

1-1-2020

Stability in Commutative Rings

BAŐAK AY SAYLAM

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)


Recommended Citation

SAYLAM, BAŐAK AY (2020) "Stability in Commutative Rings," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 44: No. 3, Article 13. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1911-101>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol44/iss3/13>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Stability in Commutative Rings

Başak AY SAYLAM* 

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, İzmir Institute of Technology, İzmir, Turkey

Received: 02.12.2019

Accepted/Published Online: 20.03.2020

Final Version: 08.05.2020

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with zero-divisors and I an ideal of R . I is said to be *ES-stable* if $JI = I^2$ for some invertible ideal $J \subseteq I$, and I is said to be a *weakly ES-stable ideal* if there is an invertible fractional ideal J and an idempotent fractional ideal E of R such that $I = JE$. We prove useful facts for weakly ES-stability and investigate this stability in Noetherian-like settings. Moreover, we discuss a question of A. Mimouni on locally weakly ES-stable rings: is a locally weakly ES-stable domain of finite character weakly ES-stable?

Key words: Weakly ES-stable rings, Prüfer rings, H-local rings, local-global rings, Noetherian rings

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with zero divisors. We call an element of R *regular* if it is not a zero-divisor. Let $Reg(R)$ denote the monoid of regular elements of R and $Q(R) = Q$ denote the total ring of fractions R . We note that $Q = (Reg(R))^{-1}R$. Let \tilde{R} denote the integral closure of R in $Q(R)$. We say that an ideal I of R is *regular* if I contains a regular element of R . We note that every invertible fractional ideal of R is finitely generated and regular. For a prime ideal P of R , we set $R_{(P)} = (Reg(R) \setminus P)^{-1}R \subseteq Q$.

We say that R is of *finite character* or *has finite character* if every $x \in Reg(R)$ is contained in at most finitely many maximal ideals of R . We call a ring R is *h-local* if R has finite character and every nonzero regular prime ideal of R is contained in a unique maximal ideal. We say that R is *local-global* if every polynomial over R in finitely many indeterminates which represents units locally, assumes a unit value when evaluated at properly chosen elements of R [10, V.4]. Rings of Krull dimension 0 and semilocal rings are local-global. A ring is *almost local-global* if every of its proper factor ring is local-global. We note that domains of finite character are almost local-global.

For the ideals I and J of R , the colon ideal $(I : J)$ is defined to be $\{q \in Q : qJ \subseteq I\}$. For the ideals I and J of the ring R , with J regular, the natural map from $(I : J)$ to $Hom_R(J, I)$ is an isomorphism [2, Lemma 1.1]. Thus, the endomorphism ring of a regular ideal I , $End_R(I) = (I : I)$. Furthermore, for a regular ideal I , the inverse of I in R , I^{-1} coincides with $(R : I)$.

For a nonzero ideal I of R , $(R : I) = I^{-1}$ and $(I^{-1})^{-1} = I_v$. I is a *v-ideal* if $I = I_v$. An ideal $I \neq 0$ is called a *t-ideal* if for nonzero $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$, $(x_1, \dots, x_n)_v \subseteq I$. Thus, I is a t-ideal if and only if $I = \bigcup J$ where J runs over the set of nonzero finitely generated ideals of R contained in I . An ideal I of R is called

*Correspondence: basakay@iyte.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A05, 13A15, 13E05, 13F05.

a *w-ideal* if I is the set of all $x \in R$ such that $xJ \subseteq I$ for some nonzero finitely generated ideal J of R with $J_t = R$. Let $Max(R)$ be the set of maximal ideals of R .

In the literature, there are different types of stabilities described and facts relating these stabilities. Sally and Vasconcelos introduced the notion of SV-stability [21, 22]. An ideal I of R is called *SV-stable* if it is projective over its endomorphism ring, $End_R(I)$. Furthermore, we remark that an SV-stable ideal, over an integral domain, is invertible in $End_R(I)$. We note that, over a commutative ring, if I is a finitely generated *regular* SV-stable ideal then I is invertible in $End(I)$. R is called an *SV-stable (finitely SV-stable, respectively)* ring if every regular (finitely generated, respectively) ideal of R is SV-stable.

Another type of stability is introduced by Eakin and Sathaye: the notion of ES-stability [9, Section 7.4]. In a general commutative ring R , an ideal is called *ES-stable* if $I^2 = JI$ for some invertible ideal J of R such that $J \subseteq I$ [17]. We define R to be *ES-stable (finitely ES-stable, respectively)* if every regular ideal (finitely generated regular ideal, respectively) of R is ES-stable. We say that an ideal I of a ring is *ES-prestable* if some power of I is ES-stable. We define a ring R to be *ES-prestable (finitely ES-prestable, respectively)* if every regular ideal (finitely generated regular ideal, respectively) in R is ES-prestable.

