

1-1-2018

Restriction of a quadratic form over a finite field to a nondegenerate affine quadric hypersurface

EDOARDO BALLICO

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

BALLICO, EDOARDO (2018) "Restriction of a quadratic form over a finite field to a nondegenerate affine quadric hypersurface," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 1, Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1612-20>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol42/iss1/1>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Restriction of a quadratic form over a finite field to a nondegenerate affine quadric hypersurface

Edoardo BALLICO*

Department of Mathematics, University of Trento, Povo, Trentino, Italy

Received: 05.12.2016

Accepted/Published Online: 24.02.2017

Final Version: 22.01.2018

Abstract: Let $h, h_M : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ be quadratic forms with h not degenerate. Fix $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and set $C_n(k, h)_{\mathbb{F}_q} := \{h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$. We compute (in many cases) the image of $h_M|_{C_n(k, h)_{\mathbb{F}_q}}$. This question is related to a question on the numerical range of matrices over a finite field.

Key words: Quadratic form, finite field

1. Introduction

For any field K let $M_{n,n}(K)$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in K . Take a field K , a nondegenerate quadratic form $h : K^n \rightarrow K$, and an $n \times n$ matrix $M = (m_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(K)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. For any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n$ set $h_M(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{ij} m_{ij} x_i x_j$. For any $k \in K$ set $C_n(k, h)_K := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n \mid h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k\}$. Let $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h,K} \subseteq K$ be the set of all $h_M(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_n(k, h)_K$. We came to this topic in [1], motivated to a similar set-up related to the numerical range of a matrix over a finite field introduced in [2]. We consider the case in which K is a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and prove the following result.

Theorem 1 Take $n \geq 2$, any nondegenerate quadratic form $h : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$, any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and any $M \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

(a) Assume $k = 0$. Either $\text{Num}_0(M)_{h,\mathbb{F}_q} = \{0\}$ or $\text{Num}_0(M)_{h,\mathbb{F}_q} = \mathbb{F}_q$ or q is odd, $\#\text{Num}_0(M)_{h,\mathbb{F}_q} = (q+1)/2$ and there is $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $\text{Num}_0(M)_{h,\mathbb{F}_q}$ is the union of $\{0\}$ and all $g \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that g/c is a square.

(b) Assume $n \geq 3$ and $q \neq 2$. $\#\text{Num}_k(M)_{h,\mathbb{F}_q} = 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if h_M is a multiple of h .

(c) Assume $\#\text{Num}_k(M)_{h,\mathbb{F}_q} \neq 1$. If $n = 2$, then $\#\text{Num}_k(M)_{h,\mathbb{F}_q} \geq \lceil (q-1)/4 \rceil$. If $n \geq 3$, then $\#\text{Num}_k(M)_{h,\mathbb{F}_q} \geq \lceil q/2 \rceil$.

See Example 1 for a discussion on the strength of parts (a) and (c) of Theorem 1.

See [3, Ch. 5] and [4, §22.1] for the classification of nondegenerate quadratic forms. In [1, §3] we considered the case $k = 0$ of a similar problem with instead of h the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, which is nondegenerate if q is odd, but it has rank 1 if q is even. For any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ set $C_n(k)_q := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = k\}$.

*Correspondence: ballico@science.unitn.it

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

Let $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$ be the set of all $h_M(u)$ with $u \in C_n(k)_q$. In Section 3 we consider the case in which we take $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ instead of h . We improve in this case part (c) of Theorem 1 (see Proposition 3 for q odd). We give very precise descriptions of $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$ when M is the matrix with a unique Jordan block (see Propositions 4, 5, and 6 for the cases $n = 2, 3, 4$, respectively). We get $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$ for all $n \geq 4$ for these matrices (Proposition 6 and Remark 6). In each case standard lemmas or reduction steps compute $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$ for many matrices related to direct sums of Jordan blocks.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

For any field K set $K^* := K \setminus \{0\}$. Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n . For each $n > 0$ let $\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}$ denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Remark 1 Fix $M = (m_{ij}), N = (n_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $m_{ii} = n_{ii}$ for all i and $m_{ij} + m_{ji} = n_{ij} + n_{ji}$ for all $i \neq j$. Then $h_M = h_N$.

Remark 2 Fix $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$, positive integers n, m , $A \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and $B \in M_{m,m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Set $M := A \oplus B \in M_{n+m,n+m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We have

$$\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \cup_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q, k_1 + k_2 = k} \text{Num}_{k_1}(A)_q + \text{Num}_{k_2}(B)_q.$$

For any nondegenerate h we also have

$$\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} = \cup_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q, k_1 + k_2 = k} \text{Num}_{k_1}(A)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} + \text{Num}_{k_2}(B)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}.$$

Lemma 1 For any $n \geq 2$, any nondegenerate quadratic form h , and any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof We have $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $h : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ has k in its image. Thus, $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} \neq \emptyset$ for all k if and only if h is surjective. If q is odd, then h is surjective by [6, Theorem 4.12]. If q is even, then h is surjective by [6, Theorem 4.16]. □

Lemma 2 Assume $n \geq 3$ and $q \neq 2$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) h_M is proportional to h ;
- (b) there is $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}) = 1$;
- (c) $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof By Lemma 1 we have $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} \neq \emptyset$. For each $t, w \in \mathbb{F}_q$ the system $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) - k = h_M(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - w = 0$ has a solution if and only if $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) - k = h_M(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - th(x_1, \dots, x_n) - (w - tk) = 0$ has a solution. Hence, if h_M is a multiple of h , then $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Now assume $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}) = 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Set $Z := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k\}$. We take x_1, \dots, x_n, z as homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^n and set $Z' := \{(x_1 : \dots : x_n : z) \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = kz^2\}$. If $k = 0$, then Z' is a quadric cone with vertex $(0 : \dots, 0 : 1) \notin Z$ and with as a basis the smooth quadric $\{h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. If $k \neq 0$ and q is odd, then Z' is a smooth quadric hypersurface, because the partial derivative ∂/∂_z of $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) - kz^2$ is $-2kz$, which vanishes only if $z = 0$, while the partial derivatives of $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ vanish simultaneously only at $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$, because h is assumed to

be nondegenerate. If q is even, then Z' is nondegenerate for n even, while it has corank 1 if n is odd (use the canonical forms in [3, Theorem 5.1.7] or [4, §22.1]).

