

1-1-2020

## Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of third-order nonlinear differential equations with a superlinear neutral term

SAID R. GRACE

IREN JADLOVSKA

ERCAN TUNÇ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

GRACE, SAID R.; JADLOVSKA, IREN; and TUNÇ, ERCAN (2020) "Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of third-order nonlinear differential equations with a superlinear neutral term," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 44: No. 4, Article 17. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2004-85>  
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol44/iss4/17>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of third-order nonlinear differential equations with a superlinear neutral term

Said R. GRACE<sup>1</sup> , Irena JADLOVSKÁ<sup>2,\*</sup> , Ercan TUNÇ<sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Theoretical Informatics,  
Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, Technical University of Košice,  
Košice, Slovakia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Turkey

Received: 21.04.2020

Accepted/Published Online: 14.05.2020

Final Version: 08.07.2020

**Abstract:** Sufficient conditions are derived for all solutions of a class of third-order nonlinear differential equations with a superlinear neutral term to be either oscillatory or convergent to zero asymptotically. Examples illustrating the results are included and some suggestions for further research are indicated.

**Key words:** Oscillation, third-order, asymptotic behavior, neutral differential equation

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the third-order nonlinear differential equation with a superlinear neutral term

$$(r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' + q(t)x^\delta(\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x^\beta(\tau(t))$ . In the sequel, we assume that:

(C<sub>1</sub>)  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\delta$  are the ratios of odd positive integers with  $\beta \geq 1$ ;

(C<sub>2</sub>)  $r, p, q : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are real-valued continuous functions with  $r(t) > 0$ ,  $p(t) \geq 1$ ,  $p(t) \neq 1$  for large  $t$ ,  $q(t) \geq 0$ , and  $q(t)$  is not identically zero for large  $t$ ;

(C<sub>3</sub>)  $\tau, \sigma : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are real-valued continuous functions such that  $\tau(t) \leq t$ ,  $\sigma(t) \leq t$ ,  $\tau$  is strictly increasing, and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$ ;

(C<sub>4</sub>)  $h(t) := \tau^{-1}(\sigma(t)) \leq t$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \infty$ , where  $\tau^{-1}$  is the inverse function of  $\tau$ .

We let

$$I_1(v, u) = \int_u^v r^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds, \quad v \geq u \geq t_0,$$

\*Correspondence: irena.jadlovaska@tuke.sk

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C10, 34K11, 34K40

and assume that

$$I_1(t, t_0) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

By a solution of equation (1.1), we mean a function  $x \in C([t_x, \infty), \mathbb{R})$  for some  $t_x \geq t_0$  with  $z \in C^2([t_x, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ ,  $r(z'')^\alpha \in C^1([t_x, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ , and which satisfies (1.1) on  $[t_x, \infty)$ . We only consider those solutions of (1.1) that exist on some half-line  $[t_x, \infty)$  and satisfy the condition

$$\sup \{|x(t)| : T_1 \leq t < \infty\} > 0 \quad \text{for any } T_1 \geq t_x;$$

moreover, we tacitly assume that (1.1) possesses such solutions. Such a solution  $x(t)$  of (1.1) is said to be *oscillatory* if it has arbitrarily large zeros on  $[t_x, \infty)$ , i.e. for any  $t_1 \in [t_x, \infty)$  there exists a  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that  $x(t_2) = 0$ ; otherwise, it is called *nonoscillatory*, i.e. if it is eventually positive or eventually negative. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

The qualitative analysis of neutral differential equations, i.e. equations in which the highest-order derivative of the unknown function appears both with and without deviating arguments, is not only of theoretical interest but also has significant practical importance. This is due to the fact that such equations find numerous applications in natural sciences and technology. For instance, the equations of this type appear in the study of electric networks containing lossless transmission lines (as in high-speed computers where such lines are used to interconnect switching circuits), in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar, and in the solution of variational problems with time delays; see [14] for additional applications.

