

1-1-2018

Regular \mathscr{D} -classes of the semigroup of $n \times n$ tropical matrices

LIN YANG

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

YANG, LIN (2018) "Regular \mathscr{D} -classes of the semigroup of $n \times n$ tropical matrices," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 4, Article 40. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1803-80>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol42/iss4/40>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Regular \mathcal{D} -classes of the semigroup of $n \times n$ tropical matrices

Lin YANG^{1,2,*} 

¹School of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China

²School of Science, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, Gansu, P.R. China

Received: 16.03.2018

Accepted/Published Online: 27.05.2018

Final Version: 24.07.2018

Abstract: In this paper we give the characterizations of Green's relations \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , and \mathcal{D} on the set of matrices with entries in a tropical semiring. An $m \times n$ tropical matrix A is called regular if there exists an $n \times m$ tropical matrix X satisfying $AXA = A$. Furthermore, we study the regular \mathcal{D} -classes of the semigroup of all $n \times n$ tropical matrices under multiplication and give a partition of a nonsingular regular \mathcal{D} -class.

Key words: Tropical algebra, basis submatrix, nonsingular idempotent matrix, regular matrix

1. Introduction

The set \mathbb{R} of reals extended by adding an infinite negative element $-\infty$ is called a tropical semiring. The tropical operations on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ are

$$a \oplus b = \max\{a, b\} \text{ and } a \otimes b = a + b.$$

Such algebra is also called the max-plus semiring and is denoted by \mathbb{T} . It has been an active area of study in its own right since the 1970s [4] and has broad applications in many different areas of science (see [1–5]). From an algebraic perspective, a key object is the multiplicative semigroup of all square matrices of a given size over the tropical algebra. In particular, Green's relations of the multiplicative semigroup have been studied by some authors in recent years (see [7, 10, 11]). In 2011 Johnson and Kambites [10] studied the algebraic structure of the multiplicative semigroup of all 2×2 tropical matrices. They gave a complete description of Green's relations, idempotents, and maximal subgroups of this semigroup. In 2012 Hollings and Kambites [7] gave a complete description of Green's relation \mathcal{D} for the multiplicative semigroup of all $n \times n$ tropical matrices. Johnson and Kambites [11] studied Green's \mathcal{J} -order and \mathcal{J} -equivalence for the semigroup of all $n \times n$ matrices over the tropical semiring.

As usual, the set of all $m \times n$ tropical matrices is denoted by $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{T})$. In particular, we shall use $M_n(\mathbb{T})$ instead of $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{T})$. The operations \oplus and \otimes on \mathbb{T} induce corresponding operations on tropical matrices in the obvious way. For brevity, we shall write AC in place of $A \otimes C$. It is easy to see that $(M_n(\mathbb{T}), \otimes)$ is a semigroup. Other concepts such as transpose and block matrix are defined in the usual way. For convenience, we refer to a matrix as a tropical matrix in the remainder of this paper. The Green relations \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , and \mathcal{D}

*Correspondence: yanglinmath@163.com

The author was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11261030, 11561044)

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A03, 15A09, 15A23

on the set of matrices with entries in tropical semiring \mathbb{T} are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathcal{R}B &\Leftrightarrow (\exists X, Y) A = BX, B = AY; \\ A\mathcal{L}B &\Leftrightarrow (\exists X, Y) A = XB, B = YA; \\ A\mathcal{D}B &\Leftrightarrow (\exists C)A\mathcal{R}C, C\mathcal{L}B; \\ A\mathcal{H}B &\Leftrightarrow A\mathcal{R}B, A\mathcal{L}B; \end{aligned}$$

where A, B, X, Y, C are (possibly rectangular) matrices with entries in \mathbb{T} . These relations are classical (and of great importance) in semigroup theory; see, e.g., [8]. Of course, the set of matrices with entries in \mathbb{T} is not a multiplicative semigroup because the product of two matrices is not defined if the size is incompatible. The \mathcal{R} -class (\mathcal{L} -class, \mathcal{H} -class, and \mathcal{D} -class resp.) containing matrix A will be written R_A (L_A, H_A , and D_A resp.).

