

1-1-2018

## On the Fekete–Szeg $\{o\}$ type functionals for starlike and convex functions

PAWEL ZAPRAWA

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

ZAPRAWA, PAWEL (2018) "On the Fekete–Szeg $\{o\}$  type functionals for starlike and convex functions," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 2, Article 10. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1702-120>  
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol42/iss2/10>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

## On the Fekete–Szegő type functionals for starlike and convex functions

Pawel ZAPRAWA\*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Lublin University of Technology, Lublin, Poland

Received: 27.02.2017

Accepted/Published Online: 31.05.2017

Final Version: 24.03.2018

**Abstract:** In the paper we discuss two functionals of the Fekete–Szegő type:  $\Phi_f(\mu) = a_2a_4 - \mu a_3^2$  and  $\Theta_f(\mu) = a_4 - \mu a_2a_3$  for an analytic function  $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$ ,  $z \in \Delta$ , ( $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ ) and a real number  $\mu$ . We focus our research on the estimation of  $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$  and  $|\Theta_f(\mu)|$ , while  $f$  is either in  $\mathcal{S}^*$  (the class of starlike functions) or in  $\mathcal{K}$  (the class of convex functions).

**Key words:** Starlike functions, convex functions, Hankel determinant, functional of Fekete–Szegő type

### 1. Introduction

For a function  $f$  analytic in  $\Delta \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  having the power series expansion

$$f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots \quad (1)$$

we define two functionals for a fixed real  $\mu$ :

$$\Phi_f(\mu) \equiv a_2a_4 - \mu a_3^2 \quad (2)$$

and

$$\Theta_f(\mu) \equiv a_4 - \mu a_2a_3. \quad (3)$$

The functionals  $\Phi_f$  and  $\Theta_f$  are generalizations of two expressions:  $a_2a_4 - a_3^2$  and  $a_4 - a_2a_3$ . The first one is known as the second Hankel determinant and it was examined in many papers. The investigation of Hankel determinants for analytic functions was started by Pommerenke (see [11, 12]). Following Pommerenke, many mathematicians published their results concerning the second Hankel determinant for various classes of univalent functions (see, for example, [2, 3, 5, 8, 10]) or multivalent functions (see [9]). The bounds of  $a_2a_4 - a_3^2$  for typically real functions were presented in [14].

In this paper,  $\Phi_f$  and  $\Theta_f$  are called the Fekete–Szegő type functionals because in a similar way the expression  $a_3 - a_2^2$  was generalized to obtain the Fekete–Szegő functional  $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ .

Let us recall the result reported by Janteng *et al.*

**Theorem 1.1 (Janteng, Halim, Darus, [4])** *The following bounds are sharp*

\*Correspondence: p.zaprawa@pollub.pl

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C50

1. If  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then  $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1$  .
2. If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1/8$  .

The functional  $a_4 - a_2a_3$  has not been discussed very often. The results for functions in  $\mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  are the following.

**Theorem 1.2 (Babalola, [1])** *The following bounds are sharp*

1. If  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then  $|a_4 - a_2a_3| \leq 2$  .
2. If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $|a_4 - a_2a_3| \leq 4/9\sqrt{3}$  .

It is worth stating that  $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$  and  $|\Theta_f(\mu)|$  are invariant under rotations. If  $f$  is given by (1) and  $\tilde{f}(z) = e^{-i\varphi}f(ze^{i\varphi})$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\tilde{f}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n e^{i(n-1)\varphi} z^n$ . Hence

$$|\Phi_{\tilde{f}}(\mu)| = \left| a_2 e^{i\varphi} \cdot a_4 e^{3i\varphi} - \mu \cdot (a_3 e^{2i\varphi})^2 \right| = |\Phi_f(\mu)| \tag{4}$$

and

$$|\Theta_{\tilde{f}}(\mu)| = \left| a_4 e^{3i\varphi} - \mu \cdot a_3 e^{2i\varphi} \cdot a_2 e^{i\varphi} \right| = |\Theta_f(\mu)|. \tag{5}$$

Due to this property, in the research on  $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$  and  $|\Theta_f(\mu)|$ , one can discuss not all functions  $f$  of a given class, but only those functions for which coefficients  $a_2$  are nonnegative real numbers.