The problem of establishing sufficient conditions for the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of third-order neutral differential and dynamic equations has been the subject of intensive investigations during the past decades. We refer to the papers [2–13, 15, 18–21, 23–27, 29] as well as the references cited therein as examples of recent results on this topic. Most of the literature, however, is focused on equations with linear neutral term (i.e.  $\beta = 1$ ), and very few results are available for equations with nonlinear neutral term (i.e.  $\beta \neq 1$ ); see [10] for a sublinear neutral term (i.e.  $\beta < 1$ ), and see [28] for a superlinear neutral term (i.e.  $\beta > 1$ ). To the best of our knowledge, there are no papers at the present time dealing with third-order differential equations with superlinear neutral term except [28], where equation (1.1) was considered in the case when  $r(t) = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$ . Motivated by these observations, our aim in this paper is to obtain sufficient conditions under which every solution of equation (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . New oscillation criteria are established via a comparison with first-order delay differential equations whose oscillatory characters are known as well as by using an integral criterion. We wish to point out that the results of this paper can be applied to the case where  $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\beta > 1$ , and to the cases where  $p(t)$  is a bounded function and/or  $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\beta = 1$ .

## 2. Main results

We begin with the following lemmas that are essential in the proofs of our theorems. For simplicity in what follows, it will be convenient to set:

$$I_2(t, t_{**}) = \int_{t_{**}}^t I_1(s, t_*) ds \quad \text{for } t \geq t_{**} \geq t_*, \quad \text{where } t_* \in [t_0, \infty),$$

and throughout this paper, we assume that, for every positive constants  $k$  and  $l$ ,

$$P_1(t) := \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{I_2(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)), t_{**})}{I_2(\tau^{-1}(t), t_{**})} \right)^{1/\beta} \frac{k^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}{p^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right] \geq 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$P_2(t) := \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left( 1 - \frac{l^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}{p^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right) \geq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all sufficiently large  $t$ .

**Remark 2.1** *It is useful to note that since*

$$P(t, t_{**}) := \frac{I_2(\tau^{-1}(t), t_{**})}{I_2(t, t_{**})} \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \geq \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))},$$

then the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t, t_{**}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } \beta > 1 \\ P(t, t_{**}) &< 1 \quad \text{for } \beta = 1 \end{aligned}$$

ensures the positivity of the functions  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *Let conditions  $(C_1) - (C_3)$  and (1.2) hold and assume that  $x$  is an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1). Then there exists a  $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)$  such that the corresponding function  $z$  satisfies one of the following two cases:*

$$(I) \quad z(t) > 0, \quad z'(t) > 0, \quad z''(t) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' \leq 0,$$

$$(II) \quad z(t) > 0, \quad z'(t) < 0, \quad z''(t) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' \leq 0,$$

for  $t \geq t_1$ .

**Proof** The proof is straightforward; hence, we omit the details. □

**Lemma 2.3** *Let conditions  $(C_1) - (C_4)$  and (1.2) hold and assume that  $x$  is an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1) with  $z(t)$  satisfying case (I) of Lemma 2.2. Then  $z(t)$  satisfies the inequality*

$$(r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' + q(t)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))z^{\delta/\beta}(h(t)) \leq 0 \tag{2.3}$$

for large  $t$ .

**Proof** Let  $x(t)$  be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$  and  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$ . It follows from the definition of  $z$  that

$$x^\beta(\tau(t)) = \frac{1}{p(t)}(z(t) - x(t)) \leq \frac{z(t)}{p(t)},$$

from which and the fact that  $\tau(t) \leq t$  is strictly increasing, it is easy to see that

$$x(\tau^{-1}(t)) \leq \frac{z^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))}{p^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))}.$$

Using this in the definition of  $z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x^\beta(t) &= \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} [z(\tau^{-1}(t)) - x(\tau^{-1}(t))] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left[ z(\tau^{-1}(t)) - \frac{z^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))}{p^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since  $r(t) (z''(t))^\alpha$  is nonincreasing on  $[t_1, \infty)$ , we see that

$$z'(t) = z'(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{(r(s) (z''(s))^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds \geq (r(t) (z''(t))^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} I_1(t, t_1). \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.5), we have for all  $t \geq t_2 := t_1 + 1$  that

$$\left( \frac{z'(t)}{I_1(t, t_1)} \right)' = \frac{r^{-1/\alpha}(t) [r^{1/\alpha}(t) z''(t) I_1(t, t_1) - z'(t)]}{(I_1(t, t_1))^2} \leq 0,$$

