

1-1-2018

Regularity of semigroups of transformations with restricted range preserving an alternating orientation order

SOMPHONG JITMAN

RATTANA SRITHUS

CHALERMPONG WORAWANNOTAI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

JITMAN, SOMPHONG; SRITHUS, RATTANA; and WORAWANNOTAI, CHALERMPONG (2018) "Regularity of semigroups of transformations with restricted range preserving an alternating orientation order," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 4, Article 29. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1701-22>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol42/iss4/29>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Regularity of semigroups of transformations with restricted range preserving an alternating orientation order

Somphong JITMAN[✉], Rattana SRITHUS[✉], Chalermpong WORAWANNOTAI*[✉]
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand

Received: 09.01.2017

Accepted/Published Online: 03.05.2018

Final Version: 24.07.2018

Abstract: It is well known that the transformation semigroup on a nonempty set X , which is denoted by $T(X)$, is regular, but its subsemigroups do not need to be. Consider a finite ordered set $X = (X; \leq)$ whose order forms a path with alternating orientation. For a nonempty subset Y of X , two subsemigroups of $T(X)$ are studied. Namely, the semigroup $OT(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X) \mid \alpha \text{ is order-preserving and } X\alpha \subseteq Y\}$ and the semigroup $OS(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X) \mid \alpha \text{ is order-preserving and } Y\alpha \subseteq Y\}$. In this paper, we characterize ordered sets having a coregular semigroup $OT(X, Y)$ and a coregular semigroup $OS(X, Y)$, respectively. Some characterizations of regular semigroups $OT(X, Y)$ and $OS(X, Y)$ are given. We also describe coregular and regular elements of both $OT(X, Y)$ and $OS(X, Y)$.

Key words: Order-preserving, fence, semigroup, regular, coregular

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Regularity is one of the most studied topics in semigroup theory due to its nice algebraic properties and wide applications. An element a in a semigroup S is called *regular* if there is an element $b \in S$ such that $a = aba$. A *regular* semigroup is a semigroup in which every element is regular. There have been many research works studying regularity of semigroups (see [9–11, 13–15, 18, 20, 22]). A special case of a regular element is a coregular element. An element a in a semigroup S is called *coregular* if there is an element $b \in S$ such that $aba = a = bab$ and S is called *coregular* if every element of S is coregular. Clearly, every coregular element is regular. It has been proved that an element a in a semigroup S is coregular if and only if $a^3 = a$ (see [21, Proposition 3]). For a nonempty set X , it is well known that the semigroup $T(X)$ of all transformations of X is regular (see [1, page 33]). However, a subsemigroup of $T(X)$ does not need to be regular. The regularity for various types of subsemigroups of $T(X)$ has been investigated. In 1966, Magill [12] introduced and studied the subsemigroup

$$S(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X) \mid Y\alpha \subseteq Y\}$$

of $T(X)$ where Y is a nonempty subset of X . Nenthein et al. [15] described regular elements of $S(X, Y)$ and also determined the number of such elements for a finite set X .

For a nonempty subset Y of X , the subsemigroup

$$T(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X) \mid X\alpha \subseteq Y\}$$

*Correspondence: worawannotai_c@silpakorn.edu

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20, 20M05, 20M17

This work was supported by the Faculty of Science of Silpakorn University, contract number SRF-PRG-2558-09.

of $T(X)$ was first introduced by Symons [19] in 1975. A characterization of regular elements of $T(X, Y)$ was given [15]. Sanwong and Sommanee [18] obtained the largest regular subsemigroup of $T(X, Y)$ since, in general, $T(X, Y)$ does not need to be regular.

Consider X as the base set of an ordered set $(X; \leq)$. Throughout this paper, we represent an ordered set by its base set. A map $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *order-preserving* if $x \leq y$ implies $x\alpha \leq y\alpha$ for all $x, y \in X$. The order-preserving counterpart of the semigroup $T(X)$ is denoted by $OT(X)$, the semigroup of all order-preserving transformations of X . Such a semigroup is a subsemigroup of $T(X)$ and plays an important role in the study of algebraic systems. In [5], Gluskin showed that if $OT(X)$ is isomorphic to $OT(Y)$, then the ordered sets X and Y are isomorphic or antiisomorphic. Repnitski and Vernitski [16, Lemma 1.1] proved that every free semigroup can be represented by the semigroup $OT(X)$ of a chain (or a totally ordered set) and every semigroup is a homomorphic image of a free semigroup. Later, Higgins et al. [7] found that the rank of the semigroup $T(X)$ is related to the semigroup $OT(X)$ for some chain X .

There have been many research works focused on the regularity of order-preserving transformation semigroups (see [4, 9–11, 14, 20, 22]). Let X be a chain. Then the semigroup $OT(X)$ is a regular subsemigroup of $T(X)$ if X is finite (see [6, Exercise 6.1.9]). Kepravit and Changphas [9] showed that if X is order-isomorphic to a subchain of \mathbb{Z} , then $OT(X)$ is regular. In [4], Fernandes et al. described the largest regular subsemigroup of $OT(X)$.

For a nonempty subset Y of an ordered set X , the semigroups $OS(X, Y)$ and $OT(X, Y)$ are adapted from analogous conditions for $S(X, Y)$ and $T(X, Y)$, respectively. Precisely,

$$OS(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in OT(X) \mid Y\alpha \subseteq Y\}$$

and

$$OT(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in OT(X) \mid X\alpha \subseteq Y\}$$

are subsemigroups of $OT(X)$ and also of $T(X)$. For a chain X , Mora and Kempravit [14] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for $OT(X, Y)$ to be regular and determined all regular elements. Fernandes et al. [4] characterized the largest regular subsemigroup of $OT(X, Y)$.

The semigroup $OT(X)$ and its subsemigroups have been studied by many mathematicians, but most of this research was done on a chain. Our interest focuses on ordered sets whose simplicity is “next” to that of chains. Such ordered sets are fences.

A *fence* X is an ordered set such that the order forms a path with alternating orientation. Indeed, the only comparability relations in X are either

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \geq x_3, x_3 \leq x_4 \geq x_5, \dots, x_{2m-1} \leq x_{2m} \geq x_{2m+1}, \dots$$

or

$$x_1 \geq x_2 \leq x_3, x_3 \geq x_4 \leq x_5, \dots, x_{2m-1} \geq x_{2m} \leq x_{2m+1}, \dots$$

where $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$. Every element in X is minimal or maximal. The *cardinality* of a fence X is defined to be the cardinality of X as a set and denoted by $|X|$. Here $|X|$ can be either finite or infinite. A fence X is said to be *trivial* if $|X| = 1$ and *nontrivial* otherwise. A nonempty subset Y of a fence X is called a *subfence* of X if Y is a fence with respect to the order restricted from X .