The aim of this paper is to study the nonsingular regular \mathcal{D} -classes of the semigroup of $n \times n$ tropical matrices. Some preliminary results are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we study Green’s relation \mathcal{D} on the set of matrices with entries in \mathbb{T} . Based on this, we give the characterization of the nonsingular regular \mathcal{D} -classes of the semigroup of $n \times n$ tropical matrices in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{T}^n denote the direct product of n copies of \mathbb{T} . Then \mathbb{T}^n forms a semiring and can be viewed as a \mathbb{T} -semimodule [1]. Each element of this semimodule is called a vector. A vector α in \mathbb{T}^n is called a *linear combination* of a subset $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ of \mathbb{T}^n if there exist $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\alpha = m_1\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus m_k\alpha_k.$$

For a subset S of \mathbb{T}^n , let $span(S)$ denote

$$\{\oplus_{i=1}^k m_i\alpha_i \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in S, m_i \in \mathbb{T}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\},$$

where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all natural numbers. Then $span(S)$ is a subsemimodule of \mathbb{T}^n generated by S . Recall that the set S is called *weakly linearly dependent* if there exists a vector $\alpha \in S$ such that α is a linear combination of elements in $S \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Otherwise, S is called *weakly linearly independent*. A subset $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ of a subsemimodule \mathcal{V} of \mathbb{T}^n is called a *weak basis* of \mathcal{V} if $span(\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}) = \mathcal{V}$ and $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ is weakly linearly independent.

By Theorem 5 in [12] we immediately have the following.

Lemma 2.1 *Let S and S' be weak bases of a subsemimodule \mathcal{V} of \mathbb{T}^n . Then for each $\alpha \in S$ there exists a unique $\beta \in S'$ such that $\alpha = m\beta$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2.1 tells us that the cardinalities of any two weak bases for a given subsemimodule of \mathbb{T}^n are same. The cardinality is called the *weak dimension* of \mathcal{V} and is denoted by $dim_w \mathcal{V}$. The *column space* (*row space*, resp.) of an $m \times n$ matrix A is the subsemimodule of \mathbb{T}^m (\mathbb{T}^n , resp.) spanned by all its columns (rows, resp.) and is denoted by $Col(A)$ ($Row(A)$, resp.). The *column rank* (*row rank*, resp.) of A , denoted by $c(A)$ ($r(A)$, resp.), is $dim_w Col(A)$ ($dim_w Row(A)$, resp.). An $m \times n$ matrix A is called *nonsingular* if $c(A) = n$ and $r(A) = m$ and otherwise *singular*.

In the sequel, the following notions and notations are needed.

- ◊ I_n denotes the *identity matrix*, i.e. the $n \times n$ matrix whose diagonal entries are 1 and the other entries are $-\infty$.
- ◊ An $n \times n$ matrix A is called *invertible* if there exists an $n \times n$ matrix B such that $AB = BA = I_n$. In this case, B is called an inverse of A and is denoted by A^{-1} .
- ◊ An $n \times n$ matrix is called a *monomial matrix* if it has exactly one entry in each row and column that is not equal to $-\infty$.
- ◊ An $n \times n$ matrix is called a *permutation matrix* if it is formed from the identity matrix by reordering its columns.

It is well known [6] that an $n \times n$ matrix A is invertible if and only if A is monomial. Also, the inverse of a permutation matrix is its transpose. Denote the set of all $n \times n$ monomial matrices by $GL_n(\mathbb{T})$.

For a matrix A , let \mathbf{a}_{i*} and \mathbf{a}_{*j} denote the i th row and the j th column of A , respectively. As a consequence, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that:

Corollary 2.2 *Let $\{\mathbf{a}_{*i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{*i_r}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{a}_{*j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{*j_k}\}$ be any two weak bases of $\text{Col}(A)$. Then $r = k$, and there exists an $r \times r$ monomial matrix M such that*

$$[\mathbf{a}_{*i_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{*i_r}] = [\mathbf{a}_{*j_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{*j_k}]M.$$

In the remainder of this paper, for simplicity, we use the following notation concerning a matrix A without further comment:

$$c(A) = r \text{ and } r(A) = s,$$

- $A^c = [\mathbf{a}_{*i_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{*i_r}]$ is a submatrix of A such that the set $\{\mathbf{a}_{*i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{*i_r}\}$ is a weak basis of $\text{Col}(A)$;
- $A^r = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{j_1*} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{j_r*} \end{bmatrix}$ is a submatrix of A such that the set $\{\mathbf{a}_{j_1*}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_s*}\}$ is a weak basis of $\text{Row}(A)$;
- \bar{A} denotes the $s \times r$ submatrix of A lying in A^c and A^r .

