

1-1-2019

## Multiplicative Lie algebras

GARY L. WALLS

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

WALLS, GARY L. (2019) "Multiplicative Lie algebras," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 43: No. 6, Article 19. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1904-55>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol43/iss6/19>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## Multiplicative Lie algebras

Gary L. WALLS\* 

Department of Mathematics, Southeastern Louisiana University Hammond, Louisiana, USA

Received: 08.04.2019

Accepted/Published Online: 15.10.2019

Final Version: 22.11.2019

**Abstract:** A multiplicative Lie algebra is a group together with a “bracket function” that satisfies the basic properties of the commutator function. This paper investigates the construction of such functions.

**Key words:** Lie algebra, perfect group, Lie product

### 1. Introduction

In his paper [1], Graham Ellis defined the concept of a multiplicative Lie algebra. According to his definition we have the following.

**Definition 1.1** *A multiplicative Lie algebra consists of a group  $G$  together with a bracket function  $\{, \} : G \times G \rightarrow G$  (called a Lie product) satisfying the following identities for all  $x, y, z \in G$ :*

1.  $\{x, x\} = 1$ ,
2.  $\{x, yz\} = \{x, y\} {}^y\{x, z\}$ ,
3.  $\{xy, z\} = {}^x\{y, z\}\{x, z\}$ ,
4.  $\{\{y, x\}, {}^x z\}\{\{x, z\}, {}^z y\}\{\{z, y\}, {}^y x\} = 1$ ,
5.  ${}^z\{x, y\} = \{z x, z y\}$ .

In this definition,  ${}^y x$  is short for  $yx y^{-1}$ ,  $[x, y]$  is the commutator  $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ , and (iv) is a Jacobi–Witt–Hall type identity. The study of such properties began in the papers by MacDonald and Neumann ([2], [3]), who were interested in the interrelationships between various commutator laws. Graham Ellis was interested in showing that any universal commutator identity was a consequence of the identities in the above definition.

The papers by MacDonald and Neumann claimed to give a set of commutator identities from which all universal commutator identities can be deduced. However, they assumed an identity of the form  $\{\{x, y\}, z\} = \{xyx^{-1}y^{-1}, z\}$  and they defined conjugation in terms of the commutator they had defined.

\*Correspondence: gary.walls@selu.edu

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F40, 20F12

## 2. Preliminaries

From [1] the results of the following theorem are easy consequences of Definition 1.1. We include the proofs for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a group. Then, for all  $x, y, z, a, b \in G$ , we have the following:*

1.  $\{1, x\} = \{x, 1\} = 1$ ,
2.  $\{x, y\} = \{y, x\}^{-1}$ ,
3.  $\{x, y\}\{a, b\} = [x, y]\{a, b\}$  (in particular we have that  $\{x, y\}$  and  $[x, y]$  must commute),
4.  $\{x^{-1}, y\} = x^{-1}\{x, y\}^{-1}$  and  $\{x, y^{-1}\} = y^{-1}\{x, y\}^{-1}$ ,
5.  $\{[x, y], z\} = \{[x, y], z\}$ .

### Proof

1. Now  $1 = \{1, x\} = \{1 \cdot 1, x\} = \{1, x\}\{1, x\} = \{1, x\}^2$ . It follows that  $1 = \{1, x\}$ . Similarly,  $\{x, 1\} = 1$ .

2. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \{xy, xy\} &= {}^x\{y, xy\}\{x, xy\} \\ &= {}^x(\{y, x\} {}^x\{y, y\})\{x, x\} {}^x\{x, y\} \\ &= {}^x\{y, x\} {}^x\{x, y\} = {}^x(\{y, x\}\{x, y\}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\{y, x\}\{x, y\} = 1$ , giving the result.

