

1-1-2018

The rank of apparition of powers of Lucas sequence

PRASANTA KUMAR RAY

NURETTİN IRMAK

BIJAN KUMAR PATEL

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

RAY, PRASANTA KUMAR; IRMAK, NURETTİN; and PATEL, BIJAN KUMAR (2018) "The rank of apparition of powers of Lucas sequence," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 4, Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1705-116>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol42/iss4/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

The rank of apparition of powers of Lucas sequence

Prasanta Kumar RAY^{1,*}, Nurettin IRMAK², Bijan Kumar PATEL³

¹Department of Mathematics, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar, Burla, India

²Department of Mathematics, Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Niğde, Turkey

³Department of Mathematics, International Institute of Information Technology, Bhubaneswar, India

Received: 29.05.2017

Accepted/Published Online: 10.10.2017

Final Version: 24.07.2018

Abstract: This note is devoted to studying the divisibility relation $u_n^{k+1} | u_m$ for a least positive integer m , where $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a nondegenerate Lucas sequence with characteristic polynomial $x^2 - ax - b$, for some relatively prime integers a and b .

Key words: Lucas sequence, periodicity, rank of apparition, p -adic order

1. Introduction

Let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a Lucas sequence of integers, so that $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, and

$$u_n = au_{n-1} + bu_{n-2}; \quad n \geq 2,$$

where a and b are relatively prime integers. Here $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is assumed to be a nondegenerate Lucas sequence. That is, $b \neq 0$ and for the roots α, β of the characteristic equation $x^2 - ax - b = 0$, α/β is not a root of unity, which excludes the pairs

$$(a, b) \in \{(\pm 2, -1), (\pm 1, -1), (0, \pm 1), (\pm 1, 0)\}$$

([7], pp. 5–6).

Many properties of the linear recurring sequences have been investigated by several authors from different point of views. Renault [6] studied the period, rank, and order of the (a, b) -Fibonacci sequence modulo any positive integer m , where $\gcd(m, b) = 1$. For each positive integer m relatively prime with b ,

$$\alpha(m) = \min\{n \geq 1 : m | u_n\}$$

is well defined and is called the rank of apparition (order of appearance) of m . Clearly, $\alpha(u_n) \leq n$ for all positive integers n , while from the primitive divisor theorem [1] it follows that $\alpha(u_n) = n$ for all integers $n > 30$ (and all the exceptionals $n \leq 30$ such that $\alpha(u_n) < n$ can be computed). The following result about the rank of apparition is found in [6].

Lemma 1.1 *For each integer $m \geq 1$, we have $m | u_n$ for some positive integer n if and only if $\gcd(m, b) = 1$ and $\alpha(m) | n$.*

*Correspondence: rayprasanta2008@gmail.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B37, 11B39

For a prime number p and a nonzero integer m , the p -adic valuation of m denoted by $\nu_p(m)$ is the exponent of p in the factorization of m . Recently, Sanna [8] derived a formula for the p -adic valuation of nondegenerate Lucas sequences as follows.

Lemma 1.2 *If p is a prime number such that $p \nmid b$, then*

$$\nu_p(u_n) = \begin{cases} \nu_p(n) + \nu_p(u_p) - 1, & \text{if } p|\Delta, p|n; \\ 0, & \text{if } p|\Delta, p \nmid n; \\ \nu_p(n) + \nu_p(u_{p\alpha(p)}) - 1, & \text{if } p \nmid \Delta, \alpha(p)|n, p|n; \\ \nu_p(u_{p\alpha(p)}), & \text{if } p \nmid \Delta, \alpha(p)|n, p \nmid n; \\ 0, & \text{if } p \nmid \Delta, \alpha(p) \nmid n; \end{cases}$$

for each positive integer n , where $\Delta = a^2 + 4b$.

In a subsequent paper, using the p -adic valuation of $\{u_n\}$, Sanna derived some formulas for the rank of apparition of the power of a prime number ([9], Lemma 2.5).

Indeed, the result in Lemma 1.2 is a reflection of the formula derived by Bilu et al. ([2], Proposition 2.1) as follows.

