

1-1-2018

Some properties of $\$e\$$ -symmetric rings

F M

JUNCHAO WEI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>




Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

M, F and WEI, JUNCHAO (2018) "Some properties of $\$e\$$ -symmetric rings," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 42: No. 5, Article 23. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1709-10>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol42/iss5/23>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Some properties of e -symmetric rings

Fanyun MENG*, Junchao WEI 

School of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, P.R. China

Received: 07.09.2017

Accepted/Published Online: 04.07.2018

Final Version: 27.09.2018

Abstract: In this paper, we first give some characterizations of e -symmetric rings. We prove that R is an e -symmetric ring if and only if $a_1a_2a_3 = 0$ implies that $a_{\sigma(1)}a_{\sigma(2)}a_{\sigma(3)}e = 0$, where σ is any transformation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. With the help of the Bott–Duffin inverse, we show that for $e \in ME_l(R)$, R is an e -symmetric ring if and only if for any $a \in R$ and $g \in E(R)$, if a has a Bott–Duffin (e, g) -inverse, then $g = eg$. Using the solution of the equation $axe = c$, we show that for $e \in ME_l(R)$, R is an e -symmetric ring if and only if for any $a, c \in R$, if the equation $axe = c$ has a solution, then $c = ec$. Next, we study the properties of e -symmetric $*$ -rings. Finally we discuss when the upper triangular matrix ring $T_2(R)$ (resp. $T_3(R, I)$) becomes an e -symmetric ring, where $e \in E(T_2(R))$ (resp. $e \in E(T_3(R, I))$).

Key words: e -Symmetric ring, $*$ -ring, left semicentral, left min-abel ring, Bott–Duffin inverse, upper triangular matrix ring

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with unity. For a ring R , $T_2(R)$ denotes the 2×2 upper triangular matrix ring over R , and $E(R)$, $U(R)$, $Z(R)$, and $N(R)$ denote the set of all idempotents, the set of all invertible elements, the center of R , and the set of all nilpotent elements of R , respectively. An element $e \in E(R)$ is called left minimal idempotent of R if Re is a minimal left ideal of R . Write $ME_l(R)$ to denote the set of all left minimal idempotents of R . An idempotent e of a ring R is called left (right) semicentral $ae = eae$ ($ea = eae$) for each $a \in R$. A ring R is called (strongly) left min-abel [10] if either $ME_l(R) = \emptyset$ or every element e in $ME_l(R)$ is (right) left semicentral.

A ring R is symmetric [5] if $abc = 0$ implies $acb = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in R$. The study of symmetric rings also can be found in [6]. Symmetric rings were generalized by Ouyang and Chen to weak symmetric rings in [8]; that is, a ring R is said to be weak symmetric if for all $a, b, c \in R$, if $abc \in N(R)$, then $acb \in N(R)$. Following [3], a ring R is called central symmetric if for any $a, b, c \in R$, $abc = 0$ implies $bac \in Z(R)$. Central symmetric rings are another form of generalization of symmetric rings. In [11], Wei introduced generalized weakly symmetric rings, which further generalized the concept of symmetric rings. In [7], a ring R is called (strongly) e -symmetric if for any $a, b, c \in R$, $abc = 0$ implies $(aceb = 0) acbe = 0$, where $e \in E(R)$. It is shown that a ring R is e -symmetric if and only if e is left semicentral and eRe is symmetric [7, Theorem 2.2]. In [7, Theorem 3.1], it was shown that a ring R is strongly e -symmetric if and only if e is central and eRe

*Correspondence: jweiyz@126.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 16A30, 16A50, 16E50, 16D30

This work was supported by the Foundation of Natural Science of China (11471282), the Natural Science Fund for Colleges and Universities in Jiangsu Province (11KJB110019, 15KJB110023), and the Foundation of Yangzhou University (2017CXJ002).

is symmetric. Also, using e -symmetric rings, we gave some new characterizations of left min-abel rings in [10] and [11].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first discuss many properties of e -symmetric rings and strongly e -symmetric rings. Then, with the help of e -symmetric rings, we give some characterizations of left min-abel rings. In Section 3, we study the e -symmetricity of $*$ -rings. We show that for a $*$ -ring R , if R is e -symmetric and $1 + (e^* - e)^*(e^* - e) \in U(R)$, then R is strongly e -symmetric and e is a projection. In Section 4, we discuss when the upper triangular matrix ring $T_2(R)$ (resp. $T_3(R, I)$) becomes an e -symmetric ring, where $e \in E(T_2(R))$ (resp. $e \in E(T_3(R, I))$).

