

1-1-2004

## Geology and Structural Evolution of the Tokat Massif (Eastern Pontides, Turkey)

ALİ YILMAZ

HÜSEYİN YILMAZ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/earth>



Part of the [Earth Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

YILMAZ, ALİ and YILMAZ, HÜSEYİN (2004) "Geology and Structural Evolution of the Tokat Massif (Eastern Pontides, Turkey)," *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences*: Vol. 13: No. 2, Article 8. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/earth/vol13/iss2/8>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## Geology and Structural Evolution of the Tokat Massif (Eastern Pontides, Turkey)

ALİ YILMAZ<sup>1</sup> & HÜSEYİN YILMAZ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi, Mühendislik Fakültesi, Çevre Mühendisliği Bölümü, TR-58140 Sivas - Turkey  
(e-mail: ayilmaz@cumhuriyet.edu.tr)

<sup>2</sup> Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi, Mühendislik Fakültesi, Jeofizik Mühendisliği Bölümü, TR-58140 Sivas - Turkey  
(e-mail: yilmazh@cumhuriyet.edu.tr)

**Abstract:** The Tokat Massif consists of a pre-Jurassic metamorphic complex that crops out widely between Amasya and Reşadiye in the western part of eastern Pontides, and which can be correlated with the Karakaya Complex of the western Pontides. This complex is named the Tokat Group, and is divided into two main units, namely, the Turhal Metamorphics and Devecidağ Mélange. The Turhal Metamorphics form a volcano-sedimentary sequence in the northern part of the Tokat Massif. The lower level of the formation is made up of gneiss, amphibolite and mica schists, whereas the upper part is represented by an alternation of mica schist, phyllite, metaclastic rocks, metabasite and marble, which probably reflects an arc association. The Devecidağ Mélange is characterised by a metavolcano-sedimentary olistostrome, which forms the southern part of the massif. This unit is quite heterogeneous and reflects, in places, a subduction mélange and/or a fore-arc sequence. The Devecidağ Mélange may have formed in a setting between a subduction zone and a fore-arc. The units of the Tokat Massif can be traced along the eastern Pontides and also along the North Anatolian Ophiolitic Belt. These units have been accreted to the mélange prism as tectonic slices along the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt before the Campanian. Some of Late Cretaceous ophiolitic mélange slices also crop out in the Tokat Group as E–W-trending tectonic slices. The ophiolites and ophiolitic mélange are believed to have been emplaced both to the north and to the south during the Late Cretaceous. The north-vergent thrusts have been later realigned into south-dipping thrusts during a neotectonic phase.

**Key Words:** Tokat Group, Karakaya Complex, structural evolution, eastern Pontides

### Tokat Masifi'nin Jeolojisi ve Yapısal Evrimi (Doğu Pontidler, Türkiye)

**Özet:** Tokat Masifi, doğu Pontidler'in batı kesimini temsil eden ve Amasya ile Reşadiye arasında geniş bir yayılım sunan Liyas öncesi yaşta metamorfik bir karmaşık ile temsil edilir ve batı Pontidler'deki Karakaya Karmaşığı ile korele edilebilir. Bu kompleks, Tokat yöresinde iki temel birime ayrılabilir. Bunlar, Turhal Metamorfikleri ve Devecidağı Karışığı'dır. Turhal Metamorfikleri, masifin kuzeyini oluşturan metavolcano-tortul bir diziyi karakterize eder. Alt kesimi, gnays, amfibolit ve mika şistlerle, üst kesimi ise mika şist, fillit, metakırıntılı, metabazit ve mermerin ardaşımından oluşan bu birim ağırlıklı olarak bir yay istifinin özelliklerini yansıtır. Devecidağı Karışığı ise masifin güneyini oluşturan ve yer yer olistostromal özellikte bloklu metavolcano-tortul istifi karakterize eder. Bu birim, kimi zaman yitim karışığı, kimi zaman yay-önü istifinin özelliklerini yansıtan ve ağırlıklı olarak yitim zonu ile yay-önü arasında gelişen heterojen bir kayatürü topluluğu ile temsil edilir. Tokat Masifi'ni oluşturan metamorfik birimler, doğu Pontidler'in diğer kesimlerinde ve Kuzey Anadolu Ofiyolit Kuşağı boyunca da izlenmektedir. Bu birimler, daha güneydeki Kuzey Anadolu Ofiyolit Kuşağı'na ait ofiyolitli melanj prizmasına geç Kampaniyen öncesinde tektonik dilimler halinde eklenmişlerdir. Geç Kretase yaşlı olan ofiyolitli melanj prizmasına ait bazı dilimler de, Tokat Masifi arasında yaklaşık doğu–batı uzanımlı olarak yer almaktadır. Dolayısıyla, Tokat Masifi birimleri arasında yer alan ofiyolitler ve ofiyolitli melanjlar, ilksel yerleşim yerlerinde olmadığından bunların konumu gözetilerek kenet kuşakları çizilemez. Kuzey Anadolu Ofiyolit Kuşağı'nı oluşturan ofiyolitli melanj prizması başlangıçta hem kuzeye hem de güneye doğru yayılarak büyümüş ve kuzeydeki yani masif tarafında yer alan melanj dilimleri daha sonraki sıkışmanın güdümünde yükselip aşınmış ve kalıntı yüzeylemeler neotektonik süreçte retro-saryajlarla terslenmiştir.

**Anahtar Sözcükler:** Tokat Grubu, Karakaya Kompleksi, yapısal evrim, doğu Pontidler

## Introduction

The E-W-trending Pontide orogenic belt in northern Turkey, bounded to the south by the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt (Figure 1, Ketin 1966), is commonly divided into the western, central and eastern Pontides (Y. Yılmaz *et al.* 1997a, b). In this classification, the Tokat Massif lies in the eastern Pontides. Parts of the Tokat Massif have been studied by various authors (Alp 1972; Öztürk 1979; Özcan *et al.* 1980; Bektaş *et al.* 1984; Özcan & Aksay 1996; Seymen 1991, 1993; A. Yılmaz 1980, 1981a, b, 1982a, b, 1985; Okay 1983, 1996; Okay & Şahintürk 1997). These studies have demonstrated that the Tokat Massif consists of heterogeneous pre-Jurassic rock associations. The pre-Jurassic rock association in the Tokat Massif has been subdivided into a lower metamorphic unit and an upper blocky unit (Özcan *et al.* 1980; A. Yılmaz 1980, 1982a, b). The lower unit, consisting of metaclastic and metabasic rocks, phyllite and marble is named the Turhal Metamorphics, and the overlying metavolcaniclastic unit with exotic blocks of the Devecidağ Complex; these stratigraphic names have been confirmed by the Stratigraphy Committee of Turkey (TSK 1987).