In [17], a weak form of ES-stability for integral domains is defined. Here we need to modify its definition for commutative rings with zero-divisors. We call an ideal I of R is said to be a *weakly ES-stable ideal* if there is an invertible fractional ideal J and an idempotent fractional ideal E of R such that $I = JE$, and R is said to be a *weakly ES-stable ring* if every regular ideal of R is a weakly ES-stable ideal. R is said to be locally weakly ES-stable if R_M is weakly ES-stable for each maximal ideal M of R . We note that if R is weakly ES-stable, then R is locally weakly ES-stable. Moreover, R is said to be a *finitely weakly ES-stable ring* if every finitely generated regular ideal of R is weakly ES-stable. A nonzero ideal I of R is said to be an *almost weakly ES-stable ideal* if some power of I is a weakly ES-stable ideal, and R is said to be an *almost weakly ES-stable ring* if every regular ideal of R is almost weakly ES-stable. Moreover, R is said to be a *finitely almost weakly ES-stable ring* if every finitely generated regular ideal of R is almost weakly ES-stable.

In §2 we prove preliminary results for weakly ES-stable ideals over commutative rings with zero-divisors and later focus on finitely weakly ES-stable rings. In §3 we study finitely ES-stability in Prüfer rings and Noetherian-like settings. §4 discusses a question of Mimouni on locally weakly ES-stable rings: is a locally weakly ES-stable domain of finite character weakly ES-stable? We show that in Krull domains, Prüfer h-local domains, and Noetherian local-global rings, these two notions coincide. We provide an example of a one dimensional Noetherian ring of finite character where there is a locally weakly ES-stable ideal which is not weakly ES-stable.

2. Some results on weakly ES-stability

In [17], many facts are stated and proved for weakly ES-stable ideals of an integral domain. We adapt these results to commutative rings with zero-divisors, and eventually, show that weakly finitely ES-stability coincides with finitely ES-stability.

Proposition 2.1 *Let R be a commutative ring and I a nonzero ideal of R .*

- (i) *I is a weakly ES-stable ideal if and only if $I^2 = JI$ for some invertible ideal J of R .*
- (ii) *If I is a weakly ES-stable ideal and $I = JE$ where $JJ^{-1} = R$ and $E = E^2$, then $(I : I) = (E : E)$ and $E = I(I : I^2)$.*

Proof

- (i) If $I = JE$ with $JJ^{-1} = R$ and $E^2 = E$, then $I^2 = J^2E^2 = J^2E = JJE = JI$. Conversely, if $I^2 = JI$ for some invertible ideal J of R , then $I = J(J^{-1}I)$ and $J^{-1}I$ is idempotent.
- (ii) Set $I = JE$ where $JJ^{-1} = R$ and $E = E^2$. Let $x \in (I : I)$. Then $xJE = xI \subseteq I = JE$, and hence $xJJ^{-1}E \subseteq JJ^{-1}E = E$ implying that $x \in (E : E)$. If $x \in (E : E)$, then $xI = xJE \subseteq JE = I$. Thus, $(I : I) = (E : E)$. Next we claim that $E = I(I : I^2)$. By (i), $I^2 = JI$, so $J^{-1}I^2 = I$. Then $J^{-1} \subseteq (I : I^2)$ implying that $E = J^{-1}I \subseteq I(I : I^2)$. Conversely, let $x \in (I : I^2)$. Then $xJ^2E \subseteq JE$. Since J is invertible, $xJE \subseteq E$. So, $xJ \subseteq (E : E)$ implying that $xI = xJE \subseteq E(E : E) = E$. Thus, $I(I : I^2) \subseteq E$. Therefore, $E = I(I : I^2)$.

□

Lemma 2.2 *Let R be a commutative ring and I a regular ideal of R .*

- (i) *I is ES-stable if and only if $I = JE$ where J is invertible and $E = E^2$ and $J \subseteq I \subseteq E$.*
- (ii) *If I is a finitely generated weakly ES-stable ideal, then $I_t \subsetneq R$.*
- (iii) *If R is a weakly ES-stable ring, then $A_t \subsetneq R$ for every integral regular ideal A of R .*

