

1-1-2019

## A short note on some arithmetical properties of the integer part of $\alpha p$

YILDIRIM AKBAL

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

AKBAL, YILDIRIM (2019) "A short note on some arithmetical properties of the integer part of  $\alpha p$ ," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 43: No. 3, Article 14. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1809-43>  
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol43/iss3/14>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

## A short note on some arithmetical properties of the integer part of $\alpha p$

Yıldırım AKBAL\* 

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Atılım University, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 12.09.2018

Accepted/Published Online: 18.03.2019

Final Version: 29.05.2019

**Abstract:** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be an irrational number. We study some of the arithmetical properties of  $\{[\alpha p]\}_{p=2}^{\infty}$ , where  $p$  denotes a prime number and  $[x]$  denotes the largest integer not exceeding  $x$ .

**Key words:** Arithmetic progressions, Beatty sequences, exponential sums, shifted primes

### 1. Introduction

For  $\alpha > 0$  irrational and  $\beta$  any real number, sequences of the form  $\{[\alpha n + \beta]\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are called Beatty sequences. Beatty sequences may be viewed as generalized arithmetic progressions with irrational moduli. Most of the properties of integers have been extended to Beatty sequences under some restrictions on  $\alpha$  (see, for instance, [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9]). Following this analogy, it might be of interest to generalize the results involving shifted primes (numbers of the form  $p + a$ , where  $p$  is a prime number and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  fixed) to  $\{[\alpha p]\}_{p=2}^{\infty}$ . One of the most interesting examples would be the infinitude of primes in  $\{[\alpha p]\}_{p=2}^{\infty}$ ; however, as the twin prime conjecture is still open, this problem is still open. Nevertheless, one can confirm the infinitude of primes in  $\{[\alpha p]\}_{p=2}^{\infty}$  on average (see [10]).

Thus, our goal in the present paper is to exhibit analogues of some results proved for shifted primes in our setting. For the sake of brevity we have confined ourselves to the case  $\beta = 0$ . Before we state our results, we introduce irrational numbers of finite type:

An irrational number  $\alpha$  is called finite type  $\tau$  if

$$\tau = \sup \left\{ \beta : \liminf_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ q \in \mathbb{N}}} q^{\beta} \|\alpha q\| = 0 \right\} < \infty,$$

where the notation  $\|x\|$  is used to denote the distance from the real number  $x$  to the nearest integer. We note here that by Dirichlet's approximation theorem one has  $\tau \geq 1$ . The theorems of Khinchin [11] and of Roth [18, 19] state that  $\tau = 1$  for almost all real numbers and for all irrational algebraic numbers, respectively.

#### 1.1. Statement of main results

We first start with a result of Mirsky (see [16]), stating that primes  $p$  for which  $p - a$  is free of  $k$ th powers of primes ( $k$ -free) have positive density.

\*Correspondence: yildirim.akbal@atilim.edu.tr

**Theorem 1** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Supposing that  $\alpha > 0$  is of type  $\tau \geq 1$ , then*

$$\#\{p \leq x : [\alpha p] \text{ is } k\text{-free}\} = \frac{1}{\zeta(k)}\pi(x) + O(x^{1-\frac{k-1}{(2\tau+3)k}+\varepsilon}), \tag{1}$$

where  $\zeta(k)$  is the value of the Riemann zeta function at  $k \geq 2$  and  $\pi(x)$  is the number of primes not exceeding  $x$ .

Taking  $k = 2$  and recalling that algebraic irrational numbers are of type 1 (see [18, 19]), then one has the following corollary:

**Corollary 1** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  be an algebraic irrational number.*

$$\#\{p \leq x : [\alpha p] \text{ is square-free}\} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}\pi(x) + O(x^{9/10+\varepsilon}),$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

In the same article Mirsky derived an asymptotic formula for the number of representations of a large integer as the sum of a prime number and a  $k$ -free number. Below we give an analogue of this asymptotic.

**Theorem 2** *Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$  is an irrational number of type  $\tau \geq 1$ . Let  $R_k(\alpha, n)$  be the number of representations of  $n$  as the sum of a  $k$ -free integer and a number of the form  $[\alpha p]$  for some prime  $p$ . Then*

$$R_k(\alpha, n) = \frac{1}{\zeta(k)}\pi\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right) + O(n^{1-\frac{k-1}{(2\tau+3)k}+\varepsilon}). \tag{2}$$

This theorem indicates that every sufficiently large integer can be expressed as a sum of a square-free number and a number of the form  $[\alpha p]$ .

