

1-1-2019

## Some Sufficient conditions for a group to be abelian

GARY WALLS

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

WALLS, GARY (2019) "Some Sufficient conditions for a group to be abelian," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 43: No. 3, Article 50. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1901-6>  
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol43/iss3/50>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

## Some Sufficient conditions for a group to be abelian

Gary L. WALLS\* 

Mathematics Faculty, Southeastern Louisiana University, Louisiana, USA

Received: 02.01.2019

Accepted/Published Online: 30.04.2019

Final Version: 29.05.2019

**Abstract:** A group is said to satisfy a word  $w$  in the symbols  $\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\}$  provided that if the 'x' and 'y' are replaced by arbitrary elements of the group then the equation  $w = 1$  is satisfied. This paper studies certain equations in words, as above, which together with other conditions imply that groups which satisfy these equations and conditions must be abelian.

**Key words:** Group laws, commutators, abelian groups

### 1. Introduction

A word  $w$  in the symbols of  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  is an element of the free group  $\text{Fr}(X)$ . We say that a group  $G$  satisfies the word  $w$  provided whenever the elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are replaced by arbitrary elements of  $G$ , we obtain the equation  $w = 1$  in  $G$ . In that case, we say that  $w$  is a law for  $G$ . In this paper we often consider words in the symbols  $x, y$ , that is elements of  $\text{Fr}(\{x, y\})$ , and sometimes we use  $x, y$  for elements of a group  $G$ . It should be clear from the context which is implied.

A variety is an "equationally defined" class of groups. If  $W$  is a set of words in the set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  we denote the variety determined by  $W$  as  $V(W) = \{G \mid G \text{ is a group and all the elements of } G \text{ satisfy all the words of } W\}$ . For example, if  $W = \{[x, y] := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy\}$ , then  $V(W)$  is the class of all abelian groups. This paper is concerned with words and conditions that force a group to be abelian. Similar results are contained in [1, 2] and [4].

### 2. Some notation and some identities

We will use the following notations.

1. For all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $[x, y] := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$
2. For all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] := [[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}], x_n]$ . This is a left-normed commutator of weight  $n$ .
3. For all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $x^y := y^{-1}xy$ .
4. If  $A$  and  $B$  are words we write  $A \iff B$  in a group  $G$  to mean  $G$  satisfies the law  $[A, B]$ .

\*Correspondence: [gary.walls@selu.edu](mailto:gary.walls@selu.edu)

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20E30, 20F12

We will use the following identities.

5. For all  $x, y, z \in G$   $[xy, z] = [x, z]^y[y, z]$  and  $[x, yz] = [x, z][x, y]^z$ .

6. For all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $[x, y] = x^{-1}x^y$ .

We make frequent use of the following theorem by Higman [2].

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a 2-generated group which satisfies the law*

$$[x, y] \iff [x, y^{-1}],$$

*then  $G$  must be metabelian.*

and the following lemma by Gupta [1]

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $G$  be a group satisfying the law*

$$[x, y] = C_n,$$

*where  $C_n$  is a left-normed commutator of weight  $n, n \geq 2$ , with entries from the set  $\{x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}\}$ . Then, if  $G$  is solvable or if  $G$  is finite, then  $G$  is abelian.*

### 3. Main results

We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** *Suppose that  $G$  satisfies the law*

$$[x, y]^2 = [x, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_n], n \geq 2,$$

*then*

(i) *every 2-generated subgroup of  $G$  is metabelian, and*

(ii) *for all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $[x, y]^4 = 1$ .*

*In particular, if  $G'$  contains no elements of order 2, then  $G$  must be abelian.*

**Proof** Now replacing ' $x$ ' in the above formula by ' $[x, y]$ ' gives

$$[x, y, y]^2 = [x, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_{n+1}] = [[x, y]^2, y] = [x, y, y]^{[x, y]} [x, y, y]$$

It follows that  $[x, y, y] = [x, y, y]^{[x, y]}$ . Thus, we have the following:

$$[x, y, y] \iff [x, y]$$

$$[x, y]^{-1} [x, y]^y \iff [x, y]$$

$$[x, y]^y \iff [x, y] \text{ so}$$

$$[x, y] \iff ([x, y]^{y^{-1}})^{-1} = [x, y^{-1}].$$

Now by Higman’s result (Theorem 2.1) all 2-generated subgroups of  $G$  must be metabelian.

Now assume that  $G$  is metabelian and let  $z \in G'$ . It follows that

$$[x, z]^2 = [x, z, \dots, z] = 1$$

and so  $[x, z^2] = [x, z][x, z]^z = [x, z]^2 = 1$ . Therefore,  $z^2 \in Z(G)$  and thus for all  $x, y \in G$ , we have  $[x, y]^2 \in Z(G)$ . It follows that

$$1 = [[x, y]^2, y, \dots, y] = [x, y, \dots, y]^2 = [x, y]^4,$$

as required. □

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $G$  be a group. Then, the following are equivalent:*

(i)  $G$  satisfies the law  $[x, y]^2 = [x, y, y]$

(ii)  $G/Z(G)$  is an elementary abelian 2-group and  $G'$  is an elementary abelian 2-group.