The submatrix \bar{A} is called a *basis submatrix* of A . For any nonzero matrix A we have that $c(A) > 0$ and $r(A) > 0$. It is easy to see that $c(A) = c(\bar{A}) =$ the number of columns of \bar{A} , and that $r(A) = r(\bar{A}) =$ the number of rows of \bar{A} .

3. Green's \mathcal{D} relations

In this section, we discuss Green's \mathcal{D} relation. First, we need the following result.

Lemma 3.1 *Let A and B denote two matrices with entries in \mathbb{T} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \mathcal{R} B$;
- (i) $\text{Col}(A) = \text{Col}(B)$;
- (i) $(\exists M \in GL_r(\mathbb{T})) B^c = A^c M$.

Proof The equivalence of (i) and (ii) was proved by Theorem 100 in “Two lectures on max-plus algebra” (<http://amadeus.inria.fr/gaubert>).

Suppose that $\text{Col}(A) = \text{Col}(B)$. Then we have that the columns of A^c and B^c are both weak bases of $\text{Col}(A)$. It follows that

$$\text{Col}(A) = \text{Col}(B) \iff (\exists M \in GL_r(\mathbb{T})) B^c = A^c M \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.2}).$$

□

The dual of Lemma 3.1 for relation \mathcal{L} is clear.

Lemma 3.2 *Let A and B denote two matrices with entries in \mathbb{T} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \mathcal{D} B$;
- (i) $\bar{A} \mathcal{D} \bar{B}$;
- (i) $(\exists N \in GL_s(\mathbb{T}), M \in GL_r(\mathbb{T})) \bar{B} = N\bar{A}M$.

Proof We need to prove it only for any nonzero matrices A and B . Since $(PAQ) \mathcal{D} A$ for any permutation matrices P and Q , we may assume that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} & A_1 \\ A_2 & A_3 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{B} & B_1 \\ B_2 & B_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where \bar{A}, \bar{B} are basic submatrices and A_i, B_i are of appropriate sizes for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

(i) \iff (ii). Suppose that $A \mathcal{D} B$. Since

$$\text{Col}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Col}(A),$$

it follows that $A \mathcal{R} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix}$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $\text{Row}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Row}(\bar{A})$, we have that $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \bar{A}$ by the dual of Lemma 3.1. Thus, $A \mathcal{D} \bar{A}$. Similarly, $B \mathcal{D} \bar{B}$. Therefore, $A \mathcal{D} B$ is equivalent to $\bar{A} \mathcal{D} \bar{B}$.

(ii) \iff (iii). (ii) is equivalent to

$$\bar{A} \mathcal{R} C \text{ and } C \mathcal{L} \bar{B} \tag{3.1}$$

for some nonsingular matrix C .

Suppose that (3.1) holds. Then (3.1) implies that

$$\bar{A} = CM^{-1} \text{ and } \bar{B} = NC$$

for some monomial matrix M and some monomial matrix N by Lemma 3.1 and its dual. Thus, we obtain that $N\bar{A}M = \bar{B}$.

If (iii) holds, then there exist two monomial matrices N and M such that $N\bar{A}M = \bar{B}$. Hence, (3.1) holds for $C = \bar{A}M$. □

As a consequence, we have the following.

Corollary 3.3 *Let A denote a matrix with entries in \mathbb{T} . If $A \mathcal{D} B$, then $r(A) = r(B)$ and $c(A) = c(B)$.*

An $m \times n$ matrix A is *regular* if there exists an $n \times m$ matrix X such that $AXA = A$. An $n \times n$ matrix A is *idempotent* if $A^2 = A$. In [8] Proposition 3.2, we know that in a regular \mathcal{D} -class each \mathcal{R} -class and each \mathcal{L} -class contains at least one idempotent. Let E be an $n \times n$ idempotent matrix. If $B \in D_E$, then by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$B = Q \begin{bmatrix} C & CH \\ WC & WCH \end{bmatrix} P$$

where $C \in D_{\bar{E}}$, P and Q are permutation matrices, and H and W are matrices of appropriate sizes. Hence, the regular \mathcal{D} -class D_E is determined by $D_{\bar{E}}$. We will study the nonsingular regular \mathcal{D} -classes in the next section.

