

1-1-2018

Coefficients inequalities for classes of meromorphic functions

JACEK DZIOK

MASLINA DARUS

JANUSZ SOKOL

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

DZIOK, JACEK; DARUS, MASLINA; and SOKOL, JANUSZ (2018) "Coefficients inequalities for classes of meromorphic functions," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 5, Article 31. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1703-100>

Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol42/iss5/31>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Coefficients inequalities for classes of meromorphic functions

Jacek DZIOK^{1,*}, Maslina DARUS², Janusz SOKÓŁ³

¹Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Rzeszów, Rzeszów, Poland

²Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi,
 Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

³Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Rzeszów, Rzeszów, Poland

Received: 23.03.2017

Accepted/Published Online: 15.07.2018

Final Version: 27.09.2018

Abstract: A typical problem in the theory of analytic functions is to study a functional made up of combinations of coefficients of the original function. Usually, there is a parameter over which the extremal value of the functional is needed. One of the important functionals of this type is the Fekete–Szegő functional defined on the class of analytic functions. In this paper we transfer the Fekete–Szegő problem to some classes of meromorphic functions.

Key words: Meromorphic functions, Fekete–Szegő problem, subordination, Hadamard product

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions that are analytic in $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and let $\Omega := \{\omega \in \mathcal{A} : |\omega(z)| \leq |z| \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})\}$. We denote by Σ the class of normalized meromorphic functions f of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

defined on the punctured unit disk $\Delta := \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let α be a real number, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and let $\phi, \varphi, \psi, \chi \in \Sigma$, $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$ be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n z^n, & \phi(z) &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta), \\ \chi(z) &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n z^n, & \psi(z) &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta), \\ p(z) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, & q(z) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\varphi_n - \phi_n > 0, \quad \chi_n - \psi_n > 0, \quad p_1, q_1 > 0 \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots). \quad (2)$$

*Correspondence: jdziok@ur.edu.pl

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50, 30C55

By $\Sigma_\alpha(\phi, \varphi; \psi, \chi; p)$ we denote the class of functions $f \in \Sigma$ such that $(\varphi * f)(z)(\chi * f)(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in \Delta$) and

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\phi * f}{\varphi * f} + \alpha \frac{\psi * f}{\chi * f} \prec p,$$

where $*$ denotes the Hadamard product or convolution and \prec is the symbol of subordination. Moreover, let us define

$$\Sigma^*(p) := \Sigma_0(-z\varphi'(z), \varphi; \varphi, \varphi; p), \quad \varphi(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n.$$

The class $\Sigma_\alpha(\phi, \varphi; \psi, \chi; p)$ is related to the classes of meromorphic Mocanu functions, meromorphic starlike functions, and meromorphic convex functions.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}(\phi, \varphi; p, q)$ the class of functions $f \in \Sigma$ for which there exist a function $g \in \Sigma^*(q)$ such that $(\varphi * g)(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in \Delta$) and

$$\frac{\phi * f}{\varphi * g} \prec p.$$

The class is related to the class of meromorphic close-to-convex functions.

Motivated by Fekete and Szegő [3] (see also [1,2,4,5,6,7]), we consider the coefficient functional $\Lambda(f) = a_1 - \mu a_0^2$. The object of this paper is to estimate the absolute value of the functional Λ in the defined classes of functions. Some consequences of the main results are also considered.

2. The main results

The following lemma will be required in our present investigation.

Lemma 1 [4] *Let $\omega \in \Omega$ be a function of the form*

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{3}$$

Then,

$$|\omega_n| \leq 1 \quad (n = 1, 2), \quad |\omega_2| \leq 1 - |\omega_1|^2, \quad |\omega_2 - \mu\omega_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |\mu|\} \quad (\mu \in \mathbb{C}).$$

The results are sharp with the extremal functions of the form

$$\omega(z) = z, \quad \omega_a(z) = z \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}, |a| < 1).$$