Proof

- (i) If I is ES-stable, then $I^2 = JI$ for some invertible ideal $J \subseteq I$ of R . Let $E = J^{-1}I$. Since $JJ^{-1} = R$, $I = JE$ with $E^2 = E$. Since $J \subseteq I$ and I is regular, $I^{-1} \subseteq J^{-1}$, and hence $I \subseteq II^{-1} \subseteq IJ^{-1} = E$. Thus, $J \subseteq I \subseteq E$. The converse is clear.
- (ii) Suppose that $I_t = R$. Since I is regular, $I^{-1} = (R : I)$, and since $I_v = I_t = R$, $(I : I) = I^{-1} = R$. Set $I = JE$ with $JJ^{-1} = R$ and $E^2 = E$. By Proposition 2.1, $(I : I) = (E : E)$, and hence $(E : E) = R$. Since $E^2 = E$, $E \subseteq (E : E) = R$ so that $I = JE \subseteq J$. Since I is regular, $J^{-1} \subseteq I^{-1} = R$ implying that $R = JJ^{-1} \subseteq J$. Then $I \subseteq IJ = I^2$ so that $I = I^2$. Since I is finitely generated, by Nakayama's Lemma, there exists $x \in R$ such that $xI = 0$, which is impossible because I is regular.
- (iii) Suppose that R is a weakly ES-stable ring and $A_t = R$ for an integral regular ideal A of R . Then, by part (i), there exists a finitely generated sub-ideal J of A , and $J_t = J_v = R$, which is impossible by part (ii). Hence, $A_t \subsetneq R$.

□

Lemma 2.3 *If R is a finitely weakly ES-stable ring, then so is any overring R' of R , that is $R \subseteq R' \subseteq Q(R)$.*

Proof Let A be a finitely generated ideal of R' . Then $A = R's_1 + \dots + R's_t$ for some $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t \in A$. So, there exists a regular element $c \in R$ such that $cs_i \in R$ for all i . Thus, $I = Rcs_1 + \dots + Rcs_t$, which is isomorphic to A as an R -module, is a finitely generated regular ideal of R . If $I = JE$, where $JJ^{-1} = R$ and $E^2 = E$, then $JR'(R' : JR') = R'$ and $(ER')^2 = ER'$ with $A = (JR')(ER')$ implying that A is finitely weakly ES-stable. □

Lemma 2.4 *Let R be a commutative ring and I a finitely generated ideal of R . Then I is ES-stable if and only if I is weakly ES-stable. In particular, R is a finitely ES-stable ring if and only if R is finitely weakly ES-stable.*

Proof

One way is clear by Lemma 2.2. Conversely, let I be a finitely generated ideal. Then $I = JE$, where $JJ^{-1} = R$ and $E = E^2$. Set $T = (I : I)$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that T is a finitely weakly ES-stable ring. Applying Proposition 2.1 to I , we have $E = I(T : I)$ is an idempotent integral ideal of T . We observe that $E = IJ^{-1}$ is a finitely generated fractional ideal of R , and hence of $T = (I : I) = (E : E) = (T : E)$. So, $E_{t_T} = E_{v_T} = T$ (t_T and v_T are the t - and v -operations with respect to T), which is not possible by Lemma 2.2, and hence $E = T$ implying that $I = JT$. Thus, $J \subseteq I$, and hence I is ES-stable by Lemma 2.2. \square

We recall that an integral domain R is said to be conducive if $(R : T) \neq (0)$ for each overring T of R with $T \subset Q(R)$. In [17, Corollary 4.4], it is proven that a conducive domain which is weakly ES-stable is semilocal. Here we observe that a conducive domain of finite character which is finitely weakly ES-stable must be semilocal.

Corollary 2.5 *Let R be a conducive domain which is finitely weakly ES-stable. If R has finite character, then R is semilocal.*

Proof Let \tilde{R} be the integral closure of R . By [3, Lemma 3.4], \tilde{R} is a Prüfer domain, and by [3, Lemma 3.6], \tilde{R} is finitely ES-stable. Moreover, \tilde{R} is a conducive domain. Since, for every $P \in \text{Max}(R)$, there is a $Q \in \text{Max}(\tilde{R})$ such that $P = Q \cap R$, it is enough to show that \tilde{R} is semilocal. So, without loss of generality we assume that R is a conducive Prüfer domain which is finitely ES-stable.

Let $M \in \text{Max}(R)$, and set $P = (R : R_M)$. By assumption, $P \neq 0$. We may assume that R is not local, that is $R \neq R_M$, so P is a proper prime ideal of R . By [5, Lemma 2.10], P is a prime ideal of both R and R_M . Let $Q \in \text{Max}(R)$ with $Q \neq M$, and let $a \in Q - M$. Then, for each $x \in P$, $\frac{x}{a} \in PR_M = P$. So, $x \in aP \subseteq PQ \subseteq Q$. Thus, $P \subseteq Q$. Therefore, P is contained in all maximal ideals of R . Since R has finite character, R is semilocal. \square

Next we prove a couple of helpful lemmas to show that, given a commutative ring R such that the endomorphism ring of each finitely generated regular ideal of R is local-global, R is ES-stable if and only if R is SV-stable.

