Turkish Journal of Botany

Volume 35 | Number 5 Article 3

1-1-2011

# Clarifying the ploidy of some accessions in the USDA alfalfa germplasm collection

MUHAMMET ŞAKİROĞLU

EDWARD CHARLES BRUMMER

TÜBİTAK

Research Article

Turk J Bot
35 (2011) 509-519

doi:10.3906/bot-1002-47

# Clarifying the ploidy of some accessions in the USDA alfalfa germplasm collection

Muhammet ŞAKİROĞLU[1,*], Edward Charles BRUMMER[2]

[1]Kafkas University, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Department of Biology, 36100 Kars - TURKEY

[2]The Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation, Forage Improvement Division, Ardmore, OK 73401 - USA

Received: 18.02.2010
Accepted: 19.03.2011

**Abstract:** Cultivated alfalfa was selected from a complex taxonomic group called the *Medicago sativa-falcata* complex. The complex includes a number of diploid (2n = 2x = 16) and tetraploid (2n = 4x = 32) taxa. Prior information of ploidy is vital for the effective utilisation of genetic resources in alfalfa breeding programs. From the US National Plant Germplasm System, we obtained the seeds of 256 wild accessions thought to be from the 3 diploid subspecies included in the *M. sativa-falcata* complex, in order to determine their ploidy level using flow cytometry: *M. sativa* subsp. *caerulea*, *M. sativa* subsp. *falcata*, and *M. sativa* subsp. *hemicycla*. A majority of the accessions classified as subsp. *hemicycla* were found to be either tetraploid (27 out of 32) or a mixture of diploid and tetraploid (2 out of 32), suggesting that they ought to be reclassified as subsp. *varia*. Only 3 accessions consisted of purely diploid genotypes. Out of 71 accessions classified as subsp. *caerulea*, 7 were found to be tetraploid and 8 accessions were admixed. Of the 153 accessions of subsp. *falcata*, 67 were diploid, 82 were tetraploid, and 4 accessions showed within-accession ploidy-level variation. We recommend reclassifying the tetraploid accessions to reflect the ploidy levels detected in this study.

**Key words:** Alfalfa germplasm, ploidy, *M. Sativa-falcata* complex

## ABD Tarım Bakanlığı Bitki Genetik Kaynaklar Sistemindeki bazı yonca aksesyonlarının ploidi düzeyinin tespiti

**Özet:** Kültürü yapılan yonca, karmaşık bir taksonomik gurup olan *Medicago sativa* tür kompleksi ya da *Medicago sativa-falcata* kompleksi olarak bilinen bir birimden ıslah yolu ile geliştirilmiştir. Kompleks aralarında tozlaşma engeli bulunmayan diploid (2n = 2x = 16) ve tetraploid (2n = 4x = 32) alttürlerden oluşmaktadır. Ploidi düzeyinin bilinmesi genetik kaynakların etkin kullanımı için hayati öneme haizdir. Bu çalışmada tohumları Amerika Birleşik Devletleri Ulusal Bitki Genetik Kaynaklar Sistemi kapsamında depo edilen ve *M. sativa-falcata* kompleksinin diploid alttürleri *M. sativa* subsp. *caerulea*, *M. sativa* subsp. *falcata* ve *M. sativa* subsp. *hemicycla* olarak geçici olarak sınıflandırılan 256 aksesyonun ploidi düzeyleri flov sitometri metodu kullanılarak tesbit edilmiştir. Subsp. *hemicycla* alttürü olarak sınıflandırılan 32 aksesyonun büyük çoğunluğunun ya tetraploid olduğu (27 aksesyon) ya da diploid-tetraploid karışımı (2 aksesyon) olduğu ve bunların subsp. *varia* olarak yeniden sınıflandırılmaları gerektiği tespit edildi. Subsp. *caerulea* olarak sınıflandırılan aksesyonlardan 71 aksesyonun ploidi düzeyi tespit edildi. Bunlardan 7 aksesyonun tetraploid olduğu ve 8 aksesyonunda tetraploid-diploid karışımı olduğu gözlemlendi. Subsp. *falcata* olarak sınıflandırılan ve ploidi düzeyini ölçtüğümüz 153 aksesyondan 67 tanesinin diploid, 82 tanesinin tetraploid ve 4 tanesinin aksesyon içi ploidi düzeyi çeşitliliğine sahip olduğu tespit edildi. Bu bulgular dikkate alındığında tetraploid aksesyonların yeniden sınıflandırılması gerekmektedir.