Claim 1: Assume q odd, $k \neq 0$, and $n = 3$. Then Z' is a hyperbolic quadric.

Proof of Claim 1: Take $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $-a$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_q . Since all smooth conics over \mathbb{F}_q are projectively equivalent ([3, Theorem 5.1.6]), there is a linear change of coordinates such that $h(y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1y_2 + ak y_3^2$, where y_1, y_2, y_3 are the new linear coordinates. Hence, $h(y_1, y_2, y_3) - kz^2 = y_1y_2 - k(z^2 + ay_3^2)$. By the choice of a we have $z^2 + ay_3^2 = w_3w_4$ with w_3, w_4 a linear combination of y_3 and z . Since Z' is nondegenerate, w_3 and w_4 are not proportional. In the coordinates y_1, y_2, w_3, w_4 the quadric Z' has the canonical form of a hyperbolic quadric.

Claim 2: For each $u \in Z'$ there is a line $\ell \subset Z'$ with $u \in \ell$.

Proof of Claim 2: If $k = 0$, then Claim 2 is true, because Z' is a cone. If $n \geq 4$, then Claim 2 is true for an arbitrary quadric hypersurface. If $n = 3$, $k \neq 0$, and q is even, then Claim 2 is true, because Z' is a cone. If $n = 3$, $n \neq 0$, and q is odd, then Claim 2 is equivalent to Claim 1.

If $h_M(u) = 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, then it is a multiple of h , because for $n \geq 3$ no homogeneous degree 2 polynomial vanishes at all points of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Hence, we may assume that the quadratic function h_M induces a nonconstant map $u : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$. Since u is not constant, for each $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ the set $u^{-1}(t)$ is an affine quadric hypersurface of \mathbb{F}_q^n defined over \mathbb{F}_q . By assumption the affine quadric hypersurface $C_n(k, h)_{\mathbb{F}_q} = \{h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k\}$ is one of the fibers of u , say $C_n(k, h)_{\mathbb{F}_q} = u^{-1}(t)$. Set $W := \{(x_1 : \dots : x_n : z) \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid h_M(x_1, \dots, x_n) - tz^2 = 0\}$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the hyperplane $\{z = 0\}$. Take $u \in Z$ and call L a line defined over \mathbb{F}_q , contained in Z' and with $u \in L$ (Claim 2). We have $\sharp(L \cap Z) = q$. By assumption $W \setminus W \cap H \supseteq L \cap Z$. Since $\sharp(L \cap W) \geq q \geq 3 > \deg(h_M)$, we have $L \subset W$. Hence, we see that W contains all lines of Z' intersecting Z . By Claim 2 this implies first that W has the same rank as Z' and then that $Z' = W$. Since $n \geq 3$, there is $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $h_M(x_1, \dots, x_m) - t = c(h(x_1, \dots, x_m) - k)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. We have $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 1.

Lemma 2 gives part (b). We take Z and Z' as in the proof of Lemma 2.

(a) Take $k = 0$. Taking $0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ we get $0 \in \text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}$. Assume the existence of $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \cap \text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}$ and take $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ such that $h_M(a_1, \dots, a_n) = c$. Note that for any $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have $(ta_1, \dots, ta_n) \in Z$ and $h_M(ta_1, \dots, ta_n) = t^2c$. Hence, $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}$ contains all elements $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that c/x is a square. If q is even we get that either $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} = \{0\}$ or $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} = \mathbb{F}_q$. If q is odd we get that $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}) \in \{1, (q+1)/2, q\}$ and the description in part (a).

(b) From now on we fix $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and we assume $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}) > 1$. First assume $n = 2$. In this case Z is a nonempty affine conic whose degree 2 part has rank 2 and hence $\sharp(Z) \geq q - 1$. Since h_M is induced by a degree 2 polynomial and $Z \not\subseteq h_M^{-1}(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$, each fiber of $h_{M|Z}$ has cardinality ≤ 4 and hence the image of $h_{M|Z}$ has cardinality $\geq \lceil (q-1)/4 \rceil$.

Now assume $n \geq 3$. By assumption $h_{M|Z}$ is not a constant. Take a line $L \subset Z'$ such that $L \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. We have $\sharp(L \cap Z) = q$. Since $h_{M|L \cap Z}$ is induced by a polynomial of degree ≤ 2 , either $h_{M|L \cap Z}$ is constant or each fiber of $h_{M|L \cap Z}$ has cardinality at most 2. In the latter case the image of $h_{M|Z \cap L}$ has cardinality $\geq q/2$. Thus, to conclude the proof of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to find a line $L \subset Z'$ such that $L \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $h_{M|L \cap Z}$ is not a constant. We assume that no such a line exists. By assumption $m := h_{M|Z} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is not constant.

Take $o, o' \in Z$ such that $m(o) \neq m(o')$. By Claim 2 of the proof of Lemma 2 there are lines $L, L' \subset Z'$ such that $o \in L$ and $o' \in L'$. Our assumptions on the lines of Z' meeting Z imply that $m|_{L \cap Z}$ and $m|_{L' \cap Z}$ are constant. Let $R \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the line spanned by $\{o, o'\}$. Since $o, o' \in Z$ and $m(o) \neq m(o')$, our assumption on the lines contained in Z' and intersecting Z implies $R \not\subset Z'$.

