

1-1-2018

On n -absorbing δ -primary ideals

GÜLŞEN ULUCAK

ÜNSAL TEKİR

SUAT KOÇ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ULUCAK, GÜLŞEN; TEKİR, ÜNSAL; and KOÇ, SUAT (2018) "On n -absorbing δ -primary ideals," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 4, Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1710-3>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol42/iss4/22>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

On n -absorbing δ -primary ideals

Gülşen ULUCAK^{1,*}, Ünsal TEKİR², Suat KOÇ²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Gebze Technical University, Kocaeli, Turkey

²Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, İstanbul, Turkey

Received: 02.10.2017

Accepted/Published Online: 29.03.2018

Final Version: 24.07.2018

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with nonzero identity and n be a positive integer. In this paper, we study the concepts of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals, which are the generalizations of δ -primary ideals and weakly δ -primary ideals, respectively. We introduce the concepts of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals. Moreover, we give many properties of these new types of ideals and investigate the relations between these structures.

Key words: 2-absorbing ideal, δ -primary ideal, weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that all rings are commutative with nonzero identity. Let R be a commutative ring and I be an ideal of R . An ideal I is called proper if $I \neq R$. Recall that a proper ideal I is called a 2-absorbing (primary) ideal if $x_1x_2x_3 \in I$ for some $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$; then $x_1x_2 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in I$ or $x_1x_3 \in I$ ($x_1x_2 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in \sqrt{I}$ or $x_1x_3 \in \sqrt{I}$). These concepts were introduced by Badawi, Yetkin, and Tekir in [3] and [6]. Later, many authors studied on this issue. (see [11] and [1]). A proper ideal I of R is said to be weakly 2-absorbing (primary) ideal if $0 \neq x_1x_2x_3 \in I$ for some $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$; then $x_1x_2 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in I$ or $x_1x_3 \in I$ ($x_1x_2 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in \sqrt{I}$ or $x_1x_3 \in \sqrt{I}$). These notions were introduced as generalizations of weakly prime ideals and weakly primary ideals in [4] and [7], respectively. In the same manner, the concepts of n -absorbing (primary) ideals were introduced as other generalizations of prime (primary) ideals in [2]. Afterwards, Darani et al. studied the concept of weakly n -absorbing ideals in [10].

Let $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ be the set of all ideals of R and $\delta : \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ be a function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Then δ is called an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ if it satisfies the following two conditions: 1. $I \subseteq \delta(I)$, 2. If $I \subseteq J$, then $\delta(I) \subseteq \delta(J)$ for any ideals I, J of R . In [8], Zhao introduced a new concept called δ -primary ideals in commutative rings. This concept is considered to unify prime and primary ideals. Many results of prime and primary ideals are extended to these structures. Recall that a proper ideal I is called a δ -primary ideal if $xy \in I$ for some $x, y \in R$ implies that $x \in I$ or $y \in \delta(I)$. Then Zhao and Fahid introduced the concept of 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal, which is a generalization of δ -primary ideal, that is, the concept of δ -primary ideal has been extended to 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal [9]. Recall that a proper ideal I is called a 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal if $xyz \in I$ for some $x, y, z \in R$ implies that $xy \in I$ or $yz \in \delta(I)$ or $xz \in \delta(I)$. Afterwards, Badawi and Fahid

*Correspondence: gulsenuluca@gtu.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05C38, 15A15; Secondary 05A15, 15A18

studied weakly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideals of commutative rings in [5]. Firstly, they introduced the concept of a weakly δ -primary ideal and then gave the concept of a weakly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal. Additionally, they investigated many properties of these concepts and studied the relations between a δ -primary ideal and a 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal. A proper ideal I is said to be a weakly δ -primary ideal if $0 \neq xy \in I$ for some $x, y \in R$ implies that $x \in I$ or $y \in \delta(I)$. A proper ideal I is called a weakly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal if $0 \neq xyz \in I$ for some $x, y, z \in R$ implies $xy \in I$ or $yz \in \delta(I)$ or $xz \in \delta(I)$.

In this paper, our aim is to introduce the concepts of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals. These types are two generalizations of the concepts of n -absorbing (primary) ideals and weakly n -absorbing (primary) ideals, respectively. We say a proper ideal I of R is (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal if whenever $(0 \neq x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I) \ x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ implies $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, where $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ denotes the product of $x_1 \dots x_{k-1} x_{k+1} \dots x_{n+1}$.

