

1-1-2021

Almost quasi clean rings

TUFAN ÖZDİN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)


Recommended Citation

ÖZDİN, TUFAN (2021) "Almost quasi clean rings," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 45: No. 2, Article 24. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2012-77>

Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol45/iss2/24>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Almost quasi clean rings

Tufan ÖZDİN* 

Department of Mathematics, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University, Erzincan, Turkey

Received: 19.12.2020

Accepted/Published Online: 26.02.2021

Final Version: 26.03.2021

Abstract: The element q of a ring R is called quasi-idempotent element if $q^2 = uq$ for some central unit u of R , or equivalently $q = ue$, where u is a central unit and e is an idempotent of R . In this paper, we define that the ring R is almost quasi-clean if each element of R is the sum of a regular element and a quasi-idempotent element. Several properties of almost-quasi clean rings are investigated. We prove that every quasi-continuous and nonsingular ring is almost quasi-clean. Finally, it is determined that the conditions under which the idealization of an R -module M is almost quasi clean.

Key words: Quasi-idempotent, almost quasi-clean ring

1. Introduction

For a ring R , an element a of R is called clean if it can be written as the sum of a unit and an idempotent. A ring R is clean if each element of R is clean. Clean rings were defined by W. K. Nicholson in [13] in relation to exchange rings. In [11] McGovern introduced almost clean ring as each element of its is the sum of regular element (neither a left nor a right zero divisor) and an idempotent. Clearly a clean ring is almost clean ring. Exchange rings and clean rings have been extensively studied by many authors (for example, see [7, 9, 10]).

An element a in a ring R is called strongly regular if $a \in a^2R \cap Ra^2$. By [14], $a \in R$ is strongly regular if and only if $a = ue = eu$ where $e^2 = e$ and u is a unit in R if and only if $a^2 = ua = au$ for a unit u in R . Tang and Su in [Quasi-clean rings and strongly quasi-clean rings, preprint] introduced the notion of a quasi-idempotent element in which a special kind of strongly regular elements is a natural generalization of idempotent: An element q of a ring R is called quasi-idempotent if $q^2 = uq$ for some central unit u of R , or equivalently, $q = ue$, where $e^2 = e \in R$ and u is a central unit of R .

The paper consists of three parts. In section 2, we defined a ring R as an almost quasi-clean ring if each of its element can be written as the sum of a regular element (neither a left nor a right zero-divisor) and a quasi-idempotent. We give characterizations of almost quasi-clean rings and discuss various consequences. For example, we show that all right quasi-continuous and right nonsingular rings are almost quasi-clean, also an abelian Rickart ring has an almost quasi-clean decomposition. In the last section, we give some conditions in which the idealization and the trivial extension of an R -module M is almost quasi-clean.

In this paper, a ring R is an associative ring with identity. We write $Z(R)$, $U(R)$, $UC(R)$, $Reg(R)$, $Id(R)$ and $nil(R)$ to denote the set of all zero divisors, the set of all unit elements, the set of central unit

*Correspondence: tufan.ozdin@hotmail.com; tozdin@erzincan.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E50, 16U60, 16U99, 16W60,

elements, the set of regular elements, and the set of idempotent elements of R , respectively. Also we denote Jacobson radical of R by $J(R)$.

Let R be a ring. In this paper, we also use $ann_r(x)$ and $ann_l(x)$ to denote the right and left annihilator of an element x of R . The maximal right ring of quotients are denoted by $Q_{max}^r(R)$. In this paper, every module is the right module.

2. Almost quasi-clean rings

Definition 2.1 *An element q of a ring R is called quasi-idempotent if $q^2 = uq$ for some central unit u of R . The element q is called a u -idempotent. The set of quasi-idempotent of R is denoted by $QId(R)$.*

A quasi-idempotent is a strongly regular element, but the converse is clearly not true. If q is a quasi-idempotent, then $(u^{-1}q)^2 = u^{-1}q$ is an idempotent. So we have that an element q is a quasi-idempotent if and only if $q = ue$, where e is an idempotent and u is a unit in R .

If q is a u -idempotent, i.e. $q^2 = uq$ and $q = ue$ where e is an idempotent, we have a direct sum decomposition of R :

$$R = qR \oplus (u - q)R = eR \oplus (1 - e)R,$$

where $qR = eR$, $(u - q)R = (1 - e)R$ and $u - q = u(1 - e)$ is also a u -idempotent.