Lemma 1.3 *For all prime $p \nmid b$,*

$$\nu_p(u_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha(p)}; \\ \nu_p(u_{\alpha(p)}) + \nu_p(n/\alpha(p)), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha(p)}, p = \text{odd}; \\ \nu_2(u_2) + \nu_2(n/2), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, p = 2, a = \text{even}; \\ \nu_2(u_3), & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, p = 2, a = \text{odd}; \\ \nu_2(u_6) + \nu_2(n/2), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, p = 2, a = \text{odd}. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we study the rank of apparition of powers of Lucas sequences $\{u_n\}$; that is, we obtain a divisibility relation $u_n^{k+1} | u_m$ for a least positive integer m with $k \geq 0$. The results we prove subsequently are indeed the generalization of some of the previous results of Marques [4]. He derived $\alpha(u_n^{k+1})$ for $a = b = 1$, i.e. for the sequence of Fibonacci numbers $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, as follows.

Lemma 1.4 *If F_n denotes the n th Fibonacci number, then*

$$\alpha(F_n^{k+1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} F_n^k, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \text{ and } k \geq 2; \\ n F_n^k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [4], Marques also established the formula $\alpha(L_n^k)$ in some cases of n and k , where $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ denote the sequence of Lucas numbers. Subsequently, Pongsriiam [5] derived the same formula for all $n, k \geq 1$.

Our main results are the following.

Theorem 1.5 *For even a and for $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\alpha(u_n^{k+1}) = nu_n^k$ with $k \geq 0$.*

Theorem 1.6 For odd a and for $b = 1$, we have

$$\alpha(u_n^{k+1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}u_n^k, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \text{ and } k \geq 2; \\ nu_n^k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.7 For any a with $b \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $k \geq 0$, $\alpha(u_n^{k+1}) = nu_n^k$.

2. The proofs

Proof [Proof of Theorem 1.5] For a prime $p \nmid b$ with a even, we need only to consider the case $\alpha(p)|n$. By virtue of Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(u_{nu_n^k}) &= \nu_p(nu_n^k) + \nu_p(u_{\alpha(p)}) - \nu_p(\alpha(p)) \\ &= \nu_p(n) + \nu_p(u_{\alpha(p)}) - \nu_p(\alpha(p)) + \nu_p(u_n^k) \\ &= \nu_p(u_n) + \nu_p(u_n^k) \\ &= \nu_p(u_n^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the case for $p = 2$ with a even. For $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $nu_n^k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $k \geq 0$. Using Lemma 1.3 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(u_{nu_n^k}) &= \nu_2(u_2) + \nu_2(n) + k\nu_2(u_n) - 1 \\ &= (k + 1)(\nu_2(u_2) + \nu_2(n) - 1) \\ &= \nu_2(u_n^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, as $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $\frac{nu_n^k}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Therefore,

$$\nu_2(u_{nu_n^k/2}) = (k + 1)(\nu_2(u_2) + \nu_2(n) - 1) - 1 < \nu_2(u_n^{k+1}),$$

which completes the proof. □

The following lemma is useful while proving the subsequent theorem.

Lemma 2.1 For odd a and $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\nu_2(u_6) - \nu_2(u_3) = 2$.

Proof We have $u_6/u_3 = a(a^2 + 3b)$ and $a^2 + 3b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, since a is odd and $b = 1$, so the claim follows. □

