

1-1-2021

An improved oscillation criteria for first order dynamic equations

ÖZKAN ÖCALAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ÖCALAN, ÖZKAN (2021) "An improved oscillation criteria for first order dynamic equations," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 45: No. 1, Article 30. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2011-12>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol45/iss1/30>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

An improved oscillation criteria for first order dynamic equations

Özkan ÖCALAN* 

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey

Received: 05.11.2020

Accepted/Published Online: 14.12.2020

Final Version: 21.01.2021

Abstract: In this work, we consider the first-order dynamic equations

$$x^\Delta(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$$

where $p \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\tau \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{T})$ and $\tau(t) \leq t$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$. When the delay term $\tau(t)$ is not necessarily monotone, we present a new sufficient condition for the oscillation of first-order delay dynamic equations on time scales.

Key words: Dynamic equations, nonmonotone, oscillation, time scales

1. Introduction

The oscillatory behavior of solutions of differential/difference and dynamic equations has been studied by many authors. See, for example, [1–34] and the references cited therein. Consider the first-order delay dynamic equations

$$x^\Delta(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbb{T} is a time scale unbounded above with $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, $p \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$, $\tau \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{T})$ is not necessarily monotone such that

$$\tau(t) \leq t \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

A function $p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called positively regressive (we write $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$) if it is rd-continuous and satisfies $1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, where $\mu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is the graininess function defined by $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$ with the forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ defined by $\sigma := \inf \{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is called right-dense if $\sigma(t) = t$ and/or equivalently $\mu(t) = 0$ holds, otherwise it is called right-scattered. The readers are referred to Bohner and Peterson [2] for further details concerning the time scales calculus.

A function $x : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a solution of Equation (1.1), if $x(t)$ is delta differentiable for $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ and satisfies Equation (1.1) for $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$. We say that a solution x of Equation (1.1) has a generalized zero at t if $x(t) = 0$ or if $\mu(t) > 0$ and $x(t)x(\sigma(t)) < 0$. Let $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$ and then a nontrivial solution x of equation (1.1) is called oscillatory on $[t, \infty)$ if it has arbitrarily large generalized zeros in $[t, \infty)$.

*Correspondence: ozkanocalan@akdeniz.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C10, 34N05, 39A12, 39A21.

Now, we give some well-known tests on oscillation of (1.1).

In 2002, Zhang and Deng [32], using the cylinder transforms, proved that if $\tau(t)$ is eventually nondecreasing and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\lambda \in E} \{\lambda e_{-\lambda p}(t, \tau(t))\} < 1,$$

where $E = \{\lambda : \lambda > 0, 1 - \lambda p(t)\mu(t) > 0\}$ and in 2005, Bohner [4], using exponential functions notation, proved that if $\tau(t)$ is eventually nondecreasing and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{-\lambda p \in \mathcal{R}^+} \{\lambda e_{-\lambda p}(t, \tau(t))\} < 1,$$

where

$$e_{-\lambda p}(t, \tau(t)) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau(t)}^t \xi_{\mu(s)}(-\lambda p(s)) \Delta s \right\}$$

and

$$\xi_h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{Log}(1+hz)}{h} & , \text{ if } h \neq 0 \\ z & , \text{ if } h = 0 \end{cases} ,$$

then all solutions of Equation (1.1) are oscillatory.

In 2005, Zhang et al. [33] and in 2006, Şahiner and Stavroulakis [27], using different technique, obtained that if $\tau(t)$ is eventually nondecreasing and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{\sigma(t)} p(s) \Delta s > 1, \tag{1.3}$$

then all solutions of Equation (1.1) are oscillatory.

Zhang et al. [33] (See also Agarwal and Bohner [1, Theorem 1] gave the following result. Assume that $\tau(t)$ is eventually nondecreasing and

$$\alpha := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s > \frac{1}{e}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

Şahiner and Stavroulakis [27] obtained that if $\tau(t)$ is eventually nondecreasing and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s > c \text{ and } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s > 1 - \frac{c^2}{4}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $c \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}$, then every solution of Equation (1.1) oscillates.

Agarwal and Bohner [1] improved the condition (1.5) by the following. If $\tau(t)$ is eventually nondecreasing and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s > c \text{ and } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s > 1 - (1 - \sqrt{1 - c})^2, \tag{1.6}$$

where $c \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}$, then every solution of Equation (1.1) oscillates.

