

1-1-2018

On the rank of transformation semigroup $ST_{\{(n,m)\}}$

KEMAL TOKER

HAYRULLAH AYIK

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

TOKER, KEMAL and AYIK, HAYRULLAH (2018) "On the rank of transformation semigroup $ST_{\{(n,m)\}}$," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 4, Article 33. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1710-59>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol42/iss4/33>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On the rank of transformation semigroup $T_{(n,m)}$

Kemal TOKER^{1,*}, Hayrullah AYIK²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Harran University, Şanlıurfa, Turkey

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey

Received: 16.10.2017

Accepted/Published Online: 09.05.2018

Final Version: 24.07.2018

Abstract: Let T_n and S_n be the full transformation semigroup and the symmetric group on $X_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$, respectively. For $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $m \leq n - 1$ let

$$T_{(n,m)} = \{\alpha \in T_n : X_m\alpha = X_m\}.$$

In this paper we research generating sets and the rank of $T_{(n,m)}$. In particular, we prove that

$$\text{rank}(T_{(n,m)}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } (n, m) = (2, 1) \text{ or } (3, 2) \\ 3 & \text{if } (n, m) = (3, 1) \text{ or } 4 \leq n \text{ and } m = n - 1 \\ 4 & \text{if } 4 \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq n - 2. \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$.

Key words: Transformations, permutations, restricted image, generating set, rank

1. Introduction

Let $T(X)$ be the full transformation semigroup on the set X . For a nonempty subset Y of X Symons introduced and studied the subsemigroup $T(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : X\alpha \subseteq Y\}$ of $T(X)$ in [8]. In [6] Sanwong and Sommanee proved that the largest regular subsemigroup of $T(X, Y)$ is $F(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X, Y) : X\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha\}$, and, moreover, they researched the rank of $F(X, Y)$ in [7]. For a nonempty subset Y of a finite set X let

$$T_{(X,Y)} = \{\alpha \in T(X) : Y\alpha = Y\}.$$

It is clear that $T_{(X,Y)}$ is a subsemigroup of $T(X)$. In this paper we research the generating sets and the rank of $T_{(X,Y)}$. When X is finite, we take $X = X_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and write T_n instead of $T(X_n)$. Let P_n and S_n be the partial transformation semigroup and the symmetric group on X_n , respectively. For $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $m \leq n - 1$ let Y be any subset of X_n with $|Y| = m$. If we denote $T_{(X_n, X_m)}$ by $T_{(n,m)}$, that is

$$T_{(n,m)} = \{\alpha \in T_n : X_m\alpha = X_m\},$$

then it is clear that $T_{(X_n, Y)}$ and $T_{(n,m)}$ are isomorphic. Thus, it is enough to consider the subsemigroup $T_{(n,m)}$ of T_n for $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Observe that if we denote the restriction of any $\alpha \in T_{(n,m)}$ into X_m by $\alpha|_m = \alpha|_{X_m}$, then $\alpha|_m$ is a permutation of X_m , that is $\alpha|_m \in S_m$.

*Correspondence: ktoker@harran.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20

Let S be any semigroup, and let A be any nonempty subset of S . Then the subsemigroup generated by A that is the smallest subsemigroup of S containing A is denoted by $\langle A \rangle$. If there exists a finite subset A of a semigroup S with $\langle A \rangle = S$, then S is called a finitely generated semigroup. The *rank* of a finitely generated semigroup S is defined by

$$\text{rank}(S) = \min\{|A| : \langle A \rangle = S\}.$$

The *defect*, *kernel*, *fix*, and *shift* of $\alpha \in T_n$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{defect}(\alpha) &= n - |\text{im}(\alpha)|, & \ker(\alpha) &= \{(x, y) \in X_n \times X_n : x\alpha = y\alpha\}, \\ \text{fix}(\alpha) &= \{x \in X_n : x\alpha = x\}, & \text{and} & \text{shift}(\alpha) = \{x \in X_n : x\alpha \neq x\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\alpha, \beta \in T_n$ it is well known that $\ker(\alpha) \subseteq \ker(\alpha\beta)$ and $\text{im}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$. Let $\alpha \in T_n$, if for unique $i \in X_n$, $i\alpha = j$ and $k\alpha = k$ for all $k \neq i$, and then we use the notation