Lemma 2.6 *Let R be a commutative ring and I a regular ideal of R . If I is an ES-stable ideal, then I is SV-stable.*

Proof Suppose that I is ES-stable. Then $JI = I^2$ for some invertible ideal $J \subseteq I$. Since I is regular, its endomorphism ring is $E = (I : I)$. So, $(J^{-1}I)I = I$, and hence $J^{-1}I \subseteq E$. Let $x \in E$. Then $xJ \subseteq I$. Hence, $x \in J^{-1}I$. Therefore, $J^{-1}I = E$, so that $J^{-1}E$ is the inverse of I in $\text{End}(I)$, that is I is SV-stable. \square

Lemma 2.7 *Let R be an SV-stable ring and I a regular ideal of R . If the endomorphism ring of each finitely generated regular ideal of R is local-global, then I is ES-stable.*

Proof Let $E = (I : I)$, the endomorphism ring of I . If I is SV-stable, then $I = x_1E + \dots + x_tE$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_t \in I$. So, $I^2 = x_1I + \dots + x_tI$. Let $J = x_1R + \dots + x_tR$. We observe that $I^2 \subseteq J \subseteq I$ and $EJ = I$.

Since J is SV-stable and $E' = (J : J)$ is local-global, $J = jE'$ by [10, Proposition V.4.4]. Since $EJ = I$, $E' \subseteq E$, $I = EJ = Ej$ with $j \in J \subseteq I$. Since $E^2 = E$, $I^2 = j^2E = jI$, and hence I is ES-stable. \square

Theorem 2.8 *Let R be a commutative ring such that the endomorphism ring of each finitely generated regular ideal of R is local-global, R is ES-stable if and only if R is SV-stable.*

Proof Follows immediately from Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. \square

Theorem 2.9 *Let R be a local-global ring. Then R is finitely ES-stable if and only if R is finitely SV-stable.*

Proof If I is a finitely generated regular ideal of R , then the endomorphism ring of I , $R \subseteq (I : I)$ is an integral extension. By [6, Corollary 2.3], $(I : I)$ is local-global. By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, I is an ES-stable ideal if and only if I is SV-stable. \square

Theorem 2.10 *Let R be a commutative ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) R is finitely SV-stable.
- (ii) R is locally finitely SV-stable.
- (iii) R is locally finitely (weakly) ES-stable.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial. (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) holds by Theorem 2.8.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose R is locally finitely SV-stable. Let I be a finitely generated regular ideal of R . Since $(I : I)$ is contained in the integral closure of R , it is integral over R so that $M = N \cap R$ is a maximal ideal of R for each maximal ideal N of $(I : I)$. By assumption, I_M is invertible in $(I : I)_M$ so that I_N is invertible in $(I : I)_N$ for each maximal ideal N since $R \subseteq (I : I)_M \subseteq (I : I)_N$. Hence I is SV-stable. \square

3. ES-stability in Prüfer rings and Noetherian-like settings

In this section, we study ES-stability and weakly ES-stability in Prüfer rings with zero-divisors and Noetherian-like settings, especially in Krull rings. We recall that R is a Prüfer ring if and only if every finitely generated (or two-generated) regular ideal is invertible.

In [3], an ideal I of a local ring is called ES-stable if $xI = I^2$ for some $x \in I$, and a commutative ring R is called ES-(pre)stable if any regular ideal I of R is locally ES-(pre)stable. This definition uses the terminology in [7]. It is proven that, for a commutative ring with zero-divisors, R is integrally closed and finitely ES-prestable (in the sense of [7]) if and only if R is a Prüfer ring [3, Theorem 4.1]. Also, by [3, Lemma 3.7], I is finitely ES-prestable (in the sense of [7]) if and only if I is invertible. Over an integrally closed ring R , if I is a regular finitely generated ideal of R , then $R = (I : I)$. Hence, if I is finitely ES-stable, then it is SV-stable so that I is invertible in R . Thus, R is a Prüfer ring if and only if R is integrally closed and it is finitely ES-stable (in the sense explained in Section 1).

Theorem 3.1 *Let R be a commutative ring with zero-divisors. The following are equivalent for R .*

- (i) R is an integrally closed ring which is finitely (weakly) ES-stable,

(ii) R is integrally closed and for each $a, b \in R$ with a regular there is a positive integer n such that $(a, b)^n$ can be generated by n elements.

(iii) R is a Prüfer ring.

Proof

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) : From Lemma 2.4, finitely weakly ES-stability and ES-stability coincide. So, it follows immediately from the previous paragraph.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) : Follows from [3, Theorem 4.5].

□

In [17], the author shows that, for Noetherian domains, weakly ES-stability and ES-stability coincide [17, Theorem 3.1]. We show that this is true for Noetherian rings with zero-divisors.