2. Some characterizations of e -symmetric rings

Proposition 2.1 *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) R is an e -symmetric ring;
- (2) $abc = 0$ implies $bace = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since R is an e -symmetric ring, by [7, Theorem 2.2], e is left semicentral. Let $a, b, c \in R$ and satisfy $abc = 0$. Then we have $1a(bc) = 0$, $1bca e = 0$; that is, $bca e = 0$. Again, the e -symmetricity of R gives that $b(ae)ce = 0$. Noting that e is left semicentral, then we get $bace = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $x \in R$. We have $xe(1 - e)e = 0$; by hypothesis, one obtains $(1 - e)xe e e = 0$, and it follows that $(1 - e)Re = 0$. Thus, e is left semicentral. By (2) we know that eRe is a symmetric ring. By [7, Theorem 2.2], R is an e -symmetric ring. □

By Proposition 2.1, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.2 *Let R be an e -symmetric ring. If $abc = 0$, then we have*

- (1) $bace = 0$; (2) $cabe = 0$; (3) $cbae = 0$.

Corollary 2.3 *R is an e -symmetric ring if and only if for any $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R$, $a_1 a_2 a_3 = 0$ implies that $a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(3)} e = 0$, where σ is any transformation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$.*

Let $e, g \in E(R)$. If $Re \cong Rg$ as left R -modules, then we say e and g are left isomorphic. Similarly, if $eR \cong gR$ as right R -modules, then we say e and g are right isomorphic.

Theorem 2.4 *Let R be an e -symmetric ring.*

- (1) *If g and e are left isomorphic, then R is a g -symmetric ring.*
- (2) *If g and e are right isomorphic, then R is a g -symmetric ring.*
- (3) *If g and e are left isomorphic, then $eR = gR$.*

Proof Since R is an e -symmetric ring, by [7, Theorem 2.2], e is left semicentral.

(1) Let $\sigma : Re \rightarrow Rg$ be the left R -module isomorphism and $g = \sigma(xe)$ where $x \in R$; then $eg = e\sigma(xe) = \sigma(xe) = g$. Let $a, b, c \in R$ and satisfy $abc = 0$. Then $acbe = 0$ (since R is an e -symmetric ring), so we have $acbg = acbeg = 0$. Thus, R is a g -symmetric ring.

(2) Let $\tau : eR \rightarrow gR$ be the right R -module isomorphism. Then there exist $x, y \in R$ such that $\tau(e) = gx$ and $\tau(e)y = g$, so we have $g = \tau(e)y = gxy$. Let $f = ygx$. Then

$$f^2 = ygygxy = yg^2x = ygx = f,$$

$$ef = eygx = \tau^{-1}(g)gx = \tau^{-1}(gx) = e,$$

$$fe = ygx e = y\tau(e)e = y\tau(e) = ygx = f,$$

and so $Re = Rf$, and e and f are left isomorphic. By (1), R is an f -symmetric ring. Then by [7, Theorem 2.2], f is left semicentral. Therefore,

$$g = g^2 = gxygxy = gfxy = fgxfy = fg.$$

Let $a, b, c \in R$ and satisfy $abc = 0$; then $acbf = 0$ (since R is an f -symmetric ring). We have $acbg = acbf g = 0$. Thus, R is a g -symmetric ring.