In this paper, we give many specific examples and results of these concepts. Let δ and γ be expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. One of the significant results in this paper is that if $\delta(I) \subseteq \gamma(I)$ and I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then I is an (weakly) n -absorbing γ -primary ideal. Then we show that every (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal is an (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal for positive integers m, n with $m > n$. It is given that if I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal with $J \subseteq K \subseteq I$ and $\delta(I) = \delta(J)$ for some ideals J, K of R , then K is (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal for positive integers $m > n$. We also show that if I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R but is not an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then $I^{n+1} = (0)$. Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and δ_S be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_S)$ such that $\delta_S(I_S) = (\delta(I))_S$, where \mathcal{R}_S is the quotient ring of R . Let $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$, where $Z(R)$ is the set of all zero divisor elements of R . It is also given that if I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R with $I \cap S = \emptyset$, then I_S is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ_S -primary ideal of \mathcal{R}_S .

Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$, where R_i is a commutative ring with nonzero identity and δ_i be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let δ_\times be a function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$, which is defined by $\delta_\times(I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n) = \delta_1(I_1) \times \delta_2(I_2) \times \dots \times \delta_n(I_n)$ for each ideal I_i of R_i . Then δ_\times is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Finally, from Theorem 10 to Theorem 13, we characterize all (weakly) n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideals of direct product of rings.

2. n -Absorbing δ -primary and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals

Throughout this section, R denotes a commutative ring with nonzero identity, unless otherwise stated.

Definition 1 Let $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ be the set of all ideals of R and $\delta : \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ be a function of ideals of R . Recall from [8], δ is called an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ if it satisfies the following two conditions: (1) $I \subseteq \delta(I)$, (2) If $I \subseteq J$, then $\delta(I) \subseteq \delta(J)$ for any ideals I, J of R .

Note that there are explanatory examples of expansion functions included in [8, 1.2 Example] and [5, Example 1].

Definition 2 A proper ideal I of a commutative ring R is called an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal if whenever $(0 \neq x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I) \ x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$, then $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, where $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ denotes the product of $x_1 \dots x_{k-1} x_{k+1} \dots x_{n+1}$.

It is clear that any n -absorbing δ -primary ideal is weakly n -absorbing δ -primary. The following example not only shows that the converse is not true but also gives many illustration of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals.

Example 1 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$.

(i) If $\delta_i(I) = I$, i.e. δ_i is an identity function, then n -absorbing ideals are equivalent n -absorbing δ_i -primary ideals.

(ii) If $\delta_r(I) = \sqrt{I}$, then I is an n -absorbing δ_r -primary ideal iff I is an n -absorbing primary ideal.

(iii) Every (weakly) 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal.

(iv) Every n -absorbing ideal is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, but the converse is not necessarily true.

Consider the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} and the expansion function δ_r of \mathbb{Z} . Let $I = (p_1^2 p_2^2 p_3^3 \dots p_n^n)$, where p_i 's are distinct prime numbers. Then I is an n -absorbing δ_r -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z} but not an n -absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

(v) Now consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_m , where $m = p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1}$ for some distinct prime numbers p_1, \dots, p_{n+1} . Then $I = (0)$, the zero ideal, is clearly a weakly n -absorbing δ_r -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z}_m . Since $p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1} \in I$, $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n \notin I$ and for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, none of the product of $p_1 \dots \widehat{p}_k \dots p_{n+1}$ is in $\delta_r(I) = I$. Thus I is not an n -absorbing δ_r -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z}_m .

An n -absorbing primary ideal may or may not be an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal as in Example 1 (i). Additionally, an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal is not necessarily an n -absorbing primary ideal. Consider the ring of formal power series $R = F[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1}]]$, where F is a field. Let us define $\delta : \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ as $\delta(I) = I + M$ for each ideal I of R , where M is the unique maximal ideal $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1})$. Then δ is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Take an ideal $I = (X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n+1})$. Then $\sqrt{I} = I$ and I is not an n -absorbing ideal and so it is not an n -absorbing primary ideal. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n+1} \in R$ such that $p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1} \in I$. Assume that for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $p_1 \dots \widehat{p}_k \dots p_{n+1} \notin \delta(I) = M$. Then $p_1 \dots \widehat{p}_k \dots p_{n+1}$ is a unit of R . Since $p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1} = (p_1 \dots \widehat{p}_k \dots p_{n+1}) p_k \in I$, we have $p_k \in I$ and so $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n \in I$. Thus I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .

Theorem 1 (i) Let δ and γ be expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ with $\delta(I) \subseteq \gamma(I)$. If I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , then I is an (weakly) n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of R .

(ii) Let γ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I be an n -absorbing primary ideal of R . If $\gamma(I)$ is a radical ideal, i.e. $\sqrt{\gamma(I)} = \gamma(I)$, then I is an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of R .

Proof (i) It is explicit.

(ii) It can be easily seen that $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\gamma(I)} = \gamma(I)$. Then, by (i), I is an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of R if I is an n -absorbing primary ideal of R . □

Proposition 1 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. If $\delta(I)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of R , then I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .

Proof Let $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ and $x_1 \dots x_n \notin I$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Now we have two cases. In the first case, assume that $x_1 \dots x_n \notin \delta(I)$. Since $\delta(I)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal and $(x_1 x_2) x_3 \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, we get $(x_1 x_2) \dots \widehat{x}_k \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. In the second case, assume that $x_1 \dots x_n \in \delta(I)$. This implies that $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} \in \delta(I)$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x}_k \dots x_n \in \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Thus, we have $x_1 \dots \widehat{x}_k \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x}_k \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, which completes the proof. □

Theorem 2 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Every (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R is an (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R for positive integers m, n with $m > n$.*

Proof Let I be an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . We will show that I is an $(n+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal. Let $x_1x_2\dots x_{n+2} \in I$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+2} \in R$. Now take $x_1x_2 = x'$. Then $x'\dots x_{n+2} \in I$ implies $x'\dots x_{n+1} \in I$ or $x'\dots \widehat{x_k}\dots x_{n+2}$ is in $\delta(I)$ for $x_k = x'$ or some $3 \leq k \leq n+1$. Hence, I is an m -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R for $m > n$. Similarly, it can be verified that a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal is a weakly m -absorbing δ -primary ideal. \square

Definition 3 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. It satisfies the finite intersection property if $\delta(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n) = \delta(I_1) \cap \dots \cap \delta(I_n)$ for some ideals I_1, \dots, I_n of R .*

Note that the radical operation on ideals of a commutative ring is an example of an expansion function satisfying the finite intersection property.

Proposition 2 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ satisfying the finite intersection property and I_1, \dots, I_m be proper ideals of R . If I_j is an n_j -absorbing δ -primary ideal and $P = \delta(I_j)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary with $n_1 + \dots + n_m = n$.*

Proof Assume that $x_1\dots x_{n+1} \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$ and $x_1\dots x_n \notin I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Then $x_1\dots x_n \notin I_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m$. Since I_k is an n_k -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then I_k is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal by Theorem 2 and so $x_1\dots \widehat{x_t}\dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I_k) = P$ for some $1 \leq t \leq n$. Also note that $\delta(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m) = \delta(I_1) \cap \dots \cap \delta(I_m) = P$ since $\delta(I_j) = P$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and δ satisfies the finite intersection property. Thus $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$ is n -absorbing δ -primary. \square

Theorem 3 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I, J , and K be proper ideals of R with $J \subseteq K \subseteq I$ and $\delta(I) = \delta(J)$. If I is (weakly) an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then K is an (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal for positive integers $m > n$.*

