is the Bergman space of the bidisk, Theorem 4.4 extends the result of Shi and Lu.

References

- [1] Jupiter D, Reddet D. Multipliers on Dirichlet type spaces. Acta Universitatis Szegediensis. Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum 2006; 72: 179-203.
- [2] Guo K, Huang H. On multiplication operators on the Bergman space: similarity, unitary equivalence and reducing subspaces. J Operator Theory 2009; 65: 355-378.
- [3] Guo K, Sun S, Zheng D, Zhong C. Multiplication operators on the Bergman space via the Hardy space of the bidisk. J Reine Angew Math 2009; 628: 129-168.
- [4] Lu Y, Zhou X. Invariant subspaces and reducing subspaces of weighted Bergman space over bidisk. J Math Soc Jpn 2010; 62: 745-765.
- [5] Shi Y, Lu Y. Reducing subspaces for Toeplitz operators on the polydisk. B Korean Math Soc 2013; 50: 687-696.
- [6] Stessin M, Zhu K. Reducing subspaces of weighted shift operators. Proc Amer Math Soc 2002; 130: 2631-2639.
- [7] Zhou X, Shi Y, Lu Y. Invariant subspaces and reducing subspaces of weighted Bergman space over polydisc. Sci Sin Math 2011; 41: 427-438.
- [8] Zhu K. Reducing subspaces for a class of multiplication operators. J Lond Math Soc 2000; 62: 553-568.