In this paper we obtain the estimates of  $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$  and  $|\Theta_f(\mu)|$ , while  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f$  is either in  $\mathcal{S}^*$  or in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Almost all presented estimates are sharp and the extremal functions are derived. Taking into account (4) and (5), it is obvious that the rotations of the derived functions are extremal too.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our results, we need a few lemmas concerning functions in the class  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e. analytic functions  $p$  such that  $p(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ . Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  have the Taylor series expansion

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots, z \in \Delta. \tag{6}$$

**Lemma 2.1 ([13])** *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then the sharp estimate  $|p_n| \leq 2$  holds for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

**Lemma 2.2 ([7])** *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then the sharp estimate  $|p_n - p_k p_{n-k}| \leq 2$  holds for  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > k$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then the sharp estimate  $|p_n - p_k^2 p_{n-2k}| \leq 6$  holds for  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 2k$ .*

**Lemma 2.4 ([6])** *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then*

1.  $2p_2 = p_1^2 + x(4 - p_1^2)$  ,
2.  $4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2p_1(4 - p_1^2)x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z$  ,

for some  $x$  and  $z$  such that  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $|z| \leq 1$ .

Lemma 2.3 immediately follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 if we write  $p_n - p_k^2 p_{n-2k} = (p_n - p_k p_{n-k}) + p_k(p_{n-k} - p_k p_{n-2k})$ .

Applying the correspondence between the functions in  $\mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{P}$

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) \quad , \quad f \in \mathcal{S}^* \quad , \quad p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{7}$$

and the expansions (1) and (6) we get

$$(n-1)a_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j p_{n-j} \quad , \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \tag{8}$$

In particular,

$$a_2 = p_1 \quad , \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 + p_1^2) \quad , \quad a_4 = \frac{1}{3}(p_3 + \frac{3}{2}p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2}p_1^3).$$

Hence, we can express  $\Phi_f(\mu)$  and  $\Theta_f(\mu)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  in terms of coefficients of the corresponding function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ :

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = \left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4}\mu\right) p_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}p_1 p_3 + \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu)p_1^2 p_2 - \frac{1}{4}\mu p_2^2 \tag{9}$$

and

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = \frac{1}{3}p_3 + \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu)p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{6}(1-3\mu)p_1^3. \tag{10}$$

### 3. Bounds of $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$ for starlike functions

In the main theorem of this section we establish the sharp bounds of  $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$  for the class  $\mathcal{S}^*$ . The proof of this theorem is divided into four lemmas.

Taking into account (9) and Lemma 2.4, we can write  $\Phi_f(\mu)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f(\mu) = & \frac{1}{16} (8 - 9\mu) p_1^4 + \frac{1}{24} (10 - 9\mu) p_1^2 (4 - p_1^2) x \\ & - \frac{1}{48} (4 - p_1^2) (4p_1^2 + 3(4 - p_1^2)\mu) x^2 + \frac{1}{6} p_1 (4 - p_1^2) (1 - |x|^2) z. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mu > 1$ . Then  $|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq 9\mu - 8$ . The result is sharp.*

**Proof** First, assume that  $\mu \geq 4/3$ . As it was shown,  $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$  is invariant under rotations. For this reason we can assume that  $p_1$  is real. To shorten notation, we write  $p$  instead of  $p_1$ ,  $p \in [0, 2]$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq & \frac{1}{16} (9\mu - 8) p^4 + \frac{1}{24} (9\mu - 10) p^2 (4 - p^2) \varrho \\ & + \frac{1}{48} (4 - p^2) (4p^2 + 3(4 - p^2)\mu) \varrho^2 + \frac{1}{6} p (4 - p^2) (1 - \varrho^2) , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where  $\varrho = |x| \in [0, 1]$ .