Definition 2.2 *An element a of a ring R is called almost quasi-clean if a is a sum of a quasi-idempotent and a regular element. A ring R is called almost quasi-clean if each of its elements is almost quasi-clean.*

A ring R is called a *quasi-Boolean* ring if every element of R is quasi-idempotent. Boolean rings and any direct product of fields are quasi-Boolean. Note that the class of quasi-Boolean rings is closed under homomorphic images and direct products.

Example 2.3 *Clean rings, almost clean rings, quasi-Boolean rings, weakly clean rings, and quasi-clean rings are almost quasi-clean.*

If R is quasi-clean, then each of its homomorphic image is also quasi-clean. We next show that the homomorphic image of an almost quasi-clean ring does not need to be almost quasi-clean.

Example 2.4 *Let $R = K[x, y]$ where K is any field. Certainly, $K[x, y]$ being a domain is almost quasi-clean. Let $\bar{R} = R/((x) \cap (x - 1) \cap (y))$. Here, \bar{x} and $\overline{x - 1}$ are both zero divisor elements of \bar{R} , so \bar{R} is not almost quasi-clean.*

In the following proposition, we collect some basic properties of almost quasi-clean rings.

Proposition 2.5 *Let R and R_α denote rings.*

1. $R = \prod_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha$ is almost quasi-clean if and only if each R_α is almost quasi-clean.
2. R is an almost quasi-clean ring if and only if the power series $R[[x]]$ is almost quasi-clean.
3. If R is an almost quasi-clean ring, then $R[\{\{X_\alpha\}\}]$ an is almost quasi-clean ring.

Proof (1) (\Rightarrow) For $\alpha \in I$, let $x_\alpha \in R_\alpha$. Then $x := (x_1, \dots, x_\alpha, \dots) \in R$ can be written as $x = r + q$ where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $q \in QId(R)$. Since $Reg(R) = \prod_{\alpha \in I} Reg(R_\alpha)$, we get $r_\alpha \in Reg(R_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in I$. Also, if $q \in QId(R)$ then $q = ue$ where $u \in UC(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$. Because of $u \in UC(R)$ there exist $v \in R$ such that $uv = 1_R$. Then $(u_1, \dots, u_\alpha, \dots)(v_1, \dots, v_\alpha, \dots) = (1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_\alpha}, \dots)$ which implies, for each $\alpha \in I$, $u_\alpha v_\alpha = 1_{R_\alpha}$. Hence $u_\alpha \in U(R_\alpha)$. Similarly, $u_\alpha \in C(R_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in I$. Thus, $u_\alpha \in UC(R_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in I$. In the same way, if $e = (e_1, \dots, e_\alpha, \dots) \in Id(R)$ then, for each $\alpha \in I$, $e_\alpha \in Id(R_\alpha)$. Consequently, we get $x_\alpha = r_\alpha + u_\alpha e_\alpha = r_\alpha + q_\alpha$ where $r_\alpha \in Reg(R_\alpha)$ and $q_\alpha \in QId(R_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in I$. So R_α is almost quasi-clean.

(\Leftarrow): Let $x := (x_\alpha) \in R = \prod_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha$. For each $\alpha \in I$, write $x_\alpha = r_\alpha + q_\alpha$ where $r_\alpha \in Reg(R_\alpha)$ and $q_\alpha \in QId(R_\alpha)$. Let $q_\alpha \in QId(R_\alpha) = u_\alpha e_\alpha$, where $u_\alpha \in UC(R_\alpha)$ and $e_\alpha \in Id(R_\alpha)$. Then $x_\alpha = r_\alpha + u_\alpha e_\alpha$. Since $r_\alpha \in Reg(R_\alpha)$, there exist $0 \neq y_\alpha \in R_\alpha$ such that $r_\alpha y_\alpha = 0$. So $r := (r_\alpha) \in Reg(R)$. On the other hand, $u := (u_\alpha) \in UC(R)$ and $e := (e_\alpha) \in Id(R)$. Hence we have an almost quasi-clean representation $x = r + ue$, as desired.