i.e.  $z'(t)/I_1(t, t_1)$  is nonincreasing for  $t \geq t_2$ . Using the fact that  $z'(t)/I_1(t, t_1)$  is nonincreasing for  $t \geq t_2$ , we obtain

$$z(t) = z(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t \frac{z'(s)}{I_1(s, t_1)} I_1(s, t_1) ds \geq \frac{z'(t)}{I_1(t, t_1)} \int_{t_2}^t I_1(s, t_1) ds = \frac{I_2(t, t_2)}{I_1(t, t_1)} z'(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2;$$

thus, we have for all  $t \geq t_3 := t_2 + 1$  that

$$\left( \frac{z(t)}{I_2(t, t_2)} \right)' = \frac{z'(t) I_2(t, t_2) - z(t) I_1(t, t_1)}{(I_2(t, t_2))^2} \leq 0,$$

i.e.  $z(t)/I_2(t, t_2)$  is nonincreasing for  $t \geq t_3$ . Now, since  $\tau(t) \leq t$  and  $\tau$  is strictly increasing, we see that  $\tau^{-1}$  is increasing and  $t \leq \tau^{-1}(t)$ . Thus,

$$\tau^{-1}(t) \leq \tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)). \tag{2.6}$$

Since  $z(t)/I_2(t, t_2)$  is nonincreasing, it follows from (2.6) that

$$\frac{I_2(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)), t_2) z(\tau^{-1}(t))}{I_2(\tau^{-1}(t), t_2)} \geq z(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t))).$$

Using this in (2.4) yields

$$x^\beta(t) \geq \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(t))}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{I_2(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)), t_2)}{I_2(\tau^{-1}(t), t_2)} \right)^{1/\beta} \frac{z^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}(\tau^{-1}(t))}{p^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right] \tag{2.7}$$

for  $t \geq t_3$ . Since  $z(t)$  is positive and increasing for  $t \geq t_3$ , there exist a  $t_4 \in [t_3, \infty)$  and a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$z(t) \geq c \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.7) and (2.8) we observe that

$$x^\beta(t) \geq \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(t))}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{I_2(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)), t_2)}{I_2(\tau^{-1}(t), t_2)} \right)^{1/\beta} \frac{c^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}{p^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right] = P_1(t)z(\tau^{-1}(t))$$

for  $t \geq t_4$ , and so

$$x^\beta(\sigma(t)) \geq P_1(\sigma(t))z(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_5,$$

where  $\sigma(t) \geq t_4$  for  $t \geq t_5$  for some  $t_5 \geq t_4$ . Using this in (1.1) gives

$$(r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' \leq -q(t)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))z^{\delta/\beta}(h(t)) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_5, \tag{2.9}$$

i.e. inequality (2.3) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

**Lemma 2.4** *Let conditions  $(C_1) - (C_4)$  and (1.2) hold and assume that  $x$  is an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1) with  $z(t)$  satisfying case (II) of Lemma 2.2. Then  $z(t)$  either satisfies the inequality*

$$(r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' + q(t)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))z^{\delta/\beta}(h(t)) \leq 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for large  $t$  or  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $x(t)$  be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$  and  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we again see that (2.4) and (2.6) hold. Since  $z'(t) < 0$ , it follows from (2.6) that

$$z(\tau^{-1}(t)) \geq z(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t))).$$

Substituting the last inequality into (2.4) yields

$$x^\beta(t) \geq \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(t))}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left[ 1 - \frac{z^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}(\tau^{-1}(t))}{p^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right]. \tag{2.11}$$

Since  $z(t)$  satisfies case (II) of Lemma 2.2, there exists a constant  $\kappa$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = \kappa < \infty.$$

(i) if  $\kappa > 0$ , then there exists a  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that

$$z(t) \geq \kappa \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2. \tag{2.12}$$

It follows from (2.12) that

$$z^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}(t) \leq \kappa^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}.$$

Using this in (2.11), we obtain

$$x^\beta(t) \geq \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(t))}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left[ 1 - \frac{\kappa^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}{p^{1/\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right] = P_2(t)z(\tau^{-1}(t)).$$

Using this in (1.1) gives

$$(r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' \leq -q(t)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))z^{\delta/\beta}(h(t)) \tag{2.13}$$

for  $t \geq t_3$  for some  $t_3 \geq t_2$ , i.e., inequality (2.10) holds.