(b1) Assume $L \cap L' = \emptyset$. In this case the linear span $E \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $L \cup L'$ has dimension 3. First assume $E \subset Z'$. In this case the line R joining o and o' is contained in Z' , a contradiction. Now assume $E \not\subset Z'$ and so $E \cap Z'$ is a quadric hypersurface of E defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Since $E \cap Z'$ contains two disjoint lines (L and L') either $Z' \cap E$ is a smooth hyperbolic quadric surface or it is the union of two different planes ([4, page 4]).

(b1.1) Assume that $Z' \cap E$ is a smooth hyperbolic quadric surface. Since $L \cap L' = \emptyset$, L and L' are in the same ruling of $Z' \cap E$ (call it the first ruling of $E \cap Z$). Since $Z \cap E \neq \emptyset$, $Z' \cap E \cap H$ is a divisor of bidegree $(1, 1)$, i.e. either a reducible conic or a smooth conic. For any $a \in L$ let R_a be the line of the second ruling of $E \cap Z'$ containing a . The set $R_a \cap L'$ is a unique point, b_a , and the map $a \mapsto b_a$ induces a bijection $L \rightarrow L'$. Since $\sharp(L \cap Z) = \sharp(L' \cap Z) = q > 2$, there is $a \in L \cap Z$ with $b_a \in L' \cap Z$. Since $m|_{Z \cap R_a}$ is not constant, we get a contradiction.

(b1.2) Assume that $Z' \cap E = H_1 \cup H_2$ with H_1 and H_2 planes. Note that this case does not occur if $n = 3$, because h is nonsingular. Each H_i is defined over \mathbb{F}_q , because $Z' \cap H$ contains 2 disjoint lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Fix $b \in H_1 \cap H_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. There are lines $L_1 \subset H_1$, $L_2 \subset H_2$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q , with $L_i \neq H_1 \cap H_2$, $L_i \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ for all i and $\{b\} = L_1 \cap L_2$. Since $m|_{Z \cap D}$ is constant for every line $D \subset Z'$ with $D \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, we get $H_1 \cap H_2 \subset H$. Hence, $H_i \setminus H_1 \cap H_2 \subset Z$. By step (b1.1) we get that this is the case for all lines L, L' with $Z \cap L \neq \emptyset$, $Z \cap L' \neq \emptyset$, and $L \cap L' = \emptyset$. In particular, for every line $D \subset Z'$ with $L \cap D = \emptyset$ and $D \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, we have $D \cap H_1 \cap H_2 \neq \emptyset$ and the plane U_D spanned by $D \cup (H_1 \cap H_2)$ is contained in Z' . Fix one such line D not contained in E . In the same way we check that $T \cap H_1 \cap H_2 \neq \emptyset$ for each line $T \subset Z'$ with $T \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and either $T \cap L' = \emptyset$ or $T \cap D = \emptyset$ or $T \cap L = \emptyset$. Every line J with $J \cap L \neq \emptyset$ and $J \cap L' \neq \emptyset$ is contained in E . If $D \cap E = \emptyset$ (we are always in this case if $n \geq 5$), then we get that every line T contained in Z' and intersecting Z (i.e. not contained in H) meets the line $H_1 \cap H_2$, which is obviously false since Z' has rank at least $n \geq 4$ and every point of Z' is contained in a line contained in Z' . If $D \cap E$ is a point, u , then we take instead of D a line D' with $u \notin D'$, $D' \subset Z'$, $D' \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, and $L \cap D' = \emptyset$. We get $T \cap D' = \emptyset$ if $T \subset E$ and $u \in T$, and conclude using D' instead of D .

(b2) Assume q odd and $L \cap L' \neq \emptyset$. Since $m|_{L \cap Z}$ and $m|_{L' \cap Z}$ are constant and different functions, we have $L \cap L' \in H$. Let $F \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the plane spanned by $L \cup L'$. F is defined over \mathbb{F}_q . We have $R \subset F$. If $F \subset Z'$, then $R \subset Z'$, a contradiction. Hence, $F \cap Z' = L \cup L'$. For any $a \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus F$ let W_a be the 3-dimensional linear space spanned by $F \cup \{a\}$. W_a is defined over \mathbb{F}_q and $W_a \cap Z'$ is a quadric surface defined over \mathbb{F}_q and containing 2 intersecting lines and at least another point not in the plane they spanned. Hence, $W_a \cap Z$ is either a hyperbolic quadric surface or an irreducible quadric cone with vertex the point $L \cap L'$ or the union of two different planes, each of them defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Since q is odd, Z' is not a cone. Since Z' is not a cone with vertex $L \cap L'$, we may find $a \in Z$ such that $W_a \cap Z'$ is not a cone with vertex containing the point $L \cap L'$. Now assume $W_a \cap Z' = H_1 \cup H_2$ with each H_i a plane defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Since $F \not\subset Z'$, H_1 contains one of the lines L, L' (say, it contains L) and H_2 contains the other one, L' . Hence, $L \cap L' \in H_1 \cap H_2$. Thus, $W_a \cap Z'$ is a cone with vertex containing $L \cap L'$.