**Anahtar sözcükler:** Yonca genetik kaynakları, *M. sativa-falcata* kompleksi, ploidi

* E-mail: msakiroglu@kafkas.edu.tr

## Introduction

Ploidy is an important aspect of plant genomes and a majority of plant species are proposed to have undergone polyploidisation in their history (Grant, 1971). About 80% of all angiosperms are polyploids (Masterson, 1994), including the majority of economically important crops such as alfalfa, wheat, maize, sugar cane, potato, coffee, and cotton (Leitch & Bennett, 1997). Allopolyploidy is 1 of the 2 main types of polyploidy, resulting from interspecies hybridisation followed by chromosome doubling via unreduced gametes to stabilise the hybrid genome. In contrast, autopolyploidy refers to the doubling (or higher order increase) of the chromosome complement within a species (Grant, 1971; De Laat et al., 1987). Polyploidy is important for certain crop species because desirable agronomic and horticultural traits such as size and vigour are expressed in polyploids more often than in their diploid counterparts (Elliot, 1958).

Cultivated alfalfa, *Medicago sativa* L., is an autotetraploid (Stanford, 1951) derived from the *Medicago sativa-falcata* complex, which includes a number of species and subspecies that share the same karyotype (Quiros & Bauchan, 1988). The taxa included in the complex are differentiated based on morphology (mainly flower colour, pod shape, and pollen morphology) and ploidy. Only 2 ploidy levels are detected in the complex, diploid (2n = 2x = 16) and tetraploid (2n = 4x = 32) (Lesins & Lesins, 1979; McCoy & Bingham, 1988). The species or subspecies status of the taxa included in the complex was once considered to be contentious (Sinskaya, 1950; Lesins & Lesins, 1979; Ivanov & Brezhnev, 1988), but recently all of the taxa have been given subspecific status within the *M. sativa-falcata* complex (Quiros & Bauchan, 1988), a nomenclature that has been widely adopted (Şakiroğlu et al., 2010).

Among the subspecies included in the complex, *M. sativa* subsp. *falcata* has yellow flowers and sickle-shaped pods. Its distribution ranges from Germany to eastern Siberia and from the Black Sea to northern Russia. It is very well adapted to cold regions and thought to contribute winter hardiness to many modern cultivars (Quiros & Bauchan, 1988; Muller et al., 2006). Subsp. *falcata* also has the potential to increase yield in alfalfa breeding efforts (Riday et al., 2002; Riday & Brummer, 2002a, 2002b, 2004). In nature, subsp. *falcata* exists both in diploid and tetraploid levels.

The 2 purple-flowered subspecies in the complex that have coiled pods are different from each other based solely on ploidy level. The diploid subspecies is known as *M. sativa* subsp. *caerulea* and the tetraploid as *M. sativa* subsp. *sativa*. The purple-flowered species are adapted to temperate regions that include the Near and Middle East, the Mediterranean region, and Central and South Asia. The natural diploid hybrid between diploid *M. sativa* subsp. *falcata* and *M. sativa* subsp. *caerulea* has variegated flower colour along with intermediate pod coiling and is named *M. sativa* subsp. *hemicycla.* The tetraploid natural hybrid of *M. sativa* subsp. *falcata* and *M. sativa* subsp. *sativa* is *M. sativa* subsp. *varia*, which possesses a morphology similar to that of subsp. *hemicycla*. Hybridisation among taxa is common and gene flow between different ploidy levels is possible as a result of unreduced gametes (McCoy & Bingham, 1988).

