

1-1-2019

## A new subclass of starlike functions

HESAM MAHZOON

RAHIM KARGAR

JANUSZ SOKOL

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)




---

### Recommended Citation

MAHZOON, HESAM; KARGAR, RAHIM; and SOKOL, JANUSZ (2019) "A new subclass of starlike functions," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 43: No. 5, Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1906-64>  
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol43/iss5/22>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## A new subclass of starlike functions

Hesam MAHZOON<sup>1,\*</sup>, Rahim KARGAR<sup>2</sup>, Janusz SOKÓŁ<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, West Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Young Researchers and Elite Club, Ardabil Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Rzeszów, Rzeszów, Poland

Received: 17.06.2019

Accepted/Published Online: 07.08.2019

Final Version: 28.09.2019

**Abstract:** Motivated by the Rønning-starlike class [Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 1993; 118: 189-196], we introduce the new class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$  that includes analytic and normalized functions  $f$ , which satisfy the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| \quad (|z| < 1).$$

In this paper, we first give some examples that belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . Also, we show that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$  then  $\operatorname{Re}\{f(z)/z\} > 1/2$  in  $|z| < 1$  (Marx–Strohhäcker problem). Afterwards, upper and lower bounds for  $|f(z)|$  are obtained where  $f$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . We also prove that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , then  $f$  is starlike of order  $\alpha$  in the disc  $|z| < (1 - \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)$ . At the end, we estimate logarithmic coefficients, the initial coefficients, and the Fekete–Szegő problem for functions  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

**Key words:** Starlike, subordination, Marx–Strohhäcker problem, logarithmic coefficients, Fekete–Szegő problem

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  be the open unit disc on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$  be the class of functions  $f$  that are analytic in  $\Delta$ . Also let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$  be the class of all functions  $f$  that satisfy the standard normalization  $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$ . It is known that if  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , then it has the following Taylor–Maclaurin series expansion:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (1.1)$$

The set of all univalent functions  $f$  in  $\Delta$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  belong to class  $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ , then we say that a function  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$ , written as

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad \text{or} \quad f \prec g,$$

if there exists a Schwarz function  $w : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  with the following properties:

$$w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

\*Correspondence: mahzoon\_hesam@yahoo.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ . Notice that if  $g \in \mathcal{U}$ , then we have the following geometric equivalence: relation

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called starlike of order  $\alpha$  if and only if  $f$  satisfies the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

The familiar class of the starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ . An extremal function for the class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ , namely the Koebe function of order  $\alpha$ , is defined by:

$$k_\alpha(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1). \tag{1.2}$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{S}^* \equiv \mathcal{S}^*(0)$  the class of the starlike functions. For each  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  we have  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{U}$ . Also, we say that a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is convex of order  $\alpha$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  the class of the convex functions of order  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta$ . Also  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{U}$  where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ . The class of the convex functions in  $\Delta$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}(0)$ . Analytically,  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  if and only if:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

The classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  were introduced by Robertson [8]. Next, we consider the class  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^* \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha^* := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  denote the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta, 0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

It is known that  $\mathcal{S}^*(1/2) \subset \mathcal{R}(1/2)$  for all  $z \in \Delta$  and that the constant  $1/2$  is the best possible; see [2, p. 73].

Rønning (see [10]) introduced a certain subclass of the starlike functions, denoted by  $S_p$ , consisting of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with the following property:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{1.3}$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re}\{\xi\} = |\xi - 1|$  describes a parabola with vertex at  $\xi = 1/2$  and  $(1/2, \infty)$  as symmetry axis, the functions satisfying condition (1.3) are associated with a parabolic region. Also,  $S_p \subset \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$ .

Motivated by the class  $S_p$ , we introduce a new subclass of the starlike functions as follows:

**Definition 1.1** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then we say that a function  $f$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$  if it satisfies the following condition:*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{1.4}$$

We observe that the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$  is a subclass of the starlike functions. It is easy to see that the identity function satisfies inequality (1.4) and thus  $\mathcal{S}_c^* \neq \emptyset$ . In Section 2 we give more examples that satisfy inequality (1.4).