For $x, y \in X$, the distance $d(x, y)$ from x to y in X is defined by

$$d(x, y) = \inf\{|S| - 1 \mid S \text{ is a subfence of } X \text{ and } x, y \in S\}.$$

For an element $\alpha \in OT(X)$, let $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x\alpha \mid x \in X\}$. We note that $Y\alpha = \{y\alpha \mid y \in Y\}$ is a subfence of X for every element $\alpha \in OT(X)$ and a subfence Y of X (see [8, Section 2]). In particular, $\text{ran } \alpha = X\alpha$ is subfence of X for $\alpha \in OT(X)$.

Algebraic properties of order-preserving transformations of fences have been long considered (see, for example, [2, 3, 17]). Recently, Jendana and Srithus [8] proved that, for a finite fence X , the semigroup $OT(X)$ is coregular if and only if $|X| \leq 2$, and they characterized coregular elements of $OT(X)$. Later, in 2016, Tanyawong et al. [8] described all regular semigroups of transformations preserving a fence, i.e. $OT(X)$ is regular if and only if $|X| \leq 4$. The regularity of elements in $OT(X)$ was discussed as well.

Throughout this paper, let X be a finite fence and let Y be a nonempty set of X . In general, $OT(X, Y)$ and $OS(X, Y)$ do not need to be regular (see Lemma 2.1). Our main purpose is to investigate the regularity of the semigroups $OS(X, Y)$ and $OT(X, Y)$. In Section 2, we characterize coregular elements in subsemigroups of $OT(X)$. In Section 3, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for $OT(X, Y)$ to be regular. Since an element in $OT(X, Y)$ does not need to be regular, the regular elements of $OT(X, Y)$ are completely determined. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the study of the regularity of $OS(X, Y)$.

2. Coregular elements in subsemigroups of $OT(X)$

In this section we characterize coregular elements in any subsemigroup of $OT(X)$. Observe that for any element α of $OT(X)$, α is coregular in a subsemigroup of $OT(X)$ if and only if α is coregular in $OT(X)$. Since $OT(X)$ is coregular if and only if $|X| \leq 2$ (see [8, Theorem 2.1]), in general $OT(X)$ does not need to be coregular. We now give an example of a map that is not coregular in $OT(X)$ when $|X| \geq 3$. Moreover, this map is regular in $OT(X)$ but is not regular in either $OT(X, Y)$ or $OS(X, Y)$ for some subset Y of X .

Lemma 2.1 *Let a, b , and c be distinct elements in X satisfying (1) a and b are comparable, and (2) b and c are comparable. Define the map $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ by*

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x = c \\ b & \text{if } x \neq c. \end{cases}$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) *The map α is an element of $OT(X)$.*
- (ii) *The map α is not coregular in $OT(X)$. Consequently, α is not coregular in any subsemigroup of $OT(X)$.*
- (iii) *The map α is regular in $OT(X)$.*
- (iv) *The map α is not regular in $OT(X, Y)$ for any subset Y of X that contains a and b but does not contain c .*
- (v) *The map α is not regular in $OS(X, Y)$ for any subset Y of X that contains a and b but does not contain c .*

Proof

- (i) Assumptions (1) and (2) imply that a and c are both minimal or both maximal. Without loss of generality, assume that a and c are both minimal. Then $a < b$ and $c < b$. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \leq y$. Clearly,

$x\alpha \leq y\alpha$ if $x = y$. Assume that $x < y$. Then x is minimal and y is maximal, which implies that $y \neq c$. Hence, $x\alpha = a < b = y\alpha$ if $x = c$, or $x\alpha = b \leq b = y\alpha$ if $x \neq c$. The proof is completed.

- (ii) Recall that an element $\gamma \in OT(X)$ is coregular if and only if $\gamma^3 = \gamma$. Since $c\alpha^3 = b \neq a = c\alpha$, α is not regular in $OT(X)$.
- (iii) Define the map $\beta : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } x = a \\ b & \text{if } x \neq a. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $\beta \in OT(X)$ and $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Therefore, α is regular in $OT(X)$.

- (iv) Clearly $\alpha \in OT(X, Y)$. Suppose α is regular in $OT(X, Y)$. Then there exists an element β in $OT(X, Y)$ such that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. By the definition of α , we have $c\alpha\beta\alpha = a\beta\alpha$. From $c \notin Y$, we have $a\beta \neq c$, implying $a\beta\alpha = b$. It follows that $c\alpha\beta\alpha = a\beta\alpha = b \neq a = c\alpha$, which is a contradiction. Hence, α is not regular in $OT(X, Y)$.
- (v) The proof is similar to the proof of (iv).

□

In 2015, Jendana and Srithus gave a technical lemma that will be a tool for describing coregular elements in $OT(X)$, as stated below.

Lemma 2.2 ([8, Lemma 3.1]) *Let S be a subfence of X and let $\alpha \in OT(X)$ with $\text{ran } \alpha = S$ and $\alpha|_S$ is a bijection. Assume that $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $x_k\alpha = x_l$ for some positive integer k and l . Let $w \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w \geq 2$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *Assume that $x_{k-1}\alpha = x_{l+1}$. If $x_{k\pm w} \in S$, then $x_{k\pm w}\alpha = x_{l\mp w}$.*
- (ii) *Assume that $x_{k-1}\alpha = x_{l-1}$. If $x_{k\pm w} \in S$, then $x_{k\pm w}\alpha = x_{l\pm w}$.*

The following lemma gives useful properties of elements in $OT(X)$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\alpha \in OT(X)$, for which $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is a bijection. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If $a, b \in \text{ran } \alpha$ with $a < b$, then $a\alpha < b\alpha$.*
- (ii) *If $a \in \text{ran } \alpha$, then a and $a\alpha$ are both minimal or both maximal in X .*

Proof

- (i) Let $a, b \in \text{ran } \alpha$ with $a < b$. From α being order-preserving and $a < b$, we have $a\alpha \leq b\alpha$. Since $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is injective and $a \neq b$, we have $a\alpha \neq b\alpha$, which implies that $a\alpha < b\alpha$.
- (ii) Let $a \in \text{ran } \alpha$ with $a\alpha = b$. If $|\text{ran } \alpha| = 1$, then $a = b$. Hence, (ii) is satisfied. Consider $|\text{ran } \alpha| > 1$. We may assume that a and b are minimal and maximal in X , respectively. Since $\text{ran } \alpha$ is a subfence of X , there exists an element $c \in \text{ran } \alpha$ with $a < c$. By (i), $b = a\alpha < c\alpha$. Thus, b is not maximal, a contradiction.