Germplasm collections are vital to plant breeding programs since they can be used to enhance breeding material and aid in the selection of appropriate germplasm for genetic studies and conservation efforts (Lincy & Sasikumar, 2010; Sahai et al., 2010). The US Department of Agriculture's Germplasm Resources Information Network (USDA-GRIN) maintains 1 of the largest alfalfa germplasm collections in the world, including more than 4000 accessions collected throughout the natural distribution range of alfalfa and its close relatives. Although the majority of alfalfa cultivars are released at the tetraploid level, diploid cultivars have been developed, particularly for subsp. *falcata*. The gene flow across ploidy levels has been documented (Bingham & Sounders, 1974) and is more common from diploid to tetraploid. Thus, diploid genetic variation should be able to be transferred to tetraploid germplasm (McCoy, 1982; Veronesi et al., 1986). Moreover, in order to avoid complexities arising from tetrasomic inheritance, diploids are preferred in genetic studies, particularly since diploid and tetraploid genetic maps are highly syntenic (Kaló et al., 2000).

A prerequisite for using germplasm in taxonomic, genetic, or breeding experiments is the determination of its ploidy level. Ploidy determination in plants

has conventionally been conducted by chromosome counting of stained root tips using microscopy, but this method is laborious and occasionally misleading (Brummer et al., 1999; Tuna et al., 2001). Flow cytometry has been offered as an alternative tool for plant breeders to determine ploidy level and has been shown to be a robust method for determining the ploidy level of *M. sativa* accessions (Brummer et al. 1999). As the first comprehensive attempt to determine the ploidy level of a wide range of alfalfa accession, Brummer et al. (1999) used both the chromosome counting method and flow cytometry to evaluate the ploidy level of 283 accessions, mostly from subsp. *falcata*, that are maintained by the USDA-GRIN. This figure corresponds to less than 1% of the entire collection, however, and a majority of accessions still lack information on ploidy level. For this reason, the classification in the Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN) may be incorrect. In this study, we used flow cytometry to investigate ploidy levels of accessions putatively classified as 1 of 3 subspecies, subsp. *falcata*, subsp. *caerulea*, and subsp. *hemicycla*.

## Materials and methods

### Plant materials

We used 256 accessions from the USDA National Plant Germplasm System identified in GRIN that were originally collected from across the natural distribution of the 3 diploid subspecies of *M. sativa*: subsp. *falcata*, subsp. *caerulea*, and subsp. *hemicycla*. We sampled subsp. *falcata* extensively, since it includes both diploid and tetraploid accessions (total of 153 accessions). We tested 71 and 32 accessions from subsp. *caerulea* and subsp. *hemicycla*, respectively. Because flower colour is the main character used to distinguish among the current taxa, we recorded this information from each of the genotypes under greenhouse conditions in order to further verify their classification.

### Sample preparation

Alfalfa seedlings were grown in greenhouses at Iowa State University and the University of Georgia for about 4 weeks. Young leaves were harvested from the freshly picked true leaves of each plant. An equal amount of leaf tissue from 4 individual genotypes from each accession was combined into 1 sample of 50 mg. If variation in ploidy level was detected in the pooled sample, a new sample of 50 mg of leaf tissue was prepared from each of the individual genotypes and run independently.

We followed the procedure explained by Galbraith et al. (1983) for the flow cytometry. In order to recover nuclei, the leaf material was chopped with a one-sided sharp razor blade in a petri dish containing chopping buffer prepared according to the method of Galbraith et al. (1983). After chopping, the buffer, containing cell constituents and large tissue remnants, was passed sequentially through nylon filters of 50-µm and 20-µm mesh size to separate nuclei from the cell debris. The buffer with nuclei was then centrifuged at high speed (800 rpm for 5 min), the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 100 µL of a propidium iodide (PI) staining solution at a concentration of 100 µg/mL. All of the sample preparation procedures were performed in darkness on ice and the analyses were performed within a couple of hours of preparation.