## 2. Examples

First, consider the function  $f_\gamma$  as follows:

$$f_\gamma(z) = z + \gamma z^2 \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (2.1)$$

We are looking for a  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f_\gamma$  belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . With a little calculation, (2.1) implies that

$$\frac{zf'_\gamma(z)}{f_\gamma(z)} = 1 + \frac{\gamma z}{1 + \gamma z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f_\gamma(z)}{z} - 1 = \gamma z \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Now let  $\gamma z = re^{i\theta}$  where  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'_\gamma(z)}{f_\gamma(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\gamma z}{1 + \gamma z} \right\} = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{re^{i\theta}}{1 + re^{i\theta}} \right\} = 1 + \frac{r(r + \cos \theta)}{1 + 2r \cos \theta + r^2}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{f_\gamma(z)}{z} - 1 \right| = |\gamma z| = |re^{i\theta}| = r.$$

Therefore, we are looking for  $r_0$  such that

$$h(x, r) := 1 + \frac{r(r + x)}{1 + 2rx + r^2} - r \geq 0 \quad (0 \leq r < r_0, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad x := \cos \theta).$$

Since  $h$  is an increasing function with respect to  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(-1, r) &= 1 + \frac{r(r - 1)}{1 - 2r + r^2} - r \geq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{1 - 3r + r^2}{1 - r} \geq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow r \in (-\infty, (3 - \sqrt{5})/2] \cup [(3 + \sqrt{5})/2, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently if  $|\gamma| \leq (3 - \sqrt{5})/2 = 0.38\dots$ , then the function (2.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

Next, we consider the function  $f_\beta$  as follows:

$$f_\beta(z) = \frac{z}{1 - \beta z} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (2.2)$$

We will look for some  $\beta$  such that  $f_\beta$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . A simple calculation gives us

$$\frac{zf'_\beta(z)}{f_\beta(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \beta z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f_\beta(z)}{z} - 1 = \frac{\beta z}{1 - \beta z} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

If we let  $\beta z = re^{i\theta}$ , where  $0 \leq r < 1$  and  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'_\beta(z)}{f_\beta(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \beta z} \right\} = \frac{1 - r \cos \theta}{1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{f_{\beta}(z)}{z} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\beta z}{1 - \beta z} \right| = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2}}.$$

Therefore, we are looking for  $r_0$ , such that

$$g(x, r) := \frac{1 - rx}{r\sqrt{1 - 2rx + r^2}} \geq 1 \quad (0 \leq r < r_0, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad x := \cos \theta).$$

It is easy to check that  $g$  attains its minimum with respect to  $x \in [-1, 1]$  at  $x = r$ , so we are looking for  $r_0$  such that

$$g(r) := \frac{1 - r^2}{r\sqrt{1 - r^2}} \geq 1 \quad (0 \leq r < r_0),$$

and this gives  $r_0 = \sqrt{2}/2$ . Therefore, if  $|\beta| \leq \sqrt{2}/2 = 0.707\dots$  exactly, then (2.2) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

The following lemma will be useful.

**Lemma 2.1** (See [6]) *Let  $p(z)$  be an analytic function in  $\Delta$  of the form*

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (c_m \neq 0),$$

with  $p(z) \neq 0$  in  $\Delta$ . If there exists a point  $z_0 \in \Delta$  such that

$$|\arg\{p(z)\}| < \frac{\pi\varphi}{2} \quad \text{for } |z| < |z_0|$$

and

$$|\arg\{p(z_0)\}| = \frac{\pi\varphi}{2}$$

for some  $\varphi > 0$ , then we have

$$\frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = i l \varphi,$$

where

$$l \geq \frac{m}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \geq m \quad \text{when } \arg\{p(z_0)\} = \frac{\pi\varphi}{2} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$l \leq -\frac{m}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \leq -m \quad \text{when } \arg\{p(z_0)\} = -\frac{\pi\varphi}{2}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\{p(z_0)\}^{1/\varphi} = \pm ia \quad \text{and } a > 0.$$

In the next section, we shall investigate some geometric properties of the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

### 3. Main results

We begin this section with the following.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . Then*

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \varphi(z), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\varphi(z) := \frac{1}{1-z} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be in the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . Define

$$p(z) := \frac{f(z)}{z} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore  $p$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  and  $p(0) = 1$ . From (3.3), we obtain

$$1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$ , by relation (3.4) and by definition of  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \\ &\geq \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| = |p(z) - 1| \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}\{1 - p(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} \geq 0 \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (3.5)$$

By making use of the subordination principle, inequality (3.5) results in

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}. \quad (3.6)$$

If we apply Theorem 3.3d, [5, p. 109], then from (3.6) we conclude that

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

where  $q(z)$  is the univalent solution of the differential equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (3.7)$$

