

1-1-2019

The effect of parathyroidectomy on bone mineral density in primary hyperparathyroidism

MUSTAFA ÇALIŞKAN

SELVİHAN BEYSEL

MUHAMMED KIZILGÜL

MUSTAFA ÖZBEK

ERMAN ÇAKAL

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/medical>



Part of the [Medical Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ÇALIŞKAN, MUSTAFA; BEYSEL, SELVİHAN; KIZILGÜL, MUHAMMED; ÖZBEK, MUSTAFA; and ÇAKAL, ERMAN (2019) "The effect of parathyroidectomy on bone mineral density in primary hyperparathyroidism," *Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences*: Vol. 49: No. 6, Article 11. <https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-1904-49>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/medical/vol49/iss6/11>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

The effect of parathyroidectomy on bone mineral density in primary hyperparathyroidism

Mustafa ÇALIŞKAN¹ , Selvihan BEYSEL^{2*} , Muhammed KIZILGÜL³ , Mustafa ÖZBEK³ , Erman ÇAKAL³ 

¹Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Atatürk Düzce State Hospital, Düzce, Turkey

²Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Afyonkarahisar Sağlık Bilimleri University, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey

³Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Health Sciences,
Dışkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Teaching and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 07.04.2019 • Accepted/Published Online: 28.09.2019 • Final Version: 16.12.2019

Background/aim: This study aimed to investigate the change in bone mineral density (BMD) before and 1 year after parathyroidectomy in patients with primary hyperparathyroidism (PHPT).

Materials and methods: The clinical and biochemical parameters and DEXA screening of patients with symptomatic PHPT (n = 28) and asymptomatic PHPT (n = 63) were investigated before and 1 year after parathyroidectomy.

Results: Patients with symptomatic PHPT had a higher prevalence of nephrolithiasis (18.2% vs. 4.6%, P = 0.032) when compared to the prevalence in patients with asymptomatic PHPT. The prevalence of osteoporosis in the lumbar spine (63.0% vs. 37.5%, P = 0.026) and femoral neck (40.7% vs. 20.6%, P = 0.048) was higher in symptomatic PHPT when compared to the prevalence in asymptomatic PHPT. After parathyroidectomy, the decreases in the prevalence of osteoporosis in the lumbar spine (25.8% vs. 9.4%, P = 0.014), femoral neck (22.1% vs. 8.2%, P = 0.009), and total hip (22.4% vs. 5.3%, P = 0.007) were higher in symptomatic PHPT compared to the asymptomatic PHPT group. A higher BMD gain (g/cm²) was seen in the lumbar spine (10.83% vs. 4.65%, P=0.016) and femoral neck (12.61% vs. 4.37%, P=0.005) in symptomatic PHPT compared to the asymptomatic PHPT group.

Conclusion: Parathyroidectomy provided more BMD gain in the lumbar spine and femoral neck in patients with symptomatic PHPT when compared to patients with asymptomatic PHPT 1 year after parathyroidectomy.

Key words: Bone mineral density, parathyroidectomy, hyperparathyroidism

1. Introduction

Patients with elevated serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) and calcium levels are defined as having primary hyperparathyroidism (PHPT). Asymptomatic primary PHPT is characterized as a disorder without signs or symptoms associated with PTH and calcium excess [1]. Many patients with asymptomatic PHPT report nonspecific symptoms such as weakness, depression, anxiety, fatigue, loss of initiative, disturbed sleep, decreased memory and cognitive function, constipation, polydipsia, and pain in the extremities [1,2]. Nowadays, classic features of PHPT such as stones, abdominal groans, psychic moans, and fragile fractures of bones are not commonly observed because of routine biochemical analyses [2]. Most cases of PHPT are discovered incidentally upon biochemical testing when patients are mild and asymptomatic [3]. The disease presents more subtly; therefore, surgery management remains a clinical dilemma. The National Institutes for Health (NIH) consensus guidelines for the

management of asymptomatic PHPT recommend surgery in the event of serum calcium of ≥ 1 g/dL above the upper limit of normal or a previous fragility fracture or an energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) score of less than -2.5 at any site or estimated glomerular filtration rate of <60 mL/min or the patient is aged <50 years or medical follow-up is undesired or impractical [2].