In 2006, Karpuz and Öcalan [17] improved the condition (1.6) by extending the second integral condition to the larger interval $[\tau(t), t]_{\mathbb{T}}$ as the following. Assume that $\tau(t)$ is eventually nondecreasing and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s > c \text{ and } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{\sigma(t)} p(s) \Delta s > 1 - (1 - \sqrt{1 - c})^2, \tag{1.7}$$

where $c \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then every solution of Equation (1.1) oscillates.

Zhang et al. [33] established the following result. Assume that $\tau(t)$ is eventually nondecreasing and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{e}]$ (where α is defined by (1.4)). Furthermore,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{\sigma(t)} p(s) \Delta s > \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\lambda_1 \in [1, e]$ is the unique root of the equation $\lambda = e^{\alpha\lambda}$, then all solutions of Equation (1.1) are oscillatory. It is clear that, since

$$\frac{1 + \ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} \leq 1 \text{ for } \lambda_1 \in [1, e],$$

the condition (1.8) implies that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{\sigma(t)} p(s) \Delta s > 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}. \tag{1.9}$$

Clearly, when $0 < c \leq \frac{1}{e}$, it is easy to verify that

$$\frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2} > (1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha})^2 > \frac{\alpha^2}{4}$$

and therefore the condition (1.9) is weaker than the conditions (1.5) and (1.7).

Now, we assume that $\tau(t)$ is not necessarily monotone. Set

$$h(t) = \sup_{s \leq t} \tau(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{1.10}$$

Clearly, $h(t)$ is nondecreasing and $\tau(t) \leq h(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

In 2017, when $\tau(t)$ is not necessarily monotone, Öcalan, Özkan and Yıldız [24, Theorem 2.2] studied Equation (1.1) and obtained the following result. If

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} p(s) \Delta s > 1, \tag{1.11}$$

where $h(t)$ is defined by (1.10), then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Very recently, Öcalan [25, Corollary 2.4] established the following result when $\tau(t)$ is not necessarily monotone. If

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s > \frac{1}{e}, \tag{1.12}$$

where $h(t)$ is defined by (1.10), then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

Finally, Öcalan [25] obtained the following result when $\tau(t)$ is not necessarily monotone.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that (1.2) holds, $-p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))} \Delta s > 1 \tag{1.13}$$

or

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(s), \tau(s))} \Delta s > \frac{1}{e}, \tag{1.14}$$

where $h(t)$ is defined by (1.10). Then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

Lately, Kılıç and Öcalan [20] studied Equation (1.1) and obtained the following result, when $\tau(t)$ is not necessarily monotone.

Theorem 1.2 *Assume that (1.2) holds, $-p \in \mathcal{R}^+$. If*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} p(s) \Delta s > 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, \tag{1.15}$$

where $h(t)$ is defined by (1.10) and α is defined by (1.4), then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

2. Main results

In this section, we present a new sufficient condition for the oscillation of all solutions of (1.1), under the assumption that the argument $\tau(t)$ is not necessarily monotone.

Now, we consider the case where $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{e}$. So, we will obtain new oscillatory criteria for all solutions of (1.1). To establish our main results, we need the following lemmas. The following result was given in [4].

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that $-p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $s \in \mathbb{T}$. If*

$$x^\Delta(t) + p(t)x(t) \leq 0 \text{ for all } t \geq s,$$

then

$$x(t) \leq e_{-p}(t, s)x(s) \text{ for all } t \geq s.$$

The proof of the next Lemma can be done in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [33]. (Also, see [20].)

Lemma 2.2 *Assume that $\tau(t)$ is not necessarily monotone. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{e}$ and $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of Equation (1.1). Then*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(\sigma(t))}{x(h(t))} \geq \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $h(t)$ is defined by (1.10) and α is defined by (1.4).

Theorem 2.3 *Assume that (1.2) holds, $-p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))} \Delta s > 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $h(t)$ is defined by (1.10) and α is defined by (1.4). Then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

Proof Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of (1.1). Since $-x(t)$ is also a solution of (1.1), we can confine our discussion only to the case where the solution $x(t)$ is eventually positive. Then, there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $x(t), x(\tau(t)), x(h(t)) > 0$, for all $t \geq t_1$. Thus, from (1.1) we have

$$x^\Delta(t) = -p(t)x(\tau(t)) \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_1,$$

which means that $x(t)$ is an eventually nonincreasing function. In view of this and taking into account that $\tau(t) \leq t$, (1.1) gives

$$x^\Delta(t) + x(t)p(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1$$

and so we have Lemma 2.1. Integrating (1.1) from $h(t)$ to $\sigma(t)$ and using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(\sigma(t)) - x(h(t)) + \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} p(s)x(\tau(s))\Delta s &= 0 \\ x(\sigma(t)) - x(h(t)) + \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} p(s)\frac{x(h(t))}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))}\Delta s &\leq 0 \\ x(\sigma(t)) - x(h(t)) + x(h(t)) \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))}\Delta s &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))}\Delta s \leq 1 - \frac{x(\sigma(t))}{x(h(t))}. \tag{2.3}$$