Now assume that $Z' \cap E$ is an irreducible hyperbolic quadric. In particular $\sharp(Z' \cap E) = (q+1)^2$. Call I the ruling of $Z' \cap E$ containing L and II the ruling of $Z' \cap E$ containing L' . $Z' \cap E \cap H$ is a curve of bidegree $(1, 1)$ of $Z' \cap E$ and hence it is either a reducible conic (with each line defined over \mathbb{F}_q and so of cardinality $2q+1$) or a smooth conic (and so of cardinality $q+1$). For each $a \in Z \cap L$ (resp. $b \in L' \cap Z$) let R_a (resp. D_b) be the line in the ruling II (resp. I) containing a . All lines D_a and R_b are contained in Z' , defined over \mathbb{F}_q , and each R_a meets hence D_b at exactly one point of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The restriction of m to each $Z \cap R_a$ and to each $Z \cap D_b$ is constant. The set of all $R_a \cap D_b$ is a subset of $Z' \cap H$ with cardinality q^2 and hence at least some of these points must be contained in Z , contradicting the constancy of all $m|_{R_a}$ and all $m|_{D_b}$.

(c) Now assume q even. By the proof in step (b) it is sufficient to do the case $n = 3$. Up to a linear change of coordinates we may take $h = x_1x_2 + x_3^2$. Hence, Z' has equation $x_1x_2 + x_3^2 + kz^2 = 0$. Write $k = c^2$. We have $x_1x_2 + x_3^2 + kz^2 = x_1x_2 + (x_3 + cx_2)^2$ and hence Z' is an irreducible quadric cone with vertex $w = (0 : 0 : c : 1)$. Note that $w \notin H$ and so $w \in Z$. Thus, Z is covered by lines intersecting at a point $w \in Z$. Hence, m is a constant. \square

Lemma 3 *Let $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$ be the zero-locus of a polynomial $u \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2]$ with degree 2 and whose homogeneous degree 2 part v has rank 2. Then $C \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof Let $J \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the zero-locus of the degree 2 form $v(x_1, x_2, z)$ obtained homogenizing v . Either v is a smooth conic (and so $\sharp(J) = q+1$ with at least $q-1 > 0$ points in \mathbb{F}_q^2) or it contains a line defined over \mathbb{F}_q (not the line $z = 0$) and so $\sharp(C) \geq q$ or it is the union of two lines defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and exchanged by the map induced by the Frobenius $t \mapsto t^q$. In the latter case $\sharp(J) = 1$, but the point of J lies in C , because v has rank 2 (it is the common point of the 2 irreducible components of J over \mathbb{F}_{q^2}). \square

Lemma 4 *Let $u \in k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be a degree 2 polynomial whose homogeneous part v has rank at least 2. Then u induces a surjection $f : \mathbb{F}_q^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$.*

Proof There is a linear change of coordinates $\mathbb{F}_q^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^3$ such that in the new coordinates y_1, y_2, y_3 we have $v(y_1, y_2, y_3) = w(y_1, y_2) + y_3(a_1y_1 + a_2y_2 + a_3y_3)$ with $w(y_1, y_2)$ with rank 2. Write $u(y_1, y_2, y_3) = v(y_1, y_2, y_3) + b_1y_1 + b_2y_3 + b_3y_3 + b_4$. Fix $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We need to find $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{F}_q^3$ with $u(m_1, m_2, m_3) = d$. We take $m_3 = 0$ and apply Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 5 *Take $n \geq 4$, a nonzero linear form $\ell : \mathbb{F}_q^n$, and $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $\ell|_{C_n(k, h)} : C_n(k, h) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is surjective.*

Proof It is sufficient to do the case $n = 4$. Up to a linear change of coordinates it is sufficient to do the case $\ell = x_4$. Take $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We need to find $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}_q^3$ such that $h(x_1, x_2, x_3, d) = k$. Since h has rank 4, the homogeneous degree 2 part of $h(x_1, x_2, x_3, d)$ has at least rank 2. Apply Lemma 4. \square

Example 1 *Take a nondegenerate quadratic form $h : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$, $n \geq 4$, and a nonzero linear form $\ell : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$. Assume $h_M = ch + \ell^2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We claim the following statements:*

- (i) *If q is even, then $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q} = \mathbb{F}_q$;*
- (ii) *If q is odd, then $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}) = (q+1)/2$ and $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}$ is the set of all squares in \mathbb{F}_q .*

Indeed, it is sufficient to prove the case $h_M = \ell^2$, so that it is obvious that all elements of $\text{Num}_k(M)_{h, \mathbb{F}_q}$ are squares and we only need to prove the opposite containment. Thus, it is sufficient to prove that the map $\mu = \ell|_{C_n(k, h)_{\mathbb{F}_q}} : C_n(k, h)_{\mathbb{F}_q} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is surjective. Apply Lemma 5.

3. The \mathbb{F}_q -numerical range

Remark 3 Fix $M \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Take $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. If $u = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_n(k)_q$, then $tu \in C_n(t^2k)_q$ and $h_M(tu) = t^2h_M(u)$. Hence, to compute the integers $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q)$ for all k (and often to get a complete description of $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$) it is sufficient to do it for $k = 1$, $k = 0$, and (if q is odd) for a single k , which is not a square in \mathbb{F}_q (\mathbb{F}_q has $(q-1)/2$ nonsquares for any odd prime power q).

Remark 4 For all $a, b, k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and all $M \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we have $\text{Num}_k(aM + b\mathbb{1}_{n,n})_q = a\text{Num}_k(M)_q + kb$. Write $M = (m_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and assume that $k = c^2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Since $ce_i \in C_n(c^2)_q$ and $h_M(ce_i) = c^2m_{ii}$, we have $\{c^2m_{11}, \dots, c^2m_{nn}\} \subseteq \text{Num}_{c^2}(M)_q$.

Lemma 6 Assume q odd and take $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Set $\eta := 0$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\eta := 2$ if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Then $\sharp(C_2(k)_q) = q - 1 + \eta$.