PHPT is associated with osteoporosis/osteopenia; however, overt complications of the skeleton are rarely encountered nowadays [1]. Overt complications of the disease such as osteitis fibrosa cystica, salt-and-pepper sign, periosteal bone resorption, bone cysts, and brown tumors are commonly seen in classic PHPT [2]. These findings generally are not observed in asymptomatic PHPT but DEXA and bone biopsies reveal skeletal involvement. Both trabecular and cortical bones are affected in asymptomatic patients with PHPT [3]. Epidemiologic studies have revealed an increased risk of both vertebral and nonvertebral fractures in patients with

* Correspondence: beyselselvihan@gmail.com

PHPT [4,5]. Increased bone turnover [6], decreased bone mineral density (BMD) score [7], and increased risk of fragile fracture [8] have been observed in asymptomatic patients PHPT, even in the early phase of the disease. BMD measurement in the lumbar spine, a trabecular site, is relatively preserved, whereas the distal one-third of the radius, a cortical site, is reduced. PHPT has a greater catabolic effect on the cortical bone but a relatively sparing effect on trabecular bone. Bone remodeling slows down, and the BMD score subsequently increases and fragile bone fractures decrease after a biochemical cure provided by a successful parathyroidectomy [6,9–13]. The BMD scores are improved after successful parathyroidectomy in patients with mild PHPT [12,14]. Successful parathyroidectomy in symptomatic PHPT increases BMD the most, and the fastest is in the lumbar spine and hip, followed later by increases in the distal 1/3 of the radius. PHPT patients with severe skeletal involvement benefit more from successful parathyroidectomy [15–18]. However, the effect of surgical treatment on the skeleton in asymptomatic PHPT remains unclear. This study aimed to investigate the change in BMD before and 1 year after parathyroidectomy in patients with PHPT.

2. Materials and methods

Patients with PHPT who were referred to our tertiary hospital (Ankara, Turkey), in the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, from 2011 to 2014, were recruited for this prospective study. Most of the patients presented with osteoporosis and symptoms unrelated to hyperparathyroidism. Each patient gave informed consent as per the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the Dışkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Teaching and Research Hospital Ethics Board (Number: 24.02.2013-12/21).

We tested for symptoms related to hypercalcemia (repeated nephrolithiasis, gastritis, polyuria, muscle weakness, osteoporosis, or psychiatric disorders) and bone fractures. Patients with a hypercalcemic crisis, defined as serum calcium of ≥ 14 mg/dL, were not included. Patients with Cushing's syndrome, osteomalacia, renal insufficiency, hepatic disorders, rheumatoid arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis were excluded. No patients used bisphosphonates, calcimimetic agents, hormone replacement treatment, selective estrogen receptor modulators, or cortisol before the start of the study or after parathyroidectomy. However, five patients had hungry bone syndrome following parathyroidectomy, and five patients started taking active vitamin D3 (calcitriol) soon after parathyroidectomy. Three patients began treatment with calcium acetate, and two patients used both. Patients who had serum vitamin D of < 20 ng/mL were given vitamin D3 at 50,000 IU/week for 8 weeks and subsequent daily oral dosages of 800 IU vitamin D3 after

parathyroidectomy. Patients who had fragile fractures were not included.

We excluded patients without follow-up ($n = 19$) from the study. In the end, we only included 91 patients with complete data in the study. We stratified patients into two groups as having symptomatic PHPT ($n = 28$) or asymptomatic PHPT ($n = 63$). Asymptomatic primary hyperparathyroidism described patients who lacked obvious signs and symptoms associated with either elevated calcium or parathyroid hormone. We included patients with asymptomatic PHPT requiring parathyroidectomy in this study. We set the criteria for parathyroidectomy as in the NIH recommendations [2]. A single surgical group performed all operations. All patients had the following characteristics: a) biochemical and clinical diagnosis of PHPT, b) successful parathyroidectomy as confirmed by normal postparathyroidectomy serum calcium levels, and c) follow-up with clinical, biochemical, and BMD assessment before and after parathyroidectomy.