3. For this proof we need to compute  $\{xa, yb\}$  in two different ways. First we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{xa, yb\} &= {}^x\{a, yb\}\{x, yb\} \\ &= {}^x(\{a, y\} {}^y\{a, b\})\{x, y\} {}^y\{x, b\} \\ &= {}^x\{a, y\} {}^{xy}\{a, b\}\{x, y\} {}^y\{x, b\}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{xa, yb\} &= \{xa, y\} {}^y\{xa, b\} \\ &= {}^x\{a, y\}\{x, y\} {}^y({}^x\{a, b\}\{x, b\}) \\ &= {}^x\{a, y\}\{x, y\} {}^{yx}\{a, b\} {}^y\{x, b\}. \end{aligned}$$

Canceling like terms gives

$${}^{xy}\{a, b\}\{x, y\} = \{x, y\} {}^{yx}\{a, b\}.$$

Now, replacing  $a$  by  $a^{x^{-1}y^{-1}}$  and  $b$  by  $b^{x^{-1}y^{-1}}$  gives

$$[x, y]\{a, b\}\{x, y\} = \{x, y\}\{a, b\}.$$

Thus,

$${}^{[x,y]} \{a, b\} = {}^{\{x,y\}} \{a, b\},$$

as required.

4. Now

$$1 = \{x^{-1}x, y\} = {}^{x^{-1}} \{x, y\} \{x^{-1}, y\}.$$

It follows that  $\{x^{-1}, y\} = {}^{x^{-1}} \{x, y\}^{-1}$ . Similarly,  $\{x, y^{-1}\} = {}^{y^{-1}} \{x, y\}^{-1}$ .

5. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \{[x, y], z\} &= \{x, y\} {}^z \{x, y\}^{-1} \text{ and by 4} \\ &= \{x, y\} {}^{zx} \{x^{-1}, y\} \\ &= \{x, y\} {}^{[z,x]xz} \{x^{-1}, y\} \text{ and by 3} \\ &= \{x, y\} {}^{\{z,x\}xz} \{x^{-1}, y\} \\ &= \{x, y\} \{x, z\}^{-1} {}^{xz} \{x^{-1}, y\} \{x, z\} \text{ again by 4} \\ &= \{x, y\} {}^x \{x^{-1}, z\} {}^{xz} \{x^{-1}, y\} \{x, z\} \\ &= \{x, y\} {}^x (\{x^{-1}, z\} {}^z \{x^{-1}, y\}) \{x, z\} \\ &= \{x, y\} {}^x \{x^{-1}, zy\} \{x, z\} \text{ again by 4} \\ &= {}^x \{x^{-1}, y\}^{-1} {}^x \{x^{-1}, yz\} \{x, z\} \\ &= {}^x \{x^{-1}, y\}^{-1} {}^x \{x^{-1}, y(y^{-1}zy)\} \{x, z\} \\ &= {}^x \{x^{-1}, y\} {}^x (\{x^{-1}, y\}^y \{x^{-1}, y^{-1}zy\}) \{x, z\} \\ &= {}^{xy} \{x^{-1}, y^{-1}zy\} \{x, z\} \\ &= {}^x \{yx^{-1}y^{-1}, z\} \{x, z\} \\ &= \{xyx^{-1}y^{-1}, z\} = \{[x, y], z\}, \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Now let us look at some examples.

**Example 2.2** Let  $G$  be a group. We can make  $G$  into a multiplicative Lie algebra by defining either for all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $\{x, y\} = 1$  or for all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $\{x, y\} = [x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ . If these are the only possible Lie products that can be defined on  $G$ , we say the trivial consequence holds for  $G$ .

**Example 2.3** Any Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a multiplicative Lie algebra with  $\{x, y\}$  defined to be the ordinary Lie bracket.

**Example 2.4** (Ellis [1]) Let  $E$  be a group and let  $P = \frac{E}{Z(E)}$ . Define an action of  $P$  on  $E$  by for  $u \in E, x \in P$  (letting  $x = \bar{x}Z(E), \bar{x} \in E$ ),  ${}^x u = \bar{x}u\bar{x}^{-1}$ . Let  $G$  be the semidirect product of  $E$  by  $P$  using the above action. Then,  $\{(u_1, x_1), (u_2, x_2)\} = ([u_1\bar{x}_1, u_2\bar{x}_2])$  defines a Lie product on  $G$ , which is in general different from the usual commutator defined on  $G$ .