Proof We will show that if I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , K is an $(n+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . Assume that $n = 1$. Let $x_1x_2x_3 \in K$ and $x_1x_2 \notin K$. In the first case, suppose that $x_1x_2 \in I$. Then $x_1 \in I$ or $x_2 \in \delta(I)$. Thus $x_1x_3 \in I$ or $x_2x_3 \in \delta(K)$ since $\delta(I) = \delta(J) \subseteq \delta(K)$. This implies that $x_1x_3 \in \delta(K)$ or $x_2x_3 \in \delta(K)$. In the second case, let $x_1x_2 \notin I$. Then $x_3 \in \delta(I)$ and hence $x_1x_3 \in \delta(K)$ and $x_2x_3 \in \delta(K)$. Consequently, K is a 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . Assume that if I is a k -absorbing δ -primary ideal, K is a $(k+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal for some positive integer k . Now we show that K is a $(k+2)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal when I is a $(k+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal for some positive integer k . Let I be a $(k+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . Let $x_1\dots x_{k+3} \in K$ and $x_1\dots x_{k+2} \notin K$. In the first case, let $x_1\dots x_{k+2} \in I$. Then $x_1\dots x_{k+1} \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq t \leq k+1$ such that $x_1\dots \widehat{x_t}\dots x_{k+2}$ is in $\delta(I)$. This yields that $x_1\dots \widehat{x_{k+2}}\dots x_{k+3}$ is in $\delta(K)$ or $x_1\dots \widehat{x_t}\dots x_{k+3}$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k+1$. In the second case, let $x_1\dots x_{k+2} \notin I$. Since I is a $(k+1)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal, we get $x_1\dots \widehat{x_t}\dots x_{k+3}$ is in $\delta(I) = \delta(K)$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k+2$. Consequently, K is a $(k+2)$ -absorbing δ -primary ideal. Similarly, it can be verified for a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal. \square

Corollary 1 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I, J be proper ideals of R with $J \subseteq I$ and $\delta(I) = \delta(J)$. Then J is an (weakly) m -absorbing δ -primary ideal in the case I is an (weakly) n -absorbing δ -primary ideal for some positive integers $m > n$.

Definition 4 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$, I be a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , and $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. We say that (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) is a δ - $(n + 1)$ -tuple-zero of I if $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} = 0$, $x_1 \dots x_n \notin I$ and for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ is not in $\delta(I)$.

Note that if I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R that is not an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then I has a δ - $(n + 1)$ -tuple-zero (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$.

Theorem 4 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I be a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . Assume that (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) is a δ - $(n + 1)$ -tuple-zero of I for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Then

$$x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} I^m = (0)$$

for each $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n + 1$, $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Proof Let $m = 1$. Assume that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots x_{n+1} I \neq (0)$. Then $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots x_{n+1} y \neq 0$ for some $y \in I$. This yields that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots x_{n+1} (x_{i_1} + y) \neq 0$. Since (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) is a δ - $(n + 1)$ -tuple-zero and I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , we conclude that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{x_j} \dots x_{n+1} (x_{i_1} + y) \in \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$ and $j \neq i_1$. Thus $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_j} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, yielding a contradiction. Therefore, it must be $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \dots x_{n+1} I = (0)$.

Assume that the claim holds for all positive integers less than $m > 1$. Let $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} I^m \neq (0)$. Then there are elements y_1, \dots, y_m of I such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} y_1 \dots y_m \neq 0$. By hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} (x_{i_1} + y_1)(x_{i_2} + y_2) \dots (x_{i_m} + y_m) \\ & = x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} y_1 \dots y_m \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} (x_{i_1} + y_1) \dots (x_{i_t} + y_t) \dots (x_{i_m} + y_m) \in \delta(I)$$

for some $1 \leq t \leq m$. Since y_1, \dots, y_m of I , we get $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_t}} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, it must be

$$x_1 \dots \widehat{x_{i_1}} \widehat{x_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{x_{i_{m-1}}} \widehat{x_{i_m}} \dots x_{n+1} I^m = (0).$$

□

In the following theorem, Nakayama's lemma is considered for weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals.

Theorem 5 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I be a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R but it is not an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal. Then $I^{n+1} = (0)$.

Proof By our assumption, I has a δ -($n + 1$)-tuple-zero (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Let $0 \neq y_1 \dots y_{n+1}$ for some $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in I$. By Theorem 4, we have $(x_1 + y_1) \dots (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}) = y_1 \dots y_{n+1} \neq 0$ and $(x_1 + y_1) \dots (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}) \in I$. Thus we conclude that $(x_1 + y_1) \dots (x_n + y_n) \in I$ or $(x_1 + y_1) \dots (\widehat{x_k + y_k}) \dots (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}) \in \delta(I)$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, we have $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, a contradiction. Consequently, $I^{n+1} = (0)$. \square

We give the next theorem as a result of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I be a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R but it is not an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal. Thus,*

1. $Rad(I) = Nil(R)$.
2. If M is a finitely generated R -module with $IM = M$, then $M = (0)$.