Clinical, biochemical, and anthropometric measurements were performed before and after parathyroidectomy. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) / height (m^2). Serum calcium, phosphorus, 25-hydroxy vitamin D₃ (25OHD), intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), creatinine, albumin, glucose, and urinary calcium excretion were measured. We measured serum iPTH using a radioimmunoassay (DiaSorin Inc., Stillwater, MN, USA). We checked successful parathyroidectomies in all patients at 3, 6, and 12 months through normalization of serum calcium. We used DEXA and renal ultrasound to determine the advisability of surgery in asymptomatic patients and to monitor patients. Clinically silent nephrolithiasis and nephrocalcinosis were detected using renal ultrasonography before parathyroidectomy while investigating the criteria for surgery. BMD measurements in the lumbar spine (L1–L4), hips, and femoral neck were performed before and after parathyroidectomy using DEXA (Hologic QDR-4500 device, Hologic Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). BMD is expressed in grams per square centimeter (g/cm^2) and as standard deviations (T-scores). BMD change (%) was assessed using the following formula: $(\text{postoperative} - \text{preoperative BMD} / \text{preoperative BMD}) \times 100$ [17]. Osteoporosis was diagnosed as a BMD T-score of less than or equal to -2.5 SD in the lumbar spine, hip, or femoral neck [2].

2.1. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Variables are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median (min–max), percentage (%), odds ratio (OR), and 95% confidence interval (CI). Normality was tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov and

Shapiro–Wilk W tests. The chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used for categorical variables. Student's t-test was used for normally distributed continuous variables. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for continuous variables that were not normally distributed. McNemar's test was used for categorical variables between parathyroidectomy and postparathyroidectomy values. The paired-samples t-test was used for normally distributed continuous variables between parathyroidectomy and postparathyroidectomy. The Wilcoxon test was used for continuous variables that were not normally distributed between parathyroidectomy and postparathyroidectomy. Logistic regression analysis was performed: BMD gain was defined as the dependent variable and parathyroidectomy variables such as adenoma volume, iPTH, ALP, and symptoms were independent variables. Variables identified with a P-value of ≤ 0.1 were entered in the model. Statistical significance was defined as $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

Among the patients with PHPT, 69.2% were asymptomatic. Sex, menopause, age, BMI, and smoking habits were similar between groups ($P > 0.05$). Patients with symptomatic PHPT had a higher prevalence of nephrolithiasis (18.2% vs. 4.6%, $P = 0.032$) and higher adenoma volume (2.95 ± 3.49 vs. 0.47 ± 0.56 cm³, $P = 0.027$) than seen in the asymptomatic PHPT group. Serum calcium, ALP, and iPTH were higher in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT ($P < 0.05$). Levels of 25(OH)D and phosphorus were lower in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT ($P < 0.05$). The number of patients with at least one site of osteoporosis was higher in symptomatic PHPT patients than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT (66.7% vs. 39.1%, $P = 0.016$). The number of patients with osteoporosis in the lumbar spine (63.0% vs. 37.5%, $P = 0.026$) and femoral neck (40.7% vs. 20.6%, $P = 0.048$) was higher for symptomatic PHPT than asymptomatic PHPT. Osteoporosis in the total hip did not differ between the groups ($P > 0.05$). Total hip and BMD-T score in the lumbar spine was higher in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT (-2.92 ± 1.17 vs. -1.99 ± 1.41 , $P = 0.020$). The total hip and femoral neck BMD T-score did not differ between the groups ($P > 0.05$). We detail the clinical parameters of patients with asymptomatic PHPT or symptomatic PHPT before parathyroidectomy in Table 1.

3.1. Bone mineral density gain at one year of parathyroidectomy

After successful parathyroidectomy, serum calcium, iPTH, and ALP were more decreased in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT ($P < 0.05$). Magnesium, 25(OH)D, phosphorus, and BMI did