(ii) If  $\kappa = 0$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$ . Since  $0 < x(t) \leq z(t)$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5** *Let conditions  $(C_1) - (C_4)$  and (1.2) hold. If, for all sufficiently large  $t_* \in [t_0, \infty)$ , and for some  $t_{**} \in (t_*, \infty)$ ,*

$$\int_{t_{**}}^\infty q(s)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))ds = \infty, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty q(s)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))ds = \infty, \tag{2.15}$$

then every solution  $x(t)$  of equation (1.1) is either oscillatory or satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1), say  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ , and  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$ , and assume (2.1) and (2.2) hold for  $t \geq t_1$ . The proof if  $x(t)$  is eventually negative is similar, so we omit the details of that case here as well as in the remaining proofs in this paper. Then from Lemma 2.2,  $z(t)$  satisfies either case (I) or case (II) for  $t \geq t_1$ .

First, we consider case (I). Then from Lemma 2.3, we see that inequalities (2.8) and (2.9) hold for  $t \geq t_5$ . Using (2.8) in (2.9) gives

$$(r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' \leq -c^{\delta/\beta}q(t)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t)) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_5. \tag{2.16}$$

An integration of (2.16) from  $t_5$  to  $t$  yields

$$r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha \leq r(t_5)(z''(t_5))^\alpha - c^{\delta/\beta} \int_{t_5}^t q(s)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))ds \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

which contradicts the fact that  $r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha$  is positive.

Next, we consider case (II). Then from Lemma 2.4, we again have case (i) or case (ii). In case (i), we see that (2.12) and (2.13) hold for  $t \geq t_3$ . Using (2.12) in (2.13) yields

$$(r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' \leq -\kappa^{\delta/\beta}q(t)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t)) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_3. \tag{2.17}$$

An integration of (2.17) from  $t_3$  to  $t$  yields

$$r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha \leq r(t_3)(z''(t_3))^\alpha - \kappa^{\delta/\beta} \int_{t_3}^t q(s)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))ds \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

which again contradicts the fact that  $r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha$  is positive.

In case (ii), as in Lemma 2.4, we see that  $x(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next, we establish a new oscillation criterion for equation (1.1) via a comparison with first-order delay differential equations whose oscillatory characters are known.

**Theorem 2.6** *Let conditions  $(C_1) - (C_4)$  and (1.2) be satisfied. Suppose that there exist continuous functions  $\eta, \xi : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq \xi(t) \leq t$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . If the first-order delay differential equations*

$$w'(t) + q(t)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(t), t_0)w^{\delta/\alpha\beta}(h(t)) = 0 \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$y'(t) + q(t)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))[(\eta(t) - h(t))I_1(\xi(t), \eta(t))]^{\delta/\beta}y^{\delta/\alpha\beta}(\xi(t)) = 0 \tag{2.19}$$

are oscillatory, then every solution  $x(t)$  of equation (1.1) is either oscillatory or satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1), say  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ , and  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$ , and assume (2.1) and (2.2) hold for  $t \geq t_1$ . Then from Lemma 2.2,  $z(t)$  satisfies either case (I) or case (II) for  $t \geq t_1$ .

First, we consider case (I). Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we again arrive at (2.5) for  $t \geq t_1$  and (2.9) for  $t \geq t_5$ . An integration of (2.5) from  $t_1$  to  $t$  gives

$$z(t) \geq \left( \int_{t_1}^t I_1(s, t_1) ds \right) (r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} = I_2(t, t_1) (r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)^{1/\alpha},$$

and so

$$z(h(t)) \geq I_2(h(t), t_1) (r(h(t))(z''(h(t)))^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2,$$

where  $h(t) \geq t_1$  for  $t \geq t_2$  for some  $t_2 \geq t_1$ . Using this in (2.9) and taking  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \infty$  into account, we see that

$$(r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha)' + q(t)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(t), t_1) (r(h(t))(z''(h(t)))^\alpha)^{\delta/\alpha\beta} \leq 0 \tag{2.20}$$

for  $t \geq t_5$ . Letting  $w(t) = r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha$ , we see that  $w$  is a positive solution of the first-order delay differential inequality

$$w'(t) + q(t)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(t), t_1)w^{\delta/\alpha\beta}(h(t)) \leq 0. \tag{2.21}$$

The function  $w(t)$  is decreasing on  $[t_5, \infty)$ , and so by [22, Theorem 1], there exists a positive solution of equation (2.18). This contradicts the fact that equation (2.18) is oscillatory.

