

1-1-2018

Derivations, generalized derivations, and $*$ -derivations of period 2 in rings

HESHAM NABIEL

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

NABIEL, HESHAM (2018) "Derivations, generalized derivations, and $*$ -derivations of period 2 in rings," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 5, Article 43. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1805-111>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol42/iss5/43>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Derivations, generalized derivations, and *-derivations of period 2 in rings

Hesham NABIEL*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt

Received: 22.05.2018

Accepted/Published Online: 06.08.2018

Final Version: 27.09.2018

Abstract: The aim of this article is to discuss the existence of certain kinds of derivations and *-derivations that are of period 2. Moreover, we obtain the form of generalized reverse derivations and generalized left derivations of period 2.

Key words: Maps of period 2, derivations, generalized derivations, *-derivations, prime rings, semiprime rings

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will represent an associative ring with center $Z(R)$. An ideal U of R is said to be central ideal if $U \subseteq Z(R)$. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, a ring R is said to be n -torsion free if for $x \in R$, $nx = 0$ implies $x = 0$. For $x, y \in R$, the symbol $[x, y]$ stands for the commutator $xy - yx$. R is said to be domain if for $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. A domain with identity is called a unital domain. R is said to be prime if for $a, b \in R$, $aRb = \{0\}$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, and is said to be semiprime if for $a \in R$, $aRa = \{0\}$ implies $a = 0$. It's clear that every domain is prime. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a *derivation* (*Jordan derivation*, respectively) if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$ ($d(x^2) = d(x)x + xd(x)$ for all $x \in R$, respectively). As in [9] by Bell and Daif and in [14] by Gölbaşı and Kaya, a right (left, respectively) *generalized derivation* F of R is an additive map of R associated with a derivation d of R such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$ ($F(xy) = xF(y) + d(x)y$ for all $x, y \in R$, respectively). If F is both a right and left generalized derivation with the same associated derivation, then F is said to be a generalized derivation. In [1] Aboubakr and González referred to a right (left, respectively) *generalized Jordan derivation* F of R to be an additive map of R associated with a Jordan derivation d of R such that $F(x^2) = F(x)x + xd(x)$ for all $x \in R$ ($F(x^2) = xF(x) + d(x)x$ for all $x \in R$, respectively). If F is both a right and left generalized Jordan derivation with the same associated Jordan derivation, then F is said to be a generalized Jordan derivation. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a *reverse derivation* (or sometimes *antiderivation*) if $d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. The authors of [1] gave the following definition: a right (left, respectively) *generalized reverse derivation* F of R is an additive map of R associated with a reverse derivation d of R such that $F(xy) = F(y)x + yd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$ ($F(xy) = yF(x) + d(y)x$ for all $x, y \in R$, respectively). If F is both a right and left generalized reverse derivation with the same associated reverse derivation, then F is said to be a generalized reverse derivation. In [13] Brešar and Vukman defined a *left*

*Correspondence: hnabiel@yahoo.com

2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16N60, 16W10, 16U10, 17A36

This paper is a part of a PhD dissertation under the supervision of Professor MN Daif, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

derivation to be an additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ satisfying $d(xy) = xd(y) + yd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. In [6] Ashraf and Ali gave the definition of a *generalized left derivation* to be an additive map F of R associated with a left derivation d of R such that $F(xy) = xF(y) + yd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Reverse derivations and left derivations have been studied in some papers (see [1, 5, 13]). An additive bijective mapping g of R is called an anti-automorphism if $g(xy) = g(y)g(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. An anti-automorphism $*$ of period 2 on a ring R is said to be an involution. A ring R equipped with an involution $*$ is called a $*$ -ring or a ring with involution. An ideal U of R is called a $*$ -ideal if $U^* = U$. In [12] Brešar defined a $*$ -*derivation* to be an additive map d of R satisfying $d(xy) = d(x)y^* + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Accordingly, a *reverse $*$ -derivation* of R is an additive map d of R such that $d(xy) = d(y)x^* + yd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. In [4] Ali et al. gave the notion of a *left $*$ -derivation* of R to be an additive map d of R such that $d(xy) = xd(y) + y^*d(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. For results on $*$ -derivations, reverse $*$ -derivations, left $*$ -derivations, and their generalizations, see [2–4, 7, 12]. Let S be a nonempty subset of R and f a map of R . If $[x, f(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in S$, then f is said to be commuting on S , and if $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in S$, then f is said to be strong commutativity-preserving on S (see [8]).