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ j \end{pmatrix}$$

(and so α is an idempotent of defect 1). For $n \geq 3$, it is well known that $\text{rank}(S_n) = 2$ and $\text{rank}(T_n) = 3$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \langle (1\ 2), (1\ 2 \ \dots \ n) \rangle \text{ and} \\ T_n &= \langle (1\ 2), (1\ 2 \ \dots \ n), \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $(1\ 2)$ and $(1\ 2 \ \dots \ n)$ are the transposition and the n -cycle as defined in general, respectively. Let $\text{Sing}_n = T_n \setminus S_n$; it is called singular mappings. Gomes and Howie proved that $\text{rank}(\text{Sing}_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ [3]. Necessary and sufficient conditions have been found for any set for transformations of defect 1 in Sing_n to be a (minimal) generating set for Sing_n [1]. For $1 \leq r \leq n$, let $K_{n,r} = \{\alpha \in T_n : |\text{im}(\alpha)| \leq r\}$. Howie and McFadden proved that $\text{rank}(K_{n,r}) = S(n, r)$ for $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$ where $S(n, r)$ is the second kind of Stirling number [5]. Let $P_{n,r} = \{\alpha \in P_n : |\text{im}(\alpha)| \leq r\}$; Garba proved that $\text{rank}(P_{n,r}) = S(n + 1, r + 1)$ for $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$ in [2]. In this paper generating sets and the rank of $T_{(n,m)}$ have been established. We use the same notations as in Howie's book [4].

2. Generating sets

For any $\alpha \in T_{(n,m)}$, if we define $\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha \in T_n$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} i\beta_\alpha &= \begin{cases} i\alpha & i \leq m \\ i & i > m \end{cases}, \\ i\gamma_\alpha &= \begin{cases} i\alpha & i > m \text{ and } i\alpha \in X_m \\ i & \text{other} \end{cases}, \\ i\lambda_\alpha &= \begin{cases} i\alpha & i\alpha > m \\ i & i\alpha \leq m \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

then it is clear that $\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha \in T_{(n,m)}$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$ it follows from the fact $i\beta_\alpha = i\alpha \in X_m$ that $(i\beta_\alpha)\gamma_\alpha = i\alpha$, and so

$$i(\beta_\alpha\gamma_\alpha\lambda_\alpha) = (i\beta_\alpha)\lambda_\alpha = (i\alpha)\lambda_\alpha = i\alpha,$$

since $(i\alpha)\alpha \leq m$. For $m+1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $i\beta_\alpha = i$. If $i\alpha \in X_m$, then $(i\alpha)\alpha \in X_m$ and $i\gamma_\alpha = i\alpha$, and so

$$i(\beta_\alpha\gamma_\alpha\lambda_\alpha) = (i\gamma_\alpha)\lambda_\alpha = (i\alpha)\lambda_\alpha = i\alpha.$$

If $i\alpha \notin X_m$, then $i\gamma_\alpha = i$ and $i\lambda_\alpha = i\alpha$. Since $i\beta_\alpha = i$, it follows that

$$i(\beta_\alpha\gamma_\alpha\lambda_\alpha) = (i\gamma_\alpha)\lambda_\alpha = i\lambda_\alpha = i\alpha.$$

Therefore, we have the equality $\alpha = \beta_\alpha\gamma_\alpha\lambda_\alpha$.

For an example, if

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \in T_{(8,4)},$$

then it follows from the definitions that

$$\beta_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 8 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lambda_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and that } \alpha = \beta_\alpha\gamma_\alpha\lambda_\alpha.$$

For any $\alpha \in T_{(n,m)}$, it is clear from the definitions that

$$X_n \setminus X_m \subseteq \text{fix}(\beta_\alpha), \quad X_m \subseteq \text{fix}(\gamma_\alpha), \quad \text{and} \quad X_m \subseteq \text{fix}(\lambda_\alpha).$$

Moreover, for any $i \in X_n \setminus X_m$, we have $i\lambda_\alpha \in X_n \setminus X_m$ and either $i \in \text{fix}(\gamma_\alpha)$ or $i\gamma_\alpha \in X_m$. If we define the following sets,

$$U(n, m) = \{\beta_\alpha : \alpha \in T_{(n,m)}\}, \quad V(n, m) = \{\gamma_\alpha : \alpha \in T_{(n,m)}\}, \quad \text{and} \\ W(n, m) = \{\lambda_\alpha : \alpha \in T_{(n,m)}\},$$

then it is clear that $U(n, m)$, $V(n, m)$, and $W(n, m)$ are subsemigroups of $T_{(n,m)}$. Moreover,

$$U(n, m) \cong S_m \text{ and } W(n, m) \cong T_{n-m}.$$

For every $\alpha \in T_{(n,m)}$, since $\gamma_\alpha^2 = \gamma_\alpha$, $V(n, m)$ consists of only idempotents.