It has also been suggested that, at least, the Devecidağ Complex is the equivalent of the Karakaya Complex of the western Pontides (Bingöl *et al.* 1975), and that it represents a subduction-accretion complex (Tekeli 1981). The Tokat Massif as a whole is placed into the Sakarya Zone of the Pontides (Okay 1989; Okay *et al.* 1991; Okay & Şahintürk 1997). Y. Yılmaz *et al.* (1997a, b), who have studied the whole of the Tokat Massif, have renamed the rock-stratigraphic units without proper consideration of the previous stratigraphic nomenclature in the Tokat Massif. They claimed that an ophiolite outcrop, extending in an E-W direction in the centre of the Tokat Massif, represents an old suture, and that the rocks to the north and south of this "suture" belong to different former plates.

The different tectonic interpretations, outlined above, reflects the scarcity of geological data from the Tokat Massif. In this study we aim to rectify this situation by providing detailed geological data from the massif. These data include the descriptions, characteristics, distribution, contact relations, ages, depositional environments, and metamorphic grades of the rock units within the Tokat Massif. We also provide information on the little known




Figure 1. Simplified tectonic divisions of Turkey. The Pontides (shaded region) (after Ketin 1966 and general evaluations). Abbreviations: KAM–Kargı Massif, TM– Tokat Massif, AM– Akdağmadeni Massif. Inset shows the location of the map displayed in Figure 2 (after Okay 1989; Okay & Tüysüz 1999 and personal evaluations).

relation of the Tokat Massif to the ophiolitic belt to the south. This relationship is important for understanding the place of the Tokat Massif in the regional tectonic framework.

**Regional Geology**

The rocks in the Tokat Massif and in the surrounding region belong to one of the following three basic tectonic units: these are the Tokat, Yeşilirmak and Akdağmadeni groups (Figure 2; Özcan *et al.* 1980; A. Yılmaz 1980, 1982a, b). The Akdağmadeni Group, which structurally forms the lower rock association (Figure 3), is part of the Kırşehir Massif (Seymen 1985), and consists of gneiss, amphibolite, schist, quartzite and marble (Figure 3). These rocks, which are of continental crustal origin, have been regionally metamorphosed in the amphibolite facies, and later were affected by cataclastic metamorphism (Alpaslan 1993). The age of the cataclastic metamorphism, determined by the K/Ar method, falls into the Santonian–Maastrichtian (Alpaslan *et al.* 1996).

This age corresponds to the period when the ophiolitic mélangé prism was thrust bilaterally to the north and to the south. The metamorphic rocks of the Akdağmadeni Group, which bear traces of the Alpidic orogeny (Şengör 1987), are regarded as the metamorphic equivalents of the Anatolide-Tauride Platform (A. Yılmaz *et al.* 1993). It has been suggested that the upper parts of the Akdağmadeni Group represent north-facing Atlantic-type, passive continental-margin deposits (Seymen 1985).

The Yeşilirmak Group consists of ophiolitic mélangé, represented by the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt, and of fore-arc sequences, which lie unconformably over the mélangé. This belt starts in İzmir, and continues eastwards in the direction of Ankara-Erzincan and joins to the Lesser Caucasus ophiolitic belt (Zakariadze *et al.* 1983). The Upper Cretaceous ophiolitic mélangé has been thrust along the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt northward and southward over other tectonic units (A. Yılmaz 1980, 1982a, b, 1985, 1989; A. Yılmaz & Özer 1984; A. Yılmaz *et al.* 1985). In the region between Tokat and Sivas, the present structure is characterised by north-




Figure 2. Simplified geological map of the area between Tokat, Sivas and Erzincan (after A. Yılmaz 1980, 1982b; Özcan *et al.* 1980; Özcan & Aksay 1996; and our own observations). Insets show the location of the maps displayed in the following figures.




Figure 3. Columnar section indicating structural relationships between Yeşilirmak group, Tokat Group and Akdağmadeni group.

dipping thrusts. This may be related to the rotation of the earlier south-dipping thrusts, or later backthrusting as a result of continuing contraction following the emplacement of the ophiolitic mélangé.

The Tokat Group, which constitutes most of the Tokat Massif (Blumenthal 1950), consists of a lower coherent metamorphic sequence and an upper blocky volcano-sedimentary series. It has undergone low-grade greenschist facies metamorphism, and bears imprints of the Alpidic and Cimmeride orogenies (Şengör 1987).

### The Pre-Jurassic Units of the Eastern Pontides, and the Tectonic Setting of the Tokat Group

The pre-Liassic basement of the eastern Pontides consists of various units with different lithologies and tectonic features. These include high-grade metamorphic rocks, Lower Carboniferous granitoids, Upper Carboniferous–Lower Permian shallow marine to terrigenous deposits, and Permo–Triassic low-grade metavolcano-sedimentary rocks. The relations between these units are poorly known because of the overlying Mesozoic–Tertiary cover, and because of the interference of the Alpidic orogeny.

The Permo–Triassic low-grade metavolcano-sedimentary rock association between Amasya and Suşehri is known as the Tokat Massif. Figure 2 shows the outcrops of the Tokat Massif, and the neighbouring metamorphic massifs that can be correlated in part with the Tokat Group. In the Pulus Massif, two metamorphic rock associations, separated by a tectonic contact, have

been differentiated. The Cenci Group shows metamorphism in the granulite facies, whereas the Hasso Group is represented by greenschist-facies metavolcano-sedimentary rocks, and has been correlated with the Ağvanis and Tokat massifs (Okay 1996). The Upper Carboniferous–Lower Permian shallow-marine to terrigenous sedimentary sequence in the Pulus region (Akdeniz 1988) contains well-rounded clasts derived from the Cenci Group of the Pulus Massif, and represents a molasses-type deposition at the end of the Hercynian orogeny (Khain 1975; Okay & Leven 1996; Çapkinöğlü 2003). Similar lithologies and contact relations are observed in the Greater Caucasus and in the Khrami Massif of the Lesser Caucasus (Adamia *et al.* 1982, 1983; A. Yılmaz 1989; Okay & Leven 1996). A further important pre-Jurassic rock association in the eastern Pontides is the Lower Carboniferous Gümüşhane and Köse plutons (Zankl 1962; Y. Yılmaz 1974; Bergougnan 1987). The presence of pre-Liassic ophiolites has long been claimed in the Tokat Massif and along the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt (Blumenthal 1950; Koçyiğit 1991a; Seymen 1991, 1993; Y. Yılmaz 1997a, b). This short discussion illustrates that the pre-Jurassic basement of the eastern Pontides forms a complex mosaic, with the Tokat Massif having a key role in our understanding of the pre-Jurassic evolution of the region.