Denoting the right-hand side of (12) by  $G(p, \varrho)$ , we can write

$$G(p, \varrho) = \frac{1}{48}(4 - p^2)(2 - p)[3(2 + p)\mu - 4p]\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{24}(9\mu - 10)p^2(4 - p^2)\varrho + \frac{1}{16}(9\mu - 8)p^4 + \frac{1}{6}p(4 - p^2). \tag{13}$$

For  $\mu \geq 4/3$ ,

$$\frac{1}{48}(4 - p^2)(2 - p)[3(2 + p)\mu - 4p] \geq \frac{1}{6}(4 - p^2)(2 - p) \geq 0$$

and

$$\frac{1}{24}(9\mu - 10)p^2(4 - p^2) \geq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$G(p, \varrho) \leq G(p, 1) = \frac{1}{12}(3\mu - 2)p^4 + \frac{1}{3}(3\mu - 4)p^2 + \mu \leq G(2, 1) = 9\mu - 8.$$

Let now  $\mu \in (1, 4/3)$ . Since

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = (4 - 3\mu)(a_2a_4 - a_3^2) + (3\mu - 3)(a_2a_4 - \frac{4}{3}a_3^2),$$

by Theorem 1.1 and from the previous part of this proof,

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq (4 - 3\mu) \cdot 1 + (3\mu - 3) \cdot 4 = 9\mu - 8.$$

The extremal function is  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ . □

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then  $|\Phi_f(7/9)| \leq 1$ . The result is sharp.*

**Proof** The formula (11) for  $\mu = 7/9$  takes the form

$$\Phi_f(7/9) = a + be^{i\varphi} - ce^{2i\varphi} + dre^{i\psi}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$x = \varrho e^{i\varphi}, z = re^{i\psi}, \varphi, \psi \in [-\pi, \pi], \varrho, r \in [0, 1]$$

and all four expressions:

$$a = \frac{1}{16}p^4, b = \frac{1}{8}p^2(4 - p^2)\varrho, c = \frac{1}{144}(4 - p^2)(5p^2 + 28)\varrho^2, d = \frac{1}{6}p(4 - p^2)(1 - \varrho^2)$$

are nonnegative.

The estimate

$$|\Phi_f(7/9)| \leq |a + be^{i\varphi} - ce^{2i\varphi}| + d \tag{15}$$

is sharp, because it only requires properly taken  $\psi$  and  $r = 1$ . With the notation

$$h(x) = -4acx^2 + 2b(a - c)x + (a + c)^2 + b^2 \tag{16}$$

we can write

$$|a + be^{i\varphi} - ce^{2i\varphi}|^2 = h(\cos \varphi). \tag{17}$$

A simple calculation leads to

$$\max \{h(x) : x \in [-1, 1]\} = \begin{cases} h(-1) = (c - a + b)^2 & \text{for } b(a - c) \leq -4ac \\ h(x_0) & \text{for } |b(a - c)| < 4ac \\ h(1) = (a - c + b)^2 & \text{for } b(a - c) \geq 4ac, \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

where  $x_0 = \frac{b(a-c)}{4ac}$ .

Therefore, the set  $\Omega = [0, 2] \times [0, 1]$  is divided into three parts by the curves obtained from the equations:  $b(a - c) = -4ac$  and  $b(a - c) = 4ac$ . In terms of  $p$  and  $\varrho$  they have the form:

$$(4 - p^2)(5p^2 + 28)\varrho^2 - 2p^2(5p^2 + 28)\varrho - 9p^4 = 0 \tag{19}$$

and

$$(4 - p^2)(5p^2 + 28)\varrho^2 + 2p^2(5p^2 + 28)\varrho - 9p^4 = 0, \tag{20}$$

or equivalently, in the explicit form,

$$\varrho = \varrho_k(p) \quad , \quad k = 1, 2,$$

$$\varrho_k(p) = \frac{p^2}{4 - p^2} \left( (-1)^{k+1} + 2\sqrt{\frac{16 - p^2}{5p^2 + 28}} \right). \tag{21}$$

Hence, we can define the sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{(p, \varrho) \in \Omega : p \in [0, p_0], \varrho \in [\varrho_1, 1]\} \\ \Omega_3 &= \{(p, \varrho) \in \Omega : \varrho \in [0, \varrho_2]\} \\ \Omega_2 &= \Omega \setminus \{\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_0 = \sqrt{(\sqrt{58} - 4)/3} = 1.09\dots$ . The calculation of the derivatives of  $\varrho_k(p)$  shows that these two functions are increasing in  $[0, 2]$ . From (21) it follows that the curve  $\varrho_1(p)$  meets the boundary of  $\Omega$  in points  $(0, 0)$  and  $(p_0, 1)$  and the curve  $\varrho_2(p)$  meets the boundary of  $\Omega$  in points  $(0, 0)$  and  $(2, 3/8)$ .