□

In what follows, we restrict our study to the case of a map α in $OT(X, Y)$ for which the restriction to its range is bijective. Theorem 2.4 shows that there are only 2 possibilities for such a map.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $\alpha \in OT(X)$ and let $W = \text{ran } \alpha$. Then $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection if and only if one of the following statements holds:*

- (i) *If $|W|$ is even, then $\alpha|_W = id_W$.*
- (ii) *If $|W|$ is odd, then either $\alpha|_W = id_W$ or $x_k\alpha = x_{n-(k-1)}$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where $W = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.*

Proof Assume that $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection. Let $W = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. First we show that $x_1\alpha \in \{x_1, x_n\}$. Suppose $x_1\alpha = x_j$ for some $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$. Then by Lemma 2.2 either $x_{1+s}\alpha = x_{j-s}$ for all $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ or $x_{1+s}\alpha = x_{j+s}$ for all $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Since $j-s \leq n-1$ and $j+s \geq 2$ for all $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $x_{1+s}\alpha \neq x_n$ for all $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ or $x_{1+s}\alpha \neq x_1$ for all $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence, $W\alpha \subsetneq W$, which is impossible since $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection.

Therefore, we have $x_1\alpha \in \{x_1, x_n\}$. If $x_1\alpha = x_1$, then $x_n\alpha = x_n$ and $x_{n-1}\alpha = x_{n-1}$ since $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection. By setting $k = n$ and $l = n$ in Lemma 2.2(ii), we have $\alpha|_W = id_W$. In the case where $x_1\alpha = x_n$, we have $x_n\alpha = x_1$ and $x_{n-1}\alpha = x_2$ since $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection. By setting $k = n$ and $l = 1$ in Lemma 2.2(i), it follows that $x_k\alpha = x_{n-k+1}$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $|W|$ is even, then one of x_1 and x_n is minimal and the other is maximal. Without loss of generality, we assume that x_1 is minimal. By Lemma 2.3(ii) we have that x_1 and $x_1\alpha$ are minimal. Since x_2 is maximal, we get that $x_1\alpha \neq x_n$. Therefore, $x_1\alpha = x_1$ and $\alpha|_W = id_W$.

Conversely, assume that (i) or (ii) holds. Then clearly $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection. □

We shall characterize coregular elements in any subsemigroup of $OT(X)$. To do so we need results concerning coregular elements in $OT(X)$.

Theorem 2.5 ([8, Theorems 3.4 and 3.5]) *Let $\alpha \in OT(X)$ and let $W = \text{ran } \alpha$. Then α is coregular if and only if one of the following statements holds:*

- (i) *If $|W|$ is even, then $\alpha|_W = id_W$.*
- (ii) *If $|W|$ is odd, then either $\alpha|_W = id_W$ or $x_k\alpha = x_{n-(k-1)}$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where $W = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.*

Summarizing the results, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an element in a subsemigroup of $OT(X)$ to be coregular.

Theorem 2.6 *Let α be an element in a subsemigroup S of $OT(X)$ and let $W = \text{ran } \alpha$. Then following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) α is coregular.
- (ii) $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection.
- (iii) $d(a\alpha, b\alpha) = d(a, b) = |W| - 1$ where a and b are the endpoints of W .

Proof

(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): The result follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.5.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Assume that $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection. Then by Theorem 2.4, $\{a, b\}\alpha = \{a, b\}$. It follows immediately that $d(a\alpha, b\alpha) = d(a, b) = |W| - 1$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that $d(a\alpha, b\alpha) = d(a, b) = |W| - 1$. Then from the fact that an order-preserving map sends a subfence to a subfence and $\text{ran } \alpha = W$, the image $W\alpha = W$ implies that $\alpha|_W$ is onto. Since W is finite, $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection. □

We close this section with results involving the fixed points of maps in $OT(X)$.

Proposition 2.7 *Let $\alpha \in OT(X)$. Then there exists a positive integer m such that $\text{ran}(\alpha^m) = \text{ran}(\alpha^{m+1})$ and the following statements hold.*

(i) $\alpha^m = \alpha^{m+2}$ and $\alpha^{m+1} = \alpha^{m+3}$.

(ii) α^m and α^{m+1} are coregular.

(iii) If $\alpha^m = \alpha^{m+1}$, then $\text{ran}(\alpha^m)$ is the set of all fixed points of α ; otherwise, α has exactly one fixed point.

Proof Since $\text{ran } \alpha \supseteq \text{ran}(\alpha^2) \supseteq \text{ran}(\alpha^3) \supseteq \dots$ is a chain of finite sets, there exists a positive integer m such that

$$\text{ran}(\alpha^m) = \text{ran}(\alpha^{m+1}) = (\text{ran}(\alpha^m))\alpha. \tag{2.1}$$

Equation (2.1) implies that $\alpha|_{\text{ran}(\alpha^m)}$ is a bijection on $\text{ran}(\alpha^m)$. For simplicity, let $\beta = \alpha|_{\text{ran}(\alpha^m)}$. Then $\text{ran } \beta = \text{ran}(\alpha^m)$ and $\beta|_{\text{ran } \beta} = (\alpha|_{\text{ran}(\alpha^m)})|_{\text{ran}(\alpha^m)} = \alpha|_{\text{ran}(\alpha^m)}$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran}(\alpha^m) = \text{ran } \beta$. By Theorem 2.4 the map $(\beta|_{\text{ran } \beta})^2 = (\alpha|_{\text{ran}(\alpha^m)})^2$ is the identity on $\text{ran } \beta = \text{ran}(\alpha^m)$ and hence $\alpha^m = \alpha^{m+2}$. Similarly, $\alpha^{m+1} = \alpha^{m+3}$. Hence, (i) is proved.