Theorem 3.2 *Let R be a Noetherian ring with zero-divisors. Then R is weakly ES-stable if and only if R is ES-stable.*

Proof Follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 since each regular ideal of R is finitely generated. □

Since ES-stability implies SV-stability (Lemma 2.6) and an SV-stable Noetherian ring is at most one-dimensional [22, Proposition 2.1], a weakly ES-stable Noetherian ring has dimension at most 1.

Theorem 3.3 *A weakly ES-stable Noetherian ring with zero-divisors has dimension at most 1.*

We recall that an integral domain R is said to be a strong Mori domain if R satisfies the *acc* on *w-ideals*. We note that Noetherian domains are strong Mori domains. In [17, Corollary 3.2], it is proven that a strong Mori domain which is weakly ES-stable is Noetherian. Next we show that, for a strong Mori domain, being *finitely* weakly ES-stable is enough to be Noetherian.

Theorem 3.4 *Let R be a strong Mori domain which is finitely weakly ES-stable. Then R is Noetherian.*

Proof By [17, Lemma 2.4], each maximal ideal of R is a *t*-maximal ideal, and, hence by [16, Proposition 1.3], each ideal of R is a *w*-ideal. Thus, R is Noetherian. □

We recall that a commutative ring R is said to be a Krull ring if R is a completely integrally closed Mori ring. In the rest of this section, we study weakly ES-stability in Krull rings.

Theorem 3.5 *Let R be a Krull ring with zero-divisors and I an ideal of R . If I is weakly ES-stable ideal, then I is an invertible fractional ideal of R . Moreover, I is weakly ES-stable if and only if I is ES-stable.*

Proof Let I be a weakly ES-stable regular ideal of R . Then $I = JE$ with $JJ^{-1} = R$ and $E = E^2$. By [13, Theorem 8.4] and Proposition 2.1, $(E : E) = (I : I) = R$ is a Krull ring. Since $E^2 = E$, $E \subseteq (E : E) = R$, and hence E is an idempotent integral ideal of R . Since $(R : E) = ((E : E) : E) = (E : E^2) = (E : E) = R$, $E = R$, and hence $I = JR$ so that $I = J$, making I an invertible fractional ideal. Also, I is ES-stable. □

Lemma 3.6 *Let R be a completely integrally closed ring with zero-divisors which is finitely ES-stable. Then R is Prüfer.*

Proof Let I be a finitely generated regular ideal of R . Since I is an ES-stable ideal, and SV-stable by Lemma 2.6. So, I is invertible in its endomorphism ring $E = (I : I)$. Since R is integrally closed, $E = R$ so that I is an invertible ideal of R . □

Theorem 3.7 *Let R be a Krull domain which is finitely ES-stable. Then R is Dedekind.*

Proof This follows from Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.6. □

4. Some results on locally weakly ES-stability

In [17], Mimouni shows that a Prüfer domain that is locally weakly ES-stable need not be weakly ES-stable. Given the fact that an integral domain is SV-stable if and only if it is locally SV-stable with finite character ([19, Theorem 3.3]), Mimouni shows that a weakly ES-stable domain is a locally weakly ES-stable domain of finite character ([17, Remark 2.3(iii)], [17, Corollary 2.6]) and asks whether a locally weakly ES-stable domain of finite character is weakly ES-stable. We first show that this question has an affirmative answer for Krull domains. Then we show for Prüfer h-local domains and Noetherian local-global rings these two notions coincide.

We first discuss Mimouni’s question for domains of finite character.

Lemma 4.1 *If R is finitely locally weakly ES-stable ring, then there exists a finitely generated ideal $J \subseteq I$ of R such that $I^2 = JI$.*

Proof R is finitely locally weakly ES-stable ring if and only if R is finitely SV-stable (Theorem 2.10). If I is a finitely generated regular ideal of R , then $I = J(I : I)$ for a finitely generated ideal J contained in I by assumption. So, $I^2 = IJ(I : I) = JI$. □

Lemma 4.2 *Let R be an integral domain of finite character and I a nonzero ideal of R .*

(i) *R is locally ES-stable if and only if R is SV-stable and R is locally weakly ES-stable.*

(ii) *If R is locally weakly ES-stable, then there exists a finitely generated ideal J of R such that $I^2 \subseteq JI$.*

Proof

(i) If R is locally ES-stable, then R is locally SV-stable (Lemma 2.6) so that R is SV-stable by [19, Theorem 3.3]. If R is SV-stable, then it is locally SV-stable. So, the converse follows from [17, Corollary 2.5].