For  $(p, \varrho) \in \Omega_1$ , from (15) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_f(7/9)| &\leq c - a + b + d \\ &= \frac{1}{144}(4 - p^2)(2 - p)(14 - 5p)\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{8}p^2(4 - p^2)\varrho \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}p(4 - p^2) - \frac{1}{16}p^4. \end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficients of  $\varrho^2$  and  $\varrho$  are positive, we can take  $\varrho = 1$ ; so

$$|\Phi_f(7/9)| \leq \frac{1}{9}(7 + 4p^2 - 2p^4), \tag{22}$$

which is less than or equal to 1, even for all  $p \in [0, 2]$ . Observe that for  $p = 1$  there is  $|\Phi_f(7/9)| = 1$ .

If  $(p, \varrho) \in \Omega_3$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_f(7/9)| &\leq a - c + b + d \\ &= -\frac{1}{144}(4 - p^2)(2 + p)(14 + 5p)\varrho^2 + \frac{1}{8}p^2(4 - p^2)\varrho \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}p(4 - p^2) + \frac{1}{16}p^4. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to prove that the expression on the right-hand side of the inequality is less than or equal to 1. It is equivalent to showing that

$$(2 + p)(14 + 5p)\varrho^2 - 18p^2\varrho + 3(3p^2 - 8p + 12) \geq 0. \tag{23}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} (2 + p)(14 + 5p)\varrho^2 - 18p^2\varrho + 3(3p^2 - 8p + 12) &= (3p - 4)^2 + 18p(2 - p)\varrho + 4(1 - p\varrho)(5 - 4p\varrho) \\ &\quad + (28 + 24p - 11p^2)\varrho^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p\varrho \leq 3/4$  for  $(p, \varrho) \in \Omega_3$ , all components in the above formula are nonnegative. Therefore, (23) is true in  $\Omega_3$ .

For  $(p, \varrho) \in \Omega_2$ ,

$$h(x_0) = (a + c)^2 \left(1 + \frac{b^2}{4ac}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_f(7/9)| &\leq (a + c)\sqrt{1 + \frac{b^2}{4ac}} + d = \frac{1}{72} [9p^4 + (4 - p^2)(5p^2 + 28)\varrho^2] \sqrt{\frac{16 - p^2}{5p^2 + 28}} + \frac{1}{6}p(4 - p^2)(1 - \varrho^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}p^4 \sqrt{\frac{16 - p^2}{5p^2 + 28}} + \frac{1}{6}p(4 - p^2) + \frac{1}{72}(4 - p^2) \left[ \sqrt{(5p^2 + 28)(16 - p^2)} - 12p \right] \varrho^2. \end{aligned}$$

The expression in the square brackets is positive, and thus we can estimate the whole expression by taking the greatest possible  $\varrho$ . Thus

$$|\Phi_f(7/9)| \leq \begin{cases} g_1(p) & p \in [0, p_0] \\ g_2(p) & p \in [p_0, 2] \end{cases},$$

where

$$g_1(p) = \frac{p^4(p + 8)}{9(p + 2)} \sqrt{\frac{16 - p^2}{5p^2 + 28}} + \frac{p(5p^6 - 2p^5 - 56p^4 + 200p^3 - 48p^2 + 336p + 672)}{18(p + 2)(5p^2 + 28)}, \tag{24}$$

$$g_2(p) = \frac{1}{18}(p^4 - 2p^2 + 28) \sqrt{\frac{16 - p^2}{5p^2 + 28}}. \tag{25}$$

The first bound is achieved if  $\varrho = \varrho_1$ , the second one if  $\varrho = 1$ .