(3) Since g and e are left isomorphic, by (1), R is a g -symmetric ring. Hence, g is left semicentral by [7, Theorem 2.2]. Observing the proof of (1), we have $e = ge$ and $g = eg$, and this gives $eR = gR$. \square

Corollary 2.5 *Let R be a strongly e -symmetric ring.*

- (1) *If g and e are left isomorphic, then $e = g$.*
- (2) *If g and e are right isomorphic, then $e = g$.*

Proof Since R is a strongly e -symmetric ring, by [7, Theorem 3.1], e is a central element and R is e -symmetric.

(1) If g and e are left isomorphic, then $eR = gR$ by Theorem 2.4(3). Hence, $g = eg$ and $ge = e$. Noting that e is central, then $g = ge = e$.

(2) If g and e are right isomorphic, then the proof of Theorem 2.4(2) implies that $eR = gR$, and by (1), we know that $e = g$. \square

Let R be a ring and $a \in R$ and $e, f \in E(R)$. If there exists an element $y \in R$ satisfying

$$y = ey = yf, yae = e, fay = f,$$

then y is called a Bott–Duffin (e, f) -inverse of a (see [2]). If y exists, then it is unique. Denote it by $a_{BD}^{(e,f)}$.

Proposition 2.6 *Let $a \in R$ and $e, f \in E(R)$. If R is e -symmetric and a has a Bott–Duffin (e, f) -inverse y , then:*

- (1) *R is f -symmetric and $eR = fR$;*
- (2) *$y_{BD}^{(e,f)} = eaf$.*

Proof (1) Since a has a Bott–Duffin (e, f) -inverse y , $y = ey = yf$, $yae = e$, and $fay = f$. Noting that R is e -symmetric, then e is left semicentral by [7, Theorem 2.2], so $f = fay = fa(ey) = e(faey) = ef$, and this implies that R is f -symmetric. Hence, f is left semicentral, and it follows that $e = yae = (yf)ae = (fyf)ae = f(yfae) = fe$. Therefore, $eR = fR$.

(2) Noting that e and f are left semicentral, then $eafye = eafeye = eaeye = eaye = (fe)aye = faye = fe = e$ and $fyeaf = feyeaf = eyeaf = yeaaf = yefaf = yfaf = yaf = yaeaf = ef = f$. Then $y_{BD}^{(e,f)} = eaf$. \square

Proposition 2.7 *Let R be an e -symmetric ring and $f \in E(R)$. If R satisfies one of the following conditions, then R is f -symmetric:*

- (1) $eR + (1 - f)R = R$;
- (2) $ea + 1 - f \in U(R)$ for some $a \in R$;
- (3) $Re + R(1 - f) = R$;
- (4) $ae + 1 - f \in U(R)$ for some $a \in R$.

Proof (1) Since R is e -symmetric, e is left semicentral by [7, Theorem 2.2]. Noting that $eR + (1 - f)R = R$, then $fR = feR = efeR \subseteq eR$, and it follows that $f = ef$. The proof of Theorem 2.4(1) implies that R is f -symmetric.

(2) Set $ea + 1 - f = u \in U(R)$. Then $fu = fea$ and one obtains $f = feau^{-1}$. Noting that e is left semicentral, then $f = ef$, and this gives that R is f -symmetric.

(3) If $Re + R(1 - f) = R$, then $Rf = Ref$. Set $f = cef$ for some $c \in R$. Then $f = ecef = ef$ because e is left semicentral. Therefore, R is f -symmetric.

(4) Set $ae + 1 - f = v \in U(R)$. Then $fv = fae$ and one obtains $f = faev^{-1}$. Noting that e is left semicentral, then $f = ef$, so R is f -symmetric. □