By applying (2.1) recursively, it can be concluded that $\text{ran}(\alpha^m) = (\text{ran}(\alpha^m))\alpha^m$. Therefore, $\alpha^m|_{\text{ran}(\alpha^m)}$ is a bijection and hence α^m is coregular by Theorem 2.6. Similarly, α^{m+1} is coregular. The proof of (ii) is completed.

To prove (iii), assume that $\alpha^m = \alpha^{m+1}$. Then $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha^m}$ is the identity on $\text{ran}(\alpha^m)$. Equivalently, $\text{ran}(\alpha^m)$ is the set of all fixed points of α . If $\alpha^m \neq \alpha^{m+1}$, then $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha^m}$ is the involution on $\text{ran}(\alpha^m)$ and α has exactly one fixed point. □

Corollary 2.8 *For $\alpha \in OT(X)$, the fixed points of α form a subfence.*

3. Regularity of $OT(X, Y)$

In this section, we investigate the regularity of $OT(X, Y)$ where Y is a nonempty subset of X . Before doing so, we mention some basic knowledge involving order-preserving maps. It is well known (see [8, Section 2]) that if an ordered set P is connected, i.e. for all $a, b \in P$ there is a subfence of P with endpoints a and b , then every order-preserving map sends an order-connected set to an order-connected set. Because an order-connected subset of a fence is precisely a subfence, an order-preserving map sends a subfence to a subfence.

Observe that for an ordered set P , the identity map id_P and a constant map c_a that maps all elements in P to $a \in P$ are order-preserving. Because $(id_P)^3 = id_P$ and $(c_a)^3 = c_a$, we get that id_P and c_a are coregular and hence regular in $OT(P)$. If X is a trivial fence, then $X = Y$ is a singleton and $OS(X, Y) = OT(X) = OT(X, Y)$ is the set of the identity map. Hence, $OT(X, Y)$ is coregular and also regular. From Lemma 2.1, in general $OT(X, Y)$ does not need to be regular. It is natural to ask when the semigroup $OT(X, Y)$ is regular and coregular, respectively. The answer is shown in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.1 *The semigroup $OT(X, Y)$ is regular if and only if $|X| = |Y| \leq 4$ or Y does not contain nontrivial subfences.*

Proof Assume that $OT(X, Y)$ is regular. If $X = Y$, then $OT(X) = OT(X, Y)$ is regular, and hence $|X| = |Y| \leq 4$ by [20, Theorem 3.9]. Assume that Y is a proper subset of X . To show that Y does not contain non-trivial subfences, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that Y contains a nontrivial subfence. Then there are two comparable elements a and b in Y and an element $c \in X \setminus Y$ such that b and c are comparable. Then the map α from Lemma 2.1 is an element in $OT(X, Y)$ but it is not regular in $OT(X, Y)$, a contradiction. Therefore, Y does not contain nontrivial subfences.

Conversely, assume that $|X| = |Y| \leq 4$ or Y does not contain nontrivial subfences. If $|X| = |Y| \leq 4$, then $OT(X, Y) = OT(X)$ is regular by [20, Theorem 3.9]. If Y does not contain nontrivial subfences, then $OT(X, Y)$ contains only constant maps. Since constant maps are regular, $OT(X, Y)$ is regular. \square

Note that if Y is a subfence of X that does not contain nontrivial subfences, then $|Y| = 1$. Therefore, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2 *If Y is a subfence of X , then $OT(X, Y)$ is regular if and only if $|X| = |Y| \leq 4$ or $|Y| = 1$*

By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and the fact that $OT(X)$ is coregular if and only if $|X| \leq 2$, the following theorem is obtained.

Theorem 3.3 *The semigroup $OT(X, Y)$ is coregular if and only if $|X| = |Y| \leq 2$ or Y does not contain nontrivial subfences.*

Corollary 3.4 *If Y is a subfence of X , then $OT(X, Y)$ is coregular if and only if $|X| = |Y| \leq 2$ or $|Y| = 1$*

We now characterize regular elements in $OT(X, Y)$.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $\alpha \in OT(X, Y)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) α is regular.
- (ii) There exists a subfence Z of Y such that $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$.
- (iii) There exist $x, y \in Y$ such that $x \in a\alpha^{-1}$, $y \in b\alpha^{-1}$, and $d(x, y) = |\text{ran } \alpha| - 1$ where a and b are the endpoints of $\text{ran } \alpha$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that α is regular. Then there exists an element $\beta \in OT(X, Y)$ such that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Define $Z = (\text{ran } \alpha)\beta$. Clearly Z is a subfence of Y and $|Z| \leq |\text{ran } \alpha|$. Now $Z\alpha = (\text{ran } \alpha)\beta\alpha = X\alpha\beta\alpha =$

$X\alpha = \text{ran } \alpha$. In particular, $|Z| \geq |\text{ran } \alpha|$. Therefore, $|Z| = |\text{ran } \alpha| = |Z\alpha|$, and hence $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and let Z be a subfence of Y such that $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$. Define $\gamma = (\alpha|_Z)^{-1}$. Let $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_m\}$ for some $1 \leq l \leq m \leq n$. Define a map $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$x_i\beta = \begin{cases} x_l\gamma & \text{if } 1 \leq i < l, \\ x_i\gamma & \text{if } l \leq i \leq m, \\ x_m\gamma & \text{if } m < i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\beta \in OT(X, Y)$ and $\beta\alpha$ is the identity on $\text{ran } \alpha$. Therefore, $x\alpha\beta\alpha = (x\alpha)(\beta\alpha) = x\alpha$ for all $x \in X$. Hence, α is regular.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Assume that there exists a subfence Z of Y such that $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$. Then $|Z| = |\text{ran } \alpha|$ and $\text{ran } \alpha = Z\alpha$. Let a and b be the endpoints of $\text{ran } \alpha$ and let x and y be the endpoints of Z . Then $x, y \in Y$ and $d(x, y) = |Z| - 1 = |\text{ran } \alpha| - 1$. Since $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$, either $a = x\alpha$ and $b = y\alpha$ or $b = x\alpha$ and $a = y\alpha$. The desired result follows.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that there exist $x, y \in Y$ such that $x \in a\alpha^{-1}$, $y \in b\alpha^{-1}$, and $d(x, y) = |\text{ran } \alpha| - 1$ where a and b are the endpoints of $\text{ran } \alpha$. Let Z be the subfence of Y whose endpoints are x and y . Then $|Z| = d(x, y) + 1 = |\text{ran } \alpha| = d(a, b) + 1 = d(x\alpha, y\alpha) + 1 \leq |Z\alpha| \leq |Z|$. It follows that $|Z| = |\text{ran } \alpha|$ and $\text{ran } \alpha = Z\alpha$. Hence, $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$. □

In general, the product of two regular elements in $OT(X, Y)$ might not be regular. A necessary and sufficient condition for a product to be regular is given below.