The samples were analysed on a Cytomics FC 500 (Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA) flow cytometer at the University of Georgia's Flow Cytometry Facility and on an EPICS ALTRA (Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA) flow cytometer at the Iowa State University Flow Cytometry Facility with a wavelength of 488 nm. Mean DNA content was based on the analysis of 10,000 nuclei. Diploid (PI440531) and tetraploid (PI499550) plants of known ploidy (Brummer et al., 1999) were selected as diploid and tetraploid standards and genome sizes of all samples were compared to the 2 standards.

## Results and discussion

The USDA-GRIN system has adopted nomenclature from Quiros and Bauchan (1988) for taxa included in the *M. sativa-falcata* complex, and we used USDA-GRIN nomenclature to assign accessions into subspecies. Out of 256 accessions analysed, 71 accessions were classified as subsp. *caerulea* in the USDA-GRIN system, 153 accessions as subsp. *falcata*, and 32 as subsp. *hemicycla*.

We sampled all of the *hemicycla* accessions from the USDA collections available at the time. Out

of the 32 accessions evaluated, only 3 accessions were found to be completely diploid (PI634111, PI641615, and PI641619). The flower colour of accessions PI641615 and PI641619 were purple; however, genomic composition based on molecular markers confirmed that they indeed belonged to subsp. *hemicycla* (Şakiroğlu et al., 2010). Two of the accessions classified as subsp. *hemicycla* (PI634128, PI641593) were found to consist of diploid and tetraploid individuals. Variation in the ploidy level of alfalfa has been previously reported within accessions (Brummer et al., 1999). The rest of the 27 accessions were found to be tetraploid. The entire set of USDA-GRIN subsp. *hemicycla* accessions was gathered from Kazakhstan, and ploidy levels were determined using chromosome counting from the stained root tips (S. Greene, personal communication). Due to the extensive deviation in the ploidy level of subsp. *hemicycla* accessions from the GRIN report, we measured the ploidy levels of these accessions twice at each of the 2 different institutions involved in this study; our results were consistent between the 2 locations. Chromosome counting from the stained root tips was noted to be occasionally erroneous for determining the ploidy level of alfalfa accessions (Brummer et al., 1999). Therefore, provided that the morphological traits agree with hybrid expectations, we propose that these 27 accessions should be reclassified as subsp. *varia*.

In general, flower colour alone is not sufficient enough to accurately assign accessions to subspecies at a given ploidy level. We noted, based on genome composition analyses, that the hybrid subspecies *hemicycla* could also express yellow and purple flowers in addition to the expected variegated flower colour (Şakiroğlu et al., 2010). When flower colour is considered along with pod shape data, however, it is possible to accurately assign populations into subspecies (Şakiroğlu et al., 2010). Yellow-flowered accessions with pods having fewer than one coil could be denoted as subsp. *falcata*, whereas those featuring yellow flowers and pods with one coil or more are in fact subsp. *hemicycla*. Similarly, subsp. *caerulea* accessions have pods with more than 1.5 coils. If subsp. *hemicycla* accessions have purple flowers, they tend to have pods with fewer than 1.5 coils (Şakiroğlu et al., 2010).