(ii) Let I be an ideal of R . Since R is of finite character, there are at most finitely many maximal ideals M_1, M_2, \dots, M_t of R containing I . Since R is locally weakly ES-stable, $(I^2)_{M_i} = (J_i)_{M_i} I_{M_i}$ for some invertible ideal $(J_i)_{M_i}$ of R_{M_i} , by Proposition 2.1(i), for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, and $(I^2)_M = R_M$ for each $M \in Max(R)$ such that $I \not\subseteq M$. Since $(J_i)_{M_i}$ is a principal ideal of R_{M_i} , we can write $(J_i)_{M_i} = x_i R_{M_i}$ for some $x_i \in J_i$. Let $J = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$. We observe that $(I^2)_{M_i} \subseteq (JI)_{M_i}$ for each i . Thus, $I^2 = \bigcap_{M \in Max(R)} (I^2)_M \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^t (I^2)_{M_i} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^t (JI)_{M_i} = JI$. Therefore, $I^2 \subseteq JI$. □

Lemma 4.3 *Let R be a completely integrally closed domain of finite character and I a nonzero ideal of R . If R is locally ES-stable, then R is SV-stable and I is invertible in R .*

Proof If R is locally ES-stable, then I is SV-stable and $I^2 = JI$ for some finitely generated ideal $J \subseteq I$ and $(E : J)$ is the inverse of I in $E(I) = (I : I)$ by Lemma 4.2. Since $E = R$ ([13, Theorem 2.4.8]) and $(R : J) = J^{-1}$, $IJ^{-1} = R$ so that I is invertible. \square

Theorem 4.4 *Let R be a completely integrally closed domain of finite character and I a nonzero ideal of R . Then R is locally ES-stable if and only if R is ES-stable.*

Proof Suppose that R is locally ES-stable. Then, by Lemma 4.3, I is invertible so that I is finitely generated. Moreover, R is SV-stable. Hence, by [9, Proposition 7.4.4], R is ES-stable. \square

Theorem 4.5 *Let R be a Krull domain and I a nonzero ideal of R . Then R is locally ES-stable if and only if R is ES-stable if and only if R is locally weakly ES-stable if and only if R is weakly ES-stable.*

Proof Follows immediately from Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 3.5. \square

We recall that a Prüfer domain is strongly discrete if PR_P is a principal ideal for each prime ideal P of R . It is shown in [18, Theorem 4.6] that, for an integrally closed domain R , R is SV-stable if and only if it is a strongly discrete Prüfer domain of finite character.

Theorem 4.6 *Let R be a Prüfer domain of finite character and I a nonzero ideal of R .*

(i) *R is locally ES-stable if and only if R is ES-stable if and only if R is strongly discrete.*

(ii) *If R is strongly discrete, then R is weakly ES-stable.*

(iii) *If R is locally weakly ES-stable, then there exists an invertible ideal J of R such that $I^2 \subseteq JI$ with $J = (x, y)$ for some $x \in J$ and $y \in I$.*

Proof

(i) In a Prüfer domain, SV-stability and ES-stability coincide [9, Lemma 7.4.1]. So, by [19, Theorem 3.3], R is locally ES-stable of finite character if and only if R is ES-stable. From Theorem [18, Theorem 4.6], the latter holds if and only if R is strongly discrete.

(ii) If R is strongly discrete, then by part (i), R is ES-stable, and hence weakly ES-stable.

(iii) By Lemma 4.2(ii), $I^2 \subseteq JI$ for some finitely generated ideal J of R . Since R is Prüfer, J is invertible. Furthermore, since R is a Prüfer domain, J is $1\frac{1}{2}$ -generated, so one of the generators of J can be chosen arbitrarily. Since $I^2 \subseteq J$, $J = (x, y)$ for some $x \in J$ and $y \in I^2$.

\square

Remark 4.7 *A weakly ES-stable Prüfer domain (of finite character) R is not necessarily strongly discrete, and hence ES-stable, since the maximal ideal PR_P of the valuation domain R_P , for any prime ideal P of R , is either principal or idempotent.*

Proposition 4.8 *Let R be a Prüfer domain of finite character and I a locally weakly ES-stable ideal of R . Then there exists an invertible fractional ideal B of $(I : I)$ such that $I^2 = BI$.*

Proof Let I be an ideal of R . Let M_1, \dots, M_t be the maximal ideals containing I . Then $(I^2)_{M_i} = (J_i)_{M_i} I_{M_i}$ for some invertible ideal of R_{M_i} for each i . We observe that these are the only maximal ideals which contain I^2 , also. For all other maximal ideals $N \neq M_i$, for each i , $I_N^2 = R_N = I_N$. So, for each i , $(I^2)_{M_i} = j_i I_{M_i}$ for some $j_i \in J$. Thus, by [10, Lemma III.2.6], there exists a finitely generated ideal B of $(I : I)$ such that $I^2 = BI$. Since $(I : I)$ is a fractional overring of R , it is Prüfer, and hence B is an invertible fractional ideal of $(I : I)$. \square

Theorem 4.9 *Let R be a completely integrally closed Prüfer domain of finite character. Then R is locally weakly ES-stable if and only if R is weakly ES-stable.*