Next, we consider case (II). Then from Lemma 2.4, we again have case (i) or case (ii). In case (i), we again see that (2.13) holds for  $t \geq t_3$ . Since case (II) holds, for  $v \geq u \geq t_3$ , we have

$$z(u) = z(v) + \int_u^v -z'(s)ds \geq (v - u)(-z'(v)). \tag{2.22}$$



Setting  $u = h(t)$  and  $v = \eta(t)$  in (2.22), we obtain

$$z(h(t)) \geq (\eta(t) - h(t)) (-z'(\eta(t))). \quad (2.23)$$

Since  $z'(t) < 0$ , and  $r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha$  is decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -z'(u) \geq z'(v) - z'(u) &= \int_u^v r^{-1/\alpha}(s) \left( r^{1/\alpha}(s) z''(s) \right) ds \\ &\geq I_1(v, u) [r(v)(z''(v))^\alpha]^{1/\alpha}; \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$-z'(u) \geq I_1(v, u) [r(v)(z''(v))^\alpha]^{1/\alpha}.$$

Letting  $u = \eta(t)$  and  $v = \xi(t)$  in the last inequality, we have

$$-z'(\eta(t)) \geq I_1(\xi(t), \eta(t)) [r(\xi(t))(z''(\xi(t)))^\alpha]^{1/\alpha}. \quad (2.24)$$

Combining (2.23) and (2.24) yields

$$z(h(t)) \geq (\eta(t) - h(t)) I_1(\xi(t), \eta(t)) [r(\xi(t))(z''(\xi(t)))^\alpha]^{1/\alpha}. \quad (2.25)$$

Now using (2.25) in (2.13) gives

$$y'(t) + q(t)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t)) [(\eta(t) - h(t)) I_1(\xi(t), \eta(t))]^{\delta/\beta} y^{\delta/\alpha\beta}(\xi(t)) \leq 0, \quad (2.26)$$

where  $y(t) = r(t)(z''(t))^\alpha > 0$ . As in case (I), we see that there exists a positive solution of equation (2.19), which contradicts the fact that equation (2.19) is oscillatory.

In case (ii), as in Lemma 2.4, we see that  $x(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

It is well known from [17] (see also [1, Lemma 2.2.9]) that if

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mu(t)}^t W(s) ds > \frac{1}{e}, \quad (2.27)$$

then the first-order delay differential equation

$$x'(t) + W(t)x(\mu(t)) = 0 \quad (2.28)$$

is oscillatory, where  $W, \mu \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$  with  $W(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\mu(t) \leq t$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t) = \infty$ .

Thus, from Theorem 2.6, we have the following oscillation result for equation (1.1) in the case when  $\delta = \alpha\beta$ .

**Corollary 2.7** *Let  $\delta = \alpha\beta$  and conditions  $(C_1) - (C_4)$  and (1.2) hold. Assume that there exist continuous functions  $\eta, \xi : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq \xi(t) \leq t$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . If*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t q(s)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(s), t_0) ds > \frac{1}{e} \quad (2.29)$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\xi(t)}^t q(s)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s)) [(\eta(s) - h(s)) I_1(\xi(s), \eta(s))]^{\delta/\beta} ds > \frac{1}{e}, \tag{2.30}$$

then every solution  $x(t)$  of equation (1.1) either oscillates or satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Proof** In view of (2.27) and (2.28), the proof follows from (2.18), (2.19), and Theorem 2.6; we omit the details.  $\square$