**Example 2.5** In general, suppose that  $G$  is a group,  $H \leq G$ , and  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism so that for all  $x \in G, x^{-1}f(x) \in C_G(H)$  (note that if  $G = H \times K$ , then  $\pi_H$ , the projection function onto  $H$ , is such a homomorphism). Then defining for all  $x, y \in G \{x, y\} = [f(x), f(y)]$  gives a Lie product on  $G$ . Furthermore, if  $H \leq G$  and  $G = HC_G(H)$ , we can define a Lie product on  $G$  by defining for  $x = h_1k_1$  and  $y = h_2k_2$  with  $h_1, h_2 \in H, k_1, k_2 \in K, \{x, y\} = [h_1, h_2]$ .

**Example 2.6** Let  $G = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$  and suppose that  $x \in G$  is such that  $|x|$  divides both  $|a|$  and  $|b|$  (here we are assuming that anything will divide infinity). Now we can define a Lie product on  $G$  by  $\{a^{i_1}b^{j_1}, a^{i_2}b^{j_2}\} = x^{i_1j_2-i_2j_1}$ .

Here are a few remarks.

**Remark 2.7** If  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the additive group of rational numbers, then if  $\{, \}$  is a Lie product defined on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we must have for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}, \{x, y\} = 0$ .

**Remark 2.8** Let  $F$  be any free group. Then, if  $\{, \}$  is a Lie product defined on  $F$ , we must have either for all  $x, y \in F, \{x, y\} = 1$  or for all  $x, y \in F, \{x, y\} = xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = [x, y]$ . That is, the trivial consequence must hold for free groups.

The results of the last two remarks could be determined directly from the definition of a Lie product, but as they will follow from some general results given later, their proofs are omitted for now. The last remark is actually found in [1] and in [3]. These two remarks serve to motivate the following question.

**Question** For which groups must the trivial consequence hold?

### 3. Some results

Note that any subgroup of a group that can be defined in terms of commutators will have an analog defined by a given Lie product. We will indicate (in general) these subgroups by using script in the usual notations. Thus, if  $G$  is a group and  $\{, \}$  is a Lie product of  $G$ , we define  $\mathcal{G}' := \langle \{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in G\} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(G) := \{y \in G \mid \{x, y\} = 1 \text{ for all } x \in G\}$ . Note that both  $\mathcal{G}'$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(G)$  are normal subgroups of  $G$ . Also, if  $H$  and  $K$  are subsets of  $G$ , we define  $\{H, K\} := \langle \{\{H, K\} \mid h \in H, k \in K\} \rangle$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{G}' = \{G, G\}$ .

The next result is a slight extension of the above remarks:

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $G$  be a group with Lie product  $\{, \}$ . Then we must have:

1.  $\{C_G(\mathcal{G}'), \mathcal{G}'\} = 1$ ,
2. for all  $x, y \in G \{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y] \in C_G(\mathcal{G}')$ ,
3.  $G' \leq \mathcal{G}'C_G(\mathcal{G}')$ ,
4. For all  $a, b, c, d \in G, \{\{a, b\}^{-1}[a, b], \{c, d\}^{-1}[c, d]\} = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}\{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}^{-1}$ ,
5. If  $\{C_G(\mathcal{G}), C_G(\mathcal{G})\} = 1$ , then for all  $a, b, c, d \in G$ , we get  $\{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\} = [\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}]$ .