not change between the groups ($P > 0.05$). The decrease in the number of patients with at least one site of osteoporosis was higher in patients with asymptomatic PHPT than in patients with symptomatic PHPT (22.1% vs. 6.3%, $P = 0.008$). The decrease in the prevalence of osteoporosis in the lumbar spine (25.8% vs. 9.4%, $P = 0.014$), femoral neck (22.1% vs. 8.2%, $P = 0.009$), and total hip (22.4% vs. 5.3%, $P = 0.007$) was higher in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT. A higher BMD gain (g/cm²) was seen in the lumbar spine (10.83% vs. 4.65%, $P = 0.016$) and femoral neck (12.61% vs. 4.37%, $P = 0.005$) in patients with symptomatic PHPT when compared to patients with asymptomatic PHPT. Total hip BMD change (g/cm²) did not differ between the groups (6.57% vs. 3.75%, $P > 0.05$). The increase in BMD T-score was higher in the lumbar spine (0.65 ± 0.60 vs. 0.30 ± 0.77 , $P = 0.039$), femoral neck (0.98 ± 0.94 vs. 0.36 ± 0.67 , $P = 0.001$), and total hip (0.54 ± 0.55 vs. 0.26 ± 0.51 , $P = 0.021$) in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT. Change in clinical parameters after parathyroidectomy is shown in Table 2.

Univariate analysis showed that BMD gain in the lumbar spine and femoral neck was positively correlated with parathyroidectomy iPTH, pre-ALP, adenoma volume, and being in the symptomatic PHPT group (Table 3). In the logistic regression analysis, parathyroidectomy iPTH was the only variable identified as an independent predictor of BMD gain ($\beta = 3.18$, 95% CI: 2.3–13.5, $P = 0.02$).

4. Discussion

Our study showed that osteoporosis was more prevalent in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT. Parathyroidectomy reduced the prevalence of osteoporosis in the lumbar spine, femoral neck, and total hip with biochemical cure in both patients with symptomatic PHPT and asymptomatic PHPT 1 year after parathyroidectomy. Parathyroidectomy provided a better BMD gain in the lumbar spine and femoral neck in patients with symptomatic PHPT compared to patients with asymptomatic PHPT. Parathyroidectomy iPTH was an independent predictor of BMD gain.

Trabecular and cortical bone mineral density were decreased in patients with asymptomatic PHPT and symptomatic PHPT [3,19–22]. Our study showed a higher prevalence of osteoporosis in the lumbar spine and femoral neck in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT; however, total hip osteoporosis was similar between the groups. After a successful parathyroidectomy, BMD scores were increased in symptomatic PHPT cases [6,9–11]. Parathyroidectomy has improved BMD scores in patients with mild PHPT [12,14]. Successful parathyroidectomy increased BMD scores

Table 1. Clinical, biochemical, and bone mineral densitometry parameters of patients with asymptomatic and symptomatic primary hyperparathyroidism before parathyroidectomy.

Variables	Asymptomatic PHPT, n = 63	Symptomatic PHPT, n = 28	P
Women (%)	82.8	77.8	0.314
Menopause (%)	61.5	61.9	0.815
Smoking habit (%)	20.6	25.9	0.715
Age (years)	51.52 ± 10.47	52.40 ± 11.59	0.826
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.95 ± 4.72	32.13 ± 6.60	0.482
Glucose (mg/dL)	90.85 ± 9.99	89.60 ± 9.01	0.934
Albumin (mg/dL)	4.53 ± 0.22	4.41 ± 0.15	0.352
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.75 ± 0.15	0.68 ± 0.16	0.408
Calcium (mg/dL)	10.41 ± 0.64	11.34 ± 0.76	<0.001
Phosphorus (mg/dL)	2.61 ± 0.37	2.50 ± 0.44	0.030
iPTH (pg/dL)	194.76 ± 136.63	347.53 ± 202.24	<0.001
ALP (mg/dL)	101.52 ± 40.08	158.20 ± 74.90	<0.001
25(OH)D (ng/mL)	20.15 ± 24.11	9.82 ± 9.13	0.003
Magnesium (mg/dL)	2.13 ± 0.19	2.12 ± 0.23	0.935
TSH (mg/dL)	2.09 ± 1.29	1.56 ± 0.81	0.728
Lumbar spine BMD (g/cm ²)	0.83 ± 0.15	0.72 ± 0.12	0.137
Total hip BMD (g/cm ²)	0.78 ± 0.13	0.71 ± 0.15	0.951
Femoral neck BMD (g/cm ²)	0.67 ± 0.11	0.63 ± 0.09	0.879
Lumbar spine T-score	-1.99 ± 1.41	-2.92 ± 1.17	0.020
Total hip T-score	-1.44 ± 1.14	-1.98 ± 1.30	0.242
Femoral neck T-score	-1.83 ± 1.17	-2.32 ± 1.09	0.165
Osteoporosis at lumbar spine (%)	37.5	63.0	0.026
Osteoporosis at total hip (%)	12.5	29.6	0.050
Osteoporosis at femoral neck (%)	20.6	40.7	0.048
Osteoporosis at least one site (%)	39.1	66.7	0.016
Nephrolithiasis (%)	4.6	18.2	0.032
Urinary calcium excretion (mg/24 h)	362.61 ± 175.20	437.33 ± 87.18	0.016
Adenoma volume (cm ³)	0.47 ± 0.56	2.95 ± 3.49	0.027

Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables are presented as percentage (%).