4. Nonsingular regular \mathcal{D} -classes

In this section, we discuss the nonsingular regular \mathcal{D} -classes of the semigroup $(M_n(\mathbb{T}), \otimes)$. The \mathcal{R} -class (\mathcal{L} -class, \mathcal{H} -class, and \mathcal{D} -class resp.) in the semigroup $(M_n(\mathbb{T}), \otimes)$ is the restriction of the corresponding class in $\cup_{m=1}^\infty \cup_{n=1}^\infty M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{T})$. If a matrix of a regular \mathcal{D} -class is nonsingular, then by Corollary 3.3 and Proposition 3.1 in [8] we have that the matrices of this \mathcal{D} -class are all nonsingular regular matrices. We call the \mathcal{D} -class nonsingular regular \mathcal{D} -classes.

Next, recall the partial order [3] \leq on $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{T})$ by

$$A \leq B \iff A \oplus B = B.$$

Lemma 4.1 ([3]) *Let A, B be $m \times n$ matrices, let X be an $n \times p$ matrix, and let Y be a $p \times m$ matrix. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $A \leq A \oplus B$;
- (ii) If $A \leq B$, then $AX \leq BX$ and $YA \leq YB$.

Lemma 4.2 *If $E = (e_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ idempotent matrix, then $e_{ii} \leq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof Let $E = (e_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be an idempotent matrix. Then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} \leq (e_{i1} \otimes e_{1i}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (e_{in} \otimes e_{ni}) = e_{ii}.$$

This implies that $e_{ii} \leq 0$. □

Lemma 4.3 *Let $E = (e_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ idempotent matrix. If $e_{ii} < 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then the i th column (row, resp.) of E is a linear combination of the remaining columns (rows, resp.). Furthermore, the matrix obtained from E by deleting the i th column and the i th row is an $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ idempotent matrix.*

Proof Let $E = (e_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be an idempotent matrix. Suppose that $e_{ii} < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $e_{11} < 0$. Partition E as $\begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Then we have

$$E^2 = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} \otimes e_{11} \oplus E_{12}E_{21} & e_{11}E_{12} \oplus E_{12}E_{22} \\ E_{21}e_{11} \oplus E_{22}E_{21} & E_{21}E_{12} \oplus E_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{12}E_{21} & E_{12}E_{22} \\ E_{22}E_{21} & E_{21}E_{12} \oplus E_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

since $e_{11} < 0$. Thus, it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{11} \\ E_{21} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{12}E_{21} \\ E_{22}E_{21} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{12} \\ E_{22} \end{bmatrix} E_{21}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & E_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{12}E_{21} & E_{12}E_{22} \end{bmatrix} = E_{12} \begin{bmatrix} E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$E_{21}E_{12} \oplus E_{22}^2 = E_{22}. \tag{4.3}$$

Equation (4.1) ((4.2), resp.) tells us that the 1st column (the 1st row, resp.) of E is a linear combination of the remaining columns (rows, resp.). By Lemma 4.1 and (4.3), we have

$$E_{22}^2 \leq E_{22} \text{ and } E_{21}E_{12} \leq E_{22}. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus, it follows by (4.4) and Lemma 4.1 that $E_{21}E_{12} = E_{21}E_{12}E_{22} \leq E_{22}^2$, since $E_{12}E_{22} = E_{12}$. We therefore have

$$E_{22} = E_{21}E_{12} \oplus E_{22}^2 \leq E_{22}^2 \oplus E_{22}^2 = E_{22}^2 \tag{4.5}$$

by Lemma 4.1. Thus, (4.4) and (4.5) tell us that $E_{22}^2 = E_{22}$. □

The above lemma tells us that if $E = (e_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ idempotent matrix and $e_{ii} < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $c(E) < n$ and $r(E) < n$. Thus, by Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we immediately have the following result, which was obtained previously in [9] by a different method.