**Proof**

1. From Theorem 2.1 parts 5 and 2 we know that  $\{x, [a, b]\} = [x, \{a, b\}]$  for all  $x, a, b \in G$ . Now if  $x \in C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  we get  $\{x, [a, b]\} = 1$ . The result follows.
2. This follows directly from Theorem 2.1 3.
3. This follows from 2.
4. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \{\{a, b\}^{-1}[a, b], \{c, d\}^{-1}[c, d]\} &= \{a, b\}^{-1} \{[a, b], \{c, d\}^{-1}[c, d]\} \{\{a, b\}^{-1}, \{c, d\}^{-1}[c, d]\} \\ &= \{\{a, b\}^{-1}, \{c, d\}^{-1}[c, d]\} \text{ by 1 and 2} \\ &= \{\{a, b\}^{-1}, \{c, d\}^{-1}\} \{c, d\}^{-1} \{\{a, b\}^{-1}, [c, d]\} \text{ by Theorem 2.1 4} \\ &= \{a, b\}^{-1} \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}^{-1}\}^{-1} \{c, d\}^{-1} \{a, b\}^{-1} \{\{a, b\}, [c, d]\}^{-1} \text{ by Theorem 2.1 2} \\ &= \{a, b\}^{-1} \{c, d\}^{-1} \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\} \{c, d\}^{-1} \{a, b\}^{-1} \{\{a, b\}, [c, d]\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $\{a, b\}^{-1}[a, b]$  and  $\{c, d\}^{-1}[c, d]$  are in  $C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{\{a, b\}^{-1}[a, b], \{c, d\}^{-1}[c, d]\} &= \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\} \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\} \{\{a, b\}, [c, d]\}^{-1} \\ &= \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\} \{\{a, b\}, [c, d]\}^{-1} \\ &= \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\} \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}^{-1} \text{ by using Theorem 2.1 5.} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used the fact from Theorem 2.1 3 that  $\{x, y\}$  and  $[x, y]$  must commute.

5. This follows from 4.

□

We can use this result to prove the remark about free groups (Remark 2.8).

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $F$  be a free group. Then, if  $\{, \}$  is a Lie product defined on  $F$ , we must have either for all  $x, y \in F, \{x, y\} = 1$  or for all  $x, y \in F, \{x, y\} = xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = [x, y]$ .*

**Proof** The important fact that we need about (nonabelian) free groups is that the centralizer of a nontrivial normal subgroup must be trivial. Note that Theorem 2.1 3 implies that for all  $a, b, x, y \in F, \{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y] \in C_G(\{a, b\})$ . Hence, we must have for all  $x, y \in F, \{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y] \in C_F(\mathcal{F}')$ .

It follows that either  $\mathcal{F}' = 1$  and thus for all  $x, y \in F, \{x, y\} = 1$  or  $C_F(\mathcal{F}') = 1$  and thus for all  $x, y \in F, \{x, y\} = [x, y]$ . □

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a group having the following property:*

*for all  $1 \neq H \triangleleft G$  so that  $G' \leq HC_G(H)$  we must have  $C_G(H) = 1$ . (\*)*

*Then the trivial consequence must hold for  $G$ .*

**Proof** From Lemma 3.1 3 we have  $G' \leq \mathcal{G}'C_G(\mathcal{G}')$ . The property (\*) now implies that either  $\mathcal{G}' = 1$  and for all  $x, y \in G, \{x, y\} = 1$  or  $C_G(\mathcal{G}') = 1$ , which implies, as above, for all  $x, y \in G, \{x, y\} = [x, y]$ , as required.  $\square$

The next result is a slight extension of the following results.

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $G$  be a group and suppose that  $\{, \}$  is a Lie product defined on  $G$ . Define  $\ell : G \times G \rightarrow C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  by for all  $x, y \in G, \ell(x, y) = \{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y]$ . Then  $\ell$  satisfies properties 1, 2, 3, and 5 of the definition of a Lie product, Definition 1.1. Furthermore,  $\ell_1 = \ell|_{C_G(\mathcal{G}' )}$  is a Lie product on  $C_G(\mathcal{G}' )$ .*