For  $p \in [0, p_0]$ , each of four functions:  $\frac{p^2(p+8)}{p+2}$ ,  $p^2 \sqrt{\frac{16-p^2}{5p^2+28}}$ ,  $\frac{p}{p+2}$ , and  $\frac{5p^6-2p^5-56p^4+200p^3-48p^2+336p+672}{5p^2+28}$  is nonnegative and increasing. Consequently,  $g_1(p)$  is increasing and

$$\max \{g_1(p) : p \in [0, p_0]\} = g(p_0).$$

The function  $g_2(p)$  for  $p \in [p_0, 2]$  is decreasing at the beginning; after that, it starts to increase. For this reason,

$$\max \{g_2(p) : p \in [p_0, 2]\} = \max \{g(p_0), g(2)\} = g(2) = 1.$$

Combining all the discussed cases we have

$$|\Phi_f(7/9)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } (p, \varrho) \in [0, 2] \times [0, 1].$$

This inequality is sharp. Taking  $p = 2$ , we immediately have  $|\Phi_f(7/9)| = 1$ . The extremal function is again  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ . However, there exists another extremal function. It has been proved (see (22)) that  $|\Phi_f(7/9)| = 1$  also for  $p = 1$  and  $x = -1$ . If  $p_1 = 1$ , then we can deduce from Lemma 2.4 that  $p_2 = -1$ ; thus  $p_2 = p_1^2 - 2$ . It means that  $p_1, p_2$  are the coefficients of a function  $p_t(z) = \frac{1-z^2}{1-2zt+z^2} = 1 + 2tz + (4t^2 - 2)z^2 + \dots$  with a suitably taken  $t$ . Comparing the coefficient of the function  $p_t$  at  $z$  with  $p_1$  we obtain  $t = 1/2$ . The corresponding starlike function is of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z+z^2} = z + z^2 - z^4 + \dots$$

Summing up, the equality  $|\Phi_f(7/9)| = 1$  is fulfilled for  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$  or  $f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z+z^2}$ . □

Finally, we find the estimate of  $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$ , while  $\mu < 7/9$  and  $\mu \in (7/9, 1)$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mu \leq 7/9$ . Then  $|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq 8 - 9\mu$ . The result is sharp.*

**Proof** For  $\mu \leq 0$ ,

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| = |a_2a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq |a_2| \cdot |a_4| + |\mu| \cdot |a_3|^2 \leq 8 + 9|\mu| = 8 - 9\mu.$$

If  $\mu \in (0, 7/9)$ , then

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = \frac{1}{7} \left[ a_2a_4(7 - 9\mu) + \left( a_2a_4 - \frac{7}{9}a_3^2 \right) 9\mu \right].$$

Lemma 3.2 and the previous part of this proof yield

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{7} [8 \cdot (7 - 9\mu) + 1 \cdot 9\mu] = 8 - 9\mu,$$

with equality for  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ . □

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mu \in (7/9, 1)$ . Then  $|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq 1$ . The result is sharp.*



**Proof** For  $\mu \in (7/9, 1)$  we can write

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( a_2 a_4 - \frac{7}{9} a_3^2 \right) (9 - 9\mu) + (a_2 a_4 - a_3^2) (9\mu - 7) \right].$$

From Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.1,

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{2} [1 \cdot (9 - 9\mu) + 1 \cdot (9\mu - 7)] = 1.$$

□

The results established in Lemmas 3.1–3.4 can be aggregated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then  $|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \max\{|9\mu - 8|, 1\}$ .*

#### 4. Bounds of $|\Theta_f(\mu)|$ for starlike functions

At the beginning, observe that  $\Theta_f(1) = \frac{1}{3}(p_3 - p_1^3)$ . From Lemma 2.3 we immediately obtain the result of Theorem 1.2, point 1.

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mu > 1$ . Then  $|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 6\mu - 4$ . The result is sharp.*

**Proof** Assume that  $\mu \geq 5/3$ . The formula (10) can be rewritten in the form

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ (p_3 - p_1 p_2) + \frac{1}{2}(5 - 3\mu)p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3\mu)p_1^3 \right].$$

Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 result in

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{3} [2 - 2(5 - 3\mu) - 4(1 - 3\mu)] = 6\mu - 4.$$

Now suppose that  $\mu \in (1, 5/3)$ . Since

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (5 - 3\mu)(a_4 - a_2 a_3) + (3\mu - 3)(a_4 - \frac{5}{3} a_2 a_3) \right],$$

from the previous part of this proof and from Theorem 1.2, point 1, we obtain

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{2} [(5 - 3\mu) \cdot 2 + (3\mu - 3) \cdot 6] = 6\mu - 4.$$

It is clear that  $\Theta_f(\mu) = 6\mu - 4$  only when  $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 2$ , which means that the extremal function is  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ . □

For  $\mu \leq 1/3$ , an application of Lemma 2.1 in (10) leads directly to

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mu \leq 1/3$ . Then  $|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 4 - 6\mu$ . The result is sharp.*

Our next step is finding the bound of  $|\Theta_f(2/3)|$ .