Proposition 2.8 *A ring R is a strongly left min-abel ring if and only if for $e \in ME_l(R)$ and $a, b \in R$, $e = eab$ implies that $e = eba$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) Assume that R is strongly left min-abel and $e = eab$. Then e is central, and it follows that $e = ee = eabeab = ea(eba)b$. This implies that $eba \neq 0$, and one has $Re = Reba$. Set $e = ceba$ for some $c \in R$. Noting that $e = eab$, then $be = beab = eab$. This gives $eba = bea = ebaba$, so $e = ceba = (ceba)ba = eba$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $e \in ME_l(R)$ and $x \in R$. Set $g = e + ex(1 - e)$. Then $eg = g$, $ge = e$, and $g^2 = g \in ME_l(R)$. Since $e = ege$, by hypothesis, $e = eeg = eg = g$. Thus, $ex(1 - e) = g - e = 0$ for each $x \in R$, and this gives that e is right semicentral. By [7, Lemma 3.3], e is central. Hence, R is strongly left min-abel. □

Theorem 2.9 *Let $e \in ME_l(R)$. Then R is an e -symmetric ring if and only if for any $a \in R$ and $g \in E(R)$, if a has a Bott–Duffin (e, g) -inverse, then $g = eg$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let R be an e -symmetric ring. Then e is left semicentral. Assume that $a \in R$ and $g \in E(R)$ and a has a Bott–Duffin (e, g) -inverse. Letting $a_{BD}^{(e,g)} = y$, then $y = ey = yg$ and

$$g = gay = gaey = egaey = eg.$$

(\Leftarrow) First we prove that e is left semicentral. For any $x \in R$, set $g = e + (1 - e)xe$; then $eg = e, ge = g, g^2 = g$. Obviously, e is a Bott–Duffin (e, g) -invertible element and $e_{BD}^{(e,g)} = e$. By hypothesis $g = eg = e$, and then $(1 - e)xe$ for any $x \in R$. Thus, e is left semicentral.

Next, we prove that eRe is a symmetric ring. Any $a, b, c \in eRe$ satisfy $abc = 0$. Assuming that $acb \neq 0$, then $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, and so we have $Ra = Re = Rb$. Let $e = ra = sb$ for some $r, s \in R$; then $acb = aecb = ascb = asecb = asrabc = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, eRe is a symmetric ring, and hence R is an e -symmetric ring by [7, Theorem 2.2]. □

Proposition 2.10 *Let $e \in ME_l(R)$. Then R is an e -symmetric ring if and only if for any $a \in R$ and $g \in E(R)$, if a has a Bott–Duffin (e, g) -inverse, then $e = ge$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) From Theorem 2.9, we know $g = eg$. Since $e \in ME_l(R)$, $g \in ME_l(R)$, and R is a g -symmetric ring, g is left semicentral. Thus, $e = yae = ygae = gygae = ge$, where $y = a_{BD}^{(e,g)}$.

(\Leftarrow) The proof is similar to Theorem 2.9. □

Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. If there exists $b \in R$ such that $a = aba$, then a is called a regular element of R and b is called an inner inverse. Clearly, if b exists, it is not unique. We denote by $a\{1\}$ the set of all inner inverses of a regular element a . Let $b \in a\{1\}$. Then $ab, ba \in E(R)$.

Proposition 2.11 *Let a be a regular element of R and $b \in a\{1\}$. If R is ab -symmetric, then R is ba -symmetric.*

Proof Since $b \in a\{1\}$, we have $a = aba$. Let $e = ab$ and $g = ba$; then $e, g \in E(R)$ and $ea = a = ag$. Denote $\sigma : Re \rightarrow Ra$ by $\sigma(re) = rea$ for any $r \in R$. It is easy to prove that σ is a left R -module isomorphism. Since $Ra = Rg$, we have $Re \cong Rg$ as left R -modules. By hypothesis, R is an e -symmetric ring, and thus R is a g -symmetric ring by Theorem 2.4. That is, R is a ba -symmetric ring. □

Lemma 2.12 *Let $a, b \in R$ and $e \in ME_l(R)$ satisfy $abe = e$. If e is left semicentral, then $e = bae$.*

Proof Since $abe = e$ and e is left semicentral, we have $e = aebe$. Then $Re = Rae$. Letting $e = cae$ for some $c \in R$, then $ce = c(abe) = caebe = ebe = be$ and $bae = beae = ceae = cae = e$. □