**Proof** We show that  $\ell$  and  $\ell_1$  satisfy the appropriate conditions.

For all  $x, y, z \in G$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) \quad \ell(x, x) &= \{x, x\}^{-1}[x, x] = 1, \\
 (ii) \quad \ell(x, yz) &= \{x, yz\}^{-1}[x, yz] = (\{x, y\}^y \{x, z\})^{-1}([\{x, y\}^y [x, z]]) \\
 &= {}^y \{x, z\}^{-1}(\{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y])^y [x, z] \\
 &= (\{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y])^y (\{x, z\}^{-1}[x, z]) \\
 &= \ell(x, y)^y \ell(x, z), \\
 (iii) \quad \ell(xy, z) &= {}^x \ell(y, z) \ell(x, z) \text{ is similar to (ii),} \\
 (v) \quad {}^z \ell(x, y) &= {}^z (\{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y]) = \{{}^z x, {}^z y\}^{-1} [{}^z x, {}^z y] \\
 &= \ell({}^z x, {}^z y).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In the next part of the proof we are assuming that  $x, y, z \in C_G(\mathcal{G}' )$ .

(iv) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell(\ell(y, x), {}^x z) &= \ell(\{y, x\}^{-1}[y, x], {}^x z) \\
 &= \{y, x\}^{-1} \ell([y, x], {}^x z) \ell(\{y, x\}^{-1}, {}^x z) \\
 &= \ell([y, x], {}^x z) \ell(\{y, x\}^{-1}, {}^x z) \\
 &= \{[y, x], {}^x z\}^{-1} [[y, x], {}^x z] \{ \{y, x\}^{-1}, {}^x z \} \{ \{y, x\}^{-1}, {}^x z \} \\
 &= \text{by Proposition 2.14} \\
 &= \{ \{y, x\}, {}^x z \}^{-1} [[y, x], {}^x z] \{ \{y, x\}^{-1}, {}^x z \} \{ \{y, x\}, {}^x z \} \\
 &= \text{by Proposition 2.14, 5} \\
 &= [[y, x], {}^x z] \{ \{y, x\}, {}^x z \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Thus,} \quad \ell(\ell(y, x), {}^x z) \ell(\ell(x, z), {}^z y) \ell(\ell(z, y), {}^y x) &= \\
 &= [[y, x], {}^x z] \{ \{y, x\}, {}^x z \} [[x, z], {}^z y] \{ \{x, z\}, {}^z y \} [[z, y], {}^y x] \{ \{z, y\}, {}^y x \} \\
 &= [[y, x], {}^x z] [[x, z], {}^z y] [[z, y], {}^y x] \{ \{y, x\}, {}^x z \} \{ \{x, z\}, {}^z y \} \{ \{z, y\}, {}^y x \} = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that we are using the fact that if  $x \in C_G(\mathcal{G}' )$ , then  $[[a, b], x] \in C_G(\mathcal{G}' )$ .

$\square$

**Corollary 3.5** *Let  $G$  be a group and suppose that  $\{, \}$  is a Lie product defined on  $G$ . Assume that  $C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  is an abelian group for which the trivial consequence must hold. (That is, we must have  $\{C_G(\mathcal{G}'), C_G(\mathcal{G}')\} = 1$ .) Then, for all  $x, y \in C_G(\mathcal{G}')\mathcal{G}'$ , we must have  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]$ . Furthermore, if  $G$  is a perfect group, then for all  $x, y \in G$ , we must have  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]$ .*

**Proof** Since  $\ell(x, y) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in C(\mathcal{G}')$ , we must have for all  $a, b, c, d \in G$  that  $\{\{a, b\}^{-1}[a, b], \{c, d\}^{-1}[c, d]\} = 1$ . Some simple calculations similar to the above calculations (see Theorem 3.1 3) give that for all  $a, b, c, d \in G$ ,  $\{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\} = [\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}]$ .