Since subsp. *caerulea* is known as the diploid version of subsp. *sativa*, all of the accessions are expected to be diploid; any tetraploid accession in this group is considered as a misidentification and ought to be reclassified as subsp. *sativa*, provided that it has purple flowers and coiled pods. We evaluated the ploidy levels of 72 out of 88 available subsp. *caerulea* accessions, a figure that corresponds to more than 80% of the entire USDA subsp. *caerulea* collection. We found that 7 subsp. *caerulea* accessions (PI312458, PI440512, PI464711, PI631954, PI634122, PI634126, and PI634178) were tetraploid; they should be reclassified as subsp. *sativa*. Within-accession variation in ploidy level was detected in 8 accessions (PI206453, PI315465, PI325318, PI502435, PI502436, PI502437, PI577539, and PI577541). Among the diploid accessions, some had deviations in flower colour. This implies that in addition to ploidy level, flower colour should also be evaluated in order to ensure a more accurate assignment of accessions to subspecies. The Afghani accession PI222198 was classified as subsp. *caerulea* in the USDA-GRIN system; however, it was found to have yellow flowers and should thus be reclassified as subsp. *falcata*. The Russian accession PI315460 and Kazakh accession PI641603 had within-accession variation of flower colour. Each accession had individuals with variegated flower colour in addition to individuals with purple flowers. These 2 accessions were proposed to be reclassified as subsp. *hemicycla* based on genome composition deduced from SSR marker profiles (Şakiroğlu et al., 2010).

Subsp. *falcata* contains both diploid and tetraploid accessions and the ploidy level of the majority of accessions is not known. The USDA collections include over 400 accessions denoted as subsp. *falcata*; we screened 153 of those accessions. Our results found 67 accessions (approximately 44%) to be diploid, 82 (approximately 54%) to be tetraploid, and 4 to demonstrate within-accession ploidy-level variation (PI115365, PI440527, PI631571, and PI631708) (Table). It should be noted that these proportions do not reflect the true proportions of subsp. *falcata* germplasm at each ploidy level in the USDA collections, because in some cases, we selected questionable diploid accessions in order to confirm their status. The real proportion of diploid accessions denoted as subsp. *falcata* was reported to be around

Table. Information on the ploidy level, flower colour, and country of origin of the Medicago sativa accessions evaluated. Accessions are categorised based on their current GRIN assignments. Proposed reclassifications, based on ploidy or flower colour, are also identified.

| Accession | Country of origin | Ploidy level | Flower colour[a] |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | *Medicago sativa* subsp. *caerulea* | |
| PI179370 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI210367 | Iran | 16 | P |
| PI212798 | Iran | 16 | P |
| PI222198[b] | Afghanistan | 16 | Y |
| PI243225 | Iran | 16 | P |
| PI283640 | Former Soviet Union | 16 | P |
| PI299045 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |
| PI299046 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |
| PI307395 | Former Soviet Union | 16 | P |
| PI314267 | Uzbekistan | 16 | P |
| PI314275 | Uzbekistan | 16 | P |
| PI315460[c] | Russian Federation | 16 | P/V |
| PI315462 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |
| PI315466 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |
| PI325381 | Russian Federation | 16 | ND |
| PI440500 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI440501 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI440502 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI440505 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI440507 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI440514 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI464712 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464713 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464714 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464715 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464717 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464718 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464719 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464720 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464721 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464722 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464723 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464724 | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI505871 | Former Soviet Union | 16 | P |
| PI577542 | Russian Federation | 16 | ND |
| PI577543 | Georgia | 16 | P |
| PI577544 | Russian Federation | 16 | ND |
| PI577545 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |
| PI577546 | Georgia | 16 | P |
| PI577547 | Georgia | 16 | P |
| PI577548 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |

Table. (Continued).