BMI, Body mass index; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone, BMD, bone mineral density; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxy-vitamin D.

the most and fastest in the lumbar spine and hip followed later by increases in radius, especially in symptomatic PHPT. Parathyroidectomy has improved severe skeletal involvement in symptomatic PHPT [15–18]. Our results show that BMD loss in the femoral neck and lumbar spine was higher in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic PHPT. After parathyroidectomy, BMD gain in the femoral neck and lumbar spine was higher in patients with symptomatic PHPT than in patients with asymptomatic

PHPT. In our study, we observed that surgery may improve bone involvement even in asymptomatic PHPT with osteopenia; therefore, we suggest that parathyroidectomy may be useful for the treatment of osteopenia/osteoporosis in asymptomatic PHPT patients.

A study showed that BMD score decreased in the femoral neck and remained unchanged in the lumbar spine in an observation group, whereas BMD improved in the lumbar spine and femoral neck in the parathyroidectomy group [23].

Table 2. Change in clinical parameters after parathyroidectomy.

Variables	Asymptomatic PHPT, n = 63	Symptomatic PHPT, n = 28	P
Δ BMI (kg/m ²)	0.48 ± 1.31	0.19 ± 0.85	0.282
Δ Calcium (mg/dL)	-1.43 ± 0.68	-3.32 ± 5.82	0.012
Δ Phosphorus (mg/dL)	0.73 ± 0.49	-0.89 ± 0.52	0.168
Δ iPTH (pg/dL)	-128.71 ± 122.86	-297.51 ± 310.81	<0.001
Δ ALP (mg/dL)	-22.82 ± 27.06	-78.25 ± 66.08	<0.001
Δ Magnesium (mg/dL)	-0.13 ± 0.27	0.03 ± 0.24	0.486
Δ 25(OH)D	14.84 ± 24.12	19.01 ± 9.17	0.379
Δ Lumbar spine BMD (g/cm ³) %	4.65 (-10.00 to 30.65)	10.83 (-6.72 - 70.08)	0.016
Δ Total hip BMD (g/cm ³) %	3.75 (-11.57 to 21.29)	6.57 (-7.53 - 51.98)	0.124
Δ Femoral neck BMD (g/cm ³) %	4.37 (-11.21 to 30.04)	12.61 (-14.17 - 54.85)	0.005
Δ Lumbar spine BMD T-score	0.30 ± 0.77	0.65 ± 0.60	0.039
Δ Total hip BMD T-score	0.26 ± 0.51	0.54 ± 0.55	0.021
Δ Femoral neck BMD T-score	0.36 ± 0.67	0.98 ± 0.94	0.001
Δ Osteoporosis at lumbar spine (%)	25.8	9.4	0.014
Δ Osteoporosis at total hip (%)	22.4	5.3	0.007
Δ Osteoporosis at femoral neck (%)	22.1	8.2	0.009
Δ Osteoporosis of at least one site (%)	22.1	6.3	0.008

Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables are presented as percentage (%).

Difference (Δ) is shown as change in values before and after treatment (latter value minus former value).

BMI, Body mass index; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone, BMD, bone mineral density; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxy-vitamin D.

Table 3. Correlation between preparathyroidectomy variables and BMD gain at lumbar spine and femoral neck

	BMD gain in lumbar spine		BMD gain in femoral neck	
	r*	P	r*	P
Pre-iPTH	0.338	0.124	0.329	0.135
Pre-ALP	0.319	0.148	0.580	0.005
Adenoma volume	0.396	0.104	0.201	0.423
Symptomatic PHPT group	0.276	0.015	0.321	0.004

r* represents correlation coefficient.

ALP, Alkaline phosphatase; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone.