Theorem 3.6 *Let α be a regular element of $OT(X, Y)$ and let $\beta \in OT(X, Y)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\alpha\beta$ is regular.
- (ii) There exists a subfence W of $\text{ran } \alpha$ such that $\beta|_W$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran}(\alpha\beta)$.
- (iii) There exists $x, y \in Y$ such that $x \in a\beta^{-1} \cap \text{ran } \alpha$, $y \in b\beta^{-1} \cap \text{ran } \alpha$, and $d(x, y) = d(a, b) = |\text{ran}(\alpha\beta)| - 1$ where a and b are the endpoints of $\text{ran } \alpha$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose $\alpha\beta$ is regular. By Theorem 3.5, there exists a fence $Z \subseteq Y$ such that $(\alpha\beta)|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran}(\alpha\beta) = Z\alpha\beta$. Clearly $W = Z\alpha$ is the desired subfence.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let W be a subfence of $\text{ran } \alpha$ such that $\beta|_W$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran}(\alpha\beta) = W\beta$. Since α is regular, by Theorem 3.5 there exists a fence $Z' \subseteq Y$ such that $\alpha|_{Z'}$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$. Since W is a subfence of $\text{ran } \alpha = Z'\alpha$, there exists a subfence Z of Z' such that $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $W = Z\alpha$. Now $Z\alpha\beta = W\beta = \text{ran}(\alpha\beta)$ and $(\alpha\beta)|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran}(\alpha\beta)$. By Theorem 3.5 the product $\alpha\beta$ is regular.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii): By Theorem 3.5 (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). □

In particular, if α and β are regular elements in $OT(X, Y)$, Theorem 3.6 gives necessary and sufficient conditions for $\alpha\beta$ to be regular as well.

We close this section with some properties of regular elements in $OT(X, Y)$.

Proposition 3.7 *Let α be a regular element in $OT(X, Y)$. The following statements hold:*

- (i) $\text{ran } \alpha = Y\alpha$.
- (ii) *If $\text{ran } \alpha = Y$, then α is coregular.*

Proof (i) Since α is regular, there exists $\beta \in OT(X, Y)$ such that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Let $z \in \text{ran } \alpha$. Then $z\alpha = z\alpha\beta\alpha \in Y\alpha$. Therefore, $\text{ran } \alpha = Y\alpha$.

(ii) Suppose $\text{ran } \alpha = Y$. Then $(\text{ran } \alpha)\alpha = Y\alpha = \text{ran } \alpha$ where the latter equality holds by (i). Therefore, α is a bijection on $\text{ran } \alpha$ and thus α is coregular by Theorem 2.6. □

4. Regularity in $OS(X, Y)$

In this section, we focus on the regularity of a semigroup $OS(X, Y)$ and its elements. With the use of the map α defined in Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $OS(X, Y)$ does not need to be regular or coregular. Throughout this section, let Y be a subfence of X . In the following results, necessary and sufficient conditions for the semigroup $OS(X, Y)$ to be regular are completely determined. Some lemmas needed in the characterization are given as follows.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\alpha \in OT(X)$. If $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is not a bijection, then $|\text{ran } \alpha| \leq |X| - 2$.*

Proof Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Assume that $|\text{ran } \alpha| \geq |X| - 1$. Clearly, $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is a bijection if $|\text{ran } \alpha| = |X|$. Assume that $|\text{ran } \alpha| = |X| - 1$. Since $\text{ran } \alpha$ is a subfence of X , it follows that $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ or $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$. Then $x_i\alpha \neq x_j\alpha$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$. Hence, $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is a bijection. □

Lemma 4.2 *Let Y be a proper subfence of X . If $|Y| \geq 2$, then $OS(X, Y)$ is not regular.*

Proof Assume that $|Y| \geq 2$. Then there are two comparable elements a and b in Y and an element $c \in X \setminus Y$ such that b and c are comparable. Then the map α from Lemma 2.1(iv) is an element in $OS(X, Y)$ but it is not regular in $OS(X, Y)$. Therefore, $OS(X, Y)$ is not a regular semigroup. □

Proposition 4.3 *Let $x \in X$. Then $OS(X, \{x\})$ is regular if and only if $X \setminus \{x\}$ does not contain subfences of size greater than 2.*

Proof Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.

Assume that $X \setminus \{x\}$ contains a subfence of size greater than 2. Without loss of generality, assume that $\{x_k = x, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, x_{k+3}\} \subseteq X$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 3$. Let $\alpha \in OS(X, \{x\})$ be defined by

$$x_i\alpha = \begin{cases} x_k & \text{if } 1 \leq i < k + 3, \\ x_{k+1} & \text{if } k + 3 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that there exists an element $\beta \in OS(X, \{x\})$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. Then $x_{k+1} = x_{k+3}\alpha = x_{k+3}\alpha\beta\alpha = x_{k+1}\beta\alpha$. Since $x_k\beta = x_k$, we have $x_{k+1}\beta \in \{x_{k-1}, x_k, x_{k+1}\}$. It follows that $x_{k+1} = x_{k+1}\beta\alpha \in \{x_{k-1}, x_k, x_{k+1}\}\alpha = \{x_k\}$, a contradiction. Hence, α is not regular in $OS(X, \{x\})$.

Conversely, assume that $X \setminus \{x\}$ does not contain subfences of size greater than 2. Then $n \leq 5$. Precisely, we have 1) $n \leq 3$; 2) $n = 4$ and $x \in \{x_2, x_3\}$; or 3) $n = 5$ and $x = x_3$. Let $\alpha \in OS(X, \{x\})$.