It then follows that for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{G}'$ ,  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]$ . Now some easy calculations give that for all  $x, y \in C_G(\mathcal{G}')\mathcal{G}'$ ,  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]$ . The last comment follows from the fact that  $G' \leq C_G(\mathcal{G}')\mathcal{G}'$  (Theorem 3.1 2).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6** *Suppose that  $G$  is a perfect group and  $C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  is abelian. Then  $C_G(\mathcal{G}') = Z(G)$ .*

**Proof** Since  $G$  is perfect, we have from Theorem 3.1 3 that  $G = C_G(\mathcal{G}')\mathcal{G}'$ . It follows that  $[G, C_G(\mathcal{G}')] = [C_G(\mathcal{G}'), C_G(\mathcal{G}')] = 1$ . The result follows.  $\square$

This result was an inspiration for the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7** *Let  $G$  be a group and suppose that there is a function  $g : \frac{G}{G'} \times \frac{G}{G'} \rightarrow Z(G)$  that satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in \frac{G}{G'}$ :*

1.  $g(xy, z) = g(x, z)g(y, z)$ ,
2.  $g(x, yz) = g(x, y)g(x, z)$ ,
3.  $g(x, x) = 1$ ,
4.  $g(g(y, x)G', {}^x y)g(g(x, z)G', {}^z y)g(g(z, y)G', {}^y x) = 1$ .

*Then, for all  $x, y \in G$ , we can define  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]g(xG', yG')$ . This defines a Lie product on  $G$ . Furthermore, if  $G$  is a group having a Lie product such that  $C_G(\mathcal{G}') = Z(G)$ , then the Lie product on  $G$  must have arisen in this way.*

**Proof** First, we will show that if  $g$  has the desired properties, then  $\{, \}$  does satisfy the properties to be a Lie product.

1.  $\{x, x\} = [x, x]g(xG', xG') = 1$ ,

- 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \{xy, z\} &= [xy, z]g(xyG', zG') = {}^x[y, z][x, z]g(xG', zG')g(yG', zG') \\ &= {}^x([y, z]g(yG', zG'))[x, z]g(xG', zG') = {}^x\{y, z\}\{x, z\}, \end{aligned}$$

3.  $\{x, yz\} = \{x, y\} {}^y\{x, z\}$  and the proof is similar to the proof of 2.



4. Now notice that

$$\{\{y, x\},^x z\} = \{[y, x]g(yG', xG',^x z)\} \tag{3.2}$$

$$= \{g(yG', xG')[y, x],^x z\} \tag{3.3}$$

$$= g(yG', xG')\{\{y, x\},^x z\} \tag{3.4}$$

$$= [[y, x],^x z]g([y, x]G', zG')\{g(yG', xG')G',^x zG'\} \tag{3.5}$$

$$= [[y, x],^x z]g(yG', xG')G',^x zG', \tag{3.6}$$

where we have used the fact that  $g(1, x) = 1$ .

Using similar reasoning we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\{y, x\},^x z\}\{\{x, z\},^x y\}\{\{z, y\},^y x\} &= [[y, x],^x z]g(yG', xG'),^x zG' \\ &\quad [[x, z],^z y]g(xG', zG'),^z yG' \\ &\quad [[z, y],^y x]g(zG', yG'),^y xG' \\ &= [[y, x],^x z][[x, z],^z y][[z, y],^y x] \\ &\quad g(yG', xG')G',^x zG'g(xG', zG')G',^z yG' \\ &\quad g(zG', yG')G',^y xG' = 1, \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

5.  $\{^z x,^z y\} = [^z x,^z y]g(^z xG',^z yG') = [x, y]g(xG', yG') = \{x, y\}$ . Thus, we have shown that  $\{, \}$  has all the properties to be a Lie product.

Now suppose that  $G$  is a group having a Lie product defined on it and so  $C_G(\mathcal{G}') = Z(G)$ . Define  $\ell : G \times G \rightarrow Z(G)$  by  $\ell(x, y) = \{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y]$  as in Theorem 3.4. Now by Theorem 3.4 we know that for all  $x, y, z \in G$  we have  $\ell(x, x) = 1, \ell(xy, z) = \ell(x, z)\ell(y, z), \ell(x, yz) = \ell(x, y)\ell(y, z)$ . It follows that  $\ell(x, 1) = \ell(1, x) = 1$  and  $\ell(x^{-1}, y) = \ell(x, y^{-1}) = \ell(x, y)^{-1}$ . Hence,  $\ell(x, [y, z]) = 1$ . Thus, if  $x \in G$  and  $w \in G'$ , then  $\ell(x, w) = \ell(w, x) = 1$ .