Theorem 1 *If $f \in \Sigma_\alpha(\phi, \varphi; \psi, \chi; p)$, then*

$$|a_0| \leq \frac{p_1}{(1 - \alpha)(\varphi_0 - \phi_0) + \alpha(\chi_0 - \psi_0)}, \tag{4}$$

$$|a_1| \leq \frac{p_1}{(1 - \alpha)(\varphi_0 - \phi_0) + \alpha(\chi_0 - \psi_0)} \max\{1, |\beta|\}, \tag{5}$$

$$|a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \frac{p_1}{(1 - \alpha)(\varphi_1 - \phi_1) + \alpha(\chi_1 - \psi_1)} \max\{1, |\gamma|\} \quad (\mu \in \mathbb{C}), \tag{6}$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{p_2}{p_1} + \frac{(1 - \alpha) \varphi_0 (\phi_0 - \varphi_0) + \alpha \chi_0 (\psi_0 - \chi_0)}{[(1 - \alpha) (\phi_0 - \varphi_0) + \alpha (\psi_0 - \chi_0)]^2} p_1 \tag{7}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{(1 - \alpha) (\phi_1 - \varphi_1) + \alpha (\psi_1 - \chi_1)}{[(1 - \alpha) (\phi_0 - \varphi_0) + \alpha (\psi_0 - \chi_0)]^2} p_1 \mu - \beta. \tag{8}$$

The results are sharp.

Proof Let $f \in \Sigma_\alpha (\phi, \varphi; \psi, \chi; p)$. Then there exists a function $\omega \in \Omega$ of the form (3) such that

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{\phi * f}{\varphi * f} + \alpha \frac{\psi * f}{\chi * f} = p \circ \omega. \tag{9}$$

It follows easily that

$$(p \circ \omega)(z) = 1 + (p_1 \omega_1) z + (p_1 \omega_2 + p_2 \omega_1^2) z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{10}$$

Moreover, if we put

$$\frac{(\phi * f)(z)}{(\varphi * f)(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n z^n, \quad \frac{(\psi * f)(z)}{(\chi * f)(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

and

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{(\phi * f)(z)}{(\varphi * f)(z)} + \alpha \frac{(\psi * f)(z)}{(\chi * f)(z)} = 1 + w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{11}$$

then

$$x_1 = (\phi_0 - \varphi_0) a_0, \quad x_n = (\phi_{n-1} - \varphi_{n-1}) a_{n-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_{n-k} \varphi_k a_k \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$y_1 = (\psi_0 - \chi_0) a_0, \quad y_n = (\psi_{n-1} - \chi_{n-1}) a_{n-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_{n-k} \chi_k a_k \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots),$$

and

$$w_1 = [(1 - \alpha) (\phi_0 - \varphi_0) + \alpha (\psi_0 - \chi_0)] a_0,$$

$$w_n = [(1 - \alpha) (\phi_{n-1} - \varphi_{n-1}) + \alpha (\psi_{n-1} - \chi_{n-1})] a_{n-1}$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} [(1 - \alpha) x_{n-k} \varphi_k + \alpha y_{n-k} \chi_k] a_k \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots). \tag{12}$$

Therefore, by (9)–(12) we obtain

$$a_0 = \frac{p_1 \omega_1}{(1 - \alpha) (\phi_0 - \varphi_0) + \alpha (\psi_0 - \chi_0)}, \tag{13}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{p_1 \omega_2 + p_2 \omega_1^2 + [(1 - \alpha) \varphi_0 (\phi_0 - \varphi_0) + \alpha \chi_2 (\psi_0 - \chi_0)] a_0}{(1 - \alpha) (\phi_1 - \varphi_1) + \alpha (\psi_1 - \chi_1)}. \tag{14}$$

Thus, by Lemma 1 we have sharp estimation (4). Moreover, by (13) and (14) we get

$$a_1 - \mu a_0^2 = \frac{p_1}{(1 - \alpha)(\phi_1 - \varphi_1) + \alpha(\psi_1 - \chi_1)} \{\omega_2 - \gamma\omega_1^2\},$$

where γ is given by (8). Hence, by Lemma 1 we obtain (6). In particular, taking $\mu = 0$ in (6) we obtain the estimation (5). To prove that the results are sharp let us define the functions $f_1, f_2 \in \Sigma$ such that