Also  $q(z)$  is the best dominant of (3.6). A simple calculation shows that the solution of the differential equation (3.7) is equal to

$$q(z) = \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1-z}{1-tz} \right)^2 dt \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-z} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

concluding the proof. Here, the proof ends.  $\square$

Marx and Stroh acker (see [4, 12]) proved that if  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , then the following implication is sharp:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

The same results of this kind are known as the Marx–Stroh acker problem and they have many applications in complex dynamical systems; see [11, 13]. Following this, we obtain the Marx–Stroh acker problem for the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *If  $f$  given by (1.1) belongs to class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ , then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

*This means that  $\mathcal{S}_c^* \subset \mathcal{R}(1/2)$ .*

**Proof** By (3.1), using the definition of subordination and from

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-z} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Open problem.** Find the largest  $\alpha$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$  implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

From Theorem 3.2 we see that  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ . Furthermore, function (2.2) shows that this  $\alpha$  cannot be greater than  $2 - \sqrt{2} = 0.58\dots$

The following theorem, called the growth theorem, gives upper and lower bounds for  $|f(z)|$ , where  $f$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$ . Then we have*

$$r\varphi(-r) \leq |f(z)| \leq r\varphi(r) \quad (|z| = r < 1), \tag{3.8}$$

where  $\varphi(z)$  is defined in (3.2).

**Proof** Let  $\varphi$  be given by (3.2). If  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$ , then by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \varphi(z).$$

The last subordination relation implies that

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \in \varphi(|z| \leq r) \tag{3.9}$$

for each  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $|z| \leq r$ . Since

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + 2\frac{z}{1-z} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

$\varphi$  is convex univalent in  $\Delta$  and for each  $r \in (0, 1)$  the set  $\varphi(|z| \leq r)$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis. This leads us to the following two-sided inequality:

$$\varphi(-r) \leq |\varphi(z)| \leq \varphi(r), \tag{3.10}$$

where  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $|z| \leq r$ . The assertion now is obtained from (3.9) and (3.10). This is the end of the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . Then*

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha \quad (|z| < (1 - \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)).$$

**Proof** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$ . Then by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

By definition of subordination there exists a Schwarz function  $w$  such that

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{1-w(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Clearly  $w$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and

$$\log \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} = \log \left\{ \frac{1}{1-w(z)} \right\} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.11}$$

We find from the last equation, (3.11), that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + \frac{zw'(z)}{1-w(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.12}$$

It is well known that  $|w(z)| \leq |z|$  (cf. [2]), and also, by the Schwarz–Pick lemma, for a Schwarz function  $w$  the following inequality holds:

$$|w'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |w(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, by  $|w(z)| \leq |z|$  and (3.13), the relation (3.12) implies that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{zw'(z)}{1-w(z)} \right| \leq \frac{|z||w'(z)|}{1-|w(z)|} \leq \frac{|z|}{1-|z|} < 1 - \alpha,$$



provided that  $|z| < \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ . This completes the proof. □

In the sequel, the following lemma (see [3]) (popularly known as Jack’s lemma) will be required.

**Lemma 3.5** *Let the (nonconstant) function  $\omega(z)$  be analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $\omega(0) = 0$ . If  $|\omega(z)|$  attains its maximum value on the circle  $|z| = r < 1$  at a point  $z_0 \in \Delta$ , then*

$$z_0\omega'(z_0) = k\omega(z_0),$$

where  $k$  is a real number and  $k \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.6** *Let the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.14}$$

Then  $f \notin \mathcal{S}_c^*$ . This means that  $\mathcal{S}^*(1/2) \not\subset \mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

**Proof** If the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ , then by the proof of Theorem 3.4 we have

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + \frac{zw'(z)}{1-w(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.15}$$

Suppose now that there exists a point  $z_0 \in \Delta$  such that  $|w(z_0)| = 1$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  when  $|z| < |z_0|$ . If we apply Lemma 3.5, then we have

$$z_0w'(z_0) = kw(z_0) \quad (w(z_0) = e^{it}; t \in \mathbb{R}; k \geq 1). \tag{3.16}$$

Therefore, we find from (3.15) and (3.16) that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z_0f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z_0w'(z_0)}{1-w(z_0)} \right\} = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{k w(z_0)}{1-w(z_0)} \right\} = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{k e^{it}}{1-e^{it}} \right\} = 1 - \frac{k}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (3.14). This completes the proof. □

Actually, there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , a starlike function of order 1/2 such that  $f \notin \mathcal{S}_c^*$ . The functions (2.2) are starlike of order 1/2 for every  $\beta$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , while they are in  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$  only for  $|\beta| \leq \sqrt{2}/2$ .