(2) (\Rightarrow) Let $f(x) \in R[[x]]$. Then $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i x^i = r_0 + r_1 x + r_2 x^2 + \dots$. Since R is an almost quasi-clean ring, we have the representation $r_0 = r + q$ where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $q \in QId(R)$. Hence $r_0 = r + ue$ where $u \in UC(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$. Now

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i x^i = r_0 + r_1 x + r_2 x^2 + \dots = r + ue + r_1 x + r_2 x^2 + \dots = ue + g(x),$$

where $g(x) = r + r_1 x + r_2 x^2 + \dots$. If $g(x) \notin Reg(R[[x]])$, then there exist $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i x^i \neq 0$ such that $g(x)h(x) = 0$. Thus $rh(x) = 0$ and then $rh_i = 0$ for all i . Since $r \in Reg(R)$, for all i , h_i must be zero, which is a contradiction. So $g(x) \in Reg(R[[x]])$. Since $u \in UC(R) \subseteq UC(R[[x]])$ and $e \in Id(R) \subseteq Id(R[[x]])$, we obtain that $R[[x]]$ is almost quasi-clean.

(\Leftarrow): Since $R[[x]] \cong \{(a_0, a_1, \dots) | a_i \in R\} = \prod_{i \geq 0} R$, we obtain R is almost quasi-clean by (1).

(3) Let $f(x) \in R[[\{X_\alpha\}]]$. Then $f = f_0 + f'$ where $f_0 \in R$ and $f' \in (\{X_\alpha\})$. Since $f_0 \in R$, we can write $f_0 = r + q$ where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $q \in QId(R)$. Hence $f_0 = r + q = r + ue$ where $u \in UC(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$. Now

$$f = r + ue + f' = (r + f') + ue$$

where $r + f' \in Reg(R[[\{X_\alpha\}]])$. Since $u \in UC(R) \subseteq UC(R[[\{X_\alpha\}]])$ and $e \in Id(R) \subseteq Id(R[[\{X_\alpha\}]])$, we obtain that $R[[\{X_\alpha\}]]$ is almost quasi-clean. \square

Let us recall a few definitions in module theory. Let R be a ring. Recall the following conditions for a right R module M :

(C1) Every submodule of M is essential inside a (direct) summand of M .

(C2) Every submodule of M that is isomorphic to a summand of M is itself a summand of M .

(C3) If A and B are summands of M with $A \cap B = 0$, then $A \oplus B$ is also a summand of M

M is called a *CS module* if it satisfies the condition (C1). M is called *continuous* if it satisfies (C1) and (C2). M is called a *quasi-continuous module* if it satisfies (C1) and (C3). A ring R is right *CS (right quasi-continuous or right continuous)* if R_R is *CS (quasi-continuous or continuous)*. It is well known that a continuous module is quasi-continuous.

Proposition 2.6 *Let R be a ring that can be embedded in a quasi clean-ring has the same quasi idempotents as R , then R is almost quasi clean.*

Proof Let S be denote a quasi-clean ring with the same quasi idempotent as R in which R embeds. Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in S$. Thus, $a = u + q$ where $u \in U(S)$ and $q \in QId(S)$. By hypothesis, $q \in QId(R)$. Thus, $u = a - q \in R$. Now we show that $u \in Reg(R)$. Suppose that $u \notin Reg(R)$. Then there exist a nonzero element x in R such that $xu = 0$. Since x and u in R , $x, u \in S$ and $xu \in S$ as well. Also $u \in U(S)$ there exist $v \in S$ such that $uv = 1$. Then

$$xu = 0 \Rightarrow (xu)v = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$$

a contradiction. So, $u \in Reg(R)$. Hence R is almost quasi-clean. □

Proposition 2.7 *If R is quasi-continuous and nonsingular, then R is almost quasi-clean.*

Proof If R is nonsingular, then $Q_{max}^r(R)$ is regular and self injective. Thus, $Q_{max}^r(R)$ is quasi-clean by [5, Corollary 3.2] and by Example 2.3. Then, R is almost quasi-clean by Proposition 2.6. □

In [4, Theorem 1], Camillo and Khurana showed that a ring a R is unit regular if and only if every element a of R has a clean decomposition $a = e + u$ such that $aR \cap eR = 0$. The following theorem was given by Tang and Su in [Quasi-clean rings and strongly quasi-clean rings, preprint] as a theorem.