Proof Set $T := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 = kx_3^2\}$. Since $k \neq 0$ and q is odd, T is a smooth conic defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Thus, $\sharp(T) = q + 1$. The line $x_3 = 0$ meets T at two points (resp. no point) defined over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if -1 has (resp. has not) a square-root in \mathbb{F}_q , i.e. if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$). \square

Remark 5 Assume q even and take $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Since \mathbb{F}_q is a perfect field, there is a unique $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $c^2 = k$. Take $u = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Since $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = k$ (i.e. $u \in C_n(k)_q$) if and only if $x_1 + \dots + x_n = c$.

Proposition 1 Assume q even. Take $M \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $M = (m_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, 2$.

- (a) We have $\text{Num}_1(M)_q = \{m_{11}\}$ if and only if $m_{22} = m_{11}$ and $m_{12} = m_{21}$.
- (b) We have $\text{Num}_1(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $m_{12} = m_{21}$ and $m_{22} \neq m_{11}$.
- (c) If $m_{12} \neq m_{21}$ and $m_{11} \neq m_{22}$, then $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = q/2$.

Proof Fix $u = (x_1, x_2) \in C_2(1)_q$, i.e. assume $x_2 = x_1 + 1$ (Remark 5). We have $h_M(u) = (m_{11} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{22})x_1^2 + (m_{12} + m_{21})x_1 + (m_{12} + m_{21})$. If $m_{11} + m_{22} = m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$, then $\text{Num}_1(M)_q = \{m_{11}\}$. If $m_{11} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{22} = 0$ and $m_{12} + m_{21} \neq 0$, then $\text{Num}_1(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$. If $m_{11} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{22} \neq 0$ and $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$, then $\text{Num}_1(M) = \mathbb{F}_q$, because every element of \mathbb{F}_q is a square. If $m_{11} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{22} \neq 0$ and $m_{12} + m_{21} \neq 0$, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$ the polynomial $(m_{11} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{22})t^2 + (m_{12} + m_{21})t + (m_{12} + m_{21}) + \gamma$ has 2 distinct roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and either none of both roots are contained in \mathbb{F}_q . Thus, $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = q/2$. \square

Proposition 2 Assume q even and take $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Take $M = (m_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

- (a) We have $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)) = 1$ if and only if $m_{ij} + m_{ji} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and $m_{ii} = m_{11}$ for all i .
- (b) If $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)) \neq 1$, then $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)) \geq q/2$.

Proof By Remark 3 it is sufficient to do the case $k = 1$. By Remark 1 it is sufficient to prove the statements for the matrix $N = (n_{ij})$ with $n_{ii} = m_{ii}$ for all i , $n_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j$ and $n_{ij} = m_{ij} + m_{ij}$ if $i < j$. Take N with $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(N)_q) = 1$. Applying Proposition 1 to all $N_{[\mathbb{F}_q e_i + \mathbb{F}_q e_j]}$ we get the “only if” part of (a), while the “if” part is trivial. Proposition 1 also gives part (b). \square

Proposition 3 *Assume q odd and take $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $M := (m_{ij}) \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*

(a) *If k is not a square, assume $q \geq 7$. We have $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) = 1$ if and only if $m_{11} = m_{22}$ and either $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$ or $q = 3, 5$.*

(b) *Assume $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) > 1$. We have $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) \geq \lceil (q - 1 + \eta)/4 \rceil$ with $\eta = 0$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\eta = 2$ if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof We have $\text{Num}_k(M)_q \neq \emptyset$. Take $u = (x_1, x_2)$ with $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = k$. By Lemma 6 we have $\sharp(C_2(k)_q) = q - 1 + \eta$. The map $u \mapsto h_M(u)$ induces a surjection $\pi : C_2(k)_q \rightarrow \text{Num}_k(M)_q$. The map π is induced by the restriction to $C_2(1, k)$ of a homogeneous quadratic equation of \mathbb{F}_q^2 . Since $C_2(k)_q$ is irreducible (even over the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q), either π is a constant map or each of its fibers have cardinality at most 4, concluding the proof of part (b).

Now assume $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) = 1$. We get that the restriction to $C_2(k)_q$ (i.e. taking $x_2^2 = k - x_1^2$) of the function $h(x_1, x_2) := (m_{11} - m_{22})x_1^2 + (m_{12} + m_{21})x_1x_2 - km_{22}$ is a constant function, i.e. $(m_{11} - m_{22})x_1^2 + (m_{12} + m_{21})x_1x_2$ is constant.

(i) First assume that k is a square in \mathbb{F}_q , say $k = c^2$. We have $c \neq 0$. Since $\text{Num}_{c^2}(M)_q = c \text{Num}_1(M)_q$ (Remark 4), it is sufficient to do the case $k = 1$. By the second part of Remark 4 we have $\{m_{11}, m_{22}\} \subseteq \text{Num}_1(M)_q$ and thus $m_{11} = m_{22} = 0$. Taking $M - m_{11}\mathbb{I}_{2,2}$ instead on M we reduce to the case $m_{11} = m_{22} = 0$ by the first part of Remark 4. If $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$, then $h_M \equiv 0$ and hence $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) = 1$. If $m_{12} + m_{21} \neq 0$, then Proposition 4 below gives $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) > 1$, unless $q = 3, 5$.