**Remark 3.7** *Finding some  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_c^* \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  is an open problem. In the sequel, we will answer this problem partially. Indeed, we conjecture that  $\mathcal{S}_c^* \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  when  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ . For this purpose, let  $\gamma = 0.2$  in (2.1). Then the function  $f_{0.2}(z) = z + 0.2z^2$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . A simple calculation gives us*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'_{0.2}(z)}{f_{0.2}(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 + 0.4z}{1 + 0.2z} \right\} > \frac{3}{4} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Therefore,  $f_{0.2}$  is a starlike function of order 3/4. Also, if we let  $\beta = 0.2$  in (2.2), then the function  $f_{0.2}(z) = \frac{z}{1-0.2z}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . We have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'_{0.2}(z)}{f_{0.2}(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - 0.2z} \right\} > 0.83 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

This means that  $f_{0.2} \in \mathcal{S}^*(0.83)$ . These examples show that  $\mathcal{S}_c^* \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  where  $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ . On the other hand, we know that the function  $k_\alpha$  is starlike of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ), where  $k_\alpha$  is defined in (1.2). A simple calculation of (1.2) gives that

$$\frac{zk'_\alpha(z)}{k_\alpha(z)} = 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)\frac{z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \Delta) \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{k_\alpha(z)}{z} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{1}{(1 - z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.18}$$

If  $k_\alpha$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ , then from (3.17), (3.18), and the definition of  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$  we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)\frac{z}{1 - z} \right\} \geq \left| \frac{1}{(1 - z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.19}$$

If the last inequality holds for all  $z \in \Delta$ , then it holds for  $|z| = 1$ , too. Also, for real  $z$  close to 1, we have  $LHS \rightarrow \alpha$ , while  $RHS \rightarrow \infty$ . This shows that there are no  $\alpha \geq 0$  so that  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

In order to estimate the logarithmic coefficients and because  $\varphi$  is univalent, we may rewrite Theorem 3.1 in the following form.

**Theorem 3.8** *If the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ , then*

$$\log \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} \prec -\log \{1 - z\}.$$

The logarithmic coefficients  $\gamma_n$  of  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  are defined by

$$\log \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\gamma_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.20}$$

The sharp upper bounds for the modulus of logarithmic coefficients are known for functions in very few subclasses of  $\mathcal{U}$ . For functions in the class  $\mathcal{S}^*$  we have the sharp inequality  $|\gamma_n| \leq 1/n$  where  $n \geq 1$ , but this is false for the full class  $\mathcal{U}$ , even in order of magnitude. Also, if  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ , then  $|\gamma_n| \leq (1 - \alpha)/n$  where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Since the estimate of the logarithmic coefficients is an important problem in the theory of univalent functions, we shall investigate this problem for the functions in the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

The following lemma is due to Rogosinski [9, 2.3 Theorem X].

**Lemma 3.9** *Let  $q(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n z^n$  be analytic and univalent in  $\Delta$  such that it maps  $\Delta$  onto a convex domain. If  $p(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n z^n$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  and satisfies the subordination  $p(z) \prec q(z)$ , then  $|P_n| \leq |Q_n|$  where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

**Theorem 3.10** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$  and the coefficient of  $\log(f(z)/z)$  is given by (3.20), then*

$$|\gamma_n| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \tag{3.21}$$

The result is sharp.

**Proof** Let the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . Then, by Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\log \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} \prec -\log \{1 - z\}. \tag{3.22}$$

Replacing the Taylor–Maclaurin series on both sides of (3.22) gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\gamma_n z^n \prec \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n}.$$

It is easily seen that the function  $-\log \{1 - z\}$  is convex univalent in  $\Delta$ ; therefore, by Lemma 3.9 we get the inequality (3.21). □

In the sequel, we estimate the initial coefficients of the function  $f$  of the form (1.1) belonging to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ . First, we recall the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.11** (See [1, Lemma 1]) *If  $f$  is a Schwarz function of the form*

$$w(z) = w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + w_3 z^3 + \dots,$$

then

$$|w_2 - tw_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -t, & \text{if } t \leq -1; \\ 1, & \text{if } -1 \leq t \leq 1; \\ t, & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

For  $t < -1$  or  $t > 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $w(z) = z$  or one of its rotations. For  $-1 < t < 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $w(z) = z^2$  or one of its rotations. The equality holds for  $t = -1$  if and only if  $w(z) = z \frac{\lambda+z}{1+\lambda z}$  ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ) or one of its rotations, while for  $t = 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $w(z) = -z \frac{\lambda+z}{1+\lambda z}$  ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ) or one of its rotations.