### The Tokat Group

In this study, the name Tokat Group (Özcan *et al.* 1980; A. Yılmaz 1982a, b) has been used for the pre-Liassic

low-grade metavolcano-sedimentary rocks. Although similar pre-Liassic units have been studied and named in more detail in the western Pontides, the outcrops around Tokat are large and distinctive enough to warrant a different name. The Tokat Group has been divided into two formations: the Turhal Metamorphics and the Devecidağ Mélange (Figure 4).

### *Lithological Features*

The Turhal Metamorphics is a volcano-sedimentary sequence metamorphosed in the greenschist facies. The rocks consist of an alternation of phyllite, schist, metasiltstone, metasandstone, metatuff and metabasite. In the lower parts of the section, these rock types are accompanied by gneiss, amphibolite, mica schist and quartzite, and in the upper parts by calc-schist and marble.

The Devecidağ Mélange is made up of exotic blocks, including Silurian to Triassic limestone, and ophiolite blocks, in a metaclastic and metavolcanic matrix. It differs from the Turhal Metamorphics by the presence of the exotic blocks. When examined in detail, the Devecidağ Mélange can be observed to locally have an olistostromal or strongly mylonitised, sheared character. In areas of strong mylonitisation, the primary relationships between different rock types have been completely destroyed. The ophiolite blocks in the Devecidağ Mélange, mainly serpentinitised peridotites, are interpreted as remnants of a pre-Liassic oceanic crust. It has also been suggested that at least parts of the Devecidağ Mélange represents a pre-Liassic accretionary complex (Tekeli 1981).

### *Distribution*

The Tokat Group has a wide distribution to the south, east and southeast of Tokat (Figure 2). The outcrops of the Tokat Group in the Amasya and Turhal regions (Özcan *et al.* 1980), west of Koyulhisar, and immediately south of the North Anatolian Fault are those of the Turhal Metamorphics. Furthermore, the outcrops north of Ağvanis, and parts of the Pulur Massif can be assigned to the Turhal Metamorphics (Okay 1983, 1996). All the outcrops in these regions consist of greenschist-facies metamorphic rocks without exotic blocks. In regions south of Tokat, and in the Refahiye area south of Ağvanis, pre-Liassic metavolcanic rocks crop out as

tectonic slices with the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt (Figure 5).

This discussion shows that the Tokat Group extends eastward as far as the Pulur region. Furthermore, the dyke complex in the Yusufeli region, and the metavolcanic rocks in the Khrami Massif can easily be correlated with the Bayburt-Pulur region (A. Yılmaz 1989; Okay & Leven 1996). In the northern parts of the eastern Pontides, in the region of Giresun, small outcrops of pre-Liassic metamorphic rocks have been mapped (S. Yılmaz & Boztuğ 1996). These outcrops of mica schist, phyllite and calc-schist, extending in a NE-SW direction south of the Dereli village of Giresun, are correlated with the Turhal Metamorphics. Were it not for the Mesozoic-Tertiary cover of the eastern Pontides and the Transcaucasus, the Tokat Group would have most probably been seen to extend north to the Dizi series of the Greater Caucasus (Adamia *et al.* 1982; A. Yılmaz 1989). However, because of the presence of the Mesozoic-Tertiary cover, the isolated pre-Liassic outcrops have been interpreted differently (Şengör 1987), although they in fact are part of the same tectonic unit. In conclusion, the Tokat Group has a very wide distribution in the eastern Pontides and in the Caucasus.

### *Contact Relations*

The basal stratigraphic contact of the Tokat Group is not observed anywhere. It is unconformably covered by a sedimentary sequence of Liassic to Cretaceous age (Özcan *et al.* 1980; Seymen 1991, 1993). The Turhal Metamorphics of the Tokat Group crop out generally in the north, and structurally at a lower position than the Devecidağ Mélange, which crops out in the south. The contacts between these two formations are generally tectonic, and only locally gradational. The Tokat Group shows tectonic relations with the granulite facies metamorphic rocks of the Pulur Massif. These two different metamorphic units probably were amalgamated during the Cimmeride orogeny (Okay 1996). The Tokat Group also lies tectonically over the metamorphic rocks of the Akdağmadeni Group, as observed in the region of Evliya Tepe north of Sivas (Figures 2 & 6). This tectonic contact is unconformably covered by Eocene clastic rocks. This shows that the oceanic lithosphere between the Tokat and Akdağmadeni groups was completely subducted by the Eocene.




Figure 4. Geological map of the area between Tokat and Almus (after A. Yılmaz 1980, 1982b; Özcan & Aksay 1996; and our own observations). For the location see Figure 2.




Figure 5. Geological map of Dumanlı Dağ of Refahiye area (after A. Yılmaz *et al.* 1985b). For location see Figure 2.

In several localities, the Tokat Group lies tectonically over the ophiolite or ophiolitic mélangé (Figures 2 & 3), or over the Jurassic to Tertiary cover sequence (Figures

2 & 4). Of these tectonic contacts, those west and north of Tokat are young neotectonic thrusts. In the Refahiye region, the Tokat Group occurs as a tectonic slice in the






Figure 6. Geological map of the Evliya Tepe area showing relationship between Tokat Group, Akdağmadeni Group and Yeşilirmak Group (after A. Yılmaz 1982b). For location see Figure 2.

serpentinised Cretaceous peridotites (Figure 5). In this region, actinolite in the metabasic rocks of the Tokat Group shows replacement by sodic amphibole along a tectonic contact north and northeast of Alakilise (A. Yılmaz 1985). The oldest rocks, which cover the tectonic contacts between the Devecidağ Mélange of the Tokat Group, and the ophiolitic mélangé, make up the Boztepe Formation (Figure 4). The pelagic foraminifera determined from the Boztepe Formation by Ercüment Sirel include *Globotruncana linneiana* (De LAPP.), *Globotruncana* cf. *arca* (CUSH.), *Globotruncana* cf. *calcarata* (CUSH.) *Globotruncana* cf. *coronata* BOLLÍ, *Globotruncana* cf. *tricarinata* (QUE), *Globotruncana* sp., and indicate a Late Campanian age. Farther west, the Boztepe Formation also lies unconformably over the Cretaceous ophiolitic mélangé. The Boztepe Formation consists predominantly of pelagic limestones but also includes turbiditic clastic rocks, and olistostromes with ophiolitic detritus. The Boztepe Formation is interpreted as a fore-arc basin developed on the ophiolitic mélangé. Similar fore-arc basins have been described in detail by Koçyiğit (1991b). The stratigraphic position of the Boztepe Group, both above the Tokat Group and above the ophiolitic mélangé, indicates that at least part of the tectonic interslicing between the Tokat Group and the ophiolitic mélangé occurred in pre-late Campanian time during formation of the ophiolitic mélangé.