Corollary 4.4 *All main diagonal entries of a nonsingular idempotent matrix are 0.*

Proposition 4.5 *Let E be a nonsingular idempotent matrix. If there exists a monomial matrix M , such that $EME = E$, then $M = I_n$.*

Proof Suppose that $E = (e_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ nonsingular idempotent matrix and that there exists a matrix M , such that $EME = E$. It follows that EM is idempotent and $E \mathcal{R} EM$. Thus, by Corollary 3.3 we can see that EM is a nonsingular idempotent matrix. It follows by Corollary 4.4 that the main diagonal entries of E and EM are all 0. Since $EME = E$, $M_{ij} \leq (EME)_{ij} = E_{ij}$, and so $M \leq E$. Hence,

$$EM \leq E^2 = E \tag{4.6}$$

by Lemma 4.1 (ii). It follows by $(EM)E(EM) = EM$ that $E_{ij} \leq ((EM)E(EM))_{ij} = (EM)_{ij}$. Thus,

$$E \leq EM. \tag{4.7}$$

(4.6) and (4.7) tell us that $EM = E$.

Finally, assume that M is monomial. If M is not diagonal, it follows from $EM = E$ that there exist two distinct indices j and l such that $e_{*j} = a e_{*l}$ for some real number a , a contradiction, since E is nonsingular. Then M is diagonal and hence $M = I_n$. □

Proposition 4.6 *Let E be a nonsingular idempotent matrix. If F is an idempotent matrix in D_E , then there exists a monomial matrix M such that $F = MEM^{-1}$.*

Proof Suppose that E and F are $n \times n$ nonsingular idempotent matrices. If $F \in D_E$, then, by Lemma 3.2, we can show that $F = MEN$ for some $M, N \in GL_n(\mathbb{T})$. Thus, it follows that $MEN = F = F^2 = MENMEN$. This implies that $E = EMNE$. Hence, we have by Proposition 4.5 that $MN = I_n$ and so $F = MEM^{-1}$. This completes the proof. \square

The following result is a corollary of Theorem 5.7 in [9]. We note that our result is obtained by elementary matrix techniques.

Proposition 4.7 *Any nonsingular regular \mathcal{R} -class (\mathcal{L} -class, resp.) contains a unique idempotent.*

Proof Suppose that R_A is a nonsingular regular \mathcal{R} -class. Then by Proposition 3.2 in [8] there exists a nonsingular idempotent matrix E such that $R_A = R_E$. If F is an idempotent matrix in R_E , then by Lemma 3.1 we can show that $F = EM$ for some monomial matrix M . Thus, $EM = F = F^2 = EMEM$ and so $E = EME$. It follows by Proposition 4.5 that $M = I_n$. Hence, $F = E$. A similar argument establishes that there exists a unique idempotent in each nonsingular regular \mathcal{L} -class. \square

Lemma 4.8 *Let E be a nonsingular idempotent matrix. If there exist monomial matrices M_1 and M_2 such that $EM_1 = M_2E$, then $M_1 = M_2$.*

Proof Let E be an $n \times n$ nonsingular idempotent matrix. Suppose that there exist monomial matrices M_1 and M_2 such that $EM_1 = M_2E$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} EM_1 = M_2E &\implies E = M_2EM_1^{-1} \\ &\implies M_2EM_1^{-1}M_2EM_1^{-1} = M_2EM_1^{-1} \\ &\implies EM_1^{-1}M_2E = E \\ &\implies M_1^{-1}M_2 = I_n && \text{(by Proposition 4.5)} \\ &\implies M_1 = M_2. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 4.9 *If E is a nonsingular idempotent, then the set*

$$C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})) = \{M \in GL_n(\mathbb{T}) \mid EM = ME\}$$

is a subgroup of the group $GL_n(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof Suppose that E is a nonsingular idempotent. Since $EI_n = I_nE = E$ we have that $I_n \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T}))$. If $M_1, M_2 \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T}))$, then $EM_1 = M_1E, EM_2 = M_2E$, and it follows that

$$EM_1M_2 = M_1EM_2 = M_1M_2E,$$

and so $M_1M_2 \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T}))$. If $M \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T}))$, then $EM = ME$, and so

$$M^{-1}E = EM^{-1}.$$

Thus, $M^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T}))$. Hence, $C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T}))$ is a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{T})$. \square