**Lemma 4.3** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ . Then  $|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq 16/9\sqrt{3} = 1.026\dots$ . The result is sharp.*

**Proof** Applying (10) and Lemma 2.4 for  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , we obtain

$$\Theta_f(2/3) = \frac{1}{12}(4 - p_1^2) [3p_1x - p_1x^2 + 2(1 - |x|^2)z] , \tag{26}$$

where  $|x| \leq 1, |z| \leq 1$ .

Since  $|\Theta_f(2/3)|$  is invariant under rotations, we can assume that  $p_1$  is real, and so we write  $p = p_1, p \in [0, 2]$ . Then a sharp estimate

$$|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq \frac{1}{12}(4 - p^2)h(\varrho) \tag{27}$$

holds, where

$$h(\varrho) = (p - 2)\varrho^2 + 3p\varrho + 2$$

and  $\varrho = |x| \in [0, 1]$ .

It is easy to verify that if  $p \in [4/5, 2]$  is fixed, then  $h(\varrho)$  is strictly increasing for  $\varrho \in [0, 1]$ , and so

$$h(\varrho) \leq h(1) = 4p. \tag{28}$$

On the other hand, if  $p$  is fixed and  $p \in [0, 4/5)$ , then the maximal value of  $h(\varrho)$  is achieved for  $\varrho = \frac{3p}{2(2-p)}$ ; for a stated range of  $p$ , there is  $\frac{3p}{2(2-p)} \in [0, 1]$ . In this case

$$h(\varrho) \leq h\left(\frac{3p}{2(2-p)}\right) = \frac{9p^2 - 8p + 16}{4(2-p)}. \tag{29}$$

Combining (28) and (29), we can see that

$$|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq \frac{1}{12}g(p) ,$$

where

$$g(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(2+p)(9p^2 - 8p + 16) & p \in [0, 4/5) \\ 4p(4 - p^2) & p \in [4/5, 2]. \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

If  $p \in [0, 4/5)$ , then  $g(p) = \frac{1}{4}(9p^3 + 10p^2 + 32)$  is strictly increasing in  $[0, 4/5)$ , and so  $g(p) < g(4/5)$ . For  $p \in [4/5, 2]$  we have  $g(p) \leq g(2/\sqrt{3}) = 64/3\sqrt{3}$ . Since  $g(4/5) < g(2/\sqrt{3})$ , we obtain  $|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq 16/9\sqrt{3}$ .

The equality in the above estimate holds for  $p_1 = 2/\sqrt{3}, x = -1, z = -1$ . Consequently,  $p_2 = -2/3$  and so  $p_2 = p_1^2 - 2$ . Hence,  $p_1, p_2$  are the coefficients of  $p_t(z) = \frac{1-z^2}{1-2zt+z^2}$  with a suitably taken  $t$ . However,  $p_t(z) = 1 + 2tz + (4t^2 - 2)z^2 + \dots$ , and so  $t = 1/\sqrt{3}$ . The corresponding starlike function is

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}z + z^2} = z + \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}z^2 + \frac{1}{3}z^3 - \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{9}z^4 + \dots$$

□

Now we can establish two final estimates.

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ .*

1. *If  $\mu \in (1/3, 2/3)$ , then  $|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{16-18\sqrt{3}}{3\sqrt{3}}\mu + \frac{36\sqrt{3}-16}{9\sqrt{3}}$ .*
2. *If  $\mu \in (2/3, 1)$ , then  $|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{18\sqrt{3}-16}{3\sqrt{3}}\mu + \frac{16-12\sqrt{3}}{3\sqrt{3}}$ .*

**Proof** The first part of Lemma 4.4 follows from

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = (3\mu - 1)(a_4 - \frac{2}{3}a_2a_3) + (2 - 3\mu)(a_4 - \frac{1}{3}a_2a_3) \quad , \quad \mu \in (1/3, 2/3)$$

and Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3.