Proof The proof is clear from Theorem 5. \square

In Theorem 5, the condition $I^{n+1} = (0)$ does not assure that I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal. We give an example for this case:

Example 2 *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n+2}}$ for some prime number p and nonnegative integer n . Consider the expansion function δ_i , which is defined in Example 1. Then $I = (p^{n+1})$ is a proper ideal of R and $I^{n+1} = (0)$, but I is not weakly n -absorbing δ -primary since $p^{n+1} \in I$ and $p^n \notin \delta_i(I)$.*

Corollary 2 *Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$.*

(i) *If I is a proper ideal of R with $\delta(\delta(I)) = \delta(I)$, then $\delta(I)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R if and only if $\delta(I)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .*

(ii) *Suppose that $\delta(0)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R with $\delta(\delta(0)) = \delta(0)$. Then $\delta(0)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .*

Proof (i) The necessary part is clear. For the sufficient part, assume that $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ and $x_1 \dots x_n \notin \delta(I)$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Since $\delta(I)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, then we have $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(\delta(I)) = \delta(I)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence $\delta(I)$ is an n -absorbing ideal.

(ii) Follows similar to (i). \square

Definition 5 *Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and δ, γ expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})$, respectively. Then f is called a $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism if $\delta(f^{-1}(J)) = f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$ for all ideals J of S .*

If we consider that γ_r is a radical operation on S and δ_r is a radical operation on R , then any homomorphism from R to S is an example of $\delta_r\gamma_r$ -homomorphism. Also note that if f is a $\delta\gamma$ -epimorphism and I is an ideal of R containing $\ker(f)$, then $\gamma(f(I)) = f(\delta(I))$.

Theorem 7 *Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism, where δ is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and γ is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})$. Then the following are satisfied:*

- (i) *If J is an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of S , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .*

(ii) Suppose that f is an epimorphism and I is a proper ideal of R with $\ker(f) \subseteq I$. Then I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if and only if $f(I)$ is an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of S .

Proof (i) Let $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(J)$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$. Then $f(x_1 \dots x_{n+1}) = f(x_1) \dots f(x_{n+1}) \in J$. By our assumption, we have $f(x_1) \dots f(x_n) \in J$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $f(x_1) \dots \widehat{f(x_k)} \dots f(x_{n+1})$ is in $\gamma(J)$. Thus $x_1 \dots x_n \in f^{-1}(J)$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ is in $f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$. Since $\delta(f^{-1}(J)) = f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$, we get either $x_1 \dots x_n \in f^{-1}(J)$ or $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ is in $\delta(f^{-1}(J))$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(J)$ is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .

(ii) Let $f(I)$ be an n -absorbing γ -primary ideal of S . Since $I = f^{-1}(f(I))$, we conclude that I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R by (i). Assume that I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R and $y_1 y_2 \dots y_{n+1} \in f(I)$ for some $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1} \in S$. Since f is epimorphism, we have $f(x_i) = y_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$. This implies that $f(x_1) f(x_2) \dots f(x_{n+1}) \in f(I)$ and so $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ since $\ker(f) \subseteq I$. As I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal, we conclude either $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$. Then we have $y_1 \dots y_n \in f(I)$ or $y_1 \dots \widehat{y_k} \dots y_{n+1} \in \gamma(f(I))$, which completes the proof. \square

Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I an ideal of R . Then the function $\delta_q : R/I \rightarrow R/I$, defined by $\delta_q(J/I) = \delta(J)/I$ for all ideals $I \subseteq J$, becomes an expansion function of R/I .

Theorem 8 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ and I, J be proper ideals of R with $I \subseteq J$. Then the following hold:

(i) J is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if and only if J/I is an n -absorbing δ_q -primary ideal of R/I .

(ii) If J is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , then J/I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_q -primary ideal of R/I .

(iii) Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and δ_S an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_S)$ such that $\delta_S(I_S) = (\delta(I))_S$. If I is an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R with $I \cap S = \emptyset$, then I_S is an n -absorbing δ_S -primary ideal of R_S . Moreover, if I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , then I_S is a weakly n -absorbing δ_S -primary ideal of R_S .

Proof (i) It is a result of Theorem 7.