In the Tokat region, where the Tokat Group has extensive outcrops, the ophiolitic mélangé occurs as thin thrust sheets within the Tokat Group (Bozkurt *et al.* 1997). In contrast, in the south in the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt, rocks of the Tokat Group occur as thin thrust sheets within the ophiolitic mélangé. In all these regions, the thrusts trend E-W, parallel to the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt, and dip N. In conclusion, the rocks of the Tokat Group were probably injected as tectonic slices in the mélangé prism during the formation of the ophiolitic mélangé.

### Age

There is no concrete data on the age of the Turhal Metamorphics of the Tokat Group. The recrystallised limestone levels in the upper parts of the Turhal Metamorphics have speculatively been correlated with Triassic and Permian carbonates in the Devecidağ Mélange. The Devecidağ Mélange comprises limestone blocks of Silurian, Devonian, Carboniferous, Permian and

Triassic in age (Alp 1972; Özcan *et al.* 1980; Çapkınoğlu & Bektaş 1999) implying that the Devecidağ Mélange is Triassic in age. However, to the east of Toraç Mountain, south of Tokat, south of the Develiçalı Hill (Figure 4), stratigraphic intercalation of limestone lenses occur within the Devecidağı Mélange. In Figure 4, these limestone lenses are exaggerated. These limestone lenses make up a few distinct stratigraphic levels and are folded with the intervening metaclastic horizons. Samples from these limestone intercalations contain a Permian fauna as determined by Ahmet Işık and Ercüment Sirel: *Pachyphlio* sp., *Glomospira* sp., Nodariidae, Staffelliidae, Lagenidae, and Fusulininae. There is no unconformity between the levels with the Permian limestone lenses, and the overlying series with Triassic limestone blocks. These data indicate that the Devecidağ Mélange has an age range of Permian and Triassic.

The high-grade metamorphic rocks, which make up part of the Pulur Massif, and those into which the Gümüşhane and Köse granitoids were emplaced are considered outside the definition of the Tokat Group. On the basis of the geochronological data and stratigraphic relations with the Upper Carboniferous–Lower Permian molasse units, these metamorphic rocks are Early Carboniferous in age.

### Depositional Environment

Different depositional environments have been proposed for the rocks of the Tokat Group and its equivalents in the western and central Pontides. The equivalents of the Tokat Group in the western Pontides have been interpreted as deposits of a fore-arc or intra-arc basin (Okay *et al.* 1996). Similar units in the central Pontides have been considered as products of a marginal back-arc basin opened above the southward subducting Palaeo-Tethys ocean (Şengör & Yılmaz 1981; Tüysüz & Yiğitbaş 1994). Equivalents of the Devecidağı Mélange have been interpreted as deposits of a marginal basin (Özcan *et al.* 1980), or of a back-arc basin (Koçyiğit 1991a), or a fore-arc basin (Okay *et al.* 1997) or that of a subduction zone (Tekeli 1981). The various interpretations on the depositional setting of the Tokat Group are the result of strong post-depositional tectonism, and the lack of age and primary sedimentary data.

The lithologies of the Turhal Metamorphics and the matrix of the Devecidağ Mélange are fairly similar.

Therefore, the depositional setting of the Tokat Group can be considered as a whole. Lithologically, the Turhal Metamorphics are dominated by metaclastic sedimentary and volcanoclastic rocks. Basic lavas and other sedimentary intercalations are rarely observed. Therefore, a palaeo-arc depositional environment can be assigned to the Turhal Metamorphics. A more accurate depositional setting can be assigned to this formation after the geochemistry of the volcanic rocks is known.

A fore-arc or a back-arc depositional setting, or a combination of the two, is probably more appropriate for the Devecidağ Mélange. The presence of both blocks and sedimentary intercalations of Permian and Triassic limestones argues for a back-arc setting, whereas intense deformation suggests a fore-arc to subduction-zone setting.

Considering that an inactive fore-arc basin may contain blocks and intercalations, a fore-arc depositional setting can be preferred for the Tokat Group. The locally strongly sheared matrix of the Devecidağ Group, and the local presence of ophiolite blocks, indicate the presence of a subduction complex beneath the fore-arc basin.

Considering that the outcrops of the Turhal Metamorphics are mainly in the north, and those of the Devecidağ Mélange are mainly in the south (Figures 2, 4 & 7), an arc through fore-arc to subduction zone depositional setting can be envisaged for the pre-Liassic time. This implies a system with the subduction zone in the south and the arc in the north, contrary to the presently accepted view.

### **Metamorphic Grade**

The Tokat Group as a whole has undergone regional metamorphism in greenschist facies (Özcan *et al.* 1980; A. Yılmaz 1980, 1982a, b). The mineral paragenesis suggests a metamorphic pressure of 3 to 6 kbar, and a temperature of 300–500 °C, indicating burial to a depth of 10–18 km (Y. Yılmaz *et al.* 1997a). However, it is claimed that some outcrops of the Devecidağ Mélange have undergone metamorphism in blueschist, greenschist and to amphibolite facies (Tekeli 1981). Especially in localities where ophiolites lie tectonically over the greenschists, a change towards the glaucophanitic greenschist facies has been observed, for example, to the north of Alakilise in the region of Refahiye (A. Yılmaz 1985).

### **Correlation**

The Tokat Group and its Mesozoic–Tertiary cover can confidently be correlated with the Sakarya Zone of Okay *et al.* (1996). However, there are problems in the correlation of the pre-Liassic units between the eastern and western Pontides. Some of the problems arise because the pre-Liassic units in the eastern Pontides have not been studied in as much detail as in the western Pontides. The different names given to the same unit by different geologists also create problems in correlation.

The Tokat Group is the equivalent of the Tozanlı Complex, Akdağ Formation and the Karakaya Mélange of Seymen (1975, 1991, 1993). In more detail, the Turhal Metamorphics correlate with the Tozanlı Complex and the Akdağ Formation, and the Devecidağ Mélange with the Karakaya Mélange. With limited data, Yılmaz *et al.* (1997a, b) claimed that the different rock units in the Tokat Massif represent different geotectonic environments; for example, the Turhal metaophiolite, which extends through the centre of the Tokat Massif, was claimed to represent a palaeo-suture. The presence of Palaeozoic ophiolites in the Tokat region (Blumenthal 1950; Koçyiğit 1991a; Y. Yılmaz *et al.* 1997a) and along the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt (Tatar 1978; Zakariadze *et al.* 1983; A. Yılmaz 1989) has long been claimed. However, these ophiolite slices have been accreted to the Upper Cretaceous ophiolitic mélange prism. In a similar manner, the ophiolites mapped by Y. Yılmaz *et al.* (1997a) between Turhal and Mamodağ belong to the Upper Cretaceous ophiolitic mélange with Upper Jurassic limestone blocks (Özcan *et al.* 1980).