Proposition 4.10 *Let E be an $n \times n$ nonsingular idempotent matrix. Then*

$$H_E = \{A \mid (\exists M \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})))A = ME\}.$$

Proof Suppose that E is an $n \times n$ nonsingular idempotent matrix. Then $H_E = \{EM \mid M \in GL_n(\mathbb{T})\} \cap \{ME \mid M \in GL_n(\mathbb{T})\}$ and so $H_E = \{A \mid (\exists M, N \in GL_n(\mathbb{T}))A = NE = EM\}$. It follows by Lemma 4.8 that $H_E = \{A \mid (\exists M \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})))A = ME = EM\}$. \square

Proposition 4.11 *Let E be a nonsingular idempotent matrix and F be an idempotent matrix in D_E . Then there exists a monomial matrix M such that*

$$H_F = \{MBM^{-1} \mid B \in H_E\}.$$

Proof Suppose that E is an $n \times n$ nonsingular idempotent matrix and F is an idempotent $n \times n$ matrix in D_E . Then by Proposition 4.6 we have that there exists a monomial matrix M such that $F = MEM^{-1}$. It follows by Proposition 4.10 that

$$H_F = \{A \mid (\exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{T}))A = MF = FM\}$$

and that

$$H_E = \{A \mid (\exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{T}))A = ME = EM\}.$$

If $A \in H_F$, then there exists a monomial matrix M_1 such that $A = FM_1 = M_1F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} FM_1 = M_1F &\iff MEM^{-1}M_1 = M_1MEM^{-1} \\ &\iff EM^{-1}M_1M = M^{-1}M_1ME \\ &\iff EM^{-1}M_1M \in H_E. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $A = MEM^{-1}M_1 = M(EM^{-1}M_1M)M^{-1}$ and so $A \in \{MBM^{-1} \mid B \in H_E\}$. Thus, we can see that $H_F \subseteq \{MBM^{-1} \mid B \in H_E\}$. A similar argument establishes that $\{MBM^{-1} \mid B \in H_E\} \subseteq H_F$. \square

We define a relation ϱ on the set $GL_n(\mathbb{T}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{T})$ as follows:

$$(M_1, N_1)\varrho(M_2, N_2) \iff M_1^{-1}M_2, N_1N_2^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})).$$

Lemma 4.12 *If E is a nonsingular idempotent, then ϱ is a equivalence relation on the set $GL_n(\mathbb{T}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{T})$.*

Proof Suppose that E is a nonsingular idempotent. If $(M, N) \in GL_n(\mathbb{T}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{T})$, then by Lemma 4.9, we have that

$$M^{-1}M = NN^{-1} = I_n \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})),$$

and so $(M, N)\varrho(M, N)$.

If $(M_1, N_1), (M_2, N_2) \in GL_n(\mathbb{T}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{T})$ and $(M_1, N_1)\varrho(M_2, N_2)$, then

$$M_1^{-1}M_2, N_1N_2^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})).$$

It follows by Lemma 4.9 that

$$M_2^{-1}M_1, N_2N_1^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})).$$

This implies that $(M_2, N_2)\varrho(M_1, N_1)$.

Finally, if $(M_1, N_1)\varrho(M_2, N_2)$ and $(M_2, N_2)\varrho(M_3, N_3)$, then

$$M_1^{-1}M_2, N_1N_2^{-1}, M_2^{-1}M_3, N_2N_3^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})),$$

and so

$$M_1^{-1}M_3 = M_1^{-1}M_2M_2^{-1}M_3 \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})),$$

$$N_1N_3^{-1} = N_1N_2^{-1}N_2N_3^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})).$$

Hence, $(M_1, N_1)\varrho(M_3, N_3)$.