Proof Follows immediately from Proposition 4.8 since $(I : I) = R$ for any ideal I of R . \square

Theorem 4.10 *Let R be an h -local domain and I a flat ideal of R . Then I is locally weakly ES-stable if and only if I is weakly ES-stable.*

Proof If I is locally weakly ES-stable, then $(I^2)_M = J_M I_M$ for some invertible ideal J_M of R_M for each maximal ideal M of R . Since R has finite character, I is contained in at most finitely many maximal ideals, say M_1, \dots, M_t . We have $I_N^2 = J_N I_N = R_N$ for each maximal ideal N of R not containing I , and $(I^2)_{M_i} = a_i I_{M_i}$ for some $a_i \in R$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Let $A = R \cap a_1 R_{M_1} \cap \dots \cap a_t R_{M_t}$. We observe that A is a fractional ideal of R . Then $AI = I \cap a_1 I_{M_1} \cap \dots \cap a_t I_{M_t}$ by the flatness of I . Hence, we have $AI = \bigcap_{M \in \text{Max}(R)} I_M \cap I_{M_1}^2 \cap \dots \cap I_{M_t}^2 = \bigcap_N I_N^2 \cap I_{M_1}^2 \cap \dots \cap I_{M_t}^2 = I^2$, where $\text{Max}(R)$ is the set of all maximal ideals of R . Now, we claim that A is locally principal, and hence invertible. Since R is h -local, $(R_{M_i})_N = Q$ [10, Lemma IV.3.2], and hence $A_N = R_N \cap (a_1 R_{M_1})_N \cap \dots \cap (a_t R_{M_t})_N = R_N$. Also, $(R_{M_j})_{M_i} = Q$ for $i \neq j$, we have $A_{M_i} = R_{M_i} \cap (a_1 R_{M_1})_{M_i} \cap \dots \cap a_i R_{M_i} \cap \dots \cap (a_t R_{M_t})_{M_i} = a_i R_{M_i}$. Thus, A is an invertible ideal of R so that I is weakly ES-stable. \square

Theorem 4.11 *Let R be a Prüfer h -local domain. Then R is locally weakly ES-stable if and only if R is weakly ES-stable.*

Proof Since all ideals of a Prüfer domain are flat ([10, Theorem VI.9.10]), it follows from Theorem 4.10 immediately. \square

Next we prove that Noetherian domains, which are locally (weakly) ES-stable, already have finite character, in deed, they are h -local.

Theorem 4.12 *Let R be a Noetherian domain. If R is locally (weakly) ES-stable, then R is*

- (i) *SV-stable,*
- (ii) *one dimensional,*
- (iii) *h -local,*

Proof Suppose that R is locally (weakly) ES-stable.

- (i) Since every ideal of a Noetherian domain is finitely generated, by Theorem 2.10, R is SV-stable.
- (ii) Follows immediately from part (i) and [12, Lemma 2].
- (iii) Follows immediately from part (i) and [12, Lemma 2].

□

Theorem 4.13 *Let R be a commutative ring such that the endomorphism ring of each finitely generated regular ideal of R is local-global. R is locally finitely (weakly) ES-stable if and only if R is finitely (weakly) ES-stable.*

Proof Suppose R is locally finitely (weakly) ES-stable. Let I be a finitely generated regular ideal of R . Then I is SV-stable, by Theorem 2.10. Since $(I : I)$ is local-global, I is ES-stable by Lemma 2.7. □

Since, for a Noetherian ring, the regular ideals are finitely generated, and ES-stability coincides with weakly ES-stability (Theorem 3.2), the following corollary immediately follows from Theorem 4.13.

Corollary 4.14 *Let R be a Noetherian ring such that the endomorphism ring of each ideal of R is local-global. Then R is locally (weakly) ES-stable if and only if R is (weakly) ES-stable.*

In [15], it is proven that a semilocal Noetherian one dimensional domain is SV-stable if and only if it is ES-stable. So, Corollary 4.14 generalizes this fact for one-dimensional local-global Noetherian rings.

We conclude that, over Noetherian local-global rings, locally (weakly) ES-stability, (weakly) ES-stability, locally SV-stability and SV-stability coincide. Moreover, these notions also coincide for one dimensional integrally closed Noetherian rings (Dedekind rings) [9, Proposition 7.4.4].

We observe that, at least for Noetherian rings, the finite character property does not seem to be useful to prove that locally (weakly) ES-stability implies (weakly) ES-stability. We provide an example of a one dimensional Noetherian ring of finite character in which there is an SV-stable (and hence locally ES-stable) ideal which is not (weakly) ES-stable. First we recall that an integral domain R has the trace property (or is a TP domain) if, for every ideal I of R , either $II^{-1} = R$ or II^{-1} is a prime ideal. An ideal I of R is strongly divisorial if I is divisorial, that is $(I^{-1})^{-1} = I$, and strong, that is $II^{-1} = I$.