We define  $g : \frac{G}{G'} \times \frac{G}{G'} \rightarrow Z(G)$  by saying for all  $x, y \in G$ , that  $g(xG', yG') = \ell(x, y)^{-1}$ . Note that if  $xG' = x_1G'$  and  $yG' = y_1G'$ , then  $x_1^{-1}x, y_1^{-1}y \in G'$ . It follows that  $\ell(x_1, y_1) = \ell(x, y_1) = \ell(x, y)$  and hence  $G$  is well defined. Now using the properties of  $\ell$  and the fact that  $g$  maps into the center, it is easy to check that  $g$  satisfies the appropriate conditions above.

Hence, for all  $x, y \in G, g(xG', yG')^{-1} = \ell(x, y) = \{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y]$ . It follows that  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]g(xG', yG')$  is defined as in the theorem, as required. □

**Remark 3.8** *If  $G$  is a dihedral group of order  $2^n$ , then the function  $g$  of Theorem 3.7 can be viewed as a homomorphism from the alternating tensor square of  $\frac{G}{G'} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  into  $Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . As the alternating tensor square of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a module over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of dimension 3, we can construct functions  $g$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.7. It follows that the trivial consequence can never hold for any dihedral 2-group.*

**Remark 3.9** *If  $G$  is an abelian group, then for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  we must have  $C_G(H) = Z(G) = G$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.7 all possible Lie products must arise as in the theorem. Notice that all such functions  $g$  must factor through the alternating tensor square of  $G$ . It follows that if  $G$  is an abelian group with trivial alternating square (such as a rank 1 group), then the trivial consequence must hold for  $G$ .*

The following corollary is a slight extension of Corollary 3.5

**Corollary 3.10** *Let  $1 \neq G$  be a perfect group with a Lie product  $\{, \}$  so that  $C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  is abelian. Then, for all  $x, y \in G$ , we have  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]$ .*

**Proof** We know from Lemma 3.1 3 that  $G' \leq C_G(\mathcal{G}')\mathcal{G}'$ . Thus,  $G = C_G(\mathcal{G}')\mathcal{G}'$ . Since  $G$  is perfect and  $C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  is abelian, we must have  $G = \mathcal{G}'$ . Hence,  $C_G(\mathcal{G}') = Z(G)$ . Now the result follows from Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

The next result allows us to determine the possible Lie products for perfect groups.

**Theorem 3.11** *Suppose that  $G$  is a perfect group with Lie product  $\{, \}$ . Then there is a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  that is perfect so that  $G = HC_G(H)$  (that is,  $G$  is a central product), and for all  $x, y \in G$  with  $x = h_1k_1, y = h_2k_2, h_1, h_2 \in H, k_1, k_2 \in C_G(H)$ , we have  $\{x, y\} = [h_1, h_2]$ .*

**Proof** Let  $H = \mathcal{G}', K = C_G(\mathcal{G}')$ . As above, since  $G$  is perfect,  $G = HK$ . Note that  $H', K' \triangleleft G$ , and since  $\frac{G}{H'K'}$  is abelian, we get  $G = H'K'$ . Now for  $x, y \in G$ , we can write  $x = h_1k_1, y = h_2k_2$  with  $h_1, h_2 \in H'$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in K'$ . This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, y\} = \{h_1k_1, h_2k_2\} &= {}^{h_1}\{k_1, h_2k_2\}\{h_1, h_2k_2\} \\ \text{by Lemma 3.1} &= \{h_1, h_2k_2\} \\ &= \{h_1, h_2\} {}^{h_2}\{k_1, k_2\} \\ \text{again by Lemma 3.1} &= \{h_1, h_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that we have from Theorem 2.1 5 that  $\{[x, y], h_2\} = \{[x, y], h_2\} \in H'$  and so it follows that in the above equations  $\{h_1, h_2\} \in H'$ . Thus, for all  $x, y \in G$ , we have  $\{x, y\} \in H'$ . Hence,  $H = H'$  and  $H$  is perfect. Also note that  $\{, \}$  defines a Lie product on the group  $H$  and with respect to this Lie product that  $\mathcal{H}' = \{H, H\} = H$ . It follows that  $C_H(\mathcal{H}') = Z(H)$ . Now by Corollary 3.10 we must have for all  $x, y \in H$ , that  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]$ , as required.  $\square$