Since $T_{(n,1)} \cong P_{n-1}$ and $T_{(n,n)} \cong S_n$, we only consider the case $2 \leq m \leq n-1$. Now we state and prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1 For $2 \leq m \leq n-2$, if

$$A_m = \{(1 \ 2), (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ m), (m+1 \ m+2), (m+1 \ m+2 \ \dots \ n), \binom{m+1}{m+2}, \binom{m+1}{1}\},$$

then, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and for all $m+1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$\binom{k}{i} \in \langle A_m \rangle.$$

Proof First of all recall that $(1\ i) \in \langle (1\ 2), (1\ 2\ \dots\ m) \rangle \cong S_m$ for every $2 \leq i \leq m$, and similarly, $(m+1\ k) \in \langle (m+1\ m+2), (m+1\ m+2\ \dots\ n) \rangle \cong S_{n-m}$ for every $m+2 \leq k \leq n$. Since

$$\binom{k}{1} = (m+1\ k) \binom{m+1}{1} (m+1\ k)$$

for every $m+2 \leq k \leq n$, it follows that $\binom{k}{1} \in \langle A_m \rangle$ for all $m+1 \leq k \leq n$. Therefore, for all $2 \leq i \leq m$ and for all $m+1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\binom{k}{i} = (1\ i) \binom{k}{1} (1\ i) \in \langle A_m \rangle,$$

as required. □

Lemma 2 For $2 \leq m \leq n-2$,

$$A_m = \{(1\ 2), (1\ 2\ \dots\ m), (m+1\ m+2), (m+1\ m+2\ \dots\ n), \binom{m+1}{m+2}, \binom{m+1}{1}\}$$

is a generating set of $T_{(n,m)}$. Moreover,

$$A_{n-1} = \{(1\ 2), (1\ 2\ \dots\ n-1), \binom{n}{1}\}$$

is a generating set of $T_{(n,n-1)}$.

Proof For $2 \leq m \leq n-2$, let $\alpha \in T_{(n,m)}$. Suppose that β_α , γ_α , and λ_α are defined as in Equation (1) so that $\alpha = \beta_\alpha \gamma_\alpha \lambda_\alpha$. First of all it is clear that

$$\beta_\alpha \in \langle (1\ 2), (1\ 2\ \dots\ m) \rangle \cong S_m$$

and that

$$\lambda_\alpha \in \langle (m+1\ m+2), (m+1\ m+2\ \dots\ n), \binom{m+1}{m+2} \rangle \cong T_{n-m}.$$

Since $\alpha = \beta_\alpha \gamma_\alpha \lambda_\alpha$, it is enough to show that $\gamma_\alpha \in \langle A_m \rangle$.

Suppose that $S = \text{shift}(\gamma_\alpha) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \neq \emptyset$ (otherwise $\alpha = \beta_\alpha \lambda_\alpha$, and so there is nothing to show). Since $S \subseteq (X_n \setminus X_m)$ and $S\gamma_\alpha \subseteq X_m$, it follows that, for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, there exists $y_i \in X_m$ such that $x_i \gamma_\alpha = y_i$. Then it is clear that

$$\gamma_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} x_r \\ y_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, it follows from Proposition 1 that $\gamma_\alpha \in \langle A_m \rangle$. Therefore, $T_{(n,m)} = \langle A_m \rangle$ for $2 \leq m \leq n-2$.