Consequently, from (2.3) we obtain

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))} \Delta s \leq 1 - \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(\sigma(t))}{x(h(t))} \tag{2.4}$$

and by (2.1), the inequality (2.4) leads to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))} \Delta s \leq 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2},$$

which contradicts to (2.2). The proof of the theorem is completed. □

Example 2.4 Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z} = \{hk : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then, we have for $t \in \mathbb{T}$

$$\sigma(t) = t + h, \quad \mu(t) = h \quad \text{and} \quad x^\Delta(t) = \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h}.$$

Thus, Equation (1.1) becomes

$$\frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h} + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \in \{hk : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let $\tau(t) = t - 2$ and $h = 2$. Since $p(t) \in \{2k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we assume

$$p(2t) = 0.18 \quad \text{and} \quad p(2t + 2) = 0.21, \quad t = 0, 2, 4, \dots$$

When $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$, from (iii) in Theorem 1.79 [2], we have the following formula.

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = \sum_{k=\frac{a}{h}}^{\frac{b}{h}-1} f(kh)h \quad \text{for } a < b. \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, by using (2.5) we obtain that for $\tau(t), p(t) \in \{2k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$$\alpha := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \Delta s = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=\frac{t-2}{2}}^{\frac{t}{2}-1} 2p(2j) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} 2p(t-2) = 0.36$$

and

$$M := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))} \Delta s = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=\frac{h(t)}{2}}^{\frac{\sigma(t)}{2}-1} \frac{2p(2j)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(2j))}.$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(2j)) &= \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau(2j)}^{h(t)} \xi_{\mu(u)}(-p(u)) \Delta u \right\} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=\frac{\tau(2j)}{2}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}-1} \frac{2 \log(1 - \mu(2i)p(2i))}{\mu(2i)} \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=\frac{\tau(2j)}{2}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}-1} \log(1 - 2p(2i)) \right\} = \exp \left\{ \log \prod_{i=\frac{\tau(2j)}{2}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}-1} (1 - 2p(2i)) \right\} \\ &= \prod_{i=\frac{\tau(2j)}{2}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}-1} (1 - 2p(2i)) = \prod_{i=j-1}^{\frac{t-2}{2}-1} (1 - 2p(2i)). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &: = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{p(s)}{e_{-p}(h(t), \tau(s))} \Delta s = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=\frac{t-2}{2}}^{\frac{t+2}{2}-1} 2p(2j) \prod_{i=j-1}^{\frac{t-2}{2}-1} \frac{1}{(1 - 2p(2i))} \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[2p(t-2) \frac{1}{(1 - 2p(t-4))} + 2p(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M \cong 0.9825 \not\asymp 1$$

shows that condition (1.13) fails. However, since

$$M \cong 0.9825 > 1 - \frac{1 - 0.36 - \sqrt{1 - 2(0.36) - (0.36)^2}}{2} \cong 0.87391$$

and therefore every solution oscillates by Theorem 2.3.

References

- [1] Agarwal RP, Bohner M. An oscillation criterion for first order delay dynamic equations. *Functional Differential Equations* 2009; 16 (1): 11-17.
- [2] Bohner M, Peterson A. *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*. Boston, MA, USA: Birkhauser, 2001.
- [3] Bohner M, Peterson A. *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*. Boston, MA, USA: Birkhauser, 2003.
- [4] Bohner M. Some Oscillation criteria for first order delay dynamic equations. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 2005; 18 (3): 289-304.
- [5] Chatzarakis GE, Koplatadze R, Stavroulakis IP. Oscillation criteria of first order linear difference equations with delay argument. *Nonlinear Analysis* 2008; 68: 994-1005. doi: 10.1016/j.na.2006.11.055
- [6] Chatzarakis GE, Koplatadze R, Stavroulakis IP. Optimal oscillation criteria for first order difference equations with delay argument. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 2008; 235: 15-33.
- [7] Chatzarakis GE, Philos ChG, Stavroulakis IP. On the oscillation of the solutions to linear difference equations with variable delay. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2008; 2008 (50): 1-15.