Case 1 $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is a bijection. By Theorem 3.5, α is regular in $OT(X, \text{ran } \alpha)$. Then there exists $\beta \in OT(X, \text{ran } \alpha)$ such that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Consequently, $x\alpha = x\alpha\beta\alpha = x\beta\alpha$. Since $x\beta \in \text{ran } \alpha$ and $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is injective, we have $x = x\beta$, which implies that $\beta \in OS(X, \{x\})$. Hence, α is regular in $OS(X, \{x\})$.

Case 2 $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is not a bijection. By Lemma 4.1, we have $|\text{ran } \alpha| \leq n - 2 \leq 5 - 2 = 3$. If $|\text{ran } \alpha| = 1$, then α is a constant map that is regular in $OS(X, \{x\})$. We consider the remaining two cases.

Case 2.1 $|\text{ran } \alpha| = 2$. Then $3 \leq n \leq 5$. Suppose $x \in \{x_1, x_n\}$. Without loss of generality assume that $x = x_1$. Then $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x, x_2\}$ and $X \setminus \{x\} = \{x_2, x_3\}$. Since $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is not a bijection, $x_2\alpha = x\alpha = x$ and so $x_3\alpha = x$, i.e. $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x\}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $x = x_k$ for some $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$. We then have $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_{k-1}, x\}$ or $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x, x_{k+1}\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_{k-1}, x\}$. Since $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is not a bijection, $x_{k-1}\alpha = x\alpha = x$. If $x_{k+1}\alpha = x$, then $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x\}$, a contradiction. Thus, $x_{k+1}\alpha = x_{k-1}$ and $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_{k-1}, x\} = \{x, x_{k+1}\}\alpha$. By setting $Y = \{x_{k-1}, x, x_{k+1}\}$ and $Z = \{x, x_{k+1}\}$ in Theorem 3.5, α is regular in $OT(X, Y)$. Then there exists $\beta \in OT(X, Y)$ such that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. In particular, $x\beta \in Y$. If $x\beta = x_{k+1}$, then $x\alpha\beta\alpha = x\beta\alpha = x_{k+1}\alpha = x_{k-1} \neq x = x\alpha$, a contradiction. If $x\beta = x_{k-1}$, then $x_{k-1}\beta = x_{k-1}$ and $x_{k+1}\alpha\beta\alpha = x_{k-1}\beta\alpha = x_{k-1}\alpha = x \neq x_{k+1} = x_{k+1}\alpha$, a contradiction. Hence, $x\beta = x$, which implies that $\beta \in OS(X, \{x\})$. Therefore, the map α is regular in $OS(X, \{x\})$.

Case 2.2 $|\text{ran } \alpha| = 3$. Since $\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ is not a bijection, $5 = |\text{ran } \alpha| + 2 \leq n$ by Lemma 4.1. As $n \leq 5$, it follows that $n = 5$ and $x = x_3$. Since $x\alpha = x$, we have $\{x_1, x_2, x\}\alpha = \text{ran } \alpha = \{x, x_4, x_5\}$ or $\{x, x_4, x_5\}\alpha = \text{ran } \alpha = \{x_1, x_2, x\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\{x, x_4, x_5\}\alpha = \text{ran } \alpha = \{x_1, x_2, x\}$. By Theorem 3.5, α is regular in $OT(X, \{x_1, x_2, x\})$. There exists $\beta \in OT(X, \{x_1, x_2, x\})$ such that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Then $x\beta \in \text{ran } \alpha = \{x_1, x_2, x\}$. Suppose that $x\beta \in \{x_1, x_2\}$. Then $\{x_1, x_2, x\} = X\alpha = X\alpha\beta\alpha = \{x_1, x_2, x\}\beta\alpha \subseteq \{x_1, x_2\}\alpha$, a contradiction. Hence, $x\beta = x$, which implies that $\beta \in OS(X, \{x\})$. Therefore, α is regular in $OS(X, \{x\})$. □

Corollary 4.4 *If $|Y| = 1$ and $|X| \geq 6$, then $OS(X, Y)$ is not regular.*

Proof We note that $X \setminus Y$ contains a subfence of size greater than 2 for all $Y \subsetneq X$ such that $|Y| = 1$. Hence, $OS(X, Y)$ is not regular by Proposition 4.3. □

The regularity of $OS(X, Y)$ is characterized in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5 *Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and Y is a subfence of X . Then $OS(X, Y)$ is regular if and only if one of the following statements hold:*

- (i) $|X| = |Y| \leq 4$.
- (ii) $|X| \leq 3$ and $|Y| = 1$.
- (iii) $|X| = 4$ and $Y \in \{\{x_2\}, \{x_3\}\}$.
- (iv) $|X| = 5$ and $Y = \{x_3\}$.

Proof Assume that $OS(X, Y)$ is regular. If $X = Y$, then $OT(X) = OS(X, Y)$ is regular, and hence $|X| = |Y| \leq 4$ by [20, Theorem 3.9]. Assume that Y is a proper subfence of X . By Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.4, we have that $|X| \leq 5$ and $|Y| = 1$. By Proposition 4.3, $X \setminus Y$ does not contain subfences of size greater than 2, or equivalently, 1) $n \leq 3$, 2) $n = 4$ and $Y \in \{\{x_2\}, \{x_3\}\}$, or 3) $n = 5$ and $Y = \{x_3\}$.

Conversely, assume that one of statements (i)–(iv) holds. If $|X| = |Y| \leq 4$, then $OS(X, Y) = OT(X)$ is regular by [20, Theorem 3.9]. If one of statements (ii)–(iv) holds, then $X \setminus Y$ does not contain subfences of size greater than 2. Hence, $OS(X, Y)$ is regular by Proposition 4.3. \square

From Theorem 4.5, in many cases, $OS(X, Y)$ is not regular. The characterization of regular elements in $OS(X, Y)$ is given as follows.

Lemma 4.6 *If α is regular in $OS(X, Y)$, then $Y\alpha = Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$.*

Proof Let $\alpha \in OS(X, Y)$. Assume that α is regular. Then there exists $\beta \in OS(X, Y)$ such that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Clearly, $Y\alpha \subseteq Y \cap X\alpha = Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. Let $y \in Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. Then $y = x\alpha$ for some $x \in X$. It follows that $y = x\alpha = x\alpha\beta\alpha = y\beta\alpha \in Y\beta\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha$. Hence, $Y\alpha = Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. \square

Theorem 4.7 *Let $\alpha \in OS(X, Y)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) α is regular.
- (ii) *There exist subfences $Z \subseteq X$ and $W \subseteq Y \cap Z$ such that $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$ and $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection onto $Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$.*

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that α is regular. Then there exists $\beta \in OS(X, Y)$ such that $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Let $Z = (\text{ran } \alpha)\beta$. Then Z is a subfence of X and $|Z| \leq |\text{ran } \alpha|$. Since $Z\alpha = (\text{ran } \alpha)\beta\alpha = X\alpha\beta\alpha = X\alpha = \text{ran } \alpha$, we have $|Z| \geq |\text{ran } \alpha|$. Hence, $|Z| = |\text{ran } \alpha| = |Z\alpha|$. Therefore, $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$.