As shown in Figures 2 & 4, the Tokat Group comprises several E–W-trending Upper Cretaceous ophiolite mélange slices. In the view of Y. Yılmaz *et al.* (1997a, b) this would require several sutures within the Tokat Massif. In conclusion, ophiolites of various ages occur in the Upper Cretaceous ophiolitic mélanges of the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt or within the Tokat Massif. However, especially the ophiolitic mélanges in the northern part of the Tokat Massif do not represent sutures.

The Ilgaz-Kargı and the Devrekani massifs in the central Pontides (Tüysüz & Yiğitbaş 1994; Ustaömer & Robertson 1997) can be correlated with the Tokat Massif, and hence with the Tokat Group. The Permo–Triassic volcano-sedimentary sequence with exotic blocks




Figure 7. Cross-sections depicting structural relationships between Yeşilirmak Group, Tokat Group and Akdağmadeni Group (A- Recent, B- end of Late Cretaceous and/or earlier). Compression is represented by the horizontal arrows and vertical movements by the vertical arrows, respectively.

in the Ilgaz-Kargı Massif has even been named the Karakaya Unit (Tüysüz & Yiğitbaş 1994).

The main problem in correlating the Tokat Group with equivalents in the western Pontides lies in the fact that the pre-Liassic rocks have not been studied in as much detail as in the western Pontides. The Karakaya Formation as defined by Bingöl *et al.* (1975) can be correlated with the Tokat Group. However, a more detailed study of the Karakaya Formation has resulted in a change of the name to the Karakaya Complex (Şengör *et al.* 1984; Okay *et al.* 1996). Therefore, the Tokat Group can be used as the equivalent of the Karakaya Complex. In a more detailed study of the Tokat Massif, it would be possible to map the equivalents of the Nilüfer, Çal and Hodul units, and the Orhanlar Greywacke, which are defined on the Biga Peninsula in the western Pontides. The correlation between the western and central Pontides becomes even easier when only the blocky and coherent pre-Liassic units are considered. In this case, the Turhal Metamorphics correlate with the Nilüfer Unit, and the lower parts of the Çal and Hodul units, and the Devecidağ Mélange correlates with the upper parts of the Çal and Hodul units, and the blocky part of the Orhanlar Greywacke.

### The Structural Evolution of the Tokat Group

In order to understand the structural evolution of the Tokat Group, it is necessary to study its contact relations with the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt and the Akdağmadeni Group. The North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt represents a suture zone between the Pontides in the north and the Anatolide-Tauride Block in the south, formed as a result of the closure of the northern branch of Neotethys (Şengör & Yılmaz 1981). The North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt comprises Upper Cretaceous mélanges, which include several different block lithologies. The blocks in the north are largely derived from the Tokat Massif, whereas those in the south from the Akdağmadeni Group (A. Yılmaz 1982a, b). Thin tectonic slices of Upper Cretaceous ophiolitic mélange occur within the Tokat Group (Figures 2 & 3). In contrast, in the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt in the south, thin tectonic slices of the Tokat Group are found in the Upper Cretaceous mélanges. As shown in Figures 4, 5 & 6, the tectonic slices and the thrusts dip to the north.

Tectonic slices belonging to the Akdağmadeni Group, and consisting of amphibolite-facies metamorphic rocks, are observed in the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt south of Yıldız Dağ and at Evliya Hill (Figures 2 & 6; A. Yılmaz 1982 a, b). Furthermore, serpentinised peridotite and gabbro slices occur within the Akdağmadeni Group or above them (A. Yılmaz 1980; A. Yılmaz *et al.* 1995). When the sizes and thicknesses of these tectonic slices have been studied, it has been found that the Akdağmadeni slices are thin in the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt, whereas the ophiolitic mélange slices are thin in the main outcrop area of the Akdağmadeni Group (Figure 3). The relation between the Tokat Group and the Yeşilirmak Group, which is the local name for the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt, is shown in a cross-section in Figure 3. As seen in this section, and also in Figure 6, the rocks of the Tokat Group and the Akdağmadeni Group are locally in tectonic contact. A similar relation has also been described from the Kızıldağ region (A. Yılmaz 1985; A. Yılmaz *et al.* 1985).

Eocene clastic rocks lie unconformably over the Tokat Group in the north and over the Akdağmadeni Group in the south, and also cover the tectonic contact between these two groups (Figure 6). This suggests that the collision between these plates and the complete consumption of the oceanic lithosphere between these two groups occurred before the Eocene. The tectonic contact between the Tokat Group and the ophiolitic rocks of the Yeşilirmak Group is unconformably covered by the upper Campanian Boztepe Formation, as shown in Figure 4. Therefore, the accretion of the various metamorphic rock slices to the ophiolitic mélange occurred before the late Campanian, possibly during the formation of the ophiolitic mélange.

As stated above, all the present-day tectonic contacts dip to the north. It has been established that the thrusts in the south have had a northward dip at least since the Late Cretaceous – since the formation of the ophiolitic mélange – and have preserved their northward dips during Eocene movements (A. Yılmaz & Özer 1984). In addition, thrusts in the Tokat region are known to be rather young, and possibly active in a neotectonic phase. For example, the extension of the thrusts north of the Çevreli village in Figure 4 have been studied northeast of the Almus reservoir lake, and it has been found that the Lower Miocene clastic and carbonates in the footwall of the thrusts are inverted (Terlemez & Yılmaz 1980).

In conclusion, an investigation of the tectonic relations between the metamorphic units and the ophiolitic mélangé suggests that initially the ophiolitic mélangé was emplaced both northward and southward over the Tokat and Akdağmadeni groups (Figure 7). The south-vergent structure in the Tokat region developed later in a neotectonic episode. If the initial tectonic picture had been preserved, one would have expected a folded structure with the Akdağmadeni and Tokat groups below and the ophiolitic mélangé above, as illustrated in Figure 7b. Such a mechanism provides an explanation as to why the thickness of the ophiolitic mélangé slices decreases as one goes to the north and to the south.