In [9] Bell and Daif mentioned a map f on R of period 2 on a subset S of R to be a map satisfying $f^2(x) = x$ for all $x \in S$. Involutions are much studied examples. They proved in a semiprime $*$ -ring R that $*$ is commuting on a $*$ -ideal U of R if and only if $*$ is strong commutativity-preserving on R [[9], Theorem 1]. They also showed the following results:

Theorem 1.1 [[9], Theorem 3] *Let R be a semiprime ring and U a nonzero right ideal of R . Then R admits no derivation d of period 2 on U .*

Theorem 1.2 [[9], Theorem 9] *Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) unital domain and with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. If F is a right generalized derivation of period 2 on R , then F must be the identity map or its negative.*

Theorem 1.3 [[9], Theorem 11] *Let R be a prime ring with $Z(R) \neq \{0\}$ and with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. If F is a generalized derivation of period 2 on R with associated derivation d , then F is the identity map or its negative.*

Motivated by their results, we shall prove that a semiprime ring R cannot admit a reverse derivation that is of period 2 on a nonzero ideal of R and also cannot admit a left derivation that is of period 2 on a nonzero one-sided ideal of R . Furthermore, we show that a semiprime $*$ -ring R cannot admit a $*$ -derivation, a reverse $*$ -derivation, or a left $*$ -derivation of period 2 on a nonzero $*$ -ideal U of R . Moreover, we shall discuss the form of generalized reverse derivations and generalized left derivations of period 2 in prime rings.

To prove our results, we need the following.

Lemma 1.1 [[15], Lemma 1.1] *Let R be a ring and U be a nonzero right ideal of R . Suppose that given $a \in U$, $a^n = 0$ for a fixed integer n , R has a nonzero nilpotent ideal.*

Lemma 1.2 [[10], Remark(iii)] *In a semiprime ring R , the center of a nonzero one-sided ideal is contained in $Z(R)$; in particular, any commutative one-sided ideal is contained in $Z(R)$.*

Theorem 1.4 [[11], Theorem 1] *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let $d : R \rightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation. In this case, d is a derivation.*

Theorem 1.5 *[16], Theorem 2.5* Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. Then every right generalized Jordan derivation on R is a right generalized derivation.

Theorem 1.6 *[6], Proposition 3.1* Let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. If R admits a generalized left derivation with associated Jordan left derivation d of R , then either $d = 0$ or R is commutative.

Theorem 1.7 *[2], Theorem 2.1* Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring. If R admits a generalized $*$ -derivation F associated with a nonzero $*$ -derivation d of R , then F maps R into $Z(R)$.

Theorem 1.8 *[2], Theorem 2.3* Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring. If R admits a generalized reverse $*$ -derivation F associated with a nonzero reverse $*$ -derivation d of R , then d maps R into $Z(R)$.

2. Reverse and left derivations

Our aim in this section is to discuss the existence of reverse and left derivations of period 2 on suitable subsets of a semiprime ring R .

Theorem 2.1 Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and U a nonzero right ideal of R . Then R admits no reverse derivation of period 2 on U .

Proof Assuming that there is a reverse derivation d such that d is of period 2 on U , then $d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Therefore, $d(x^2) = d(x)x + xd(x)$ for all $x \in R$. By Theorem 1.4, we obtain that d is a derivation of period 2 on U , contrary to Theorem 1.1. \square

Theorem 2.2 Let R be a 3-torsion free semiprime ring and U a nonzero ideal of R . Then R admits no reverse derivation of period 2 on U .

Proof Assume that there is a reverse derivation d such that d is of period 2 on U , i.e. $d^2(x) = x$ for all $x \in U$. Then for all $x, y \in U$, we have $xd(y) = d^2(xd(y)) = d(yx + d(y)d(x)) = d(x)y + xd(y) + xd(y) + d(x)y$, which implies

$$2d(x)y + xd(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly, $d(x)y = d^2(d(x)y) = d(d(y)d(x) + yx) = xd(y) + d(x)y + d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in U$, which reduces to

$$2xd(y) + d(x)y = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.2}$$

Adding (2.1) and (2.2) and using the 3-torsion freeness of R , we get $xd(y) + d(x)y = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Substituting in (2.1), we obtain $d(x)y = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Substituting in (2.2), we get $xd(y) = 0$ for $x, y \in U$. Therefore, $d(xy) = 0$, which implies $xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then $x^2 = 0$ for all $x \in U$, contrary to Lemma 1.1 since R is semiprime. \square

Theorem 2.3 Let R be a semiprime ring and U a nonzero one-sided ideal of R . Then R admits no left derivation of period 2 on U .