They observed bone loss in the hips in asymptomatic PHPT cases without surgery [24]. Parathyroidectomy was thought to be more cost-effective than observation without treatment in patients with mild asymptomatic PHPT [25]. Postoperative BMD gain in the femoral neck and total hip with decreasing bone-specific alkaline phosphatase concentrations have

been reported in patients with mild asymptomatic PHPT [12,26,27]. Our results showed that BMD increased by 10.83% in the lumbar spine, by 6.57% in the total hip, and by 12.61% in the femoral neck in patients with symptomatic PHPT after parathyroidectomy while BMD increased by 4.65% in the lumbar spine, 3.75% in the total hip, and 4.37% in the femoral neck in patients with asymptomatic PHPT after parathyroidectomy. However, BMD gain in the total hip was similar in the two groups. Several studies have reported significant BMD gain following parathyroidectomy [14–17,26,28]. The BMD was lower in patients with severe PHPT and significant improvements were observed after surgical cure, which is consistent with our results [17,29]. Skeletal abnormalities regressed and osteoclastoma shrunk with BMD gain following successful parathyroidectomy in severe PHPT [9,30,31]. Significant and rapid BMD gain was observed in patients with osteitis fibrosa cystica, whereas slow BMD gain was observed in patients with asymptomatic PHPT [11,30,31]. During follow-up over 2 years, stable BMD gain was observed in PHPT patients who underwent parathyroidectomy, whereas slow bone loss was observed in those without parathyroidectomy [32]. BMD gain after

surgery in asymptomatic PHPT was reported during 1–3 years of follow-up [12,14,26]. After a successful parathyroidectomy, significant and rapid BMD gain was observed in the lumbar spine and hip, followed by BMD gain in the radius [11,27,28]. Long-lasting stable BMD gain was reported at all bone sites after successful parathyroidectomy in asymptomatic PHPT [10,11,28]. After successful parathyroidectomy, BMD gain at 5, 10, and 15 years was observed; however bone loss in the hip and radius was observed in patients without parathyroidectomy [11]. Our results showed that BMD was improved in both patients with symptomatic PHPT and asymptomatic PHPT after successful parathyroidectomy.

Postoperative BMD gain shows variations among patients with PHPT. Changes in serum PTH concentration, initial bone turnover, age, sex, and preoperative vitamin D status are considered effective for postoperative bone gain [12,18]. Our study showed that symptomatic PHPT cases had lower serum 25(OH)D level than asymptomatic PHPT. Changes in 25(OH)D and BMI did not differ between the groups following parathyroidectomy. Our study showed that BMD gain in the lumbar spine and femoral neck was positively correlated with pre-iPTH, pre-ALP, adenoma volume, and having symptomatic PHPT. Preparathyroidectomy iPTH was the only independent predictor of BMD gain. These findings may

suggest that BMD gain might be more remarkable in patients with higher preoperative iPTH. Similarly, a study showed that postoperative BMD gain was correlated with preoperative iPTH [18]. Decreased PTH concentrations lead to decreased activation of new bone remodeling such as bone turnover and remodeling space [15,18]. Chronically high PTH enhances osteoclastic bone resorption and bone turnover. PTH plays a critical role in PTH receptors on osteoblasts, which stimulate the osteoclastic differentiation of osteoblasts and lead to cortical bone resorption [33]. Postoperative BMD gain could be explained by decreasing serum iPTH concentration.

The present study has a small sample size and short prospective follow-up, a control group was not used for comparison, and BMD in the forearm was not examined. These are the limitations of this study.

In conclusion, parathyroidectomy provided more BMD gain in the lumbar spine and femoral neck in symptomatic PHPT compared to patients with asymptomatic PHPT 1 year after parathyroidectomy. Preparathyroidectomy iPTH was an independent predictor of BMD gain. Parathyroidectomy has a more favorable effect on bone in patients with symptomatic PHPT compared to patients with asymptomatic PHPT. Long-term prospective studies are required to investigate the effect of parathyroidectomy on bone in patients with PHPT.