Define $W = (Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha)\beta$. Then $W = (Y\alpha)\beta \subseteq Y \cap Z$ by Lemma 4.6. Since β is a map, it follows that $|W| \leq |Y\alpha|$. We have $W\alpha = (Y\alpha)\beta\alpha = Y\alpha\beta\alpha = Y\alpha$, which implies that $|W| \geq |Y\alpha|$. Hence, $|W| = |Y\alpha| = |W\alpha|$. Therefore, $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection onto $Y\alpha = Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $Z \subseteq X$ and $W \subseteq Y \cap Z$ such that $\alpha|_Z$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$ and $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection onto $Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. Assume that $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Let $\gamma = (\alpha|_Z)^{-1}$ and let $\text{ran } \alpha = \{x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_m\}$ for some $l \leq m$. Define a map $\beta : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$x_i\beta = \begin{cases} x_l\gamma & \text{if } 1 \leq i < l, \\ x_i\gamma & \text{if } l \leq i \leq m, \\ x_m\gamma & \text{if } m < i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Since $\beta\alpha$ is the identity on $\text{ran } \alpha$, we have $x\alpha\beta\alpha = (x\alpha)(\beta\alpha) = x\alpha$ for all $x \in X$. It is not difficult to see that $\beta \in OT(X)$. Since $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection onto $Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$, it follows that $W\alpha = Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. We consider the following three cases.

Case 1 $Y \subseteq \text{ran } \alpha$. Then $Y = Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. Hence, $Y\beta = (Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha)\beta = (W\alpha)\beta = W \subseteq Y$ since $\alpha\beta$ is the identity on W . It follows that $\beta \in OS(X, Y)$.

Case 2 $\text{ran } \alpha \subseteq Y$. It is not difficult to see that $\beta \in OS(X, Y)$.

Case 3 $Y \not\subseteq \text{ran } \alpha$ and $\text{ran } \alpha \not\subseteq Y$. Suppose that $x_l, x_m \notin Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. Since $x_l, x_m \in \text{ran } \alpha$, we have $x_l, x_m \notin Y$. Then $Y \subseteq \text{ran } \alpha$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $x_l \in Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$ or $x_m \in Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. Without loss of generality, assume that $x_l \in Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. If $x_m \in Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$, then $\text{ran } \alpha \subseteq Y$, which is impossible. Hence, $x_m \notin Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. Then $Y \setminus \text{ran } \alpha \subseteq \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{l-1}\}$. Since $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection from W onto $Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$ and $x_l \in Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$, we have $x_l \gamma = x_l(\alpha|_Z)^{-1} = x_l(\alpha|_W)^{-1} \in W \subseteq Y \cap Z \subseteq Y$. It can be deduced that $(Y \setminus \text{ran } \alpha)\beta \subseteq \{x_l \gamma\} \subseteq Y$. Moreover, $(Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha)\beta = (W\alpha)\beta = W \subseteq Y$ since $\alpha\beta$ is the identity on W . Hence, $\beta \in OS(X, Y)$.

Therefore, α is regular in $OS(X, Y)$ as desired. □

Next, relations between the set $Reg(OT(X, Y))$ of regular elements in $OT(X, Y)$ and the set $Reg(OS(X, Y))$ of regular elements in $OS(X, Y)$ are studied.

Lemma 4.8 *We have*

$$Reg(OS(X, Y)) \subseteq Reg(OT(X, Y)) \cup (OS(X, Y) \setminus OT(X, Y)).$$

Proof Let $\alpha \in Reg(OS(X, Y))$. Assume that $\alpha \in OT(X, Y)$. Then $\text{ran } \alpha \subseteq Y$, and hence $\text{ran } \alpha = Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha$. Since α is regular in $OS(X, Y)$, by Theorem 4.7, there exist subfences $Z \subseteq X$ and $W \subseteq Y \cap Z$ such that $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection onto $Y \cap \text{ran } \alpha = \text{ran } \alpha$. Equivalently, $W \subseteq Y$ and $\alpha|_W$ is a bijection onto $\text{ran } \alpha$. Therefore, $\alpha \in Reg(OT(X, Y))$ by Theorem 3.5. □

In some cases, the equality in Lemma 4.8 holds.

Theorem 4.9 *If $|X \setminus Y| = 1$ and $|Y| \leq 4$, then*

$$Reg(OS(X, Y)) = Reg(OT(X, Y)) \cup (OS(X, Y) \setminus OT(X, Y)).$$

Proof Assume that $|X \setminus Y| = 1$ and $|Y| \leq 4$. By Lemma 4.8, we have

$$Reg(OS(X, Y)) \subseteq Reg(OT(X, Y)) \cup (OS(X, Y) \setminus OT(X, Y)).$$

For the reverse inclusion, assume that $X = Y \cup \{x\}$. Clearly, $Reg(OT(X, Y)) \subseteq Reg(OS(X, Y))$ since $OT(X, Y) \subseteq OS(X, Y)$. Let $\alpha \in OS(X, Y) \setminus OT(X, Y)$. Then $Y\alpha \subseteq Y$. It follows that, for each $a \in X$, $a\alpha = x$ if and only if $x = a$. Hence, $\alpha|_Y \in OT(Y)$. Since $|Y| \leq 4$, $\alpha|_Y$ is regular in $OT(Y)$ by [20, Theorem 3.9]. Then there exists $\beta \in OT(Y)$ such that $\alpha|_Y \beta \alpha|_Y = \alpha|_Y$. Define $\bar{\beta} : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$a\bar{\beta} = \begin{cases} a\beta & \text{if } a \in Y, \\ x & \text{if } a = x. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that $\bar{\beta} \in OS(X, Y)$ and $\alpha\bar{\beta}\alpha = \alpha$. Hence, $\alpha \in Reg(OS(X, Y))$. Therefore, the result follows. □

In the following part, we focus on coregularity of $OS(X, Y)$. First, we determine a necessary and sufficient condition for $OS(X, \{x\})$ to be regular.