If $\alpha \in T_{(n,n-1)}$, then it is clear that λ_α is the identity of T_n , and moreover, γ_α is either the identity or an idempotent $\gamma_\alpha = \binom{n}{i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. In other words either $\alpha = \beta_\alpha$ or $\alpha = \beta_\alpha \binom{n}{i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Now since

$$\binom{n}{i} = (1\ i) \binom{n}{1} (1\ i) \in \langle A_{n-1} \rangle$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, it follows that $T_{(n,n-1)} = \langle A_{n-1} \rangle$. □

3. Rank of $T_{(n,m)}$

For $2 \leq m \leq n - 1$, let

$$G(n, m) = \{\alpha \in T_{(n,m)} : (X_n \setminus X_m)\alpha = X_n \setminus X_m\} = T_{(n,m)} \cap S_n \text{ and}$$

$$H(n, m) = \{\alpha \in T_{(n,m)} : (X_n \setminus X_m)\alpha \subseteq X_n \setminus X_m\}.$$

It is clear that both $G(n, m)$ and $H(n, m)$ are subsemigroups of $T_{(n,m)}$,

$$G(n, m) \leq H(n, m) \text{ and } G(n, m) \cong S_m \times S_{n-m} \text{ (for } 2 \leq m \leq n - 2).$$

Moreover, it is also clear that $G(n, n - 1) = H(n, n - 1) \cong S_{n-1}$. Thus, it follows that

$$G(n, m) = \langle (1\ 2), (1\ 2 \ \dots \ m), (m + 1\ m + 2), (m + 1\ m + 2 \ \dots \ n) \rangle \tag{2}$$

for all $2 \leq m \leq n - 2$. Since $(2\ 3 \ \dots \ m)(1\ 2) = (1\ 2 \ \dots \ m)$ for all $3 \leq m \leq n - 1$, we also have that $S_n = \langle (1\ 2), (2\ 3 \ \dots \ n) \rangle$, and so

$$G(n, m) = \langle (1\ 2), (2\ 3 \ \dots \ m), (m + 1\ m + 2), (m + 1\ m + 2 \ \dots \ n) \rangle \tag{3}$$

$$= \langle (1\ 2), (1\ 2 \ \dots \ m), (m + 1\ m + 2), (m + 2\ m + 3 \ \dots \ n) \rangle \tag{4}$$

$$= \langle (1\ 2), (2\ 3 \ \dots \ m), (m + 1\ m + 2), (m + 2\ m + 3 \ \dots \ n) \rangle. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 3 For $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$,

$$\text{rank}(G(n, m)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (n, m) = (2, 1), (3, 1) \text{ or } (3, 2) \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof Since $G(n, n - 1) \cong G(n, 1) \cong S_{n-1}$, it follows that $\text{rank}(G(n, 1)) = 1$ for $n = 2, 3$, $\text{rank}(G(3, 2)) = 1$, and that $\text{rank}(G(n, 1)) = \text{rank}(G(n, n - 1)) = 2$ for $n \geq 4$.

First notice that if $(n, m) \notin \{(2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2)\}$, then $G(n, m)$ is not a cyclic group, and so $\text{rank}(G(n, m)) \geq 2$. If $m = 2$ and $n = 4$, then $G(4, 2) \cong S_2 \times S_2$ (the Klein-4 group), and so $\text{rank}(G(4, 2)) = 2$ ($G(4, 2) = \langle (1\ 2), (3\ 4) \rangle$).

Before we consider the other cases, we consider the following cycles in S_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= (1\ 2), & \alpha_2 &= (m + 1\ m + 2), \\ \beta_1 &= (1\ 2 \ \dots \ m), & \beta_2 &= (m + 1\ m + 2 \ \dots \ n), \\ \gamma_1 &= (2\ 3 \ \dots \ m), & \gamma_2 &= (m + 2\ m + 3 \ \dots \ n), \end{aligned}$$

and moreover, the permutations S_n :

$$\delta = \alpha_1\beta_2, \quad \eta = \alpha_1\gamma_2, \quad \varepsilon = \alpha_2\beta_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = \alpha_2\gamma_1.$$

Suppose that $m = 2$ and $n \geq 5$. If $n - 2$ is an odd number, then since

$$\delta^{n-2} = \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{n-1} = \beta_2,$$

it follows from Eq. (2) that $G(n, 2) = \langle \delta, \alpha_2 \rangle$. If $n - 2$ is an even number, then since

$$\eta^{n-3} = \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^{n-2} = \gamma_2,$$

it follows from Eq. (4) that $G(n, 2) = \langle \alpha_2, \eta \rangle$.

Suppose that $n - m = 2$ and $m \geq 3$. If m is an odd number, then since

$$\varepsilon^m = \alpha_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^{m+1} = \beta_1,$$

it follows from Eq. (2) that $G(n, m) = \langle \alpha_1, \varepsilon \rangle$. If m is an even number, then since

$$\zeta^{m-1} = \alpha_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^m = \gamma_1,$$

it follows from Eq. (3) that $G(n, m) = \langle \alpha_1, \zeta \rangle$.