Secondly, we look at the Titchmarsh divisor problem: For  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , Titchmarsh (see [21]) was the first who studied

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d(p+a),$$

where  $d(n)$  is the number of positive divisors of  $n$ . Since then, this problem is called the Titchmarsh divisor problem. Under GRH for all Dirichlet L-functions, he showed that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d(p+a) = c_a x + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}\right) \tag{3}$$

for some  $c_a > 0$ . He unconditionally proved that the left-hand side of (3) is  $\ll x$ . Dependence on GRH in his result was first removed by Linnik using his dispersion method (see [13]).

In our setting we obtain only upper and lower bounds with the right order of magnitude.

**Theorem 3** *Suppose that  $\alpha > 1$  is an irrational of finite type. Then*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d([\alpha p]) \asymp x, \tag{4}$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $\alpha$ .

Finally, we prove an Erdős–Kac type result for  $\omega(\lfloor \alpha p \rfloor)$ . Here  $\omega(n)$  is the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ . The corresponding result for  $\omega(p - 1)$  was proved by Halberstam (see [8]).

**Theorem 4** *Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$  is an irrational of finite type. Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \#\left\{p \leq x : \frac{\omega(\lfloor \alpha p \rfloor) - \log \log p}{\sqrt{\log \log p}} < \kappa\right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\kappa} e^{-t^2} dt$$

for any  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  fixed

**1.2. Preliminaries and notation**

**1.2.1. Notation**

Given a real number  $x$ , we write  $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$ ,  $\{x\}$ , for the fractional part of  $x$  and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  for the greatest integer not exceeding  $x$ .

For  $N \geq 1$  integer, we write  $n \sim N$  to mean that  $n$  lies in an interval contained in  $(N, 2N]$  whenever it is clear from context; otherwise, we shall denote it specifically.

We recall that for functions  $F$  and real nonnegative  $G$ , the notations  $F \ll G$  and  $F = O(G)$  are equivalent to the statement that the inequality  $|F| \leq \alpha G$  holds for some constant  $\alpha > 0$ . Furthermore, we use  $F \asymp G$  to indicate that both  $F \gg G$  and  $F \ll G$  hold. In a slight departure from convention, we shall frequently use  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon'$  to mean small positive numbers possibly not the same at each occurrence.

**1.2.2. Preliminaries**

Throughout the paper we shall make use of the following fact: For any  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$\lfloor \alpha m \rfloor = n \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow 0 < \left\{ \frac{n+1}{\alpha} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

and thus for any sequence  $a_n$  of real numbers, and for any  $N > N' \geq 1$ , one has

$$\sum_{N' < n \leq N} a_{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha N' < m \leq \alpha N \\ \left\{ \frac{m+1}{\alpha} \right\} < \frac{1}{\alpha}}} a_m + O\left(\max_{\alpha N' - 1 < n \leq \alpha N + 1} |a_n|\right). \tag{5}$$

**Lemma 1 (Erdős–Turán inequality)** *Let  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^K$  be a sequence of real numbers. Suppose that  $\mathcal{I} \subset [0, 1)$  is an interval. Then*

$$\left| \#\{k \leq K : \{t_k\} \in \mathcal{I}\} - K|\mathcal{I}| \right| \ll \frac{K}{H} + \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{k \leq K} e(ht_k) \right|$$

for any  $H \geq 1$ . The constant in the  $O$ -term is absolute.

**Proof** See [3, Theorem 2.1]. □

**Lemma 2** *Let  $\alpha$  be a real number. Suppose that  $H, N \geq 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{L} = \log 2HN$ . If*

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^2}, \quad (a, q) = 1,$$

then

$$\sum_{h \leq H} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n) e(\alpha hn) \right| \ll \mathcal{L}^7 \{ HNq^{-1/2} + HN^{3/4} + DH^{3/5}N^{4/5} + (HN)^{1/2}q^{1/2} \},$$

where

$$D = \max_{m \leq HN} \sum_{\substack{d \leq H \\ d|m}} 1,$$

and  $\Lambda(n)$  is the Von Mangolt function, which is  $\log p$  if  $n = p^r$  and zero otherwise.