In the case when  $\delta < \alpha\beta$ , by Theorem 2.6, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.8** *Let  $\delta < \alpha\beta$  and conditions  $(C_1) - (C_4)$  and (1.2) hold. Assume that there exist continuous functions  $\eta, \xi : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq \xi(t) \leq t$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . If, for all sufficiently large  $t_* \in [t_0, \infty)$ , and for some  $t_{**} \in (t_*, \infty)$ ,*

$$\int_{t_{**}}^{\infty} q(s)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(s), t_0)ds = \infty, \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(s)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s)) [(\eta(s) - h(s)) I_1(\xi(s), \eta(s))]^{\delta/\beta} ds = \infty, \tag{2.32}$$

then every solution  $x(t)$  of equation (1.1) either oscillates or satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1), say  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ , and  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$ , and assume (2.1) and (2.2) hold for  $t \geq t_1$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.6, we again see that  $z(t)$  satisfies either case (I) or case (II) for  $t \geq t_1$ . In case (I), we again arrive at (2.21) for  $t \geq t_5$ . Using the fact that  $w(t) = r(t) (z''(t))^\alpha$  is positive and decreasing, and noting that  $h(t) \leq t$ , we have

$$w(h(t)) \geq w(t)$$

Thus, inequality (2.21) can be written as

$$w'(t) + q(t)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(t), t_1)w^{\delta/\alpha\beta}(t) \leq 0,$$

or

$$\frac{w'(t)}{w^{\delta/\alpha\beta}(t)} + q(t)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(t))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(t), t_1) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_5. \tag{2.33}$$

An integration of (2.33) from  $t_5$  to  $\infty$  gives

$$\int_{t_5}^{\infty} q(s)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(s), t_1)ds \leq \frac{w^{1-\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta}}(t_5)}{1-\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta}} < \infty,$$

which contradicts (2.31). Using the similar arguments, the remainder of proof follows from inequality (2.26),  $\xi(t) \leq t$  and case (ii) in Theorem 2.6; we omit the details.  $\square$

We conclude this paper with the following examples and remarks to illustrate the above results. Our first example deals with the equation with a superlinear neutral term in the case where  $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and the second example is concerned with the equation with a linear neutral term in the case where  $p$  is a constant function.

**Example 2.9** Consider the third-order differential equation with a superlinear neutral term

$$\left(\frac{1}{t^{1/3}}(z''(t))^{1/3}\right)' + \frac{8t}{3}x^3\left(\frac{t}{3}\right) = 0, \quad t \geq 2, \quad (2.34)$$

with

$$z(t) = x(t) + 4tx^3\left(\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

Here  $r(t) = 1/t^{1/3}$ ,  $p(t) = 4t$ ,  $q(t) = 8t/3$ ,  $\tau(t) = t/2$ ,  $\sigma(t) = t/3$ ,  $\alpha = 1/3$ ,  $\beta = 3$ , and  $\delta = 3$ . Then it is easy to see that conditions (C<sub>1</sub>) – (C<sub>4</sub>) and (1.2) hold,

$$I_1(t, t_*) = I_1(t, t_0) = I_1(t, 2) = (t^2 - 4)/2,$$

$$I_2(\tau^{-1}(t), t_{**}) = I_2(2t, 3) = (8t^3 - 24t + 9)/6,$$

$$I_2(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)), t_{**}) = I_2(4t, 3) = (64t^3 - 48t + 9)/6$$

and

$$P_2(t) = \frac{1}{8t} \left[ 1 - \frac{l^{\frac{1}{3}-1}}{(16t)^{1/3}} \right].$$

Since

$$\frac{64t^3 - 48t + 9}{128t^3 - 384t + 144} \leq \frac{177}{272} \quad \text{for } t \geq 3,$$

we have

$$P_1(t) \geq \frac{1}{8t} \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{177}{272}\right)^{1/3} \frac{k^{\frac{1}{3}-1}}{t^{1/3}} \right] \quad \text{for } t \geq 3.$$