Theorem 2.8 *A ring R is unit regular if and only if every element of a of R has a quasi-clean decomposition $a = u + q$ such that $aR \cap qR = 0$.*

Corollary 2.9 *If R is quasi-continuous and $Q_{max}^r(R)$ is unit-regular ring, then every element a of R has almost quasi-clean decomposition $a = r + q$ such that $aR \cap qR = 0$.*

Proof Since $Q_{max}^r(R)$ is unit-regular ring, then each element a of $Q_{max}^r(R)$ has a quasi-clean decomposition $a = u + q$ such that $aQ_{max}^r(R) \cap qQ_{max}^r(R) = 0$ where $u \in U(Q_{max}^r(R))$ and $q \in QId(Q_{max}^r(R))$. Then, $aR \cap qR = 0$ as well. Since R is quasi-continuous, $q \in QId(R)$. Thus, $u \in R$ and it has to be regular just like proof of Proposition 2.6. Thus $a = u + q$ is almost a quasi-clean decomposition such that $aR \cap qR = 0$. □

Recall that a ring R is abelian ring if every idempotent is central. An element in an abelian Rickart ring, which has not to be commutative, is a product of a regular element and an idempotent.

Theorem 2.10 *Let R be an abelian ring. Then R is Rickart if and only if every element a of R has an almost quasi-clean decomposition $a = r + q$ such that $aR \cap qR = 0$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow): Let R be an abelian Rickart ring. If $a \in R$, then $ann_r(a) = eR$ for some idempotent element $e \in R$. Let us take $q = ue$ for some $u \in UC(R)$. In this case, $a = q + (a - q)$. We claim that $a - q$ is regular element in R . Let $(a - q)r = 0$ for $r \in R$. Since $e \in ann_r(a)$, $ae = 0 \Rightarrow aq = 0$ and so $a(u - q) = au$. Thus, $0 = (u - q)(a - q)r = (u - q)ar = a(u - q)r = aur$. Since $u \in UC(R)$ there exist $v \in R$ such that $uv = 1 = vu$. Then, $ar = 0$, which implies $r \in ann_r(a) = eR \subseteq qR$. Otherwise, we have $0 = q(a - q)r = qar - q^2r = aqr - uqr$, then $uqr = 0$ and $qr = 0$. So $(u - q)r = ur$ then $r \in (1 - e)R$. Therefore, $r \in eR \cap (1 - e)R = 0$. Hence, $a - q$ is right regular element in R . Since R is abelian Rickart ring, $a - q$ is left regular element in R .

We also claim that $aR \cap qR = 0$. Let $x \in aR \cap qR$ Then there exist $r_1, r_2 \in R$ such that $x = ar_1 = qr_1$.

Since $q \in QId(R)$, $q = ue$ where $u \in UC(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$. Also we have $xe = ar_1e = aer_1 = 0$ and $xe = qr_2e = uer_2e = ue^2r_2 = uer_2 = qe = x$. Hence, $xe = x = 0$.

(\Leftarrow): Suppose that a of R has a almost quasi-clean decomposition $a = r + q$ such that $aR \cap qR = 0$ where $q \in QId(R)$ and $r \in Reg(R)$. Since $q \in QId(R)$, there exist a central unit u and an idempotent e in R such that $q = ue$. Now we show that $ann_r(a) = eR$. Let $x \in ann_r(a)$. Then $0 = ax = rx + qx$ and so $erx + eqx = 0 = erx + qx$, since $eq = eue = ue^2 = ue = q$. Thus we have that $rx + qx = erx + qx$, which implies that $rx = erx$. Because of R is abelian we get $rex = rx$. So $x = ex$, since $r \in Reg(R)$. Thus, we have $x \in eR$ and so $ann_r(a) \subseteq eR$.

On the other hand, let $x \in eR$. Then for some $y \in R$, $x = ey$. We have, $ax = aey = ae(uu^{-1})y = (r + q)e(uu^{-1})y = reuu^{-1}y + qeuu^{-1}y = rqu^{-1}y + qy = q(ru^{-1}y + y) \in aR \cap qR = 0$. Thus $ax = 0$ and so $x \in ann_r(a)$. Therefore, $ann_r(a) = eR$ and so R is right Rickart. Because of R is abelian, R is left Rickart.

□

We notice that let R be presimplifiable, if $1 \neq e \in Id(R)$, then $e \in Z(R) \subseteq J(R)$ which implies $e = 0$. Hence, such rings R are indecomposable.