**Proof** See [22, Theorem 1]. □

### 1.3. Proof of the Main Results

**Proof of Theorem 1** The indicator function of  $k$ -free integers is  $\sum_{d^k|n} \mu(d)$  where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function, yielding

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ [\alpha p] \text{ is } k\text{-free}}} 1 = \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{d^k | [\alpha p]} \mu(d).$$

Let  $2 \leq y \leq (\alpha x)^{1/k}$  be a real number. We swap the summations running over  $d$  and  $p$  and split the above sum as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ [\alpha p] \text{ is } k\text{-free}}} 1 = \sum_{d \leq y} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ [\alpha p] \equiv 0 \pmod{d^k}}} 1 + \sum_{y < d \ll x^{1/k}} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ [\alpha p] \equiv 0 \pmod{d^k}}} 1. \tag{6}$$

The last sum above on the right is at most

$$\ll \sum_{y < d \ll x^{1/k}} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ m \equiv 0 \pmod{d^k}}} 1 + x^{1/k} \ll xy^{1-k}.$$

We now turn back to the first sum on the right hand side of (6). It is not hard to see that

$$[\alpha p] \equiv 0 \pmod{d^k} \text{ if and only if } \left\{ \frac{\alpha p}{d^k} \right\} < \frac{1}{d^k}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 1, we have

$$\sum_{d \leq y} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ [\alpha p] \equiv 0 \pmod{d^k}}} 1 = \sum_{d \leq y} \mu(d) \frac{1}{d^k} \pi(x) + O\left(\frac{xy}{H}\right) + O\left(\sum_{d \leq y} \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{p \leq x} e\left(\frac{\alpha hp}{d^k}\right) \right| \right) \tag{7}$$

By the standard estimate,

$$\sum_{d \leq y} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} = \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} + O\left(\frac{1}{y^{k-1}}\right) \quad (k \geq 2),$$

we see that replacing the first term on the right-hand side of (7) by  $\frac{\pi(x)}{\zeta(k)}$  introduces an error that is  $\ll \frac{\pi(x)}{y^{k-1}}$ .

To estimate the exponential sum in the  $O$ -term above we apply the following lemma.

**Lemma 3** Let  $H, D, N \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$  is of type  $\tau \geq 1$ . Then for  $k \geq 1$ , one has

$$\sum_{h \sim H} \sum_{d \sim D} \left| \sum_{p \leq N} e\left(\frac{\alpha p h}{d^k}\right) \right| \ll (HDN)^\varepsilon \left\{ D^{1+k/2} H^{1/2} N^{1/2} + DH^{3/5} N^{4/5} + DHN^{3/4} + D^{(k+2\tau+2)/(2\tau+2)} H^{(2\tau+1)/(2\tau+2)} N^{(2\tau+1)/(2\tau+2)} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

**Proof** By a standard procedure and partial summation it suffices to show that the same upper (possibly with a different  $\varepsilon$ ) holds for the following sum:

$$S := \sum_{d \sim D} \sum_{h \sim H} \left| \sum_{n \leq t} \Lambda(n) e\left(\frac{\alpha h n}{d^k}\right) \right|,$$

uniformly for every  $t \leq N$ .

Let  $Q \geq 1$  be a parameter to be determined. Suppose  $\left| \frac{\alpha}{d^k} - \frac{r}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{qQ}$  for some reduced fraction  $r/n$  with  $1 \leq q \leq Q$  yielding  $\|\alpha q\| < \frac{d^k}{Q}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is of type  $\tau \geq 1$ , we have  $q^{-\tau-\varepsilon} \ll \frac{d^k}{Q}$  and hence  $\left(\frac{Q}{d^k}\right)^{1/\tau-\varepsilon} \ll q \leq Q$ . Assuming that  $D^k \ll Q < \infty$  (so that  $q \geq 1$ ), it follows by Lemma 2 that

$$S(HDN)^{-\varepsilon} \ll \left\{ HNQ^{-1/2\tau} D^{k/2\tau+1} + DH^{3/5} N^{4/5} + DHN^{3/4} + DH^{1/2} N^{1/2} Q^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Applying [6, Lemma 2.4] we derive the upper bound in (8). □