Proof Suppose there exists a left derivation d on R that is of period 2 on U . For $x, y \in U$, we have $xy = d^2(xy) = d(xd(y) + yd(x)) = xy + d(y)d(x) + yx + d(x)d(y)$ for all $x, y \in U$. Thus,

$$d(y)d(x) + d(x)d(y) + yx = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.3}$$

Similarly,

$$d(x)d(y) + d(y)d(x) + xy = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.4}$$

By (2.3) and (2.4) we conclude that $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in U$. That is, U is commutative. By Lemma 1.2, we get that U is a two-sided central ideal.

For $x, y \in U$, we have $xd(y) = d^2(xd(y)) = d(xy + d(y)d(x)) = xd(y) + yd(x) + d(y)x + d(x)y$, but U is central ideal, so $2d(x)y + d(y)x = 0$, and

$$2d(y)x + d(x)y = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.5}$$

Thus,

$$d(y)x = d(x)y \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.6}$$

Applying d for (2.6) we obtain $d(y)d(x) + xy = d(x)d(y) + yx$ for all $x, y \in U$, so

$$d(y)d(x) = d(x)d(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.7}$$

Recalling (2.4), we obtain

$$2d(y)d(x) + xy = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{2.8}$$

Substituting yz for y in (2.8), $z \in U$, and using (2.8) we get $2d(z)yd(x) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in U$. Therefore, $(2d(x)y)R(2d(x)y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, but R is semiprime, so $2d(x)y = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, and by (2.5) we obtain $d(y)x = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Applying d , we get $0 = d(d(y)x) = d(y)d(x) + xy$ for all $x, y \in U$, so $0 = 2d(y)d(x) + 2xy$ for all $x, y \in U$. By (2.4) and (2.7), we can see that $xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, which is contrary to Lemma 1.1 since R is semiprime. \square

3. Generalized reverse derivations and generalized left derivations

In this section we discuss the form of generalized reverse derivations and generalized left derivations that are of period 2.

Theorem 3.1 *Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) unital domain, with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. If F is a right generalized reverse derivation of period 2 on R associated with a reverse derivation d of R , then F must be the identity map or its negative.*

Proof Suppose there exists a right generalized reverse derivation F of period 2 on R associated with a reverse derivation d of R . Then $F(xy) = F(y)x + yd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Therefore, $F(x^2) = F(x)x + xd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. By Theorem 1.5, F is a right generalized derivation that is of period 2 on U . By Theorem 1.2, we get the result. \square

In a similar way we can prove the following theorem, by using Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 3.2 *Let R be a prime ring with $Z(R) \neq \{0\}$ and with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. If F is a generalized reverse derivation of period 2 on R with associated reverse derivation d on R , then F is the identity map or its negative.*

Theorem 3.3 *Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) unital domain, with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. If F is a generalized left derivation of period 2 on R associated with a left derivation d of R , then F must be the identity map or its negative.*

Proof By our assumption we have $F(xy) = xF(y) + yd(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. By Theorem 1.6 we have $d = 0$ or R is commutative. If R is commutative, then $F(xy) = F(yx) = yF(x) + xd(y) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. That is, F is a right generalized derivation of period 2 on R . Theorem 1.2 yields that F is the identity map or its negative.

Now assume that $d = 0$. Hence, $F(xy) = xF(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Note that $F(x) = xF(1) = xa$ for all $x \in R$, where $a = F(1)$. Since F is of period 2, we have $x = xa^2$, implying $x(1 - a^2) = 0$ for all $x \in R$. However, R is a domain, so $a = 1$ or $a = -1$. Hence, F is the identity map or its negative. \square

4. *-Maps in rings with involution

In Theorem 1.7 Ali proved that the range of any generalized *-derivation of a semiprime ring R is contained in $Z(R)$. For the sake of completeness, we prove here a special case of his result.