**Proof** By lemma 3.1 every 2-generated subgroup of  $G$  is metabelian and for all  $x, y \in G$ , we have  $[x, y]^4 = 1$ . From the above law  $[x, y]^2 = [x, y]^{-1}[x, y]^y$ . It follows that  $[x, y]^{-1} = [x, y]^3 = [x, y]^y$  and thus,  $[x, y^2] = [x, y][x, y]^y = [x, y][x, y]^{-1} = 1$ . Thus, for all  $y \in G$ , we have  $y^2 \in Z(G)$ . Hence,  $G/Z(G)$  is an elementary abelian 2-group. Thus,  $G$  has nilpotence class  $\leq 2$ . Thus,  $[x, y]^2 = [x, y, y] = 1$ . Hence,  $G' \subseteq Z(G)$  and hence  $G'$  has exponent 2.

The other direction is clear. □

The next result is similar to a result of Gupta [1]. It also follows from Higman’s result (Theorem 2.1).

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a group which satisfies*

$$[x, y] = [x, \underbrace{y^2, y^2, \dots, y^2}_n] \quad n \geq 2.$$

*Then,  $G$  must be abelian.*

**Proof** To make the notation easier to follow we define the commutator  $[x, y; n] := [x, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_n]$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Using this notation our condition becomes  $[x, y] = [x, y^2; n]$ . Replacing ‘ $x$ ’ by ‘ $[x, y^2]$ ’ gives

$$[x, y^2, y] = [x, y^2; n + 1].$$

It follows that

$$[x, y^2]^{-1}[x, y^2]^y = [[x, y^2; n], y^2] = [x, y, y^2].$$

Hence,

$$([x, y][x, y]^y)^{-1}[x, y^2]^y = [x, y]^{-1}[x, y]^y.$$

And thus,

$$([x, y]^y)^{-1}[x, y]^{-1}([x, y][x, y]^y)^y = [x, y]^{-1}[x, y]^{y^2}.$$

Hence,

$$([x, y]^y)^{-1}[x, y]^{-1}[x, y]^y[x, y]^{y^2} = [x, y]^{-1}[x, y]^{y^2}.$$

And thus,

$$([x, y]^y)^{-1}[x, y]^{-1}[x, y]^y = [x, y]^{-1}.$$

Thus, we see that

$$[x, y] \iff [x, y]^y$$

and hence

$$[x, y^{-1}] = ([x, y^{y^{-1}}])^{-1} \iff [x, y].$$

Again by Higman's result (Theorem 2.1) we must have  $\langle x, y \rangle$  metabelian. Now let  $y \in G'$ . Then,  $[x, y] = 1$ , as  $[x, y^2, y^2] = 1$ . It follows that  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is nilpotent of class  $\leq 2$ . Hence, for all  $x, y \in G$  we get that  $[x, y] = 1$  and  $G$  is abelian as required.  $\square$

A similar proof gives the following result.

**Theorem 3.4** *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and that  $\phi_1(x, y), \phi_2(x, y), \dots, \phi_n(x, y)$  are words in  $\{x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}\}, n > 2$  so that for all  $a \in G, b \in G'$  we have  $\phi_3(a, b) \in G'$ . Then, if  $G$  satisfies the law*

$$[x, y] = [\phi_1(x, y), \phi_2(x, y), \dots, \phi_n(x, y)],$$

*then  $G$  is abelian.*

**Proof** First, suppose that  $G$  is metabelian and  $y \in G'$ . Now for all  $x \in G$ , since  $y \in G'$ , arguing as in the above proof we get  $[x, y] = 1$  and thus,  $G$  is nilpotent of class  $\leq 2$ . It follows that for all  $x, y \in G$ , we have  $[x, y] = 1$ . Hence, in this case  $G$  must be abelian.

Next assume that  $G$  is solvable and satisfies the above law. It follows that  $\frac{G}{G''}$  is metabelian and satisfies the given law. Hence,  $\frac{G}{G''}$  must be abelian. Thus,  $G' = G''$ . As  $G$  is solvable, then  $G' = 1$  and  $G$  is abelian.

Now assume that  $G$  is a minimal counter-example to the theorem. It follows that all proper subgroups of  $G$  are abelian. Thus,  $G$  must be solvable and hence abelian by the above remarks.  $\square$

Note that if in the above result we had assumed that  $G$  is solvable, we could see that  $G$  is abelian without the assumption that  $G$  is finite.

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments and criticisms.

### References

- [1] Gupta ND. Some group-laws equivalent to the commutative law. Archiv der Mathematik 1966; 17: 97-102.
- [2] Higman G. Some remarks on varieties of groups. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 1959; 10: 165-178
- [3] Kappe L-C, Tomkinson MJ. Some conditions implying that an infinite group is abelian. Algebra Colloquium 1996; 3: 199-212.

- [4] Moravec P. Some commutator laws equivalent to the commutative law. *Communications in Algebra* 2002; 30 (2): 671-691.
- [5] Robinson DJS. *A Course in The Theory of Group*. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 1982.