### Conclusions and Discussion

In the future, more detailed studies of the rocks of the Tokat Group, which make up the Tokat Massif, would allow a more thorough testing of theories concerning the genesis and structure of this Massif, including that by Y. Yılmaz *et al.* (1997a) regarding the evolution of the Tokat Massif. However, at present it can be said that this model does not take into proper account some of the previous data (Özcan *et al.* 1980; Tekeli 1981; A. Yılmaz 1980, 1982 a, b, 1985, 1989). For example, the presence of pre-Jurassic metaophiolite in the Tokat Massif does not necessarily indicate that the Massif is divided by a Palaeozoic suture. The presence of pre-Jurassic ophiolites is known from the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt, and even from the Lesser Caucasus (Blumenthal 1950; Tatar 1978; Zakariadze *et al.* 1983; A. Yılmaz 1989; Koçyiğit 1991a). However, these ophiolites are accreted to the Upper Cretaceous ophiolitic mélangé of the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt, which represents a suture zone of the northern branch of Neotethys. This belt does not represent a pre-Liassic suture.

Y. Yılmaz *et al.* (1997a) have described a series from the Tokat region consisting of Carboniferous–Permian metapelites at the base, overlain by marble, and overlain unconformably by the blocky Triassic sequence. They assigned this series to Gondwana. However, no such

sequence is known from Gondwana. Furthermore, it is questionable as how representative this series is for the regional geology. Figure 4 shows one of the regions where this series has been described. Detailed mapping in this area has shown that the Geyraz Formation and the Çat Marble, which have been described as the lowermost Gondwanian units, are in fact allochthonous within the Permo–Triassic blocky series (A. Yılmaz 1980). Furthermore, this blocky series also crops out north of the metaophiolite between Tokat and Mamodağı (Özcan *et al.* 1980). Therefore, this metaophiolite belt – with similar sequences to the north and to the south – does not represent a suture zone.

The presence of north- and south-dipping thrusts along the North Anatolian Ophiolite Belt and the Lesser Caucasus, developed during Late Cretaceous ophiolite obduction, are widely reported (Zakariadze *et al.* 1983; A. Yılmaz 1985; Okay *et al.* 1996; Okay & Şahintürk 1997). However, because of subsequent deformation related to the North Anatolian Fault Zone, north-vergent structures cannot be seen clearly in the Tokat Massif. As shown in the model in Figure 7, in the Tokat region the ophiolitic mélangé was initially thrust both to the north and to the south. The north-vergent thrusts were modified during a later period.

This study has shown that the Turhal Metamorphics of the Tokat Group crop out mainly in the north, whereas the overlying Devecidağ Mélangé crops out in the south. The Tokat Group formed in pre-Liassic time, probably during the Permian and Triassic in – from north to south – an arc through fore-arc to subduction-zone setting, and this subduction complex was accreted to the ophiolitic mélangé prior to the late Campanian.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Ercüment Sirel and Ahmet Işık for micropalaeontological determinations. Thanks also to Okan Tüysüz and Aral Okay for their advice and critical reading of the manuscript. We also express our gratitude to Aral Okay for his translation of the manuscript from Turkish into English.

## References

- ADAMIA, SH., BELOV, A.A., LORDKIPANIDZE, M. & SOMIN, M.L. 1982. Correlation of Pre-Variscan and Variscan Events in the Alpine Mediterranean Mountain Belt. IGCP Project No 5. Guidebook for the Field Excursion for the International Working Meeting in the Caucasus. Tbilisi-Georgia, 82.
- ADAMIA, SH., KEKELIA, M. & TSIMAKURIDZE, G. 1983. Pre-Variscan and Variscan granitoids of the Caucasus: IGCP Project No: 5. *Newsletter* 5, 5–12.
- AKDENİZ, N. 1988. Permian and Carboniferous of Demirözü and their significance in the regional structure. *Geological Bulletin of Turkey* 3, 71–80.
- ALP, D. 1972. *Amasya Yöresinin Jeolojisi [Geology of the Amasya Region]*. İstanbul Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Monografileri 22.
- ALPASLAN, M. 1993. *Yıldızeli Yöresi (Sivas Batısı) Metamorfizmasının Petrolojik İncelemesi [Petrology of the Yıldızeli (West of Sivas) Metamorphics]*. PhD Thesis, Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Sivas [in Turkish with English abstract, unpublished].
- ALPASLAN, M., GUEZOU, J.C., BONHOMME, M. & BOZTUĞ, D. 1996. Yıldızeli metasedimenter grubu içinde Fındıcak Metamorfizması'nın metamorfizması ve yaşı [Age and metamorphism of the Fındıcak Metamorphics in the Yıldızeli metasedimentary group]. *Türkiye Jeoloji Bülteni* 39, 19–29 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- BEKTAŞ, O., PELİN, S. & KORKMAZ, S. 1984. Mantle uprising and polygenetic ophiolites in the Eastern Pontid (Turkey) back-arc basin. In: ERCAN, T. & ÇAĞLAYAN, M.A. (eds), *Ketin Sempozyumu*. Geological Society of Turkey Publication, Ankara, 175–188.
- BERGOUGNAN, H. 1987. *Etudes Géologiques Dans L'est-Anatolien*. PhD Thesis, University of Pierre Marie Curie, Paris.
- BİNGÖL, E., AKYÜREK, B. & KORKMAZER, B. 1975. Biga Yarımadası'nın jeolojisi ve Karakaya Formasyonunun bazı özellikleri [Geology of the Biga Peninsula and some characteristics of the Karakaya blocky series]. *Cumhuriyetin 50. Yılı Yerbilimleri Kongresi Tebliğleri, MTA Enstitüsü (Congress on Earth Sciences for the 50th Anniversary of Republic of Turkey, Abstracts)*, 70–77 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- BLUMENTHAL, M.M. 1950. *Beiträge zur Geologie des landschaften am Mittleren und unteren Yeşilirmak (Tokat, Amasya, Havza, Erbaa, Niksar)*. Mineral Research and Exploration Institute of Turkey (MTA) Special Publication D 4, 153 p.
- BOZKURT, E., HOLDSWORTH, B.K. & KOÇYİĞİT, A. 1997. Implications of Jurassic chert identified in the Tokat Complex, northern Turkey. *Geological Magazine* 134, 91–97.
- ÇAPKINOĞLU, Ş. 2003. First records of conodonts from "the Permo–Carboniferous of Demirözü" (Bayburt), Eastern Pontides, NE Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences* 12, 199–207.
- ÇAPKINOĞLU, Ş. & BEKTAŞ, O. 1999. Karakaya Kompleksi'ne ait Karasenir Formasyonu (Amasya) içindeki kireçtaşı olistolitlerinden Erken Devoniyen konodontları [Early Devonian conodonts from the limestone olistoliths of the Karasenir Formation (Amasya) within the Karakaya Complex]. *Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Dergisi* 120, 159–170 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- KETİN, İ. 1966. Tectonic units of Anatolia. *Mineral Research and Exploration Institute of Turkey (MTA) Bulletin* 66, 23–34.
- KHAIN, V.E. 1975. Structure and main stages in the tectono-magmatic development of the Caucasus: An attempt at geodynamic interpretation. *American Journal of Sciences* 275A, 131–156.
- KOÇYİĞİT, A. 1991a. First remarks on the geology of Karakaya Orogen and pre-Jurassic nappes in eastern Pontides. Turkey, *Geologica Romana* 27, 3–11.
- KOÇYİĞİT, A. 1991b. An example of an accretionary forearc basin from northern Central Anatolia and its implications for the history of subduction of Neo-Tethys in Turkey. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 103, 22–36.
- OKAY, A.İ. 1983. Agvanis metamorfizmaları ve çevre kayalarının jeolojisi [Geology of Agvanis metamorphics and surrounding rocks]. *Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Dergisi* 99/100, 52–70.
- OKAY, A.İ. 1989. Tectonic units in the Pontides, northern Turkey. In: ŞENGÖR, A.M.C. (ed), *Tectonic Evolution of the Tethyan Region*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 109–116.
- OKAY, A.İ. 1996. Granulite facies gneisses from the Pulur region, eastern Pontides. *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences* 5, 55–61.
- OKAY, A.İ. & LEVEN E.JA. 1996. Stratigraphy and palaeontology of the Upper Palaeozoic sequences in the Pulur (Bayburt) region, eastern Pontides. *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences* 5, 145–155.
- OKAY, A.İ. & ŞAHİNTÜRK, Ö. 1997. Geology of the Eastern Pontides. In: ROBINSON A.G. (ed), *Regional and Petroleum Geology of the Black Sea and Surrounding Region*. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin Memoir 68, 291–311.
- OKAY, A.İ., ŞAHİNTÜRK, Ö. & YAKAR, H. 1997. Pulur Bölgesinin stratigrafisi ve tektoniği (Bayburt, doğu Pontidler) [Stratigraphy and tectonics of the Pulur region (Bayburt, eastern Pontides)]. *Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Dergisi* 119, 1–22 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- OKAY, A.İ., SATIR M., MALUSKI, H., SIYAKO, M., MONIE, P., METZGER, R. & AKYÜZ, S. 1996. Paleo- and Neo-Tethyan events in northwestern Turkey: geologic and geochronologic constraints. In: YIN, A. & HARRISON, T.M. (eds), *The Tectonic Evolution of Asia*. Cambridge University Press, 420–441.
- OKAY, A.İ., SIYAKO, M. & BÜRKAN, K.A. 1991. Geology and tectonic evolution of the Biga Peninsula, NW Turkey. *Bulletin of the İstanbul Technical University, Special Issue on Tectonics* 44, 191–256.
- OKAY, A.İ. & TÜYSÜZ, O. 1999. Tethyan sutures of northern Turkey. In: DURAND, B., JOLIVET, L., HORVATH, F. & SERANNE, M. (eds), *The Mediterranean Basins: Tertiary Extension within the Alpine Orogen*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 156, 475–515.
- ÖZCAN, A. & AKSAY, A. 1996. *Tokat-Turhal-Almus-Çamlıbel Dolayının Jeolojisi [Geology of the Tokat-Turhal-Almus-Çamlıbel Region and Its Surroundings]*. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Report No. 9972 [in Turkish, unpublished].