(ii) Let $0_{R/I} \neq \overline{x_1 \dots x_{n+1}} \in J/I$ for some $\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_{n+1}} \in R/I$. Then $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in R - I$ and also $0 \neq x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in J$. Since J is weakly n -absorbing δ -primary, we conclude either $x_1 \dots x_n \in J$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $x_1 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}$ is in $\delta(J)$. Hence $\overline{x_1} \dots \overline{x_n} \in J/I$ or $\overline{x_1} \dots \widehat{\overline{x_k}} \dots \overline{x_n}$ is in $\delta(J)/I = \delta_q(J/I)$, that is, J/I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_q -primary ideal of R/I .

(iii) Let $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \dots \frac{x_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}} \in I_S$ and $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \dots \frac{x_n}{s_n} \notin I_S$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ and $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \in S$. Then there exists $a \in S$ such that $ax_1 \dots x_{n+1} = (ax_1) \dots x_{n+1} \in I$. Since I is an n -absorbing δ -primary, we obtain either $(ax_1) \dots x_n \in I$ or $(ax_1) \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ for some $x_k = ax_1$ or $2 \leq k \leq n$. If $(ax_1) \dots x_n \in I$, then $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \dots \frac{x_n}{s_n} = \frac{ax_1 \dots x_n}{as_1 \dots s_n} \in I_S$. Otherwise, we would have $\frac{x_1}{s_1} \dots \widehat{\frac{x_k}{s_k}} \dots \frac{x_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}} = \frac{(ax_1) \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_{n+1}}{(as_1) \dots \widehat{s_k} \dots s_{n+1}} \in (\delta(I))_S = \delta_S(I_S)$ for some k . Therefore, I_S is n -absorbing δ_S -primary. In a similar way, it is easily shown that I_S is weakly n -absorbing δ_S -primary. \square

In Theorem 8, the converse of (ii) holds if I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R . The following

theorem explains this situation.

Theorem 9 Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$, and J be a proper ideal of R containing a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal I of R . Then J/I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_q -primary ideal of R/I if and only if J is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .

Proof \Leftarrow : It is clear from Theorem 8 (ii).

\Rightarrow : It can be easily seen since I is weakly n -absorbing δ -primary. □

3. n -Absorbing δ -primary and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals in direct product of rings

Theorem 10 Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ be a decomposable ring and

$$I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_m$$

be a proper ideal of R for $1 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \leq m$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) I is an n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary ideal of R .
- (ii) I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary ideal of R .
- (iii) $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_m$ is an n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times R_m$.

Proof (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) : Since $I^{n+1} \neq (0_R)$, then I is an n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary of R by Theorem 5.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let I be an n -absorbing δ_{\times} -primary ideal of R .

Let $(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n+1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n+1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_m^{(n+1)}) \in I'$ for every $x_i^{(j)} \in R_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$.

Note that

$(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n+1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n+1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_m^{(n+1)}) \in I$.

Then $(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n)}, \dots, x_m^{(n)}) \in I$

or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that

$(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(k)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, \widehat{x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(k)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(k)}}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(k)}, \dots, x_m^{(k)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n+1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_1}}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n+1)}, 1_{R_{\alpha_k}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_m^{(n+1)}) \in \delta_{\times}(I)$.

Thus $(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n)}, \dots, x_m^{(n)}) \in I'$

or for some $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(k)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(k)}, \dots, \widehat{x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(k)}}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(k)}, \dots, x_m^{(k)}) \dots$

$(x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_1-1)}^{(n+1)}, x_{(\alpha_1+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_{(\alpha_k-1)}^{(n+1)}, x_{(\alpha_k+1)}^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_m^{(n+1)})$ is in $\delta_\times(I')$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) : Assume that I' is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R' . In a similar way, it can be seen that I is n -absorbing δ_\times -primary. \square

Let δ be an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$. Then we say that δ satisfies (*) property if $\delta(I) = R$ implies $I = R$, i.e. $\delta(I) \neq R$ for all proper ideals I of R . Note that δ_r and δ_i , defined in Example 1, are examples of expansion functions satisfying (*) property. Moreover, if $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ is a decomposable ring and δ_i 's are expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ with (*) property, then δ_\times is an expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ satisfying (*) property.