Proof [Proof of Theorem 1.6] For an odd prime $p \nmid b$ with a odd, we need only to consider the case $\alpha(p)|n$. Using Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(u_{nu_n^k}) &= \nu_p(nu_n^k) + \nu_p(u_{\alpha(p)}) - \nu_p(\alpha(p)) \\ &= \nu_p(n) + \nu_p(u_{\alpha(p)}) - \nu_p(\alpha(p)) + \nu_p(u_n^k) \\ &= \nu_p(u_n) + \nu_p(u_n^k) \\ &= \nu_p(u_n^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since a is odd and $\alpha(p)|n$, for $p = 2$ then $\alpha(2) = 3|n$, so that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ or $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. Consider the case $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$; then $nu_n^k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Therefore, the use of Lemma 1.3 again gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(u_{nu_n^k}) &= \nu_2(nu_n^k) + \nu_2(u_6) - 1 \\ &= \nu_2(n) + k\nu_2(u_n) + \nu_2(u_6) - 1 \\ &= (k + 1)(\nu_2(n) + \nu_2(u_6) - 1) \\ &= \nu_2(u_n^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $u_n^{k+1}|u_{nu_n^k}$ for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and hence $\alpha(u_n^{k+1})|nu_n^k$. In order to get a conclusion, it suffices to show $u_n^{k+1} \nmid u_{nu_n^k/2}$. Since $\frac{nu_n^k}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(u_{nu_n^k/2}) &= \nu_2(nu_n^k) + \nu_2(u_6) - 2 \\ &= (k + 1)[\nu_2(u_6) + \nu_2(n) - 1] - 1 \\ &< (k + 1)[\nu_2(u_6) + \nu_2(n) - 1] \\ &= \nu_2(u_n^{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and the case follows. In order to prove the case $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, we proceed as follows. Since $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $\frac{nu_n^k}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ for $k \geq 2$. Using the fact $\nu_2(u_6) \geq \nu_2(u_3) + 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(u_{nu_n^k}) &> \nu_2\left(u_{\frac{nu_n^k}{2}}\right) \\ &\geq \nu_2(u_n^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is enough to show that $\nu_2(u_n^{k+1}) > \nu_2(u_{nu_n^k/4})$ for all $k \geq 2$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2\left(u_{\frac{nu_n^k}{4}}\right) &= \nu_2(u_6) + k\nu_2(u_3) - 3 \\ &= \nu_2(u_3) + k\nu_2(u_3) - 1 \\ &< \nu_2(u_n^{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and the case follows. Finally we show for the case $k = 1$. Since $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $nu_n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(u_{nu_n}) &= \nu_2(u_6) + \nu_2(nu_n) - 1 \\ &= \nu_2(u_3) + 2 + \nu_2(u_3) - 1 \\ &= 3 > 2 = 2\nu_2(u_3) \\ &= \nu_2(u_n^2). \end{aligned}$$

Also, for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, we have $nu_n/2 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and we get

$$\nu_2(u_{nu_n/2}) = \nu_2(u_3) < 2 = \nu_2(u_n^2).$$

This ends the proof. □

Proof [Proof of Theorem 1.7] For even a with $b \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ the proof of the result $\alpha(u_n^{k+1}) = nu_n^k$ when $k \geq 0$ is analogous to Theorem 1.5. Consider the case for odd a . For $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $nu_n^k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ for $k \geq 2$. By virtue of Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(u_{nu_n^k}) &= \nu_2(u_6) + \nu_2(nu_n^k) - 1 \\ &= \nu_2(u_6) + k\nu_2(u_3) - 1 \\ &= \nu_2(u_3) + k\nu_2(u_3) \\ &= \nu_2(u_n^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now it suffices to prove $\nu_2(u_n^{k+1}) > \nu_2(u_{nu_n^k/2})$, for all $k \geq 2$. Using the identity $u_6/u_3 = a(a^2 + 3b)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2\left(\frac{u_{nu_n^k}}{2}\right) &= \nu_2(u_6) + k\nu_2(u_3) - 2 \\ &= \nu_2(u_3) + k\nu_2(u_3) - 1 \\ &< \nu_2(u_n^{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence the result. For the remaining case, the proof is analogous to Theorem 1.6. □

References

- [1] Bilu Y, Hanrot G, Voutier PM. Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers. *J Reine Angew Math* 2001; 539: 75-122.
- [2] Bilu Y, Komatsu T, Luca F, Pizarro-Madariaga A, Stanica P. On a divisibility relation for Lucas sequences. *J Number Theory* 2016; 163: 1-18.
- [3] Carlip W, Jacobson E. A criterion for stability of two-term recurrence sequences modulo 2^k . *Finite Fields Appl* 1996; 2: 369-406.
- [4] Marques D. The order of appearance of powers of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. *Fibonacci Quart* 2012; 50: 239-245.
- [5] Pongsriam P. A complete formula for the order of appearance of the powers of Lucas numbers. *Commun Korean Math Soc* 2016; 31: 447-450.
- [6] Renault M. The period, rank, and order of the (a, b) -Fibonacci sequence *mod m*. *Math Mag* 2013; 86: 372-380.
- [7] Ribenboim P. *My Numbers, My Friends. Popular Lectures on Number Theory*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [8] Sanna C. The p -adic valuation of Lucas sequences. *Fibonacci Quart* 2016; 54: 118-124.
- [9] Sanna C. On numbers n dividing the n -th term of a Lucas sequence. *Int J Number Theory* 2016; 1-10.