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{(\phi * f_1)(z)}{(\varphi * f_1)(z)} + \alpha \frac{(\psi * f_1)(z)}{(\chi * f_1)(z)} = p(z) \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{(\phi * f_2)(z)}{(\varphi * f_2)(z)} + \alpha \frac{(\psi * f_2)(z)}{(\chi * f_2)(z)} = p(z^2) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

The functions exist since we can obtain their coefficients from (12). In particular, the coefficients a_0 and a_1 are given by (13) and (14). It is clear that $f_1, f_2 \in \Sigma_\alpha(\phi, \varphi; \psi, \chi; p)$ and the functions f_1, f_2 realize the equality in the estimation (6). Therefore, the obtained results are sharp. \square

Theorem 2 Assume that $\phi_0\phi_1 \neq 0$. If a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(\phi, \varphi; p, q)$ is of the form (1), then

$$|a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \frac{1}{2|\phi_1|} [Z + \max\{0, U_\mu\} + \max\{0, V_\mu\}], \tag{15}$$

$$|a_1| \leq \frac{1}{2|\phi_1|} [Z + \max\{0, U_0\} + \max\{0, V_0\}], \tag{16}$$

$$|a_0| = \frac{p_1 + q_1|\varphi_0|}{|\phi_0|}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$U_\mu = \left| \varphi_1(q_2 + q_1^2) + 2\mu \frac{\varphi_0^2 \phi_1 q_1^2}{\phi_0} \right| + W_\mu - |\varphi_1||q_1|, \quad Z = 2|p_1| + |\varphi_1||q_1|, \tag{18}$$

$$V_\mu = 2 \left| p_2 - \mu \frac{\phi_1 p_1^2}{\phi_0^2} \right| + W_\mu - 2|p_1|, \quad W_\mu = |\varphi_0||p_1||q_1| \left| 1 - 2\mu \frac{\phi_1}{\phi_0^2} \right|. \tag{19}$$

The results (15) and (16) are sharp for $U_\mu V_\mu \geq 0$ and $U_0 V_0 \geq 0$, respectively.

Proof Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\phi, \varphi; p, q)$. Then there exists a function $g \in \Sigma^*(q)$ and functions $\omega, \eta \in \Omega$,

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n z^n, \quad \eta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

such that

$$\frac{\phi * f}{\varphi * g} = p \circ \omega, \quad \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = -(q \circ \eta)(z) \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{20}$$

Thus, by (10) we have

$$b_0 = -q_1\eta_1, \quad 2b_1 = q_1\eta_2 - (q_2 - q_1^2)\eta_1^2, \quad a_0 = \frac{\omega_1 p_1 - \varphi_0 \eta_1 q_1}{\phi_0}, \tag{21}$$

$$2\varphi_1 a_1 = -\varphi_1 \{q_1\eta_2 + (q_2 - q_1^2)\eta_1^2\} - 2\varphi_0 p_1 q_1 \omega_1 \eta_1 + 2p_1 \omega_2 + 2p_2 \omega_1^2; \tag{22}$$

by Lemma 1 we obtain the sharp estimation (17). Let μ be a complex number. Then, by (21) and (22), we have

$$2\varphi_1 |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq |\varphi_1 q_1| |\eta_2| + 2|p_1| |\omega_2| + \left| \varphi_1 (q_2 - q_1^2) + 2\mu \frac{\varphi_0^2 \phi_1 q_1^2}{\phi_0^2} \right| |\eta_1|^2 + 2 \left| p_2 - \mu \frac{\phi_1 p_1^2}{\phi_0^2} \right| |\omega_1|^2 + 2|\varphi_0 p_1 q_1| \left| 1 - 2\mu \frac{\phi_1}{\phi_0^2} \right| |\omega_1| |\eta_1|.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1 we get

$$2|\phi_1| |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq (U - W) |\eta_1|^2 + (V - W) |\omega_1|^2 + 2W |\eta_1| |\omega_1| + Z \tag{23}$$