Theorem 11 *Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ be a decomposable ring and $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ be an ideal of R such that $I_1 \neq 0$ and $\delta_i(I_i) \neq R_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Suppose that for some $2 \leq k \leq n$, I_k is a nonzero ideal of R_k and δ_i 's are expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ satisfying (*) property for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .
- (ii) $I_n = R_n$ and $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n-1}$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{n-1}$ or I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- (iii) $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Let $I_n = R_n$. Then $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n-1}$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{n-1}$ by Theorem 10. Assume that $I_i \neq R_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. To prove that I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i , take $a_i b_i \in I_i$ for some $a_i, b_i \in R_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0_R \neq & (a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{i-1}}, a_i, 1_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & (1_{R_1}, 0, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 0_{R_{i-1}}, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, 0_{R_{i+1}}, 1_{R_{i+2}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{i-1}}, b_i, 1_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & = (a_1, 0_{R_2}, \dots, 0_{R_{i-1}}, a_i b_i, 0_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 0_{R_n}) \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Since δ_i satisfies (*) property, $\delta_i(I_i) \neq R_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and so we conclude either

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{i-1}}, a_i, 1_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 0, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & (1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 0_{R_{i-1}}, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, 0_{R_{i+1}}, 1_{R_{i+2}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n}) \in I \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 0, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ & \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 0_{R_{i-1}}, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, 0_{R_{i+1}}, 1_{R_{i+2}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{i-1}}, b_i, 1_{R_{i+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \in \delta(I). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a_i \in I_i$ or $b_i \in \delta_i(I_i)$. Therefore, I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i . Furthermore, it can be similarly shown that I_1 is a δ_1 -primary ideal since $I_k \neq 0$ for some $2 \leq k \leq n$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let $I_n = R_n$ and $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n-1}$ be an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{n-1}$. Then $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R by Theorem 10. Assume that I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}) \dots (x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_n^{(n+1)}) \in I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ for every $x_i^{(j)} \in R_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$. At least one of the $x_i^{(j)}$ is in I_i or $\delta_i(I_i)$ for any

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$. Thus we can see that $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) : is clear. □

Theorem 12 *Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ be a decomposable ring and $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ be an ideal of R such that $I_1 \neq 0$ and $\delta_i(I_i) \neq R_i$ for each $2 \leq i \leq n$. Assume that δ_i 's are expansion function of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ satisfying (*) property for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R that is not an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(ii) I_1 is a weakly δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 that is not a δ_1 -primary ideal and $I_i = (0)$ is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Suppose that $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R that is not n -absorbing δ_\times -primary. Let $I_i \neq (0)$ for some $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Then $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R by Theorem 11, yielding a contradiction. It must be $I_i = (0)$ for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. It is clear that $I_i = (0)$ is a δ_i -primary ideal. Now we assume that $0 \neq xy \in I_1$ for some $x, y \in R_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &0_R \neq (x, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ &(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n})(y, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ &= (xy, 0_{R_2}, \dots, 0_{R_n}) \in I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $x \in I_1$ or $y \in \delta_1(I_1)$ since $I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$ is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R . Consequently, I_1 is weakly δ_1 -primary. If I_1 is a δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 , then I_i is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence, it is easily seen that I is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R , a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) : Assume that I_1 is a weakly δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 that is not a δ_1 -primary ideal and $I_i = (0)$ is a δ_i -primary ideal of R_i for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Let $0_R \neq (x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}) \dots (x_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, x_n^{(n+1)}) \in I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$ for every $x_i^{(j)} \in R_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$. Then at least one of the $x_1^{(j)}$ is in I_1 or in $\delta_1(I_1)$ and for any $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$, at least one of the $x_i^{(j)} = 0$ or is in $\delta_i(0)$. Thus we have that $I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$ is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R . Since I_1 is not a δ_1 -primary ideal, there are $x, y \in R_1$ with $xy = 0$ but $x \notin I_1$ and $y \notin \delta_1(I_1)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(x, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n})(1_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ &(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n})(y, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \\ &= (0_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, \dots, 0_{R_n}). \text{ However, products of } n \text{ elements of} \\ &(x, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n}), (1_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}), (1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_n}), \\ &(1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}, 0_{R_3}, 1_{R_4}, \dots, 1_{R_n}), \dots, (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{n-1}}, 0_{R_n}), (y, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_n}) \text{ are neither in } I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0 \text{ nor in} \\ &\delta_\times(I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0). \text{ Thus } I_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0 \text{ is not an } n\text{-absorbing } \delta_\times\text{-primary ideal of } R. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 13 *Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{n+1}$ be a decomposable ring and $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ be a nonzero proper ideal of R such that $\delta_i(I_i) \neq R_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$. Assume that δ_i 's are expansion functions of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ satisfying (*) property for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(ii) I is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(iii) $I_k = R_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n+1$ and I_j is a δ_j -primary ideal of R_j for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} - \{k\}$ or $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$, where $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times R_{n+1}$ for some $1 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \leq n+1$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Take $(0, \dots, 0) \neq (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in I$. Then