**Theorem 3.12** *Let  $f$  be of the form (1.1). If  $f$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq 1, \quad |a_3| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |a_4| \leq 1.$$

All inequalities are sharp.

**Proof** Let the function  $f$  be of the form (1.1). Since  $f \in \mathcal{S}_c^*$ , by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{1 - z}.$$

By the definition of subordination there exists a Schwarz function  $w$  with  $w(z) = w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + w_3 z^3 + \dots$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  so that

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{1 - w(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

or equivalently,

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1 - w(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{3.23}$$

By substituting the Taylor series of  $f$  and  $w$  in (3.23) and comparing the coefficients, we obtain

$$a_2 = w_1, \quad a_3 = w_2 + w_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_4 = w_3 + 2w_1w_2 + w_1^3. \quad (3.24)$$

Since  $|w_1| \leq 1$  (see [7, p. 128]), we get  $|a_2| \leq 1$ . In order to estimate  $a_3$ , we apply Lemma 3.11. However, we have

$$|a_3| = |w_2 + w_1^2| = |w_2 - (-1)w_1^2| \leq 1.$$

Prokhorov and Szynal in [7, Lemma 2] proved that if  $(\mu, \nu) = (2, 1)$ , then  $|w_3 + \mu w_1 w_2 + \nu w_1^3| \leq 1$ . Therefore,

$$|a_4| = |w_3 + 2w_1w_2 + w_1^3| \leq 1.$$

This completes the proof. □

The problem of finding sharp upper bounds for the coefficient functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  ( $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ) for different subclasses of class  $\mathcal{A}$  is known as the Fekete–Szegő problem. Next, we study this problem for the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ .

**Theorem 3.13** *If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  of the form (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_c^*$ , then for any complex number  $\mu$*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \mu, & \text{if } \mu \leq 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq \mu \leq 2; \\ \mu - 1, & \text{if } \mu \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*The result is sharp.*

**Proof** By use of Lemma 3.11 and (3.24), the proof is obtained. □

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions.

### References

- [1] Ali RM, Ravichandran V, Seenivasagan N. Coefficient bounds for  $p$ -valent functions. Applied Mathematics and Computation 2007; 187 (1): 35-46. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2006.08.100
- [2] Duren PL. Univalent Functions. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [3] Jack IS. Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$ . Journal of the London Mathematical Society 1971; 3 (2): 469-474. doi: 10.1112/jlms/s2-3.3.469
- [4] Marx A. Untersuchungen über schlichte Abbildungen. Mathematische Annalen 1933; 107 (1): 40-67 (in German).
- [5] Miller SS, Mocanu PT. Differential Subordinations, Theory and Applications. Series of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, 2000.
- [6] Nunokawa M. On properties of non-Carathéodory functions. Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences 1992; 68 (6): 152-153. doi: 10.3792/pjaa.68.152
- [7] Prokhorov DV, Szynal J. Inverse coefficients for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -convex functions. Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Sectio A - Mathematica 1984; 35: 125-143.
- [8] Robertson MIS. On the theory of univalent functions. Annals of Mathematics 1936; 37 (2): 374-408. doi: 10.2307/1968451

- [9] Rogosinski W. On the coefficients of subordinate functions. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 1943; 2 (1): 48-82. doi: 10.1112/plms/s2-48.1.48
- [10] Rønning F. Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 1993; 118 (1): 189-196. doi: 10.2307/2160026
- [11] Shoikhet D. *Semigroups in Geometrical Function Theory*. Berlin, Germany: Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [12] Strohäcker E. Beiträge zur Theorie der schlichten Funktionen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 1933; 37 (1): 356-380 (in German).
- [13] Tuneski N, Nunokawa M, Jolevska-Tuneska B. A Marx-Strohacker type result for close-to-convex functions. In: Agranovsky M, Golberg A, Jacobzon F, Shoikhet D, Zalcman L (editors). *Complex Analysis and Dynamical Systems. Trends in Mathematics*. Basel, Switzerland: Birkhäuser, 2018.