- [8] Chatzarakis GE, Philos ChG, Stavroulakis IP. An oscillation criterion for linear difference equations with general delay argument. *Portugaliae Mathematica* 2009; 66 (4): 513-533.
- [9] Elbert A, Stavroulakis IP. Oscillations of first order differential equations with deviating arguments. In: Agarwal RP (editor). *World Scientific Series in Applicable Analysis Vol. 1*. Singapore: World Science Publishing Co., 1992; 1: 163-178.
- [10] Erbe LH, Zhang BG. Oscillation of first order linear differential equations with deviating arguments. *Differential Integral Equations* 1988; 1: 305-314.
- [11] Erbe LH, Zhang BG. Oscillation of discrete analogues of delay equations. *Differential Integral Equations* 1989; 2: 300-309.
- [12] Erbe LH, Kong Q, Zhang BG. *Oscillation Theory for Functional Differential Equations*. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1995.
- [13] Fukagai N, Kusano T. Oscillation theory of first order functional differential equations with deviating arguments. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata* 1984; 136: 95-117.
- [14] Györi I, Ladas G. *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications*. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press, 1991.
- [15] Jaroš J, Stavroulakis IP. Oscillation tests for delay equations. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* 1999; 29: 139-145.
- [16] Jian C. Oscillation of linear differential equations with deviating argument. *Mathematics in Practice and Theory* 1991; 1: 32-41 (in Chinese).
- [17] Karpuz B, Öcalan Ö. New oscillation tests and some refinements for first-order delay dynamic equations. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* 2016; 40 (4): 850-863. doi: 10.3906/mat-1507-98
- [18] Karpuz B. Sharp oscillation and nonoscillation tests for linear difference equations. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications* 2017; 23 (12): 1929-1942. doi: 10.1080/10236198.2017.1379515
- [19] Karpuz B. Sharp oscillation and nonoscillation tests for delay dynamic equations. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 2019; 42 (9): 2993-3001. doi: 10.1002/mma.5558
- [20] Kılıç N, Öcalan Ö. Oscillation criteria for first-order dynamic equations with nonmonotone delay. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 2020 (in press). doi: 10.15672/hujms.674428
- [21] Koplatadze RG, Chanturija TA. Oscillating and monotone solutions of first-order differential equations with deviating arguments. *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* 1982; 8: 1463-1465 (in Russian).
- [22] Kwong MK. Oscillation of first-order delay equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 1991; 156: 274-286.
- [23] Ladde GS, Lakshmikantham V, Zhang BG. *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 110. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1987.
- [24] Öcalan Ö, Özkan UM, Yıldız MK. Oscillatory solutions for dynamic equations with non-monotone arguments. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* 2017; 7 (4): 725-738.
- [25] Öcalan Ö. Oscillation of first-order dynamic equations with nonmonotone delay. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 2020; 43 (7): 3954-3964. doi: 10.1002/mma.6165
- [26] Philos ChG, Sficas YG. An oscillation criterion for first-order linear delay differential equations. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 1998; 41: 207-213.
- [27] Şahiner Y, Stavroulakis IP. Oscillations of first order delay dynamic equations. *Dynamic Systems and Applications* 2006; 15 (3-4): 645-655.
- [28] Yu JS, Wang ZC. Some further results on oscillation of neutral differential equations. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 1992; 46: 149-157.

- [29] Yu JS, Wang ZC, Zhang BG, Qian XZ. Oscillations of differential equations with deviating arguments. *Panamerican Mathematical Journal* 1992; 2: 59-78.
- [30] Zhang BG, Tian CJ. Oscillation criteria for difference equations with unbounded delay. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 1998; 35 (4): 19-26.
- [31] Zhang BG, Tian CJ. Nonexistence and existence of positive solutions for difference equations with unbounded delay. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 1998; 36: 1-8.
- [32] Zhang BG, Deng X. Oscillation of delay differential equations on time scales. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 2002; 36 (11-13): 1307-1318. doi: 10.1016/S0895-7177(02)00278-9
- [33] Zhang BG, Yan X, Liu X. Oscillation criteria of certain delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications* 2005; 11 (10): 933-946. doi: 10.1080/10236190500211952
- [34] Zhou Y, Yu YH. On the oscillation of solutions of first order differential equations with deviating arguments. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica* 1999; 15 (3): 288-302.