Example 4.15 *Let R be a Noetherian TP domain which is not Dedekind. So, by [9, Theorem 4.2.48], R is one dimensional (so that R is h -local by [20, Example 3.1]), and it has a unique noninvertible maximal ideal M . In fact, M is strongly divisorial, and $M^{-1} = \bar{R}$, the integral closure of R . Hence*

$$MM^{-1} = M\bar{R} = M.$$

By [1, Proposition 2.4] and [9, Proposition 7.3.2], each nonzero prime ideal is SV-stable. So, M is SV-stable. Suppose M is (weakly) ES-stable. Then $M = JE$ for some invertible fractional ideal J of R and an idempotent fractional ideal E of R . Let $T = (M : M)$. By Proposition 2.1, $E = M(T : M)$, E is a trace (integral) ideal of T which is idempotent. Since T is Noetherian, $E = T$. So, $M = JT$. Since $MM^{-1} = M$, $(R : M) = M^{-1} \subseteq T$. Also, $T \subseteq (R : M) = M^{-1}$. Thus, $M^{-1} = T$. Hence,

$$M\bar{R} = M = JE = JM^{-1} = J\bar{R}.$$

So,

$$M\bar{R}J^{-1} = R\bar{R} = \bar{R}$$

which implies that J^{-1} is the inverse of $M\bar{R}$ in \bar{R} . Since $MM^{-1} = M$,

$$MJ^{-1} = MM^{-1}J^{-1} = M\bar{R}M^{-1}J^{-1} = \bar{R},$$

so $M^{-1}J^{-1}$ the inverse of $M\bar{R}$ in \bar{R} . Therefore, $J^{-1} = M^{-1}J^{-1}$ which implies that $M^{-1} = R$. Since $M^{-1} = \bar{R}$, $R = \bar{R}$ so that R is integrally closed, but R is not Dedekind. Hence, M is SV-stable, but not ES-stable.

5. Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for their careful reading and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] Anderson DD, Huckaba JA, Papick IJ. A note on stable domains. *Houston Journal of Mathematics* 1987; 13: 13-17.
- [2] Ay B, Klingler L. Unique decomposition into ideals for reduced commutative Noetherian rings. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 2001; 363 (7): 3703-3716.
- [3] Ay Saylam B. Integrally closed Prüfer rings. *Communications in Algebra* 2019; 47 (3): 1271-1277.
- [4] Bazzoni S. Finite character of finitely stable domains. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 2011; 215 (6): 1127-1132.
- [5] Dobbs DE, Fedder R. Conducing integral domains. *Journal of Algebra* 1984; 86: 494-510.
- [6] Estes DR, Guralnick RM. Module Equivalences: Local to global when primitive polynomials represent units, *J. Algebra* 1982; 77 (1): 138-157.
- [7] Eakin P, Sathaye A. Prestable ideals. *Journal of Algebra* 1976; 41: 439-454.
- [8] Fangui W, MacCasland RL. On strong Mori domains. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 1999; 135: 155-165.
- [9] Fontana M, Huckaba JA, Papick IJ. Prüfer domains. *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics* 203. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1997.
- [10] Fuchs L, Salce L. Modules over non-Noetherian domains. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*; 84. Providence, RI, USA: American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [11] Gilmer R. *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1976.
- [12] Goeters PH. Noetherian stable domains. *Journal of Algebra* 1998; 202: 343-356.
- [13] Huckaba JA. *Commutative rings with zero divisors*, New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker Inc, 1988.
- [14] Lucas TG. Krull rings, Prüfer v —multiplication rings, and the ring of fractions. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* 2005; 35 (4): 1251-1325.
- [15] Lipman J. Stable ideals and Arf Rings. *American Journal of Mathematics* 1971; 93 (3): 649-685.
- [16] Miomouni A. TW-domains and strong Mori domains. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 2003; 177 (1): 79-93.
- [17] Mimouni A. Factoring ideals and stability in integral domains. *Journal of Commutative Algebra* 2017; 9 (2): 263-290.
- [18] Olberding B. Globalizing local properties of Prüfer domains. *Journal of Algebra* 1998; 205: 480-504 .
- [19] Olberding B. On the structure of stable domains. *Communications in Algebra* 2002; 30 (2): 877-895.
- [20] Olberding B. Characterizations and constructions of h-local domains. In: *Models, modules and abelian groups*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin; 2008, pp. 385-406.

- [21] Sally J, Vasconcelos W. Stable rings and a problem of Bass. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 1973; 79: 574-576.
- [22] Sally J, Vasconcelos W. Stable rings. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 1974; 4: 319-336.