Lemma 4.1 [*2*, Corollary 2.3] *Let R be a semiprime *-ring. If R admits a *-derivation d , then $d(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$.*

Proof For $x, y, z \in R$ we have

$$d(x(yz)) = d(x)z^*y^* + xd(y)z^* + xyd(z) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R. \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand,

$$d((xy)z) = d(x)y^*z^* + xd(y)z^* + xyd(z) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R. \tag{4.2}$$

Comparing (4.1) and (4.2) we get $d(x)[y^*, z^*] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$, which implies

$$d(x)[y, z] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R. \tag{4.3}$$

Replacing y by $yd(x)$ in (4.3) and using (4.3) we obtain

$$d(x)R[d(x), z] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, z \in R. \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.4) we get $[d(x), z]R[d(x), z] = 0$ for all $x, z \in R$. The semiprimeness of R completes our result. \square

Similarly, we can get a special case of Theorem 1.8.

Lemma 4.2 [*2*, Corollary 2.5] *Let R be a semiprime *-ring. If R admits a reverse *-derivation d , then $d(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$.*

In the same vein, we can prove the following.

Lemma 4.3 *Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring. If R admits a left $*$ -derivation d , then $d(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$.*

Proof For $x, y, z \in R$ we have

$$d(x(yz)) = xyd(z) + xz^*d(y) + z^*y^*d(x) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R. \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand,

$$d((xy)z) = xyd(z) + z^*xd(y) + z^*y^*d(x) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R. \tag{4.6}$$

Comparing (4.5) and (4.6) we get $[x, z^*]d(y) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$, which implies

$$[x, z]d(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R. \tag{4.7}$$

Replacing z by $d(y)z$ in (4.7) and using (4.7) we obtain

$$[x, d(y)]Rd(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R. \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore, $[x, d(y)]R[x, d(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. The semiprimeness of R completes our proof. □

Now we discuss the existence of $*$ -derivations of period 2 in semiprime $*$ -rings.

Lemma 4.4 *Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring and U be a nonzero one-sided ideal of R . If R admits a $*$ -derivation d that is of period 2 on U , then U is a two-sided central ideal of R .*

Proof For all $x \in U$ and $r \in R$ we have by Lemma 4.1 that $d(d(x))r = rd(d(x))$. Therefore,

$$xr = rx \quad \text{for all } x \in U, r \in R. \tag{4.9}$$

That is, U is a two-sided central ideal. □

A $*$ -derivation d commutes with $*$ if $d(x^*) = d(x)^*$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring and U a nonzero one-sided $*$ -ideal of R . Then R admits no $*$ -derivation d that commutes with $*$ and is of period 2 on U .*

Proof Assume that R has a $*$ -derivation d such that $d^2(x) = x$ for all $x \in U$. Then $xy = d^2(xy) = d(d(x)y^* + xd(y)) = xy + 2d(x)d(y^*) + xy$ for all $x, y \in U$, which yields

$$2d(x)d(y^*) + xy = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{4.10}$$

Since $xd(y) \in U$ for all $x, y \in U$, we have $xd(y) = d^2(xd(y)) = d(d(x)d(y^*) + xy) = xd(y) + 2d(x)y^* + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in U$, which implies

$$2d(x)y^* + xd(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{4.11}$$

Replacing x by y^*x in (4.10) and using (4.10), we get $2d(y^*)x^*d(y^*) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Since $d(y^*)$ is in the center of R , we have $2d(y^*)Rx^*d(y^*) = 0$. This yields

$(2x^*d(y^*))R(2x^*d(y^*)) = 0$. However, R is semiprime, and hence $2x^*d(y^*) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, and since U is a $*$ -ideal we obtain $2x^*d(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. By Lemma 4.1 we get $2d(y)x^* = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, and using (4.11) we obtain

$$xd(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{4.12}$$

Applying d on (4.12) gives $0 = d(xd(y)) = d(x)d(y^*) + xy$ for all $x, y \in U$. Therefore, $2d(x)d(y^*) + 2xy = 0$, and by (4.10) we get $xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, which implies $x^2 = 0$ for all $x \in U$, contrary to Lemma 1.1 since R is semiprime. \square

In a similar manner we obtain the following result for reverse $*$ -derivations.