- ÖZCAN, A., ERKAN, A., KESKİN, A., ORAL, A., ÖZER, S., SÜMENGİN, M. & TEKELİ, O. 1980. *Kuzey Anadolu Fayı-Kırşehir Masifi Arasının Temel Jeolojisi [Geology of the Area Between the Kuzey Anadolu Fault and the Kırşehir Masif]*. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Report No. 6722 [in Turkish, unpublished].
- ÖZTÜRK, A. 1979. Ladik-Destek yöresinin stratigrafisi [Stratigraphy of Ladik-Destek region]. *Türkiye Jeoloji Kurumu Bülteni* 22, 27–34.
- ŞENGÖR, A.M.C. 1987. Tectonics of the Tethysides orogenic collage development in a collisional setting. *Annual Review Earth Planetary Sciences* 15, 213–244.
- ŞENGÖR, A.M.C. & YILMAZ, Y. 1981. Tethyan Evolution of Turkey: a plate tectonic approach. *Tectonophysics* 75, 181–241.
- ŞENGÖR, A.M.C., YILMAZ, Y. & SUNGURLU, O. 1984. Tectonics of the Mediterranean Cimmerides; nature and evolution of the western termination of Paleo-Tethys. In: DIXON, J.E. & ROBERTSON A.H.F. (eds), *The Geological Evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 17, 77–112.
- SEYMEYEN, İ. 1975. *Kelkit Vadisi Kesiminde Kuzey Anadolu Fay Zonu'nun Tektonik Özellikleri [Tectonic Characteristics of the North Anatolian Fault Zone in the Kelkit Valley]*. İTÜ Maden Fakültesi Publication, İstanbul [in Turkish with English abstract].
- SEYMEYEN, İ. 1985. Kırşehir Masifi metamorfiteilerinin jeolojik evrimi [Geological evolution of the Kırşehir Masif metamorphics]. In: ERCAN, T. & ÇAĞLAYAN, M.A (eds), *Ketin Sempozyumu*. Türkiye Jeoloji Kurumu Publication, Ankara, 133–148.
- SEYMEYEN, İ. 1991. Mecitözü (Çorum ) dolayında Tokat Masifi'nin jeolojisi [Geology of the Tokat Massif in Mecitözü (Çorum) region]. *Adana Ahmet Acar Sempozyumu Bildirileri, Çukurova Üniversitesi*, 153–162.
- SEYMEYEN, İ. 1993. Mecitözü dolayının stratigrafik gelişimi [Stratigraphic evolution of the Mecitözü region]. *A. Suat Erk Sempozyumu Bildiriler, Ankara Üniversitesi*, 129–141.
- TATAR, Y. 1978. Kuzey Anadolu Fay zonunun Erzincan-Refahiye arasındaki bölümü üzerinde tektonik incelemeler [Tectonic investigation of the North Anatolian Fault Zone in the area between Erzincan and Refahiye]. *Yerbilimleri, Hacettepe Üniversitesi* 4, 201–236.
- TEKELİ, O. 1981. Subduction complex of pre-Jurassic age northern Anatolia, Turkey. *Geology* 9, 68–72.
- TERLEMEZ, I. & YILMAZ, A. 1980. *Ünye-Ordu-Reşadiye-Koyulhisar-Karaçayır-Hafik Arasında Kalan Bölgenin Jeolojisi [Geology of the Ünye-Ordu-Reşadiye-Koyulhisar-Karaçayır-Hafik Area]*. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Report No. 6671, Ankara [in Turkish, unpublished].
- TSK 1987. *Türkiye Stratigrafi Komitesi Bülteni*. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Genel Müdürlüğü Jeoloji Etütleri Dairesi Publication 1, Ankara.
- TÜYSÜZ, O. & YİĞİTBAŞ, E. 1994. The Karakaya basin: a Paleo-Tethyan marginal basin and its age of opening. *Acta Geologica Hungarica* 37, 327–350.
- USTAÖMER, T. & ROBERTSON, A. 1997. Tectonic-sedimentary evolution of the North Tethyan margin in the central Pontides of northern Turkey. In: ROBINSON, A.G. (ed), *Regional and Petroleum Geology of the Black Sea and Surrounding Region*. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin Memoir 68, 255–290.
- YILMAZ, A. 1980. *Tokat ile Sivas Arasındaki Bölgede Ofiyolitlerin Kökeni, İç Yapısı ve Diğer Birimlerle İlişkisi [Origin, Internal Structure and Contact Relationships of the Ophiolites in the Area Between Tokat and Sivas]*. PhD thesis, Ankara University, Faculty of Science [in Turkish with English abstract, unpublished].
- YILMAZ, A. 1981a. Tokat ile Sivas arasındaki bölgede ofiyolitli karışığın iç yapısı ve yerleşme yaşı [Internal structure and emplacement age of the ophiolitic mélange in the area between Tokat and Sivas]. *Türkiye Jeoloji Kurumu Bülteni* 24, 31–36 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- YILMAZ, A. 1981b. Tokat ile Sivas arasındaki bölgede bazı volkanitlerin petro-kimyasal özellikleri [Petrochemical characteristics of volcanics in the area between Tokat and Sivas]. *Türkiye Jeoloji Kurumu Bülteni* 24, 51–58 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- YILMAZ, A. 1982a. Tokat (Dumanlı dağı) ile Sivas (Çeltekdağı) dolaylarının temel jeoloji özellikleri ve ofiyolitli karışığın konumu [Basic geological characteristics of Tokat (Dumanlı Dağı) and Sivas (Çeltekdağı) region and the significance of ophiolitic mélange]. *Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Dergisi* 99/100, 1–18 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- YILMAZ, A. 1982b. *Dumanlıdağ (Tokat) ile Çeltekdağı (Sivas) Arasının Temel Jeoloji Özellikleri ve Ofiyolitli Karmaşığın Konumu [Basic Geological Characteristics of Tokat (Dumanlı Dağı) and Sivas (Çeltekdağı) Region and the Significance of Ophiolitic Mélange]*. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Report No. 7230, Ankara [in Turkish, unpublished].
- YILMAZ, A. 1985. Yukarı Kelkit Çayı ile Munzur Dağları arasındaki temel jeoloji özellikleri ve yapısal evrimi [Basic geological characteristics and structural evolution of the area between Yukarı Kelkit Çayı and Munzur Dağları]. *Türkiye Jeoloji Kurumu Bülteni* 28, 79–92 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- YILMAZ, A. 1989. Tectonic zones of the Caucasus and their continuations in the northeastern part of Turkey: a correlation. *Mineral Research and Exploration Institute of Turkey (MTA) Bulletin* 109, 89–106
- YILMAZ, A., BEDI, Y., UYSAL, Ş., YUSUFOĞLU, H. & AYDIN N. 1993. Doğu Toroslarda Uzunyayla ile Beritdağı arasındaki jeolojik yapısı [Geology of the area between Uzunyayla and Beritdağı in the eastern Taurides]. *Türkiye Petrol Jeologları Derneği Bülteni* 5, 69–87 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- YILMAZ, A. & ÖZER, S. 1984. Kuzey Anadolu Bindirme Kuşağının Akdağmadeni (Yozgat) ile Karaçayır (Sivas) arasındaki bölümünün temel jeoloji incelemesi ve Tersiyer havzasının yapısal evrimi [Basic geological investigation of the North Anatolian Thrust Zone in the area between Akdağmadeni (Yozgat) and Karaçayır (Sivas) and the structural evolution of the Tertiary basin]. In: ERCAN, T. & ÇAĞLAYAN, M.A (eds), *Ketin Sempozyumu*. Türkiye Jeoloji Kurumu Publication, 163–174 [in Turkish with English abstract].