Finally, suppose that $m \geq 3$ and $n - m \geq 3$. If both m and $n - m$ are odd numbers, then since

$$\delta^{n-m} = \alpha_1, \quad \delta^{n-m+1} = \beta_2, \quad \varepsilon^m = \alpha_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^{m+1} = \beta_1,$$

it follows from Eq. (2) that $G(n, m) = \langle \delta, \varepsilon \rangle$. If m is an even number and if $n - m$ is an odd number, then since

$$\delta^{n-m} = \alpha_1, \quad \delta^{n-m+1} = \beta_2, \quad \zeta^{m-1} = \alpha_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^m = \gamma_1,$$

it follows from Eq. (3) that $G(n, m) = \langle \delta, \zeta \rangle$. If m is an odd number and if $n - m$ is an even number, then since

$$\eta^{n-m-1} = \alpha_1, \quad \eta^{n-m} = \gamma_2, \quad \varepsilon^m = \alpha_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^{m+1} = \beta_1,$$

it follows from Eq. (4) that $G(n, m) = \langle \varepsilon, \eta \rangle$. If both m and $n - m$ are even numbers, then since

$$\eta^{n-m-1} = \alpha_1, \quad \eta^{n-m} = \gamma_2, \quad \zeta^{m-1} = \alpha_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^m = \gamma_1,$$

it follows from Eq. (5) that $G(n, m) = \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle$. □

Therefore, in the above theorem, we have found the rank of the direct product of two symmetric groups.

Lemma 4 (i) $T_{(n,m)} \setminus G(n, m)$ is an ideal of $T_{(n,m)}$ for $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$.

(ii) $H(n, m) \setminus G(n, m)$ is an ideal of $H(n, m)$ for $1 \leq m \leq n - 2$.

(iii) $T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$ is a subsemigroup of $T_{(n,m)}$ for $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$.

Proof (i) Let $\alpha \in T_{(n,m)}$ and $\beta \in T_{(n,m)} \setminus G(n, m)$. First notice that $|\text{im}(\beta)| \leq n - 1$ and that all elements in $G(n, m)$ are permutations. Since $\text{im}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$, it follows that $|\text{im}(\alpha\beta)| \leq |\text{im}(\beta)| \leq n - 1$. Since $\ker(\beta\alpha) \supseteq \ker(\beta)$, it follows that $|\text{im}(\beta\alpha)| \leq |\text{im}(\beta)| \leq n - 1$. Therefore, both $\alpha\beta$ and $\beta\alpha$ are elements of $T_{(n,m)} \setminus G(n, m)$, as required.

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i).

(iii) Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$. Then there exists at least one i such that $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i\alpha \in X_m$. Thus, we have $i(\alpha\beta) = (i\alpha)\beta \in X_m$, and so $\alpha\beta \in T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$, as required. □

Proposition 5 Let $\alpha \in G(n, m)$ and $\beta \in T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$. Then both $\alpha\beta$ and $\beta\alpha$ are elements of $T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$.

Proof Let $\alpha \in G(n, m)$ and $\beta \in T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$. Similarly, there exists at least one i such that $m+1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i(\beta\alpha) = (i\beta)\alpha \in X_m$. Moreover, for the same i , there exists only one j such that $m+1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j\alpha = i$. Therefore, $j(\alpha\beta) = i\beta \in X_m$, as required. \square

Thus, for every generating set A of $T_{(n,m)}$, it follows from Lemma 4 (i) that $A \cap G(n, m)$ is a generating set of $G(n, m)$ ($1 \leq m \leq n - 1$). Therefore, A must include at least 2 elements from $G(n, m)$ when $(n, m) \notin \{(2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2)\}$ and at least 1 element from $G(n, m)$ when $(n, m) \in \{(2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2)\}$. Similarly, for every generating set B of $H(n, m)$, it follows from Lemma 4 (ii) that $B \cap G(n, m)$ is a generating set of $G(n, m)$ ($1 \leq m \leq n - 2$).