Lemma 4.5 *Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring and U a nonzero one-sided ideal of R . If R admits a reverse $*$ -derivation d that is of period 2 on U , then U is a two-sided central ideal of R .*

Theorem 4.2 *Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring and U a nonzero one sided $*$ -ideal of R . Then R admits no reverse $*$ -derivation d that commutes with $*$ and is of period 2 on U .*

Proof Assume that R has a reverse $*$ -derivation d such that $d^2(x) = x$ for all $x \in U$. Since $d(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in U$, by Lemma 4.2, and d is of period 2 on U , we have $xy = d^2(xy) = d(d(y)x^* + yd(x)) = d(x^*d(y) + d(x)y) = yx + 2d(y)d(x^*) + yx$ for all $x, y \in U$. By Lemma 4.5 this yields

$$2d(y)d(x^*) + yx = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{4.13}$$

Replacing y by y^*y in (4.13), we have $2d(y^*y)d(x^*) + y^*yx = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, and by Lemma 4.5 we get $2d(yy^*)d(x^*) + y^*yx = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Thus, $2(d(y^*)y^* + y^*d(y))d(x^*) + y^*yx = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, and using (4.13) we obtain $2d(y^*)y^*d(x^*) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Since $d(y^*)$ is in the center of R , we have $2d(y^*)Rx^*d(y^*) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, which implies that $(2y^*d(x^*))R(2y^*d(x^*)) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Hence, $2y^*d(x^*) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, and since U is a $*$ -ideal we obtain

$$2yd(x^*) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{4.14}$$

Since $xd(y) \in U$ for $x, y \in U$, we have $xd(y) = d(y)x + 2yd(x^*) + d(y)x$, using Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.2. Therefore, $2yd(x^*) + d(y)x = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. Using (4.14) we obtain

$$d(y)x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{4.15}$$

Applying d on (4.15) and using (4.13) we get $xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$, which implies $x^2 = 0$ for all $x \in U$, contrary to Lemma 1.1 since R is semiprime. \square

Lemma 4.6 *Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring and U be a nonzero one-sided ideal of R . If R admits a left $*$ -derivation d that is of period 2 on U , then U is a two-sided central ideal.*

Proof Follows from Lemma 4.3. \square

Theorem 4.3 *Let R be a semiprime $*$ -ring and U a nonzero one-sided $*$ -ideal of R . Then R admits no left $*$ -derivation d that commutes with $*$ and is of period 2 on U .*

Proof Assuming that R has a left $*$ -derivation d such that $d^2(x) = x$ for all $x \in U$, then $d(xy) = xd(y) + y^*d(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. We have by Lemma 4.6 that $d(xy) = d(x)y^* + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Thus, d is a $*$ -derivation that is of period 2 on U , which contradicts Theorem 4.1. \square

References

- [1] Aboubakr A, González S. Generalized reverse derivations on semiprime rings. *Siberian Math J* 2015; 56: 199-205.
- [2] Ali S. On generalized $*$ -derivations in $*$ -rings. *Palestine J Math* 2012; 1: 32-37.
- [3] Ali S, Alhazmi H, Khan AN. On Jordan (θ, ϕ) -biderivations in rings with involution. *Br J Math Comput Sci* 2016; 17: 1-7.
- [4] Ali S, Dar NA, Pagon D. On Jordan $*$ -mappings in rings with involution. *J Egyptian Math Soc* 2016; 24: 15-19.
- [5] Ashraf M. On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivations of prime rings. *Archivum Mathematicum* 2000; 36: 201-206.
- [6] Ashraf M, Ali S. On generalized Jordan left derivations in rings. *Bull Korean Math Soc* 2008; 45: 253-261.
- [7] Ashraf M, Ali S, Khan A. Generalized (α, β) -derivations and related mappings in semiprime $*$ -rings. *Asian-Eur J Math* 2012; 5: 1-10.
- [8] Bell HE, Daif MN. On commutativity and strong commutativity-preserving maps. *Canad Math Bull* 1994; 37: 443-447.
- [9] Bell HE, Daif MN. On maps of period 2 on prime and semiprime rings. *Internat J Math and Math Sci* 2014: 1-4.
- [10] Bell HE, Martindale WS 3rd. Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings. *Canad Math Bull* 1987; 30: 92-101.
- [11] Brešar M. Jordan derivations on semiprime rings. *P Am Math Soc* 1998; 104: 1003-1006.
- [12] Brešar M, Vukman J. On some additive mappings in rings with involution. *Aequationes Math* 1989; 38: 178-185.
- [13] Brešar M, Vukman J. On left derivations and related mappings. *P Am Math Soc* 1990; 110: 7-16.
- [14] Gölbaşı Ö, Kaya K. On Lie ideals with generalized derivations. *Siberian Math J* 2006; 47: 862-866.
- [15] Herstein IN. *Topics in Ring Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Chicago, IL, USA: University of Chicago, 1965.
- [16] Jing W, Lu S. Generalized Jordan derivations on prime rings and standard operator algebras. *Taiwanese J Math* 2003; 7: 605-613.