References

1. Cordellat IM. Hyperparathyroidism: primary or secondary disease? *Reumatologia Clinica* 2012; 8 (5): 287-91. doi: 10.1016/j.reuma.2011.06.001
2. Silverberg SJ, Clarke BL, Peacock M, Bandeira F, Boutroy S et al. Current issues in the presentation of asymptomatic primary hyperparathyroidism: proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014; 99 (10): 3580-3894. doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-1415
3. Bandeira F, Cusano NE, Silva BC, Cassibba S, Almeida CB et al. Bone disease in primary hyperparathyroidism. *Arquivos Brasileiros de Endocrinologia and Metabologia* 2014; 58 (5): 553-361. doi: 10.1590/0004-2730000003381
4. Vestergaard P, Mosekilde L. Fractures in patients with primary hyperparathyroidism: nationwide follow-up study of 1201 patients. *World Journal of Surgery* 2003; 27: 343-349. doi: 10.1007/s00268-002-6589-9
5. Yu N, Donnan PT, Flynn RW, Murphy MJ, Smith D et al. Increased mortality and morbidity in mild primary hyperparathyroid patients. The Parathyroid Epidemiology and Audit Research Study (PEARS). *Clinical Endocrinology* 2010; 73: 30-34. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2009.03766.x
6. Roschger P, Dempster DW, Zhou H, Paschalis EP, Silverberg SJ et al. New observations on bone quality in mild primary hyperparathyroidism as determined by quantitative backscattered electron imaging. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2007; 22: 717-723. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.070120
7. Siilin H, Lundgren E, Mallmin H, Mellström D, Ohlsson C et al. Prevalence of primary hyperparathyroidism and impact on bone mineral density in elderly men: MrOs Sweden. *World Journal of Surgery* 2011; 35: 1266-1272. doi: 10.1007/s00268-011-1062-2
8. Vignali E, Viccica G, Diacinti D, Cetani F, Cianferotti L et al. Morphometric vertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with primary hyperparathyroidism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2009; 94: 2306-2312. doi: 10.1210/jc.2008-2006
9. Bandeira F, Griz L, Chaves N, Carvalho NC, Borges LM et al. Diagnosis and management of primary hyperparathyroidism--a scientific statement from the Department of Bone Metabolism, the Brazilian Society for Endocrinology and Metabolism. *Arquivos Brasileiros de Endocrinologia and Metabologia* 2013; 57: 406-424. doi: 10.1590/s0004-27302013000600002
10. Tamura Y, Araki A, Chiba Y, Mori S, Hosoi T et al. Remarkable increase in lumbar spine bone mineral density and amelioration in biochemical markers of bone turnover after parathyroidectomy in elderly patients with primary hyperparathyroidism: a 5-year follow-up study. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism* 2007; 25: 226-231. doi: 10.1007/s00774-007-0754-z
11. Rubin MR, Bilezikian JP, McMahon DJ, Jacobs T, Shane E et al. The natural history of primary hyperparathyroidism with or without parathyroid surgery after 15 years. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2008; 93: 3462-3470. doi: 10.1210/jc.2007-1215