(ii) Now assume that k is not a square in \mathbb{F}_q . Set $E := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 = kx_3^2\}$, so that $C_2(k)_q = E \setminus E \cap \{x_3 = 0\}$. Write $\text{Num}_k(M)_k = \{\alpha\}$ and set $Z := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid m_{11}x_1^2 + m_{22}x_2^2 + (m_{12} + m_{21})x_1x_2 = \alpha x_3^2\}$. If $Z = \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then $\alpha = 0$ and $m_{11} = m_{22} = m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$ and hence $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \{0\}$. Hence, we may assume that Z is a conic defined over \mathbb{F}_q (not necessarily a smooth conic). Since E is geometrically irreducible, either $E = Z$ or $\sharp(Z \cap E) \leq 4$. Since $\sharp(C_2(k)_q) > 4$, then $E = Z$. Thus, $m_{11} = m_{22}$ and $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$. \square

Proposition 4 *Take*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

(a) *If q is even then $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = q/2$; we have $\text{Num}_1(M)_2 = \{0\}$ and $\text{Num}_1(M)_q = b\mathbb{F}_{q/2}$ if $q > 2$.*

(b) *Assume that $q = p^e$ is odd, $e \geq 1$.*

(b1) *Assume that either e is even or that $(p^2 - 1)/8$ is even and that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = (q + 3)/4$.*

(b2) Assume that either e is even or that $(p^2 - 1)/8$ is even and that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Then $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = (q + 5)/4$.

(b3) Assume that e and $(p^2 - 1)/8$ are odd and that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = (q - 1)/4$.

(b4) Assume that e and $(p^2 - 1)/8$ are odd and that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Then $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = (q + 1)/4$.

Proof Taking $(1/b)M$ instead of M we reduce to the case $b = 1$. Take $u = (x_1, x_2)$ such that $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$. We have $h_M(u) = x_1x_2$. Hence, $0 \in \text{Num}(M)_q$ and $h_M(u) \neq 0$ if and only if $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_2 \neq 0$.

(a) Assume that q is even and so $x_2 = x_1 + 1$ and $h_M(u) = x_1^2 + x_1$. If $q \geq 4$, the function $t \mapsto t^2 + t$ is a trace-function $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q/2}$, while $t^2 + t = 0$ if $t \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Thus, $\text{Num}_1(M)_2 = \{0\}$ and $\text{Num}_1(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_{q/2}$ if $q > 2$.

(b) Assume that q is odd. Recall that $\sharp(C_2(1)_q) = q - 1$ if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $\sharp(C_2(1)_q) = q + 1$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (Lemma 6). If $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1 = y_1^2 + y_2^2$ and $x_1x_2 = y_1y_2$, then $(x_1 + x_2)^2 = (y_1 + y_2)^2$ (i.e. either $x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2$ or $x_1 + x_2 = -y_1 - y_2$) and $(x_1 - x_2)^2 = (y_1 - y_2)^2$ (i.e. either $x_1 - x_2 = y_1 - y_2$ or $x_1 - x_2 = y_2 - y_1$) and hence (since 2 is invertible in \mathbb{F}_q) either $(y_1, y_2) = (x_1, x_2)$ or $(y_1, y_2) = (x_2, x_1)$ or $(y_1, y_2) = (-x_1, -x_2)$ or $(y_1, y_2) = (-x_2, -x_1)$. If $x_i \neq 0$ for all i , $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $x_1 \neq -x_2$, then the set $A := \{(x_1, x_2), (-x_1, -x_2), (x_2, x_1), (-x_2, -x_1)\}$ has cardinality 4. If $x_1 = 0$, then $x_2 = \pm 1$ and the set A has cardinality 4. The same is true if $x_2 = 0$. If $x_2 = \pm x_1 \neq 0$, then A has cardinality 2. If $x_2 = \pm x_1$ we have $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$ if and only if $x_1^2 = 1/2$ and this is the case for some $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if 2 is a square in \mathbb{F}_q . Write $q = p^e$ for some $e \geq 1$. 2 is a square in \mathbb{F}_p if and only if $(p^2 - 1)/8$ is even by the Gauss reciprocity law ([5, Proposition 5.2.2]). If e is even, 2 is always a square in \mathbb{F}_q , because if a square-root of 2 is not contained in \mathbb{F}_p , then it generates $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$. If e is odd, \mathbb{F}_q has a square-root of 2 if and only if \mathbb{F}_p has a square-root of 2, because \mathbb{F}_q contains \mathbb{F}_p , but not \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Note that there is $A \subset C_2(1)_q$ with $x_2 = x_1$ if and only if there is $A \subset C_2(1)_q$ with $x_2 = -x_1$. Thus, we counted the cardinality of the fibers of the surjection $\pi : C_2(1)_q \rightarrow \text{Num}(M)_q$ in terms of q (either all fibers have cardinality 4 or 2 have cardinality 2 and the other ones have cardinality 4). \square

Proposition 4 shows that part (b) of Proposition 3 is often sharp.

Proposition 5 Take $b, b' \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and set

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

1. If q is even and $b = b'$, then $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = q/2$ with $\text{Num}_1(M)_2 = \{0\}$ and $\text{Num}_1(M)_q = b\mathbb{F}_{q/2}$ for all $q \geq 4$.

2. If q is even and $b \neq b'$, then $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$.

3. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\text{Num}_1(M) = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof Taking b/b' instead of b and $\frac{1}{b'}M$ instead of M we reduce to the case $b' = 1$. Take $u = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. We have $h_M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_2(bx_1 + x_3)$.

(a) Assume q even and take $x_3 = x_1 + x_2 + 1$, i.e. we compute $\text{Num}_1(M)_q$. We get $h_M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_2((b - 1)x_1 + x_2 + 1)$. First assume $b = 1$. In this case $h_M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_2^2 + x_2$ and hence $\text{Num}_1(M)_q$ is the image of the trace map $x_2 \rightarrow x_2^2 + x_2$. Hence, $\sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q) = q/2$ with $\text{Num}_1(M)_2 = \{0\}$ and

$\text{Num}_1(M)_q = b\mathbb{F}_{q/2}$ for all $q \geq 4$. Now assume $b \neq 1$. For any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ take $x_2 = 1$, $x_1 = c/(b-1)$, and $x_3 = x_1 + x_2 + 1$.