- YILMAZ, A., OKAY, A.İ. & BILGIÇ, T. 1985. *Yukarı Kelkit Çayı Yöresi ve Güneyinin Temel Jeolojisi Özellikleri ve Sonuçları [Basic Geological Characteristics of the Yukarı Kelkit Çayı Region and its Southern Parts]*. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Report No. 7777, Ankara [in Turkish, unpublished].
- YILMAZ, A., UYSAL, Ş., BEDI, Y., YUSUFOĞLU, H., HAVZOĞLU, T., AĞAN, A., GÖÇ, D. & AYDIN N. 1995. Akdağ Masifi ve dolayının jeolojisi [Geology of Akdağ Massif and vicinity]. *Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü (MTA) Dergisi* 117, 125–138 [in Turkish with English abstract].
- YILMAZ, S. & BOZTUĞ, D. 1996. Space and time relations of three plutonic phases in the eastern Pontides, Turkey. *International Geology Review* 38, 935–956.
- YILMAZ, Y. 1974. Geology of the Gümüşhane granite (petrography). *İstanbul Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Mecmuası* B/39, 157–172.
- YILMAZ, Y., SERDAR, H.S. GENÇ, C., YİĞİTBAŞI, E., GÜRER Ö.F., ELMAS, A., YILDIRIM M., BOZCU, M. & GÜRPINAR, O. 1997a. The geology and evolution of the Tokat Massif, south central Pontides, Turkey. *International Geology Review* 39, 365–382.
- YILMAZ, Y., TÜYSÜZ, D., YİĞİTBAŞI, E., GENÇ, Ş.C. & ŞENGÖR, A.M.C. 1997b. Geology and tectonic evolution of the Pontides. In: ROBINSON, A.G. (ed), *Regional and Petroleum Geology of the Black Sea and Surrounding Region*. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin Memoir 68, 183–226.
- ZAKARIADZE, G.S., KNIPPER, A.L., SOBOLEV, A.V., TSAMERIAN, O.P., DIMITRIEV, L.V., VISHNEVSKAYA, V.S. & KOLESOV, G.M. 1983. The ophiolite volcanic series of the Lesser Caucasus. *Ofioliti* 8, 439–466.
- ZANKL, H. 1962. Magmatismus und Bauplan des Ostpontischen Gebirges im Querprofil des Harşit Tales NE Anatolien. *Geologische Rundschau* 51, 218–239.

*Received 06 March 2003; revised typescript accepted 19 January 2004*