Thus, it follows from (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$\int_{t_{**}}^{\infty} q(s)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))ds \geq \int_3^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{177}{272}\right)^{1/3} \frac{3^{1/3}}{k^{2/3}s^{1/3}} \right] ds = \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(s)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))ds = \int_2^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{3^{1/3}}{l^{2/3}(16s)^{1/3}} \right) ds = \infty,$$

i.e. conditions (2.14) and (2.15) hold, respectively. Thus, by Theorem 2.5, any solution  $x(t)$  of equation (2.34) is either oscillatory or satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Example 2.10** Consider the third-order differential equation with a linear neutral term

$$\left(\frac{1}{t^{1/5}}(z''(t))^{1/5}\right)' + (1+t^2)x^{1/5}\left(\frac{t}{8}\right) = 0, \quad t \geq 2, \quad (2.35)$$

with

$$z(t) = x(t) + 16x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

Here  $r(t) = 1/t^{1/5}$ ,  $p(t) = 16$ ,  $q(t) = 1 + t^2$ ,  $\tau(t) = t/2$ ,  $\sigma(t) = t/8$ ,  $\alpha = 1/5$ ,  $\beta = 1$ , and  $\delta = 1/5$ . Then it is easy to see that conditions  $(C_1) - (C_4)$  and (1.2) hold,

$$I_1(t, 2) = (t^2 - 4)/2,$$

$$I_2(h(t), 2) = (t^3 - 192t + 1024)/384,$$

$$P_2(t) = 15/256$$

and

$$P_1(t) \geq 95/4352.$$

Letting  $\eta(t) = t/3$  and  $\xi(t) = t/2$ , we get

$$I_1(\xi(t), \eta(t)) = 5t^2/72.$$

Thus, it follows from (2.31) and (2.32) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_{**}}^{\infty} q(s)P_1^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))I_2^{\delta/\beta}(h(s), t_0)ds \\ & \geq \left(\frac{95}{4352 \times 384}\right)^{1/5} \int_3^{\infty} (1 + s^2)(s^3 - 192s + 1024)^{1/5} ds = \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(s)P_2^{\delta/\beta}(\sigma(s))[(\eta(s) - h(s))I_1(\xi(s), \eta(s))]^{\delta/\beta} ds \\ & = \left(\frac{75}{256 \times 864}\right)^{1/5} \int_2^{\infty} (1 + s^2)s^{3/5} ds = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. conditions (2.31) and (2.32) hold, respectively. Thus, by Corollary 2.8, any solution  $x(t)$  of equation (2.35) either oscillates or satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.11** It will be of interest to study equation (1.1) under condition

$$I_1(t, t_0) := \int_{t_0}^t r^{-1/\alpha}(s)ds < \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Remark 2.12** The results of this paper are presented in a form that can be extended to higher-order equations of the form

$$\left(r(t) \left(z^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^\alpha\right)' + q(t)x^\delta(\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 > 0,$$

where  $n \geq 3$  is an odd natural number,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $r$ ,  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ , and  $z$  are defined as in this paper.

**Remark 2.13** It would be of interest to study equation (1.1) in the case where  $p(t) \leq -1$  with  $p(t) \not\equiv -1$  for large  $t$ .