(b) Assume q even and take $x_3 = x_1 + x_2$, i.e. we compute $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$. We have $h_M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_2((b+1)x_1 + x_2)$. Fix $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Since c is a square, say $c = s^2$, we take $x_2 = s$ and $x_1 = 0$.

(c) Assume $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence, there is $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\epsilon^2 = -1$. Take $x_2 = 1$ and $x_3 = \epsilon x_1$, so that for any x_1 we have $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$. We have $h_M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1(b + \epsilon)$ and hence $h_{M|C_3(1)_q}$ is surjective, i.e. $\text{Num}_1(M) = \mathbb{F}_q$, if $b \neq -\epsilon$. Now assume $b = -\epsilon$. In this case we take $x_2 = 1$ and $x_3 = -\epsilon x_1$, so that for any x_1 we have $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$ and $h_M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -2\epsilon x_1$. Hence, $h_{M|C_3(1)_q}$ is surjective. \square

Proposition 6 Fix $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Set

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof Taking $\frac{1}{b}M$ instead of M we reduce to the case $b = 1$. If $u = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, then $h_M(u) = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4$.

(a) Assume q even. Since $x_4 = k + x_3 + x_2 + x_1$, we get $h_M(u) = x_1x_2 + x_3^2 + x_1x_3 + kx_3$. For any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, take $x_3 = 0$, $x_1 = c$, and $x_2 = 1$.

(b) Assume q odd.

(b1) Assume that k is a nonzero square in \mathbb{F}_q . By Remark 3 we may assume $k = 1$. Taking $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0$ we see that $0 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_q$. Set $x_2 = 1$ and hence $h_M(u) = x_1 + x_3(x_4 + x_3)$. Take $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. We need to find $(x_1, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{F}_q^3$ with $x_1^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0$ and $c = x_1 + x_3(x_4 + x_3)$, i.e. $(x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ with $(c - x_3(x_4 + x_3))^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0$. The latter is the equation of an affine degree 4 curve $T \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$. Call $J \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the projective completion of its defining equation, i.e. the curve with $(cz^2 - x_3(x_4 + x_3))^2 + z^2x_3^2 + z^2x_4^2 = 0$ as its equation. If $T \neq \emptyset$, then we are done. Hence, we may assume $T = \emptyset$. The line at infinity $\{z = 0\}$ intersects J in the points $\{(0 : 1 : 0), (1 : -1 : 0)\}$, which are singular points of J with multiplicity 2 and on them lie at most 4 points over the normalization of the reduced curve J ; if J is geometrically irreducible with geometric genus 1, then there are at most 3 because at $(0 : 1 : 0)$ the tangent cone has z^2 as its equation.

Claim 1: Over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ J is not a union of lines (counting multiplicities) defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$.

Proof of Claim 1: The singular points of J are its multiple components and the intersection of its components defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. At $(0 : 1 : 0)$ the equation of J has z^2 as its leading part and hence the tangent cone to J at $(0 : 1 : 0)$ is $\{z = 0\}$ counted with multiplicity 2. Hence, z^2 divides the equation of J , which is false.

Claim 2: J is not a union of two smooth conics defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , but not over \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof of Claim 2: Assume that this is the case with $J = C_1 \cup C_2$. We have $\sigma(C_1) = C_2$ and $\sigma(C_2) = C_1$, where σ is induced by the Frobenius map $t \mapsto t^q$. The singular points of J are the points $C_1 \cap C_2$ and $(0 : 1 : 0)$, $(1 : -1 : 0)$ are two of these points, both defined over \mathbb{F}_q . As in the proof of Claim 1 we get that

$\{z = 0\}$ is the tangent line to both C_1 and C_2 at $(0 : 1 : 0)$. Writing $y = x_3 + x_4$, the multiplicity 2 part at $(1 : -1 : 0)$ of the equation of J is $x_3^2(y^2 + z^2)$ and so C_1 and C_2 have different tangents at $(1 : -1 : 0)$. We get that $C_1 \cap C_2$ has exactly one point (call it o) outside the line $\{z = 0\}$. Since $\sigma(C_i) = C_{3-i}$, $i = 1, 2$, and σ fixes $\{(0 : 1 : 0), (1 : -1 : 0)\}$, we have $\sigma(o) = o$, i.e. $o \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$, i.e. $T \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction, concluding the proof of Claim 2.

An irreducible conic defined over \mathbb{F}_q has $q + 1$ points ([3, Table 7.2]). Since over $J \setminus T$ the normalization of J has at most 4 points, the Hasse–Weil lower bound for the number of points of a curve of genus ≤ 1 (applied if J is reducible to the connected components of its normalization) gives $T \neq \emptyset$ if $q + 1 > 2\sqrt{q} + 3$, i.e. if $q \geq 9$. All cases with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ are covered by Proposition 5. Take $q = 3$; $u = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ gives $0 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_3$; $u = (2, 2, 1, 1)$ gives $1 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_q$; $u = (2, 1, 1, 2)$ gives $2 \in \text{Num}(M)_3$. Take $q = 7$; $u = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ gives $0 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_7$; $u = (4, 2, 1, 1)$ gives $4 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_7$; $u = (2, 4, 1, 1)$ gives $6 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_7$; $u = (2, 5, 0, 0)$ gives $3 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_7$; $u = (3, 0, 2, 3)$ gives $1 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_7$; $u = (4, 3, 3, 4)$ gives $5 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_7$; $u = (5, 1, 1, 3)$ gives $2 \in \text{Num}_1(M)_7$.