Theorem 6 For $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$,

$$\text{rank}(T_{(n,m)}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } (n, m) = (2, 1) \text{ or } (3, 2) \\ 3 & \text{if } (n, m) = (3, 1) \text{ or } 4 \leq n \text{ and } m = n - 1 \\ 4 & \text{if } 4 \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq n - 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof For $(n, m) = (2, 1)$ since $T_{(2,1)} \cong P_1$ and $\text{rank}(P_1) = 2$, it follows that $\text{rank}(T_{(2,1)}) = 2$. For $(n, m) = (3, 2)$, since $\text{rank}(G(3, 2)) = 1$, it follows from Lemma 4 (i) that $\text{rank}(T_{(3,2)}) \geq 2$. It is easy to show that $\{(1\ 2), \binom{3}{1}\}$ is a generating set of $T_{(3,2)}$, and so $\text{rank}(T_{(3,2)}) = 2$. For $(n, m) = (3, 1)$, since $T_{(3,1)} \cong P_2$, it follows from the well-known fact $\text{rank}(P_2) = 3$ that $\text{rank}(T_{(3,1)}) = 3$.

Suppose that $4 \leq n$ and $m = n - 1$. Then it follows from Lemma 2 that $\{(1\ 2), (1\ 2 \cdots n - 1), \binom{n}{1}\}$ is a generating set of $T_{(n,n-1)}$. From Lemmas 3 and 4 (i) since we have $\text{rank}(T_{(n,n-1)}) \geq 3$, it follows that $\text{rank}(T_{(n,n-1)}) = 3$ for $4 \leq n$ and $m = n - 1$.

Suppose that $4 \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq n - 2$. Let A be any generating set for $T_{(n,m)}$. From Lemmas 3 and 4 (i) notice that $|A \cap G(n, m)| \geq 2$. Since $G(n, m) \neq T_{(n,m)}$ we have $\text{rank}(T_{(n,m)}) \geq 3$.

Now we show that $\text{rank}(T_{(n,m)}) \geq 4$. Assume that $\text{rank}(T_{(n,m)}) = 3$. Then it follows from Lemma 4 (i) that there exists only one element α in $B = A \setminus G(n, m)$. If $\alpha \in H(n, m)$, then it follows from Lemma 4 (ii) that $\langle A \rangle$ must be a subsemigroup of $H(n, m)$, which is a contradiction since $H(n, m) \neq T_{(n,m)}$. If $\alpha \in T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$, then similarly it follows from Proposition 5 that

$$\langle A \rangle \text{ is a subsemigroup of } \left(G(n, m) \cup (T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)) \right) \neq T_{(n,m)},$$

which is again a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $\text{rank}(T_{(n,m)}) \geq 4$. For $4 \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq n - 2$ the result follows from Lemmas 2, 3, and 4 (i) that $\text{rank}(T_{(n,m)}) = 4$, as required. \square

Note that for any generating set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of $G(n, m)$, we have just proved that the set

$$\left\{ \alpha, \beta, \binom{m+1}{m+2}, \binom{m+1}{1} \right\}$$

is a minimal generating set of $T_{(n,m)}$ for $4 \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq n - 2$. Also notice that $\binom{m+1}{m+2} \in H(n, m) \setminus G(n, m)$ and that $\binom{m+1}{1} \in T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$. One can easily prove that if $\gamma \in H(n, m) \setminus G(n, m)$ and $\delta \in T_{(n,m)} \setminus H(n, m)$ are any two idempotents of the same defect 1, then $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ is a minimal generating set of $T_{(n,m)}$ for $4 \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq n - 2$.

References

- [1] Ayık G, Ayık H, Bugay L, Kelekci O. Generating sets of finite singular transformation semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* 2013; 86: 59-66.
- [2] Garba GU. Idempotents in partial transformation semigroups. *Proc R Soc Edinb* 1990; 116A: 81-96.
- [3] Gomes GMS, Howie JM. On the ranks of certain finite semigroups of transformations. *Math Proc Camb Philos Soc* 1987; 101: 395-403.
- [4] Howie JM. *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*. New York, NY, USA: Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [5] Howie JM, McFadden RB. Idempotent rank in finite full transformation semigroups. *Proc R Soc Edinb Sect* 1990; 114A: 161-167.
- [6] Sanwong J, Sommanee W. Regularity and Green's relations on a semigroup of transformations with restricted range. *Int J Math Math Sci* 2008; 2008: 794013.
- [7] Sommanee W, Sanwong J. Rank and idempotent rank of finite full transformation semigroups with restricted range. *Semigroup Forum* 2013; 87: 230-242.
- [8] Symons JSV. Some results concerning a transformation semigroup. *J Aust Math Soc* 1975; 19A: 413-425.