We give one more result and a few corollaries. This next result gives further information about the structure of  $C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  for a group with a Lie product. In this result we again let  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \{g \in G \mid \{g, x\} = 1, \text{ for all } x \in G\}$ .

**Theorem 3.12** *Let  $G$  be a group with Lie product  $\{, \}$ . Then  $\frac{C_G(\mathcal{G}')}{\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of*

*$\prod_{y \in G} (C_G(\mathcal{G}') \cap C_G(G'))$ . In particular,  $\frac{C_G(\mathcal{G}')}{\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})}$  must be nilpotent of class  $\leq 2$ .*

**Proof** Note that for all  $a, b, y \in G, x \in C_G(\mathcal{G}')$ , we have by Theorem 2.1 5 that  $\{[y, x], [a, b]\} = \{[y, x], [a, b]\} = [[y, x], [a, b]] = 1$ , as  $[y, x] \in C_G(\mathcal{G}')$ . It follows that  $\{y, x\} \in C_G(G')$ . Furthermore,  $\{y, x\} \in C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  since both  $[y, x]$  and  $\{x, y\}^{-1}[x, y] \in C_G(\mathcal{G}')$ , by Lemma 3.1 2. Now we can define for all  $y \in G, T_y : C_G(\mathcal{G}') \rightarrow C_G(\mathcal{G}') \cap C_G(G')$  by  $T_y(x) = \{y, x\}$ . Note that for all  $y \in G$  we have  $T_y(x_1x_2) = \{y, x_1x_2\} = \{y, x_1\} {}^{x_1}\{y, x_2\} = \{y, x_1\}\{y, x_2\}$  and we have that each  $T_y$  is a homomorphism. Notice that

$$\bigcap_{y \in G} \ker(T_y) = \{x \in C_G(\mathcal{G}') \mid \{y, x\} = 1, \text{ for all } y \in G\} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}).$$

Note that it is clear that  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \leq C_G(\mathcal{G}')$ . The result now follows as  $C_G(\mathcal{G}')$  is nilpotent of class  $\leq 2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13** *Let  $G$  be a group having a Lie product  $\{, \}$  so that  $C_G(\mathcal{G}') \cap C_G(G') = 1$ . Then for all  $x \in G, y \in \mathcal{G}'$ , we must have  $\{x, y\} = [x, y]$ .*

**Proof** By Theorem 3.12 we have  $C_G(\mathcal{G}') = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ . It follows that for all  $a, b, c \in G$  we have  $\{\{a, b\}^{-1}[a, b], c\} = 1$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \{\{a, b\}^{-1}[a, b], c\} \\ &= \{^{a,b}\}^{-1}\{[a, b], c\}\{\{a, b\}^{-1}, c\} \\ \text{by lemma 2.1 4} &= \{^{a,b}\}^{-1}\{[a, b], c\}\{^{a,b}\}^{-1}\{\{a, b\}, c\}^{-1} \\ &= \{^{a,b}\}^{-1}(\{[a, b], c\}\{\{a, b\}, c\}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\{[a, b], c\} = \{\{a, b\}, c\}$ . Now using Lemma 2.1 5 we get

$$\{[a, b], c\} = \{\{a, b\}, c\}.$$

The result now follows from a simple calculation.  $\square$

### References

- [1] Ellis GJ. On five well-known commutator identities. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society* 1993; 54: 1-19.
- [2] MacDonald ID, Neumann BH. On commutator laws in groups. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society* 1988; 43: 95-103.
- [3] MacDonald ID, Neumann BH. On commutator laws in groups, 2. *Contemporary Mathematics* 1990; 109: 113-129.