(b2) Take $k = 0$. $u = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ gives $0 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_q$. Take $x_4 = -x_2$ and so $h_M(u) = x_1x_2$. Fix $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and take $x_2 = c/x_1$. We need to find $(x_1, x_3) \in T$, where $T \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$ is the affine curve $x_1^4 + c^2 + x_1^2x_3^2 = 0$. Assume $T = \emptyset$ and call $J \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the projective completion of the equation defining T , i.e. the curve with $x_1^4 + z^4c^2 + x_1^2x_3^2 = 0$ as its equation. $J \cap \{z = 0\}$ contains the points $(0 : 1 : 0)$ (which has multiplicity 2 with x_1^2 as its tangent cone) and (over any extension of \mathbb{F}_q on which -1 has a root) two other points at which J is smooth. Take the affine set $J'' := J \cap \{x_3 \neq 0\}$. Taking $x_3 = 1$, $w = cz^2$, and $y = x_1^2$ we see that J is irreducible and that the normalization J' of J is a double covering of the rational curve $y^2 + w^2 = y$ ramified at at most 4 points. The Hasse–Weil lower bound gives $T \neq \emptyset$ if $q + 1 > 2\sqrt{q} + 3$, i.e. if $q \geq 9$. Now assume $q = 3$; $u = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ gives $2 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_3$; $u = (1, 0, 1, 1)$ gives $1 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_3$. Now assume $q = 5$; $u = (2, 1, 0, 0)$ gives $2 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_5$; $u = (2, 1, 2, 1)$ gives $1 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_5$; $u = (3, 1, 0, 0)$ gives $3 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_5$; $u = (3, 1, 3, 1)$ gives $4 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_5$. Now assume $q = 7$; $u = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ gives $0 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_7$; to get all squares it is sufficient to prove that $4 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_7$: take $u = (6, 4, 2, 0)$; to get all nonsquares it is sufficient to prove that $5 \in \text{Num}_0(M)_7$: take $u = (3, 1, 2, 0)$.

(b3) Take as k any nonsquare. Taking $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and x_1, x_4 with $x_1^2 + x_4^2 = k$ ([3, Lemma 5.1.4]) we see that $0 \in \text{Num}_k(M)_q$. We adapt the proof of step (b1). Set $x_2 = 1$ and hence $h_M(u) = x_1 + x_3(x_4 + x_3)$. Fix $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. We need to find $(x_1, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{F}_q^3$ with $x_1^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = k - 1$ and $c = x_1 + x_3(x_4 + x_3)$, i.e. $(x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ with $(c - x_3(x_4 + x_3))^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = k - 1$. The latter is the equation of an affine degree 4 curve $T \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$. Call $J \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the projective completion of its equation, i.e. the curve with $(cz^2 - x_3(x_4 + x_3))^2 + z^2x_3^2 + z^2x_4^2 = (k - 1)z^4$ as its equation. If $T \neq \emptyset$, then we are done. Hence, we may assume $T = \emptyset$. The line at infinity $\{z = 0\}$ intersects J in the points $\{(0 : 1 : 0), (1 : -1 : 0)\}$, which are singular points of J with multiplicity 2 and on them lie at most 4 points over the normalization J' of the reduced curve J (at most 3 if J' is not rational). As in step (b1) we see that J is neither the union of 4 lines not defined over \mathbb{F}_q nor the union of 2 smooth conics not defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Then using the Hasse–Weil bound we get $T \neq \emptyset$, unless $q = 3, 5, 7$. Take $q = 3$ and so $k = 2$; $u = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ gives $1 \in \text{Num}_2(M)_3$; $u = (2, 1, 0, 0)$ gives $2 \in \text{Num}_2(M)_3$. Now assume $q = 5$; we take $k = 2$; $u = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ gives $1 \in \text{Num}_2(M)_5$; $u = (2, 1, 1, 1)$ gives $4 \in \text{Num}_2(M)_5$; $u = (2, 2, 2, 0)$ gives $3 \in \text{Num}_2(M)_5$; $u = (4, 4, 1, 2)$ gives $2 \in \text{Num}_2(M)_5$. Now assume $q = 7$;

we take $k = 3$; $u = (3, 0, 0, 1)$ implies $0 \in \text{Num}_3(M)_7$; $u = (0, 3, 1, 0)$ implies $3 \in \text{Num}_3(M)_7$; $u = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ implies $2 \in \text{Num}_3(M)_7$; $u = (1, 1, 0, 1)$ implies $1 \in \text{Num}_3(M)_7$; $u = (4, 3, 3, 2)$ implies $6 \in \text{Num}_3(M)_7$; $u = (3, 3, 3, 5)$ gives $5 \in \text{Num}_3(M)_q$; $u = (5, 6, 1, 3)$ gives $4 \in \text{Num}_3(M)_7$. \square

Remark 6 Fix an integer $n \geq 5$ and let $M = (m_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the Jordan matrix with a unique block, i.e. $m_{ij} = 0$, unless $j = i + 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Taking $u = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_n(k)_q$ with $x_i = 0$ for all $i > 4$ we see that Proposition 5 implies $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$.

References

- [1] Ballico E. The Hermitian null-range of a matrix over a finite field. arXiv: 1611.08840.
- [2] Coons JI, Jenkins J, Knowles D, Luke RA, Rault PX. Numerical ranges over finite fields. *Linear Algebra Appl* 2016; 501: 37-47.
- [3] Hirschfeld JWP. Projective Geometries over Finite Fields. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press, 1979.
- [4] Hirschfeld JWP, Thas JA. General Galois Geometries. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 1991.
- [5] Ireland K, Rosen M. *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1982.
- [6] Small C. Arithmetic of Finite Fields. New York, NY, USA: Marcel & Dekker, 1973.