Lemma 2.13 *Let $e \in ME_l(R)$. If e is left semicentral, then eRe is a symmetric ring.*

Proof Let $a, b, c \in eRe$ and satisfy $abc = 0$. If $acb \neq 0$, then $Racb = Re$, so $e = dacbe$ for some $d \in R$. By Lemma 2.12, $e = bdace = cbdae$. Thus, $e = dacbe = daecbe = da(bdace)cbe = dabedacecbe = dab(cbdae)dacecbe = d(abc)bdaedacecbe = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $acb = 0$ and so eRe is a symmetric ring. □

Proposition 2.14 *Let $e \in ME_l(R)$. Then R is an e -symmetric ring if and only if for any $a \in R$ either $aRe = 0$ or the equation $axe = e$ has a solution.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) Since R is an e -symmetric ring, e is left semicentral. Let $a \in R$. If $aRe \neq 0$, then $abe \neq 0$ for some $b \in R$. Thus, $Rabe = Re$. Set $e = dabe$ for some $d \in R$. By Lemma 2.12, $e = abde$. Hence, $x = bd$ is a solution of the equation $axe = e$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $e \in ME_l(R)$. If $(1 - e)Re \neq 0$, then by hypothesis we know $(1 - e)xe = e$ has a solution. However, $(1 - e)xe = e$ does not have a solution and that is a contradiction. Thus, $(1 - e)Re = 0$, e is left semicentral. By Lemma 2.13, eRe is a symmetric ring. Hence, R is an e -symmetric ring by [7, Theorem 2.2]. □

Theorem 2.15 *Let $e \in ME_l(R)$. Then R is an e -symmetric ring if and only if for any $a, c \in R$, if the equation $axe = c$ has a solution, then $c = ec$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) Since R is an e -symmetric ring, e is left semicentral. If the equation $axe = c$ has a solution $x = b$, then $c = abe = eabe = ec$.

(\Leftarrow) For any $a \in R$, denote $h = (1 - e)ae$. If $h \neq 0$, then $Rh = Re$. Let $e = ch$ for some $c \in R$. Then $h(ch)e = hch = he = h$. Thus, the equation $hxe = h$ has a solution, and then $h = eh = e(1 - e)ae = 0$, which is a contradiction. Then $(1 - e)ae = 0$ for any $a \in R$. Hence, e is left semicentral. By Lemma 2.13, eRe is a symmetric ring. Hence, R is an e -symmetric ring. \square

3. Symmetricity of $*$ -rings

An involution $a \mapsto a^*$ in a ring R is an antiisomorphism of degree 2; that is,

$$(a^*)^* = a, (a + b)^* = a^* + b^*, (ab)^* = b^*a^*.$$

A ring R with an involution $*$ is called a $*$ -ring (see [1]).

Let R be a $*$ -ring and $e \in E(R)$. If $e^* = e$, then e is called projection.

Let R be a ring and $e \in E(R)$. R is called left e -reflexive if $aRe = 0$ implies $eRa = 0$ for any $a \in R$.

Proposition 3.1 (1) R is strongly e -symmetric if and only if R is e -symmetric and left e -reflexive.

(2) If e is a projection element of a $*$ -ring R , then R is strongly e -symmetric if and only if R is e -symmetric.

Proof (1) (\Rightarrow) Assume that $aRe = 0$. Since R is a strongly e -symmetric ring, by [7, Theorem 3.1], e is a central element. Then we get $eRa = eRae = 0$. Thus, R is left e -reflexive.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that R is e -symmetric and left e -reflexive; by [7, Theorem 2.2], e is left semicentral. Then we have $(1 - e)Re = 0$. Since R is left e -reflexive, we have $eR(1 - e) = 0$, so e is a central element. Thus, R is a strongly e -symmetric ring by [7, Theorem 3.1].