$(0, \dots, 0) \neq (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = (a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_n}, a_{n+1}) \in I$. Since I is weakly n -absorbing δ -primary, then

$(a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, a_n, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that

$(a_1, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, \widehat{a_k}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_n}, a_{n+1})$ is in $\delta(I)$. Then $I_i = R_i$ or $\delta_j(I_j) = R_j$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$. Since δ_j satisfies (*) property, we get $I_i = R_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Thus $I^{n+1} \neq 0_R$. By Theorem 5, I is n -absorbing δ_\times -primary.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let I be an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal. Then $I_i = R_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ by the previous proof. Assume that $I = I_1 \times \dots \times R_i \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ and I_j is a proper ideal of R_j for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{i\}$. Now we show that I_j is a δ_j -primary ideal of R_j . Let $x_j y_j \in I_j$ for $x_j, y_j \in R_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{j-1}}, x_j, 1_{R_{j+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}})(0, 1_{R_2}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_j}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \\ & (1_{R_1}, 0_{R_2}, 1_{R_3}, \dots, 1_{R_i}, \dots, 1_{R_j}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 0_{R_{j-1}}, 1_{R_j}, 1_{R_{j+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_j}, 0_{R_{j+1}}, 1_{R_{j+2}}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \dots \\ & (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_n}, 0_{R_{n+1}})(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_{j-1}}, y_j, 1_{R_{j+1}}, \dots, 1_{R_{n+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

$= (0, \dots, 0, 1_{R_i}, 0, \dots, 0, x_j y_j, 0, \dots, 0) \in I$ for some $j \neq i$. Since I is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal, we have either $x_j \in I_j$ or $y_j \in \delta_j(I_j)$. Therefore, I_j is a δ_j -primary ideal of R_j .

Let $I = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ for some $1 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \leq n+1$. Then $I' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_1-1} \times I_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times I_{\alpha_k-1} \times I_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times I_{n+1}$ is an n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of $R' = R_1 \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_1-1} \times R_{\alpha_1+1} \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_k-1} \times R_{\alpha_k+1} \times \dots \times R_{n+1}$ by Theorem 10.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) : It is easily seen that I is a weakly n -absorbing δ_\times -primary ideal of R . □

References

- [1] Anderson DD, Bataineh M. Generalizations of prime ideals. Commun Algebra 2008; 36: 686-696.
- [2] Anderson DF, Badawi A. On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings. Commun Algebra 2011; 39: 1646-1672.
- [3] Badawi A. On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings. Bull Austral Math Soc 2007; 3: 417-429.
- [4] Badawi A, Darani AY. On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings. Houston J Math 2013; 39: 441-452.
- [5] Badawi A, Fahid B. On weakly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideals of commutative rings. Georgian Math J 2017 (in press).
- [6] Badawi A, Tekir U, Yetkin E. On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings. Bull Korean Math Soc 2014; 1: 1163-1173.
- [7] Badawi A, Tekir U, Yetkin E. On weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings. J Korean Math Soc 2015; 52: 97-111.
- [8] Dongsheng Z. δ -primary ideals of commutative rings. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal 2001; 41: 17-22.

- [9] Fahid B, Dongsheng Z. 2-Absorbing δ -primary ideals in commutative rings. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal* 2017; 57: 193-198.
- [10] Mostafanasab H, Sohelnia F. Darani AY. On weakly n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings, arxiv.
- [11] Payrovi S, Babaei S. On 2-absorbing submodules. In *Algebra Colloquium* 2012; 19: 913-920.