12. Rao DS, Phillips ER, Divine GW, Talpos GB. Randomized controlled clinical trial of surgery versus no surgery in patients with mild asymptomatic primary hyperparathyroidism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2004; 89: 5415-5422. doi: 10.1210/jc.2004-0028
13. Vander Walde LH, Liu IL, Haigh PI. Effect of bone mineral density and parathyroidectomy on fracture risk in primary hyperparathyroidism. *World Journal of Surgery* 2009; 33: 406-411. doi: 10.1007/s00268-008-9720-8
14. Ambrogini E, Cetani F, Cianferotti L, Vignali E, Banti C et al. Surgery or surveillance for mild asymptomatic primary hyperparathyroidism: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2007; 92: 3114-3121. doi: 10.1210/jc.2007-0219
15. Christiansen P. The skeleton in primary hyperparathyroidism: a review focusing on bone remodeling, structure, mass, and fracture. *Journal of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology* 2001; 102: 1-52. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0463.2001.tb05399.x
16. Nomura R, Sugimoto T, Tsukamoto T, Yamauchi M, Sowa H et al. Marked and sustained increase in bone mineral density after parathyroidectomy in patients with primary hyperparathyroidism; a six-year longitudinal study with or without parathyroidectomy in a Japanese population. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2004; 60: 335-342. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2004.01984.x
17. Thier M, Nordenström E, Bergenfelz A, Almquist M. Presentation and outcomes after surgery for primary hyperparathyroidism during an 18-year period. *World Journal of Surgery* 2016; 40: 356-364. doi: 10.1007/s00268-015-3329-5
18. Rolighed L, Vestergaard P, Heickendorff L, Sikjaer T, Rejnmark L et al. BMD improvements after operation for primary hyperparathyroidism. *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery* 2013; 398: 113-120. doi: 10.1007/s00423-012-1026-5
19. Marcocci C, Bollerslev J, Khan AA, Shoback DM. Medical management of primary hyperparathyroidism: proceedings of the fourth International Workshop on the Management of Asymptomatic Primary Hyperparathyroidism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014; 99: 3607-3618. doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-1417
20. Bilezikian JP, Brandi ML, Eastell R, Silverberg SJ, Udelsman R et al. Guidelines for the management of asymptomatic primary hyperparathyroidism: summary statement from the Fourth International Workshop. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014; 99: 3561-3569. doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-1413
21. Hansen S, Beck Jensen JE, Rasmussen L, Hauge EM, Brixen K. Effects on bone geometry, density, and microarchitecture in the distal radius but not the tibia in women with primary hyperparathyroidism: a case-control study using HR-pQCT. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism* 2010; 25: 1941-1947. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.98
22. Stein EM, Silva BC, Boutroy S, Zhou B, Wang J et al. Primary hyperparathyroidism is associated with abnormal cortical and trabecular microstructure and reduced bone stiffness in postmenopausal women. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism* 2013; 28: 1029-1040. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.1841
23. Lundstam K, Heck A, Mollerup C, Godang K, Baranowski M et al. Effects of parathyroidectomy versus observation on the development of vertebral fractures in mild primary hyperparathyroidism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2015; 100: 1359-1367. doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-3441
24. Jung KY, Hong AR, Lee DH, Kim JH, Kim KM et al. The natural history and hip geometric changes of primary hyperparathyroidism without parathyroid surgery. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism* 2017; 35 (3): 278-288. doi: 10.1007/s00774-016-0751-1
25. Zanocco K, Angelos P, Sturgeon C. Cost-effectiveness analysis of parathyroidectomy for asymptomatic primary hyperparathyroidism. *Surgery* 2006; 140 (6): 874-881. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2006.07.032
26. Bollerslev J, Jansson S, Mollerup CL, Nordenström J, Lundgren E et al. Medical observation, compared with parathyroidectomy, for asymptomatic primary hyperparathyroidism: a prospective, randomized trial. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2007; 92: 1687-1692. doi: 10.1210/jc.2006-1836
27. Gianotti L, Tassone F, Baffoni C, Pellegrino M, Cassibba S et al. Relationship between insulin sensitivity and bone mineral density in primary hyperparathyroidism. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2014; 81: 350-355. doi: 10.1111/cen.12472
28. Silverberg SJ, Shane E, Jacobs TP, Siris E, Bilezikian JP. A 10-year prospective study of primary hyperparathyroidism with or without parathyroid surgery. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1999; 341: 1249-1255. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199910213411701
29. Bandeira F, Cassibba S. Hyperparathyroidism and bone health. *Current Rheumatology Reports* 2015; 17: 48. doi: 10.1007/s11926-015-0523-2
30. Bandeira F, Griz L, Caldas G, Bandeira C, Freese E. From mild to severe primary hyperparathyroidism: the Brazilian experience. *Arquivos Brasileiros de Endocrinologia e Metabologia* 2006; 50: 657-663. doi: 10.1590/s0004-27302006000400011
31. Silverberg SJ, Gartenberg F, Jacobs TP, Shane E, Siris E et al. Increased bone mineral density after parathyroidectomy in primary hyperparathyroidism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1995; 80: 729-734. doi: 10.1210/jcem.80.3.7883824
32. Sankaran S, Gamble G, Bolland M, Reid IR, Grey A. Skeletal effects of interventions in mild primary hyperparathyroidism: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2010; 95: 1653-1662. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-2384
33. Calvi LM, Sims NA, Hunzelman JL, Knight MC, Giovannetti A, et al. Activated parathyroid hormone/parathyroid hormone-related protein receptor in osteoblastic cells differentially affects cortical and trabecular bone. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 2001; 107: 277-286. doi: 10.1172/JCI11296