Proposition 2.11 *Let R be a ring. If $Z(R) \subseteq J(R)$, then R is an indecomposable almost quasi-clean ring.*

Proof Suppose $x \in Reg(R)$. Then $x = x + 0 = x + u0$ where $u \in UC(R)$ and $0 \in Id(R)$ (that is, $u0 = q \in QId(R)$). Thus R is an indecomposable almost quasi-clean ring by the previous sentence and the almost clean decomposition.

Suppose that x is not regular element of R . Then $x \in Z(R) \subseteq J(R)$. Hence $x - 1 := u \in U(R)$. If we take $v = 1$ and $e = 1$ then we can write $x = u + 1 = u + 1.1 = u + ve$ where $u \in U(R) \subseteq Reg(R)$, $v = 1 \in UC(R)$ (and hence $q = ve \in QId(R)$) and $1 \in Id(R)$. □

3. The almost quasi-clean property over commutative rings

In [3, Theorem 3], the authors proved that a commutative indecomposable ring R is clean if and only if R is a local ring. Ahn and Anderson [1, Corollary 1.4] obtained that a commutative indecomposable ring R is weakly clean if and only if R is a local ring or an indecomposable ring with exactly two maximal ideals in which 2 is a unit.

Proposition 3.1 *If R is Rickart ring, then R is almost quasi-clean ring.*

Proof Let R be a Rickart ring. By [11, Proposition 15], for a regular element r in R and an idempotent e in R , any element x in R can be written as $x = re$. To take, for some $u \in UC(R)$, $q = u(1 - e)$. Let $v = re - q$, then $x = v + q$. Now we will show that $v \in Reg(R)$. Suppose that $sv = 0$ for some nonzero element $s \in R$. Then, we obtain that

$$sx = sv + sq \Rightarrow sre = sq$$

; hence, $sre = 0 = sq$. Since $r \in Reg(R)$, we get $se = 0$. Thus, $s \in Ann(1 - e) = (e)$ and we can write $s = te$ for some $t \in R$. So, $0 = se = (te)e = te^2 = te = s$ is a contradiction. Hence, v is a regular element in R . Then, $x = v + q$ where $v \in Reg(R)$ and $q \in QId(R)$, R is almost quasi-clean ring. □

Theorem 3.2 *The following conditions are equivalent for a commutative ring R :*

- (1) R is an indecomposable almost quasi-clean ring;
- (2) For $x \in R$, either x or $x - u$ is regular where $u \in UC(R)$.
- (3) For ideals I and J of R consisting of zero divisor, $I + J \neq R$

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let R be an indecomposable almost quasi-clean ring. Then, every element x in R can be written $x = r + q$, where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $q \in QId(R)$. Let $q = ue$ where $u \in UC(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$. Then $x = r + ue$. If $e = 0$, then $x = r + u0 = r \in Reg(R)$. If $e = 1$, then $x = r + u1 = r + u \Rightarrow r = x - u \in Reg(R)$, as desired.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) First, we show that R is an indecomposable ring. Now, assume that $1 \neq e \in Id(R)$. Then, $e(e - 1) = 0$. By the hypothesis, by taking $u = 1$, e or $e - 1$ is regular, we get $e = 0$. Thus R is indecomposable.

Now, we show that R is almost quasi-clean. If x is a regular element in R , then, $x = x + 0 = x + u.0$ where $u \in UC(R)$ and $0 \in Id(R)$. If $x - v$ is a regular for $v \in UC(R)$, then there exists an $r \in Reg(R)$ such that $x - v = r$. So $x = r + v = r + v.1$ where $1 \in Id(R)$. Hence R is almost quasi-clean.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that I and J are ideals consisting of zero divisor and $I + J = R$. So, $i + j = u$ where $i \in I$, $j \in J$ and $u \in UC(R)$. Then, i and $-j = i - u$ are both zero divisor. Hence both i and $i - u$ are not regular in R . It is a contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $x \in R$ and suppose that, for a $u \in UC(R)$, x and $x - u$ are both not regular elements. Then $(x) + (x - u) \neq R$, a contradiction. □