Splitting the ranges of  $d$  and  $h$  of the exponential sum in (7) into intervals of the form  $d \sim D$  and  $h \sim H$ , respectively, applying Lemma 3, and finally summing over  $H$  and  $D$ , one has

$$\sum_{d \leq y} \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{p \leq x} e\left(\frac{\alpha h p}{d^k}\right) \right| \ll (xyH)^\varepsilon \left\{ y^{1+k/2} x^{1/2} + yx^{4/5} + y^{(k+2\tau+2)/(2\tau+2)} x^{(2\tau+1)/(2\tau+2)} \right\} \quad (9)$$

for  $k \geq 1$  and every  $y \geq 1$ . We choose  $H = x^{1/5}$  to make the penultimate in (7) small. Using (9), it follows that the left-hand side of (6) differs from  $\pi(x)/\zeta(k)$  at most

$$\ll x^\varepsilon \left\{ y^{1+k/2} x^{1/2} + yx^{4/5} + y^{(k+2\tau+2)/(2\tau+2)} x^{(2\tau+1)/(2\tau+2)} + xy^{1-k} \right\}$$

for every  $2 \leq y \ll x^{1/k}$ . Applying [6, Lemma 2.4], we see that only the error term in Theorem 1 survives, thereby proving the claim. □

**Proof of Theorem 2** The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1; thus, we shall be brief. It is clear that

$$R_k(\alpha, n) = \sum_{[\alpha p] \leq n} \sum_{d^2 | (n - [\alpha p])} \mu(d).$$

Swapping the sums running over  $p$  and  $d$ , and splitting the range of  $d$  depending on whether  $d \leq y$  or  $d > y$ , one has

$$R_k(\alpha, n) = \sum_{d \leq y} \sum_{\substack{p \leq \frac{n}{\alpha} \\ [\alpha p] \equiv n_d \pmod{d^k}}} + O\left(\frac{n}{y^{k-1}}\right)$$

where  $n_d$  is the reduced residue class of  $n \pmod{d^k}$ . Here we note that

$$[\alpha p] \equiv n_d \pmod{d^k} \text{ if and only if } \left\{ \frac{\alpha p - n_d}{d^k} \right\} < \frac{1}{d^k}.$$

Applying Lemma 1 and following the proof of Theorem 1, we arrive at (2). □

**Proof of Theorem 3** The lower bound is easy and follows from the observation

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d([\alpha p]) \geq \sum_{d \leq x^\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \{\frac{\alpha p}{d}\} < \frac{1}{d}}} 1 \quad (\text{for every } \varepsilon > 0).$$

Now choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small and using Lemma 1 and then the upper bound in (9), the lower bound follows.

As for the upper bound, one may follow the strategy in the proof of Theorem 1. However, we shall use Selberg’s sieve (we actually borrow the main idea of [17]). To do this, we start with the following standard identity:

$$d(n) = 2 \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \leq \sqrt{n}}} 1 - \delta(n),$$

where  $\delta(n)$  is the characteristic function of squares. It follows that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} d([\alpha p]) = 2 \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ [\alpha p] \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} + O(\sqrt{x}). \tag{10}$$

Let  $2 \leq z \leq x$  be a parameter. Let  $P_z$  be the product of primes not exceeding  $z$ . From Selberg’s sieve (see [20]), we know that there is a sequence  $\{\lambda_d\}_{d=1}^\infty$  of real numbers satisfying the following properties:

1.  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,
2.  $\lambda_d = 0$  whenever  $d > z$ ,
3.  $|\lambda_d| \leq 1$ ,
4.  $\sum_{\substack{d_1|P_z \\ d_2|P_z}} \frac{\lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2}}{[d_1, d_2]} \ll \frac{1}{\log z}$  where  $[d_1, d_2]$  denotes the least common multiple of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ .

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ [\alpha p] \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \leq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ [\alpha n] \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \left( \sum_{d|(P_z, n)} \lambda_d \right)^2 + O(z).$$

Swapping the sums, one has

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ [\alpha n] \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \left( \sum_{d|(P_z, n)} \lambda_d \right)^2 = \sum_{\substack{d_1 | P_z \\ d_2 | P_z}} \lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ [\alpha n] \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \\ d' | n}}$$

where  $d' = [d_1, d_2]$ . Setting  $n = d'k$ , it follows that the right-hand side of the above is

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1 | P_z \\ d_2 | P_z}} \lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2} \sum_{\substack{k \leq x/d' \\ [\alpha d' k] \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}}$$

By the standard procedure (see (5)), the right-hand side of the above is

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1 | P_z \\ d_2 | P_z}} \lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2} \sum_{\substack{m \leq \alpha x \\ m \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \\ \{\frac{m+1}{\alpha d'}\} < \frac{1}{\alpha d'}}} + O \left( \sum_{\substack{d_1 | P_z \\ d_2 | P_z}} |\lambda_{d_1}| |\lambda_{d_2}| \right) \tag{11}$$