(2) Noting that a projection element e in a $*$ -ring R is left semicentral if and only if it is central, (2) holds. \square

Proposition 3.2 Let R be a $*$ -ring and $e \in E(R)$. If R is an e -symmetric ring, then:

- (1) e^*e is an idempotent element;
- (2) the following conditions are equivalent:
 - (a) R is e^*e -symmetric,
 - (b) for each $x \in R$, $e^*xe = xe^*e$,
 - (c) e^*e is central,
 - (d) $ee^*e = e^*e$.

Proof (1) Since R is an e -symmetric ring, e is left semicentral, and it follows that $(e^*e)^2 = e^*ee^*e = e^*e^*e = e^*e$.

(2) (a) \implies (c) By (1), we know that e^*e is a projection. Since R is e^*e -symmetric, by Proposition 3.1(2), R is strongly e^*e -symmetric, and by [7, Theorem 3.1], e^*e is central.

(c) \implies (b) For each $x \in R$, by (c), we have $e^*ex = xe^*e$, and this gives $xe^*e = e^*exe$. Noting that e is left semicentral, $xe^*e = e^*xe$.

(b) \implies (d) Choose $x = e$; we are done.

(d) \implies (a) Let $a, b, c \in R$ and satisfy $abc = 0$. Since R is e -symmetric, $acbe = 0$, and this leads to $acbe^*e = acbee^*e = 0$. Hence, R is e^*e -symmetric. \square

Proposition 3.3 *Let R be a $*$ -ring. If R is e -symmetric, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $ee^* \in E(R)$;
- (2) $xee^* = e^*xe$ for each $x \in R$;
- (3) $ee^* = e^*e$;
- (4) ee^* is central.

Proof (1) \implies (2) Since R is an e -symmetric ring and $ee^* \in E(R)$, R is ee^* -symmetric, and it follows that ee^* is left semicentral. Hence, $xee^* = ee^*xee^*$ for each $x \in R$. Noting that e is left semicentral and e^* is right semicentral, then $xee^* = e^*xe$.

(2) \implies (3) Choose $x = e$. Then, by (2), we have $ee^* = e^*e$.

(3) \implies (4) Since R is e -symmetric and $ee^* = e^*e$, R is e^*e -symmetric, and by Proposition 3.2(2), e^*e is central. Hence, ee^* is central.

(4) \implies (1) Trivial. □

Theorem 3.4 *Let R be a $*$ -ring and an e -symmetric ring. If $1 + (e^* - e)^*(e^* - e) \in U(R)$, then R is a strongly e -symmetric ring and e is a projection.*

Proof Set $u = 1 + (e^* - e)^*(e^* - e)$ and $v = u^{-1}$. Then $u^* = u$, $eu = ee^*e = ue$, and it follows that $ev = ve$ and $v^* = v$, so $e^*v = ve^*$. Choose $f = ee^*v = vee^*$. Then $f^2 = (vee^*)(ee^*v) = v(ee^*e)e^*v = vee^*v = evue^*v = ee^*v = f$ and $f^* = f$, and this gives that f is a projection. Since R is e -symmetric and $f = ef$, R is f -symmetric. By Proposition 3.1(2), R is strongly f -symmetric, so f is central and it follows that $f = ef = fe = vee^*e = vee = e$. Hence, e is projection and R is a strongly e -symmetric ring. □

Let R be a $*$ -ring and $e \in E(R)$. $p \in R$ is said to be a range projection [4] if p is a projection satisfying $pe = e$ and $ep = p$. The range projection of e is denoted by e^\perp .

Proposition 3.5 *Let R be a $*$ -ring. If R is e -symmetric, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $1 + (e^* - e)^*(e^* - e) \in U(R)$;
- (2) $e + e^* - 1 \in U(R)$;
- (3) e^\perp exists.

Proof (1) \implies (2) By Theorem 3.4, e is a projection. Hence, $e + e^* - 1 = 2e - 1 \in U(R)$.

(2) \implies (3) Follows from [4, Theorem 2.1].