We have therefore proved that ϱ is an equivalence relation on the set $GL_n(\mathbb{T}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{T})$. □

Lemma 4.13 *Let E be a nonsingular idempotent matrix. If $A, B \in D_E$, then there exist monomial matrices M_1, M_2, N_1, N_2 such that $A = M_1EN_1, B = M_2EN_2$. Further,*

$$H_A = H_B \iff (M_1, N_1)\varrho(M_2, N_2).$$

Proof If E is a nonsingular idempotent matrix and $A, B \in D_E$, then by Lemma 3.2 we can see that

$$A = M_1EN_1, B = M_2EN_2$$

for some monomial matrices M_1, N_1, M_2 , and N_2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_A = H_B &\iff H_{M_1EN_1} = H_{M_2EN_2} \\ &\iff M_1EN_1 \mathcal{H} M_2EN_2 \\ &\iff M_1EN_1 \mathcal{L} M_2EN_2, M_1EN_1 \mathcal{R} M_2EN_2 \\ &\iff (\exists S, T \in GL_n(\mathbb{T})) M_1EN_1 = SM_2EN_2, M_1EN_1 = M_2EN_2T \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\ &\iff (\exists S, T \in GL_n(\mathbb{T})) EN_1N_2^{-1} = M_1^{-1}SM_2E, EN_1T^{-1}N_2^{-1} = M_1^{-1}M_2E \\ &\iff (\exists S, T \in GL_n(\mathbb{T})) M_1^{-1}SM_2 = N_1N_2^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})), \\ &\qquad N_1T^{-1}N_2^{-1} = M_1^{-1}M_2 \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.8}) \\ &\implies M_1^{-1}M_2, N_1N_2^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T})) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.9}) \\ &\implies (M_1, N_1)\varrho(M_2, N_2). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $(M_1, N_1)\varrho(M_2, N_2)$, then $M_1^{-1}M_2, N_1N_2^{-1} \in C_E(GL_n(\mathbb{T}))$, and so

$$M_1EN_1 = M_1EN_1N_2^{-1}N_2 = M_1N_1N_2^{-1}EN_2 = (M_1N_1N_2^{-1}M_2^{-1})M_2EN_2,$$

$$M_1EN_1 = M_2M_2^{-1}M_1EN_1 = M_2EM_2^{-1}M_1N_1 = M_2EN_2(N_2^{-1}M_2^{-1}M_1N_1).$$

Thus, $M_1EN_1 \mathcal{H} M_2EN_2$.

Hence, we have therefore proved that $H_A = H_B$ if and only if $(M_1, N_1)\varrho(M_2, N_2)$. □

By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.13, we now have the following result:

Theorem 4.14 *Let E be a nonsingular idempotent matrix. Then*

$$D_E = \bigcup \{H_{MEN} \mid (M, N)\varrho \in (GL_n(\mathbb{T}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{T}))/\varrho\}.$$

H_E	H_{EN_1}	H_{EN_2}	\dots
$H_{N_1^{-1}E}$	$H_{N_1^{-1}EN_1}$	$H_{N_1^{-1}EN_2}$	\dots
$H_{N_2^{-1}E}$	$H_{N_2^{-1}EN_1}$	$H_{N_2^{-1}EN_2}$	\dots
\dots	\dots	\dots	\ddots

References

- [1] Akian M, Gaubert S, Guterman A. Linear independence over tropical semirings and beyond. *Contemp Math* 2009; 495: 1-38.
- [2] Bapat RB. Structure of a nonnegative regular matrix and its generalized inverse. *Linear Algebra Appl* 1998; 268: 31-39.
- [3] Butkovič P. *Max-linear Systems: Theory and Algorithms*. London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [4] Cuninghame-Green RA. *Minimax Algebra*. Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, Vol. 166. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 1979.
- [5] D'Alessandro F, Pasku E. A combinatorial property for semigroups of matrices. *Semigroup Forum* 2003; 67: 22-30.
- [6] Ellis A. Classification of conics in the tropical projective plane. MSc, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA, 2005.
- [7] Hollings C, Kambites M. Tropical matrix duality and Green's \mathcal{D} relation. *J London Math Soc* 2012; 86: 520-538.
- [8] Howie JM. *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*. London, UK: Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [9] Izhakian Z, Johnson M, Kambites M. Pure dimension and projectivity of tropical polytopes. *Adv Math* 2016; 303: 1236-1263.
- [10] Johnson M, Kambites M. Multiplicative structure of 2×2 tropical matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl* 2011; 435: 1612-1625.
- [11] Johnson M, Kambites M. Green's \mathcal{J} -order and the rank of tropical matrices. *J Pure Appl Algebra* 2013; 217: 280-292.
- [12] Wagner E. Moduloids and pseudomodules 1. Dimension theory. *Discrete Math* 1991; 98: 57-73.