| Accession | Country of origin | Ploidy level | Flower colour[a] |
|---|---|---|---|
| PI577549 | Georgia | 16 | P |
| PI577551 | Canada | 16 | P |
| PI577552 | Canada | 16 | ND |
| PI631921 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |
| PI631922 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI631924 | Armenia | 16 | P |
| PI631925 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI631926 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |
| PI634119 | Kazakhstan | 16 | ND |
| PI634136 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI634174 | Kazakhstan | 16 | ND |
| PI634176 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI641380 | Russian Federation | 16 | P |
| PI641601 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI641603[c] | Kazakhstan | 16 | P/V |
| PI641606 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI312458[d] | Russian Federation | 32 | ND |
| PI440512[d] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI464711[d] | Turkey | 32 | ND |
| PI631954[d] | Pakistan | 32 | ND |
| PI634122[d] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634126[d] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634178[d] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI206453[d] | Turkey | 16/32 | ND |
| PI315465 | Russian Federation | 16/32 | ND |
| PI325318 | Former Soviet Union | 16/32 | ND |
| PI502435 | Kazakhstan | 16/32 | ND |
| PI502436 | Kazakhstan | 16/32 | ND |
| PI502437 | Russian Federation | 16/32 | P |
| PI577539 | Turkey | 16/32 | ND |
| PI577541 | Kazakhstan | 16/32 | P |
| | | *Medicago sativa* subsp. *falcata* | |
| PI231731 | Former Soviet Union | 16 | ND |
| PI234815 | Switzerland | 16 | ND |
| PI251689 | Former Soviet Union | 16 | ND |
| PI251690 | Former Soviet Union | 16 | Y |
| PI251830 | Austria | 16 | Y |
| PI258752 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI262532 | Israel | 16 | ND |
| PI263154 | Russia | 16 | ND |
| PI315471 | Russia | 16 | ND |
| PI315480 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI325387 | Russia | 16 | Y |

Table. (Continued).

| Accession | Country of origin | Ploidy level | Flower colour[a] |
|---|---|---|---|
| PI325396 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI325399 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI422560 | Former Soviet Union | 16 | ND |
| PI464726[e] | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464727[c] | Turkey | 16 | P |
| PI464728 | Turkey | 16 | Y |
| PI464729 | Turkey | 16 | Y |
| PI486205 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI486206 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI486207 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI494662 | Romania | 16 | Y |
| PI494663 | Romania | 16 | ND |
| PI502438 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI502440 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI502447 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI502448 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI502449 | Former Soviet Union | 16 | Y |
| PI538987 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI577555 | Ukraine | 16 | Y |
| PI577556 | Bulgaria | 16 | Y |
| PI577558 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631546 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631549 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631556 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631561 | Switzerland | 16 | Y |
| PI631566 | Bulgaria | 16 | Y |
| PI631568 | Italy | 16 | Y |
| PI631577 | Italy | 16 | Y |
| PI631650 | Bulgaria | 16 | Y |
| PI631652 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631654 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631656 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631658 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631660 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631661 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631666 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631667 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631668 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631689 | Bulgaria | 16 | Y |
| PI631691 | Bulgaria | 16 | Y |
| PI631707 | China | 16 | Y |
| PI631807 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631809 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631812 | Russia | 16 | Y |

Table. (Continued).

| Accession | Country of origin | Ploidy level | Flower colour[a] |
|---|---|---|---|
| PI631814 | Russia | 16 | P |
| PI631816 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631817 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631818 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631829 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI631842 | Sweden | 16 | ND |
| PI634034 | Russia | 16 | Y |
| PI634106 | Ukraine | 16 | Y |
| PI641387 | Russia | 16 | ND |
| PI641394 | Germany | 16 | ND |
| PI641543 | Mongolia | 16 | Y |
| PI641544 | Mongolia | 16 | Y |
| PI325408 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI384506 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI452460 | Canada | 32 | ND |
| PI440536 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI502444 | Ukraine | 32 | ND |
| PI502451 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI542800 | Slovenia | 32 | ND |
| PI577564 | Russia | 32 | Y |
| PI631543 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI631592 | Italy | 32 | ND |
| PI631594 | Greece | 32 | ND |
| PI631595 | France | 32 | ND |
| PI631620 | India | 32 | ND |
| PI631637 | Mongolia | 32 | ND |
| PI631640 | Mongolia | 32 | ND |
| PI631685 | Mongolia | 32 | ND |
| PI631686 | Mongolia | 32 | ND |
| PI631690 | Bulgaria | 32 | ND |
| PI631704 | China | 32 | ND |
| PI631794 | France | 32 | ND |
| PI631837 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI631839 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI631843 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI631845 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI631849 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI631850 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI631855 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI631857 | Sweden | 32 | ND |
| PI634027 | Italy | 32 | ND |
| PI634029 | Canada | 32 | ND |
| PI634031 | Canada | 32 | ND |
| PI634032 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI634090 | Mongolia | 32 | ND |
| PI634112 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |

Table. (Continued).

| Accession | Country of origin | Ploidy level | Flower colour[a] |
|---|---|---|---|
| PI634114 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634118 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634131 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634133 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634134 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634135 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634147 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634158 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634159 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634162 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634180 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634181 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634182 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634183 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641377 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641381 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641382 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641383 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641384 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641385 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641386 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641388 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641389 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641399 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641400 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641401 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641402 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641403 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641416 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641442 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641443 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641463 | Russia | 32 | ND |
| PI641545 | Mongolia | 32 | ND |
| PI641546 | Mongolia | 32 | ND |
| PI641548 | Mongolia | 32 | ND |
| PI641575 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641577 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641580 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641581 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641582 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641585 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641587 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641588 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641589 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641592 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641599 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |

Table. (Continued).

| Accession | Country of origin | Ploidy level | Flower colour[a] |
|---|---|---|---|
| PI641613 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641620 | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI115365 | Former Soviet Union | 16/32 | ND |
| PI440527 | Russia | 16/32 | ND |
| PI631571 | Bulgaria | 16/32 | Y |
| PI631708 | China | 16/32 | ND |
| | | *Medicago sativa* subsp. *hemicycla* | |
| PI634111 | Kazakhstan | 16 | ND |
| PI641615 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI641619 | Kazakhstan | 16 | P |
| PI634121[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634123[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634129[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634130[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634139[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634146[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634160[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634163[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634166[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634170[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634172[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634175[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634179[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634184[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634185[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641571[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641574[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641576[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641578[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641579[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641586[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641590[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641597[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641602[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641609[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641612[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI641616[f] | Kazakhstan | 32 | ND |
| PI634128 | Kazakhstan | 16/32 | Y/P/V |

[a] P indicates purple flower colour, Y indicates yellow, V indicates variegated colour, and ND indicates that data on flower colour is not available for these accessions.
[b] Should be reclassified as M. sativa subsp. falcata.
[c] Should be reclassified as M. sativa subsp. hemicycla.
[d] Should be reclassified as M. sativa subsp. sativa.
[e] Should be reclassified as M. sativa subsp. caerulea.
[f] Should be reclassified as M. sativa subsp. varia.

32% (Brummer at al., 1999). Three accessions (PI464726, PI464727, and PI631814) initially assigned to subsp. *falcata* had purple flowers and should be reclassified accordingly. It was suggested that accession PI464726 be reclassified as subsp. *caerulea* while the other 2 accessions (PI464727 and PI631814) be reclassified as subsp. *hemicycla* based on genome composition (Şakiroğlu et al., 2010).

The large number of accessions maintained in the USDA-GRIN system provides an excellent base to enhance alfalfa breeding germplasm, since the collection has been gathered from the entire natural distribution range of alfalfa. However, the relationship among the taxa included in the *M. sativa-falcata* complex poses a challenge for the effective usage of genetic resources. The appropriate assignment of accession to subspecies and the characterisation of germplasm is required prior to the incorporation of wild and semiimproved material into the breeding programs. Flow cytometry is a robust method of determining the ploidy level of alfalfa accessions and it offers a fast, reliable, and inexpensive method for determining the ploidy level of all of the accessions maintained by USDA-GRIN.

All findings presented in this paper have been submitted to USDA-GRIN system.

## References


Bingham ET & Saunders JW (1974). Chromosome manipulations in alfalfa: scaling the cultivated tetraploid to seven ploidy levels. *Crop Sci* 14: 474.

Brummer EC, Cazcarro PM & Luth D (1999). Ploidy determination of alfalfa germplasm accessions using flow cytometry. *Crop Sci* 39: 1202.

De Laat AMM, Göhde W & Vogelzang M (1987). Determination of ploidy of single plants and plant populations by flow cytometry. *Plant Breed* 99: 303-307.