(3) \implies (1) Let $p = e^\perp$. Then $ep = p$. Noting that R is e -symmetric, then R is p -symmetric, and by Proposition 3.1, p is central. It follows that $e = pe = ep = p$. Hence, $1 + (e^* - e)^*(e^* - e) = 1 \in U(R)$. □

An element a^\dagger in a $*$ -ring R is called the Moore–Pensor inverse (or MP-inverse) of a [9] if

$$aa^\dagger a = a, a^\dagger aa^\dagger = a^\dagger, aa^\dagger = (aa^\dagger)^*, a^\dagger a = (a^\dagger a)^*.$$

In this case, we call a MP-invertible in R . The set of all MP-invertible elements of R is denoted by R^\dagger .

Corollary 3.6 *Let R be a $*$ -ring and an e -symmetric ring. Then $e \in R^\dagger$ if and only if e is a projection.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) Assume that $e \in R^\dagger$. By [4, Theorem 3.1], $e + e^* - 1 \in U(R)$. By Proposition 3.5, $1 + (e^* - e)^*(e^* - e) \in U(R)$, and by Theorem 3.4, e is a projection.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that e is a projection. Then $e + e^* - 1 = 2e - 1 \in U(R)$. By [4, Theorem 3.1], $e \in R^\dagger$. \square

Theorem 3.7 *Let R be a $*$ -ring and $a \in R^\dagger$. If R is aa^\dagger -symmetric, then a is EP.*

Proof Note that R is aa^\dagger -symmetric and aa^\dagger is projection. Hence, by Proposition 3.1(2), R is strongly aa^\dagger -symmetric, and it follows that aa^\dagger is central from [7, Theorem 3.1]. This gives that $a = (aa^\dagger)a = a(aa^\dagger) = a^2a^\dagger$. Noting that $Ra = R(a^\dagger a)$ and $Raa^\dagger \cong Ra$ as left R -module, then $Raa^\dagger \cong Ra^\dagger a$ as left R -module. Since R is aa^\dagger -symmetric, it follows that R is $a^\dagger a$ -symmetric from Theorem 2.4. Noting that $a^\dagger a$ is projection, then $a^\dagger a$ is central, which implies that $a = a(a^\dagger a) = a^\dagger a^2$. Hence, $a \in a^2R \cap Ra^2$, and one obtains that $a \in R^\#$ and so $a^\#$ exists. Now we have $a^\#a = a^\#a^2a^\dagger = aa^\dagger$; hence, a is EP. \square

4. Upper triangular matrix ring

Proposition 4.1 *Let R be a ring and $e \in E(R), r \in R$. Then we have the following results:*

(1) $T_2(R)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring if and only if R is a symmetric ring.

(2) $T_2(R)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring if and only if R is an e -symmetric ring.

(3) $T_2(R)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} e & e \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring if and only if R is an e -symmetric ring.

Proof (1) (\Rightarrow) Let $a, b, c \in R$ and satisfy $abc = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $T_2(R)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

this is $\begin{pmatrix} acb & acbr \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we get $acb = 0$, and so R is a symmetric ring.

(\Leftarrow) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}, C = \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \in T_2(R)$, and $ABC = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; this is $ABC = \begin{pmatrix} a_1a_2a_3 & * \\ 0 & c_1c_2c_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and then we get $a_1a_2a_3 = c_1c_2c_3 = 0$. Since R is a symmetric ring, we have $a_1a_3a_2 = c_1c_3c_2 = 0$, so $ACB \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1a_3a_2 & a_1a_3a_2r \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, $T_2(R)$

is a $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring.

Similarly, we can prove (2) and (3). □

Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R ,

$$T_3(R, I) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & a_4 & a_5 \\ 0 & 0 & a_6 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 \in R \text{ and } a_2 \in I \right\}.$$

Then, by the usual matrix addition and multiplication, $T_3(R, I)$ is a ring.

Proposition 4.2 *Let R be a ring, I an ideal of R , and $e \in E(R)$. Then $T_3(R, I)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring if and only if R is an e -symmetric ring and $Ie = 0$.*

Proof Let $a \in I$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$, and $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$. Then $ABC = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $T_3(R, I)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring, we have $ACB \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ae & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, $ae = 0$, and so $Ie = 0$.