or equivalently

$$2|\phi_1| |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq U |\eta_1|^2 + V |\omega_1|^2 - W (|\eta_1| - |\omega_1|)^2 + Z, \tag{24}$$

where $U = U_\mu, V = V_\mu, W = W_\mu, Z$ are defined by (18) and (19). Thus, we obtain

$$2|\phi_1| |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq U |\eta_1|^2 + V |\omega_1|^2 + Z, \tag{25}$$

and, in consequence, by Lemma 1 we have (15). It is easy to verify that the equality in (25) is attained by choosing $\omega_1 = \eta_1 = 1, \omega_2 = \eta_2 = 0$ if $U \geq 0, V \geq 0$ or $\omega_1 = \eta_1 = 0, \omega_2 = \eta_2 = 1$ if $U \leq 0, V \leq 0$. Therefore, we consider functions $f_1, f_2 \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\frac{(\phi * f_1)(z)}{(\varphi * g)(z)} = p(z), \quad \frac{(\phi * f_2)(z)}{(\varphi * g)(z)} = p(z^2) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Then the functions belong to the class $\mathcal{C}(\phi, \varphi; p)$ and they realize the equality in the estimation (15) for $UV \geq 0$. Putting $\mu = 0$ in (15) we get the sharp estimation (16). \square

The following theorem gives the complete sharp estimation of the Fekete–Szegő functional in the class $\mathcal{C}(\phi, \varphi; p, q)$.

Theorem 3 Assume that $\phi_0 \phi_1 \neq 0$. If a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(\phi, \varphi; p, q)$ is of the form (1), then

$$|a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2|\phi_1|} (U + V + Z) & \text{if } \begin{matrix} (-U \leq V \leq W, U \leq W) \\ \text{or } (U \geq 0, V \geq 0), \end{matrix} \\ \frac{Z}{2|\phi_1|} & \text{if } U \leq W, V \leq W, U + V \leq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2|\phi_1|} \left(Z + V - W + \frac{W^2}{W-U} \right) & \text{if } U < 0, V \geq W, \\ \frac{1}{2|\phi_1|} \left(Z + U - W + \frac{W^2}{W-V} \right) & \text{if } V < 0, U \geq W, \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

where $U = U_\mu, V = V_\mu, W = W_\mu, Z$ are given by (18) and (19). The result is sharp.

Proof If $UV \geq 0$, then the result follows from Theorem 2. Let $U \geq W, V < 0$ and let

$$h(x) := -(W - V)x^2 + 2Wx + (U - W) + Z.$$

Then, by (23) and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$2|\phi_1| |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq h(|\omega_1|).$$

An easy computation shows that the function h has a maximum in $[0, 1]$ for $x = \frac{W}{W-V} \leq 1$. Thus, we get (26) for $U \geq W$ and $V < 0$. If we define the functions $\eta(z) = z$, $\omega(z) = z \frac{z+a}{1+az}$, for $a = \frac{W}{W-V}$, then $\omega_1 = \frac{W}{W-V}$, $\eta_1 = 1$, and $\omega_2 = 1 - |a|^2$, $\eta_2 = 0$. Therefore, we have the equality in (23) and, in consequence, the result is sharp.

Now, let $U < 0$, $W \leq V$ and $\tilde{h}(x) := -(W - U)x^2 + 2Wx + (V - W) + Z$. Then, by (23) and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$2|\phi_1| |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \tilde{h}(|\eta_1|).$$

An easy computation shows that the function \tilde{h} has a maximum in $[0, 1]$ for $x = \frac{W}{W-U} \leq 1$. Thus, we get (26) for $U < 0$ and $W \leq V$. If we define the functions $\omega(z) = z$, $\eta(z) = z \frac{z+a}{1+az}$, for $a = \frac{W}{W-U}$, i.e. $\omega_1 = 1$, $\eta_1 = \frac{W}{W-U}$, and $\omega_2 = 0$, $\eta_2 = 1 - |a|^2$, then we obtain the equality in (23) and the result is sharp.