Elliot FC (1958). *Plant Breeding and Cytogenetics*. Toronto: McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc.

Galbraith DW, Harkins KR, Maddox JM, Ayres NM, Sharma DP & Firoozabady E (1983). Rapid flow cytometric analysis of the cell cycle in intact plant tissues. *Science* 220: 1049-1051.

Grant V (1971). *Plant Speciation*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Ivanov AI & Brezhnev DD (1988). *Alfalfa*. New Delhi: A.A. Balkema.

Kaló P, Endre G, Zimanyi L, Csanadi G & Kiss GB (2000). Construction of an improved linkage map of diploid alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Theor Appl Genet* 100: 641-657.

Leitch IJ & Bennett MD (1997). Polyploidy in angiosperms. *Trends Plant Sci* 2: 470-476.

Lesins KA & Lesins I (1979). *Genus Medicago (Leguminosae)*. The Hague: Dr. W. Junk Publishers.

Lincy A & Sasikumar B (2010). Enhanced adventitious shoot regeneration from aerial stem explants of ginger using TDZ and its histological studies. *Turk J Bot* 34: 21-29

Masterson J (1994). Stomatal size in fossil plants: evidence for polyploidy in majority of angiosperms. *Science* 264: 421.

McCoy TJ (1982). The inheritance of 2n pollen formation in diploid alfalfa *Medicago sativa*. *Genome* 24: 315-323.

McCoy TJ & Bingham ET (1988). Cytology and Cytogenetics of Alfalfa. In: Hanson AA, Barnes DK & Hill RR (eds.) *Alfalfa and Alfalfa Improvement*, pp. 737-771. Madison, WI, USA: ASA-CSSA-SSSA.

Muller MH, Poncet C, Prosperi JM, Santoni S & Ronfort J (2006). Domestication history in the *Medicago sativa* species complex: inferences from nuclear sequence polymorphism. *Mol Ecol* 15: 1589-1602.

Quiros CF & Bauchan GR (1988). The genus *Medicago* and the origin of the *Medicago sativa* complex. In: Hanson AA, Barnes DK & Hill RR (eds.) *Alfalfa and Alfalfa Improvement*, pp. 93-121. Madison, WI, USA: ASA-CSSA-SSSA.

Riday H & Brummer EC (2002a). Heterosis of agronomic traits in alfalfa. *Crop Sci* 42: 1081-1087.

Riday H & Brummer EC (2002b). Forage yield heterosis in alfalfa. *Crop Sci* 42: 716-723.

Riday H & Brummer EC (2004). Morphological variation of *Medicago sativa* subsp. *falcata* genotypes and their hybrid progeny. *Euphytica* 138: 1-12.

Riday H, Brummer EC & Moore KJ (2002). Heterosis of forage quality in alfalfa. *Crop Sci* 42: 1088-1093.

Sahai A, Shahzad A & Anis M (2010). High frequency plant production via shoot organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis from callus in *Tylophora indica*, an endangered plant species. *Turk J Bot* 34: 11-20.

Sinskaya EN (1950). *Flora of Cultivated Plants of the USSR: XIII. Perennial Leguminous Plants*. Jerusalem: Israel Program of Scientific Translations.

Stanford EH (1951). Tetrasomic inheritance in alfalfa. *Agron J* 43: 222-225.

Şakiroğlu M, Doyle JJ & Brummer EC (2010). Inferring population structure and genetic diversity of broad range of wild diploid alfalfa (*Medicago Sativa* L.) accessions using SSR markers. *Theor Appl Genet* 121: 403-415.

Tuna M, Vogel KP, Arumuganathan K & Gill KS (2001). DNA content and ploidy determination of bromegrass germplasm accessions by flow cytometry. *Crop Sci* 41: 1629-1634.

Veronesi F, Mariani A & Bingham ET (1986). Unreduced gametes in diploid *Medicago* and their importance in alfalfa breeding. *Theor Appl Genet* 72: 37-41.