The second part is a consequence of Theorem 3.1, point 1, Lemma 4.3, and a formula

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = (3\mu - 2)(a_4 - a_2a_3) + (3 - 3\mu)(a_4 - \frac{2}{3}a_2a_3) \quad , \quad \mu \in (2/3, 1).$$

□

The results presented in Lemmas 4.1–4.4 can be collected as follows.

**Theorem 4.1** *If  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then*

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq \max \left\{ |6\mu - 4|, \left( 2 - \frac{16}{9\sqrt{3}} \right) |3\mu - 2| + \frac{16}{9\sqrt{3}} \right\}.$$

**Remark 1** The estimates in Lemma 4.4 are not sharp. They can be slightly improved, but the proof of this result does not look good. For this reason, we have decided to omit it from this discussion.

### 5. Bounds of $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$ and $|\Theta_f(\mu)|$ for convex functions

Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  have the series expansions (1) and

$$g(z) = z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \dots \quad , \quad (31)$$

respectively.

According to the Alexander relation:  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  if and only if  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $f(z) = zg'(z)$ , there is  $a_n = nb_n$ . From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 we immediately obtain the bounds of  $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$  and  $|\Theta_f(\mu)|$  for convex functions.

**Corollary 1** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \max \{|\mu - 1|, 1/8\}$ .*

**Corollary 2** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq \max \{|\mu - 1|, (1 - 8/9\sqrt{3})|\mu - 1| + 4/9\sqrt{3}\}$ .*

The result in Corollary 1 is sharp. The extremal functions  $g$  can be found from the formula  $zg'(z) = f(z)$ , where the functions  $f$  are corresponding extremal functions in the class  $\mathcal{S}^*$ .

In particular, for  $\mu = 1$ , Corollaries 1 and 2 reduce to

**Corollary 3** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1/8$ .*

**Corollary 4** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $|a_4 - a_2a_3| \leq 4/9\sqrt{3}$ .*

These results are given in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Although the estimate in Theorem 1.2, point 1 is correct, the proof given in [1] is false. The proof of Theorem 4.1, point 2, rectifies these errors.

### References

- [1] Babalola KO. On  $H_3(1)$  Hankel determinants for some classes of univalent functions. In: Dragomir SS, Cho JY, editors. Inequality Theory and Applications 2010. New York, NY, USA: Nova Science Publishers, 2010, Vol.6, pp. 1-7.
- [2] Hayami T, Owa S. Generalized Hankel determinant for certain classes. Int J Math Anal 2010; 4: 2573-2585.
- [3] Hayman WK. On the second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions. P Lond Math Soc 1968; 18: 77-94.
- [4] Janteng A, Halim SA, Darus M. Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions. Int J Math Anal 2007; 1: 619-625.
- [5] Lee SK, Ravichandran V, Supramaniam S. Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions. J Inequal Appl 2013; 2013: 281.
- [6] Libera RJ, Złotkiewicz EJ. Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function. P Am Math Soc 1982; 85: 225-230.
- [7] Livingston AE. The coefficients of multivalent close-to-convex functions. P Am Math Soc 1969; 21: 545-552.
- [8] Murugusundaramoorthy G, Magesh N. Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic functions associated with Hankel determinant. B Math Anal Appl 2009; 1: 85-89.
- [9] Noonan JW, Thomas DK. On the Hankel determinants of areally mean p-valent functions. P Lond Math Soc 1972; 25: 503-524.
- [10] Noor KI. On the Hankel determinant problem for strongly close-to-convex functions. J Nat Geom 1997; 11: 29-34.
- [11] Pommerenke C. On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions. J Lond Math Soc 1966; 41: 111-122.
- [12] Pommerenke C. On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions. Mathematika 1967; 14: 108-112.
- [13] Pommerenke C. Univalent functions. Göttingen, Germany: Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, 1975.
- [14] Zaprawa P. Second Hankel determinants for the class of typically real functions. Abstr Appl Anal 2016; 2016: 3792367.