By Lemma 1, we pick up the main term and hence (11) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x}{d} \sum_{\substack{d_1 | P_z \\ d_2 | P_z}} \frac{\lambda_{d_1} \lambda_{d_2}}{[d_1, d_2]} + O \left( \frac{x}{dH} \sum_{\substack{d_1 | P_z \\ d_2 | P_z}} |\lambda_{d_1}| |\lambda_{d_2}| \right) + O \left( \sum_{\substack{d_1 | P_z \\ d_2 | P_z}} |\lambda_{d_1}| |\lambda_{d_2}| \right) \\ & + O \left( \sum_{\substack{d_1 | P_z \\ d_2 | P_z}} |\lambda_{d_1}| |\lambda_{d_2}| \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{d}} e \left( \frac{\alpha^{-1} h d n}{d'} \right) \right| \right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using the properties of the sequence  $\lambda_r$  and summing over  $d$ , we see that the left-hand side of (10) is

$$\ll \frac{x \log x}{\log z} + O \left( z^2 \left( \frac{x \log x}{H} + \sqrt{x} \right) \right) + O \left( z^\epsilon \sum_{\substack{r \leq z^2 \\ d \leq \sqrt{x}}} \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{d}} e \left( \frac{\alpha^{-1} h d n}{r} \right) \right| \right).$$

Here, for the last part, we use the elementary estimate  $d(r) \ll r^{\epsilon/2}$ , together with the observation

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ [d_1, d_2] = r}} 1 \leq d^2(r).$$

Using the upper bound

$$\sum_{n \leq x} e(\alpha n) \ll \min \left\{ x, \frac{1}{\|\alpha\|} \right\}$$



for any  $\alpha > 0$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{r \leq z^2 \\ d \leq \sqrt{x}}} \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{d}} e\left(\frac{\alpha^{-1} h d n}{r}\right) \right| \ll \sum_{r \leq z^2} \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \min\left\{\frac{x}{d}, \frac{1}{\|\frac{\alpha^{-1} h d}{r}\|}\right\}.$$

To estimate the innermost sum we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 4** *Let  $\alpha$  be a real number. Suppose that  $1 < T \leq x$ . If*

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^2}, \quad (a, q) = 1,$$

then

$$\sum_{d \leq T} \min\left\{\frac{x}{d}, \frac{1}{\|\alpha d\|}\right\} \ll \left(\frac{x}{q} + T + q\right) \log(2qT).$$

**Proof** See [23, Lemma 8b]. □

As we have done before, let  $Q \geq 1$  be a parameter to be determined, and let

$$\left| \alpha^{-1} \frac{h}{r} - \frac{m}{n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{nQ},$$

where  $n \leq Q$  and  $(m, n) = 1$ . By a similar argument used in the proof of Theorem 1, since  $\alpha^{-1}$  is of type  $\tau$ , it follows by Lemma 4 that

$$\sum_{r \leq z^2} \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \min\left\{\frac{x}{d}, \frac{1}{\|\frac{\alpha^{-1} h d}{r}\|}\right\} \ll \sum_{\substack{r \leq z^2 \\ h \leq H}} \frac{1}{h} \left(x h r^{1/\tau - \varepsilon} Q^{-\frac{1}{\tau} + \varepsilon} + \sqrt{x} + Q\right) x^\varepsilon.$$

We next pick  $H = z^2 \log x$ ,  $z = x^\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is sufficiently small depending on  $\tau$  and  $\varepsilon$ , and we choose  $Q$  appropriately. This completes the proof. □

**Proof of Theorem 4** We shall make use of [14, Theorem 3] and follow the notations therein.

Let us fix the notation first:

We pick the set  $S(x)$  to be the set of primes  $\leq x$  and  $f(n) = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ . Let  $l \geq 2$  be a positive integer. We set

$$\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \#\{p \leq x : [\alpha p] \equiv 0 \pmod{l}\} = \lambda_l + e_l(x)$$

where  $\lambda_l = \frac{1}{l}$ , and by Lemma 1

$$|e_l(x)| \leq \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{p \leq x} e\left(\frac{\alpha h p}{l}\right) \right| \tag{13}$$

for every  $H \geq 2$ .