## References

- [1] Agarwal RP, Grace SR, O'Regan D. Oscillation theory for difference and functional differential equations. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2010.
- [2] Baculíková B, Džurina J. Oscillation of third-order neutral differential equations. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 2010; 52 (1-2): 215-226. doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2010.02.011
- [3] Chatzarakis GE, Džurina J, Jadlovská I. Oscillatory properties of third-order neutral delay differential equations with noncanonical operators. *Mathematics* 2019; 7(12): 1-12. doi:10.3390/math7121
- [4] Chatzarakis GE, Grace SR, I. Jadlovská, Li T, Tunç E. Oscillation criteria for third-order Emden–Fowler differential equations with unbounded neutral coefficients. *Complexity* 2019; 2019: 1-7. doi: 10.1155/2019/5691758
- [5] Chen DX, Liu JC. Asymptotic behavior and oscillation of solutions of third-order nonlinear neutral delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Canadian Applied Mathematics Quarterly* 2008; 16 (1): 19-43.
- [6] Das P. Oscillation criteria for odd order neutral equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 1994; 188 (1): 245-257. doi: 10.1006/jmaa.1994.1425
- [7] Džurina J, Grace SR, Jadlovská I. On nonexistence of Kneser solutions of third-order neutral delay differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2019; 88: 193-200. doi: 10.1016/j.aml.2018.08.016
- [8] Došlá Z, Liška P. Comparison theorems for third-order neutral differential equations. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2016; 2016 (38): 1-13.
- [9] Grace SR, Graef JR, El-Beltagy MA. On the oscillation of third order neutral delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 2012; 63 (4): 775-782. doi: 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.11.042
- [10] Grace SR, Graef JR, Tunç E. Oscillatory behaviour of third order nonlinear differential equations with a nonlinear nonpositive neutral term. *Journal of Taibah University for Science* 2019; 13 (1): 704-710. doi: 10.1080/16583655.2019.1622847
- [11] Graef JR, Savithri R, Thandapani E. Oscillatory properties of third order neutral delay differential equations. In: *Proceedings of the fourth international conference on dynamical systems and differential equations*; Wilmington, NC, USA; 2002. pp. 342-350.
- [12] Graef JR, Tunç E, Grace SR. Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of a third-order nonlinear neutral differential equation. *Opuscula Mathematica* 2017; 37 (6): 839-852. doi: 10.7494/OpMath.2017.37.6.839
- [13] Graef JR, Spikes WP, Grammatikopoulos MK. Asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of neutral delay differential equations of arbitrary order. *Nonlinear Analysis* 1993; 21 (1): 23-42. doi: 10.1016/0362-546X(93)90175-R
- [14] Hale JK, Verduyn Lunel SM. *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1993.
- [15] Jiang Y, Jiang C, Li T. Oscillatory behavior of third-order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2016; 2016 (171): 1-12.
- [16] Kiguradze IT. On the oscillatory character of solutions of the equation  $d^m u/dt^m + a(t)|u|^n \operatorname{sign} u = 0$ . *Matematicheskii Sbornik* 1964; 65: 172-187.
- [17] Koplatadze RG, Chanturiya TA. Oscillating and monotone solutions of first-order differential equations with deviating argument. *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* 1982; 18: 1463-1465.
- [18] Li T, Rogovchenko YV. Asymptotic behavior of higher-order quasilinear neutral differential equations. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2014; 2014: 1-11. doi: 10.1155/2014/395368
- [19] Li T, Rogovchenko YV. On the asymptotic behavior of solutions to a class of third-order nonlinear neutral differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2020; 105: 1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.aml.2020.106293

- [20] Li T, Rogovchenko YV. Oscillation criteria for second-order superlinear Emden–Fowler neutral differential equations. *Monatshefte für Mathematik* 2017; 184: 489-500. doi: 10.1007/s00605-017-1039-9
- [21] Mihalíková B, Kostiková E. Boundedness and oscillation of third order neutral differential equations. *Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications* 2009; 43: 137-144. doi: 10.2478/v10127-009-0033-6
- [22] Philos CG. On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero at  $\infty$  for differential equations with positive delays. *Archiv der Mathematik* 1981; 36 (1): 168-178. doi: 10.1007/BF01223686
- [23] Saker SH, Graef JR. Oscillation of third-order nonlinear neutral functional dynamic equations on time scales. *Dynamic Systems and Applications* 2012; 21 (4): 583-606.
- [24] Sun Y, Hassan TS. Comparison criteria for odd order forced nonlinear functional neutral dynamic equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 2015; 251: 387-395. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2014.11.095
- [25] Sun Y, Zhao Y. Oscillatory behavior of third-order neutral delay differential equations with distributed deviating arguments. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2019; 2019 (207): 1-16. doi: 10.1186/s13660-019-2161-0
- [26] Thandapani E, Li T. On the oscillation of third-order quasi-linear neutral functional differential equations. *Archivum Mathematicum (Brno)* 2011; 47 (3): 181-199.
- [27] Thandapani E, Padmavathy S, Pinelas S. Oscillation criteria for odd-order nonlinear differential equations with advanced and delayed arguments. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2014; 2014 (174): 1-13.
- [28] Tunç E, Grace SR. Oscillatory behavior of solutions to third-order nonlinear differential equations with a superlinear neutral term. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2020; 2020 (32): 1-11.
- [29] Tunç E. Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of third-order neutral differential equations with distributed deviating arguments. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2017; 2017 (16): 1-12.