In the last step, let us assume $(0 \leq U \leq W, V \leq 0)$ or $(0 \leq V \leq W, U \leq 0)$ and let us define

$$G(x, y) = -(W - U)x^2 - (W - V)y^2 + 2Wxy + Z.$$

Then, by (23), we have

$$2|\phi_1| |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq G(|\omega_1|, |\eta_1|). \tag{27}$$

It is clear that G is the continuous function on $B := [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Therefore, if we denote by P the set of critical points of the function G in B , then by (27) we get

$$2|\phi_1| |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \max G(B) = \max G(\partial B \cup P). \tag{28}$$

An easy computation shows that

$$P \setminus \partial B = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } W^2 \neq (W - U)(W - V) \\ & \text{or } U = W, \\ \{(x, y) \in \text{int}B : x = \frac{W}{W-U}y\} & \text{if } W^2 = (W - U)(W - V) \\ & \text{and } U \neq W. \end{cases}$$

If we assume $W^2 = (U - W)(V - W) \neq 0$, then we have

$$G\left(\frac{W}{W-U}y, y\right) = \frac{W^2 - (W - U)(W - V)}{U - W}y^2 + Z = Z \quad (y \in [0, 1]).$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, 0) &= -(W - U)x^2 + Z \leq Z \quad (x, y \in [0, 1]), \\ G(0, y) &= -(W - V)y^2 + Z \leq Z \quad (x, y \in [0, 1]), \\ G(x, 1) &= -(W - U)x^2 + 2Cx + V - W + Z \leq G(1, 1) = U + V + Z \quad (x \in [0, 1]), \\ G(1, y) &= -(W - V)y^2 + 2Cy + U - W + Z \leq G(1, 1) = U + V + Z \quad (y \in [0, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\max G(\partial B \cup P) = \begin{cases} U + V + Z & \text{if } U + V \geq 0 \\ Z & \text{if } U + V \leq 0 \end{cases},$$

and by (28) we obtain (26) for $(0 \leq U \leq W, V \leq 0)$ or $(0 \leq V \leq W, U \leq 0)$. Moreover, if we put $\omega_1 = \eta_1 = 1$, $\omega_2 = \eta_2 = 0$ for $U + V \geq 0$ or $\omega_1 = \eta_1 = 0$, $\omega_2 = \eta_2 = 1$ for $U + V \leq 0$, then we get the equality in (27). Therefore, the result is sharp and the proof is completed. \square

Remark 1 *By choosing parameters in the defined classes of functions we can obtain several additional results. Some of these results were obtained in earlier works; see, for example, [8, 9].*

Acknowledgment

The work of the first and the third author are supported by the Centre for Innovation and Transfer of Natural Sciences and Engineering Knowledge, University of Rzeszów.

References

- [1] Darus M, Shanmugam TN, Sivasubramanian S. Fekete-Szegő inequality for a certain class of analytic functions. *Mathematica* 2007; 49: 29-34.
- [2] Dziok J. A general solution of the Fekete-Szegő problem. *Bound Value Probl* 2013; 2013: 98.
- [3] Fekete M, Szegő G. Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen. *J London Math Soc* 1933; 8: 85-89 (in German).
- [4] Keogh FR, Merkes EP. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *P Ame Math Soc* 1969; 20: 8-12.
- [5] London RR. Fekete-Szegő inequalities for close-to-convex functions. *P Am Math Soc* 1993; 117: 947-950.
- [6] Ma W, Minda D. Coefficient inequalities for strongly close-to-convex functions. *J Math Anal Appl* 1997; 205: 537-553.
- [7] Orhan H, Deniz E, Răducanu D. The Fekete-Szegő problem for subclasses of analytic functions defined by a differential operator related to conic domains. *Comput Math Appl* 2010; 59: 283-295.
- [8] Răducanu D, Orhan H, Deniz E. Inclusion relationship and Fekete-Szegő like inequalities for a subclass of meromorphic functions. *J Math Appl* 2011; 34: 87-95.
- [9] Reddy TR, Sharma RB. Fekete-Szegő inequality for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions. *Int Math Forum*. 2010; 5: 3399-3412.