Lemma 4.10 *Let $x \in X$. Then $OS(X, \{x\})$ is coregular if and only if $X \setminus \{x\}$ is a fence of size less than or equal to 2.*

Proof Assume that $X \setminus \{x\}$ is not a fence of size less than or equal to 2.

Case 1 $X \setminus \{x\}$ is not a fence. Then $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ for some $n \geq 3$. It follows that there exists an integer $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ such that $\{x_k, x = x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}\} \subseteq X$. Then it is not difficult to verify that the map

$$x_i\alpha = \begin{cases} x = x_{k+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k + 1, \\ x_k & \text{if } k + 2 \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

is an element in $OS(X, \{x\})$ that is not coregular. Therefore, $OS(X, \{x\})$ is not coregular.

Case 2 $X \setminus \{x\}$ is a fence of size greater than 2. Then $|X| \geq 4$ and x is one of the end points of X . By Theorem 4.5, $OS(X, \{x\})$ is not regular, which implies that $OS(X, \{x\})$ is not coregular.

Conversely, assume that $X \setminus \{x\}$ is a fence of size less than or equal to 2. If $|X \setminus \{x\}| = 1$, then the elements in $OS(X, \{x\})$ are id_X and c_x , which are regular. Assume that $|X \setminus \{x\}| = 2$. Then $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is a fence of size 3 and $X \setminus \{x\} = \{x_1, x_2\}$ or $X \setminus \{x\} = \{x_2, x_3\}$. We may assume that $X \setminus \{x\} = \{x_1, x_2\}$.

In this case, $x = x_3$. It is not difficult to see that the elements in $OS(X, \{x\})$ are $id_X, c_{x_3}, \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_3 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$, which are coregular. □

Theorem 4.11 *The semigroup $OS(X, Y)$ is coregular if and only if one of the following statements holds:*

- (i) $|X| \leq 2$.
- (ii) $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $Y \in \{\{x_1\}, \{x_3\}\}$.

Proof Assume that $OS(X, Y)$ is coregular. If $X = Y$, then $OS(X, Y) = OT(X, Y)$. By Corollary 3.4, it can be concluded that $|X| \leq 2$. Assume that Y is a proper subset of X . If $|Y| > 1$, then $OS(X, Y)$ is not regular by Lemma 4.2, and hence it is not coregular. It follows that $|Y| = 1$. By Lemma 4.10, $X \setminus Y$ is a fence of size less than or equal to 2. Consequently, $|X| = 2$ or $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $Y \in \{\{x_1\}, \{x_3\}\}$.

Conversely, assume that one of the two conditions holds. If $X = Y$ and $|X| \leq 2$, then $OS(X, Y) = OT(X, Y)$ is coregular by Corollary 3.4. Otherwise, $|Y| = 1$ and $X \setminus Y$ is a fence of size less than or equal to 2. Hence, $OS(X, Y)$ is coregular by Lemma 4.10. □

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their helpful comments.

References

- [1] Clifford AH, Preston GB. The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. II. Mathematical Surveys, Vol. 7. Providence, RI, USA: American Mathematical Society, 1967.
- [2] Demetrovics J, Rónyai L. Algebraic properties of crowns and fences. Order 1989; 6: 91-99.
- [3] Farley JD. The number of order-preserving maps between fences and crowns. Order 1995; 12: 5-44.
- [4] Fernandes VH, Honyam P, Quinteiro TM, Singha B. On semigroups of endomorphisms of a chain with restricted range. Semigroup Forum 2014; 89: 77-104.

- [5] Gluskin LM. Isotone transformation semigroups. *Usp Mat Nauk* 1961; 16: 157-162
- [6] Higgins PM. *Techniques of Semigroup Theory*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [7] Higgins PM, Mitchell D, Rušuc N. Generating the full transformation semigroups using order-preserving mappings. *Glasgow Math J* 2003; 45: 557-566.
- [8] Jendana K, Srithus R. Coregularity of order-preserving self-mapping semigroups of fences. *Commun Korean Math Soc* 2015; 30: 349-361.
- [9] Kemprasit Y, Changphas T. Regular order-preserving transformation semigroups. *Bull Austral Math Soc* 2000; 62: 511-524.
- [10] Kim VI, Kozhukhov IB. Regularity conditions for semigroups of isotone transformations of countable chains. *J Math Sci* 2008; 152: 203-208.
- [11] Ma M, You T, Luo S, Yang Y, Wang L. Regularity and Green's relation for finite E-order-preserving transformations semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* 2010; 80: 164-173.
- [12] Magill KD Jr. Subsemigroups of $S(X)$. *Math Japon* 1966; 11: 109-115.
- [13] Magill KD Jr, Subbiah S. Green's relations for regular elements of semigroups of endomorphisms. *Can J Math* 1974; 26: 1484-1497.
- [14] Mora W, Kemprasit Y. Regular elements of some order-preserving transformation semigroups. *Int J Algebra* 2010; 4: 631-641.
- [15] Nenthein S, Youngkhong P, Kemprasit Y. Regular elements of some transformation semigroups. *Pure Mathematics and Applications* 2005; 16: 307-314.
- [16] Repnitskiĭ VB, Vernitskiĭ AS. Semigroups of order-preserving mappings. *Commun Algebra* 2000; 28: 3635-3641.
- [17] Rutkowski A. The formula for the number of order-preserving selfmappings of a fence. *Order* 1992; 9: 127-137.
- [18] Sanwong J, Sommanee W. Regularity and Green's relations on a semigroup of transformations with restricted range. *Int J Math Math Sci* 2008; 2008: 794013.
- [19] Symons JSV. Some results concerning a transformation semigroup. *J Austral Math Soc* 1975; 119: 413-425.
- [20] Tanyawong R, Srithus R, Chinram R. Regular subsemigroups of the semigroups of transformations preserving a fence. *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics* 2016; 9: 1650003.
- [21] Wongpinit W, Leeratanavalee S. The relationship between some regular subsemigroups of $\text{Hyp}_G(2)$. *J Math* 2014; 2014: 181397.
- [22] Zhao P, Yang M. Regularity and Green's relations on semigroups of transformation preserving order and compression. *Bull Korean Math Soc* 2012; 49: 1015-1025.