Let $x, y, z \in R$ and satisfy $xyz = 0$. Choose $A = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$, and $C = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$. Then $ABC = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $T_3(R, I)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring, we have $ACB \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xzye & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, $xzye = 0$, and R is an e -symmetric ring.

Conversely, let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & a_4 & a_5 \\ 0 & 0 & a_6 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 0 & b_4 & b_5 \\ 0 & 0 & b_6 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$, $C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ 0 & c_4 & c_5 \\ 0 & 0 & c_6 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, I)$, and $ABC = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1b_1c_1 & * & * \\ 0 & a_4b_4c_4 & * \\ 0 & 0 & a_6b_6c_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then

$$a_1b_1c_1 = a_4b_4c_4 = a_6b_6c_6 = 0.$$

Since R is an e -symmetric ring, we get that $a_1c_1b_1e = a_4c_4b_4e = a_6c_6b_6e = 0$. Since $a_2, b_2, c_2 \in I$, $a_1c_1b_2 + a_1c_2b_4 + a_2c_4b_4 \in I$, by hypothesis $(a_1c_1b_2 + a_1c_2b_4 + a_2c_4b_4)e = 0$. Hence,

$$ACB \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1c_1b_1e & (a_1c_1b_2 + a_1c_2b_4 + a_2c_4b_4)e & 0 \\ 0 & a_4c_4b_4e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $T_3(R, I)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring. □

The following corollary follows from Proposition 4.2.

Corollary 4.3 $T_3(R, I)$ is a $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring if and only if R is a symmetric ring and $I = 0$.

Example 4.4 Let R be a symmetric ring and $I = 0$. Take $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, 0)$, $B =$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, 0)$, and $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_3(R, 0)$. Then $ABC = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, but $ACB \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This shows that $T_3(R, 0)$ is not a symmetric ring. Similarly, we can prove that for an e -

symmetric ring R , $T_3(R, 0)$ need not be a $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$ -symmetric ring.

Let R be a ring,

$$WV_3(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & c \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in R \right\},$$

$$WT_3(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in R \right\}.$$

Then by the usual matrix addition and multiplication, $WV_3(R)$ and $WT_3(R)$ are rings. Obviously, $WV_3(R)$ and $WT_3(R)$ are subrings of $T_3(R, I)$. Similarly, we can prove that Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 also hold for $WV_3(R)$ and $WT_3(R)$.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] Benitez J. Moore–Penrose inverses and commuting elements of C^* -algebras. J Math Anal Appl 2008; 345: 766-770.
- [2] Drazin MP. A class of outer generalized inverses. Linear Algebra Appl 2012; 436: 1909-1923.
- [3] Kafkas G, Ungor B, Halicioglu S, Harmanci A. Generalized symmetric rings. Algebra Discrete Math 2011; 12: 78-84.
- [4] Koliha JJ, Rakocević V. Range projections and the Moore-Penrose inverse in rings with involution. Linear Multil Algebra 2007; 55: 103-112.
- [5] Lambek J. On the representation of modules by sheaves of factor modules. Canad Math Bull 1971; 14: 359-368.
- [6] Marks G. Reversible and symmetric rings. J Pure Appl Algebra 2002; 174: 311-318.
- [7] Meng FY, Wei JC. e-Symmetric rings. Commun Contemp Math 2018; 20: 1750039.
- [8] Ouyang LQ, Chen HY. On weak symmetric rings. Comm Algebra 2010; 38: 697-713.
- [9] Penrose R. A generalized inverse for matrices. Proc Cambridge Philos Soc 1955; 51: 406-413.
- [10] Wei JC. Certain rings whose simple singular modules are *nil*-injective. Turk J Math 2008; 32: 393-408.
- [11] Wei JC. Generalized weakly symmetric rings. J Pure Appl Algebra 2014; 218: 1594-1603.