Pick  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Then it is clear that for  $p \leq x$ ,  $[\alpha p]$  has a uniformly bounded number of prime divisors  $\geq x^\varepsilon$ . We next put  $y = x^{\frac{1}{\log \log x}}$ , and take  $\beta = \varepsilon$ . By Merten's estimate (see [15]), we obviously have

$$\sum_{\substack{y < l \leq x^\beta \\ l \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{l} = \log \log \log x + \log \varepsilon + O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right) = o((\log \log x)^{1/2}).$$

If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small (depending on  $\tau$ ), then on choosing  $H$  optimally, by (9), it follows that

$$\sum_{y < l \leq x^\beta} |e_l| \ll x^{2\varepsilon} \left( x^{\frac{4}{5}-1} + x^{\frac{2\tau+1}{2\tau+2}-1} \right) = o((\log \log x)^{1/2}).$$

We have shown conditions (1), (2), and (3) are satisfied in [14, Theorem 3]. Obviously (4) and (5) follow by Merten's estimate and the convergence of the sum of squares of reciprocals of primes. As for condition (5), we need to show that, for every  $r \geq 1$  and every  $u \leq r$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_u) \\ l_i \leq y \\ i=1, \dots, u}} |e_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_u}| = o((\log \log x)^{-r/2}).$$

This estimate is also clear because  $y^r = o(x^\varepsilon)$  together with the upper bound in (9). Thus, the proof is completed.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Abercrombie AG. Beatty sequences and multiplicative number theory. *Acta Arithmetica* 1995; 70 (3): 195-207.
- [2] Abercrombie AG, Banks WD, Shparlinski IE. Arithmetic functions on Beatty sequences. *Acta Arithmetica* 2009; 136 (1): 81-89.
- [3] Baker RC. *Diophantine Inequalities*. London Mathematics Society Monographs. New York, NY, USA: Clarendon Press, 1986.
- [4] Banks WD, Shparlinski IE. Prime numbers with Beatty sequences. *Colloquium Mathematicum* 2009; 115 (2): 147-157.
- [5] Banks WD, Shparlinski IE, Weingartner AJ. Prime divisors in Beatty sequences. *Journal of Number Theory* 2007; 123 (2): 413-425.
- [6] Graham SW, Kolesnik G. *Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 126. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [7] Güloğlu A, Nevans CW. Sums of multiplicative functions over a Beatty sequence. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 2008; 78 (2): 327-334.
- [8] Halberstam H. On the distribution of additive number theoretic functions, I-III. *Journal of London Mathematics Society* 1955; 30 (31): 43-53.
- [9] Harman G. Primes in Beatty sequences in short intervals. *Mathematika* 2016; 62 (2): 572-586.
- [10] Hongze L, Hao P. Primes of the form  $[\alpha p + \beta]$ . *Journal of Number Theory* 2009; 129 (10): 2328-2334.
- [11] Khinchin AY. Zur metrischen Theorie der diophantischen Approximationen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 1926; 24 (4): 706-714 (in German).

- [12] Kuipers L, Niederreiter H. Uniform Distribution of Sequences. Pure and Applied Mathematics. New York, NY, USA: John Wiley, 1974.
- [13] Linnik JV. The Dispersion Method in Binary Additive Problems (Leningrad 1961). Translated Mathematics Monographs, Vol. 4. Providence, RI, USA: AMS, 1963.
- [14] Liu Y. Prime analogues of the Erdős-Kac theorem for elliptic curves. Journal of Number Theory 2006; 119: 155-170
- [15] Mertens F. Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie. Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 1874; 78: 46-62 (in German).
- [16] Mirsky L. The number of representations of an integer as the sum of a prime and a  $k$ -free integer. American Mathematical Monthly 1949; 56: 17-19.
- [17] Murty R, Liu Y. The Turán sieve method and some of its applications. Journal of the Ramanujan Mathematical Society 1999; 13 (2): 35-49.
- [18] Roth KF. Rational approximations to algebraic numbers. Mathematika 1955; 2: 1-20.
- [19] Roth KF. Corrigendum to "Rational approximations to algebraic numbers". Mathematika 1955; 2: 168.
- [20] Selberg A. On an elementary method in the theory of primes. Norske Vid Selsk Forh Trondhjem 1947; 19 (18): 64-67.
- [21] Titchmarsh EC. A divisor problem. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo 1931; 54: 414-429
- [22] Vaughan RC. On the distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo 1. Mathematika 1977; 24: 135-141.
- [23] Vinogradov IM. The Method of Trigonometrical Sums in the Theory of Numbers. Translated from the Russian, Reprint of the 1954 Translation. New York, NY, USA: Dover Publications, 2004.