Let R be a ring and M be an R -bimodule. The set pairs (r, m) with $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ under coordinate wise addition and multiplication defined by

$$(r, m)(r', m') = (rr', rm' + r'm)$$

for all $r, r' \in R$ and $m, m' \in M$. Then $T(R, M)$ is called the trivial extension of R by M . We have the followings:

$$Z(M) = \{r \in R \mid \exists 0 \neq m \in M \text{ such that } rm = 0\}$$

$$C(M) = \{r \in R \mid m \in M \text{ such that } rm = mr\}$$

$$U(M) = \{r \in R \mid \exists m \in M \text{ such that } rm = m\}.$$

Recall that a Morita context is a 4-tuple $\begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{pmatrix}$, where A and B are rings, ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ are bimodules, and there exist context products $M \times N \rightarrow A$ and $N \times M \rightarrow B$ written multiplicatively as $(w, z) = wz$ and $(z, w) = zw$, such that $\begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{pmatrix}$ is an associative ring with the obvious matrix operations. A Morita context $\begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{pmatrix}$ is called trivial if the context products are trivial, i.e. $MN = 0$ and $NM = 0$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{pmatrix} \cong T(A \times B, M \oplus N),$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{pmatrix}$ is a trivial Morita context by [8]. Furthermore, if $q = ue$, where $u \in UC(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$, then $\{(u, 0) \mid u \in UC(R) \cap UC(M)\} \subseteq UC(T(R, M))$. For $(x, m) \in T(R, M)$,

$$(u, 0)(x, m) = (ux, um + 0m) = (x, m) = (xu, x0 + um) = (x, m)(u, 0),$$

so $\{(u, 0) \mid u \in UC(R) \cap UC(M)\} \subseteq UC(T(R, M))$. As $Id(T(R, M)) = \{(e, 0) \in R(M) \mid e \in Id(R)\}$, we get

$$QId(T(R, M)) = \{(q, 0) \in T(R, M) \mid q \in QId(R)\}.$$

Theorem 3.3 *The trivial extension $T(R, M)$ is almost quasi-clean if and only if each $x \in R$ can be written in the form $x = r + q$ where $r \in R - (Z(R) \cup Z(M))$ and $q \in QId(R)$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $x \in R$. Then $(x, 0) = (r, 0) + (q, 0)$, where $(r, 0) \in Reg(T(R, M))$ and $(e, 0) \in Id(T(R, M))$. Let $(q, 0) = (u, 0)(e, 0)$, where $(u, 0) \in UC(T(R, M))$ and $(e, 0) \in Id(T(R, M))$. By [1, Theorem 2.11], if $(r, 0) \in Reg(T(R, M))$ then $r \in R - (Z(R) \cup Z(M))$. Thus we get $x = r + ue$, as desired.

(\Leftarrow) Let $x \in R$ and $m \in M$. Write, $x = r + q$ where $r \in R - (Z(R) \cup Z(M))$ and $q \in QId(R)$. Let $q = ue$, where $u \in UC(T(R, M))$ and $e \in Id(R)$. Then, $x = r + ue$, and hence, $(x, m) = (r, m) + (u, 0)(e, 0)$ as desired. \square

Theorem 3.4 *If R is a ring with $Z(R)$ closed under addition then R is almost quasi-clean ring.*

Proof If $x \notin Z(R)$ then $x \in Reg(R)$. So, $x = x + 0$ where $0 \in QId(R)$. For $u \in UC(R)$, suppose that $u \in Z(R)$. Since $u \in Z(R)$, there exist non-zero element a in R such that $ua = 0$.

Also, since $u \in UC(R)$ then there exists $v \in R$ such that $uv = 1 = vu$. Then we get, $v(ua) = 0 \Rightarrow (vu)a = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \notin Z(R)$.

Let $x \in Z(R)$ then, for $u \in UC(R)$, $x - u \notin Z(R)$. So, $x = (x - u) + u$, where $x - u \in Reg(R)$ and $u \in QId(R)$. Hence R is almost quasi-clean. \square

Theorem 3.5 *Let P_1 and P_2 be prime ideals of a ring R such that $Z(R) = P_1 \cup P_2$ and $nil(R) = P_1 \cap P_2$. Then R is almost quasi-clean ring.*

Proof If P_1 and P_2 are comparable, the result follows from Theorem 3.4. Now we show that $x \in (P_1 \cup P_2) \setminus (P_1 \cap P_2)$ is almost quasi-clean. Since, $P_1 \cup P_2 = (P_1 \setminus P_2) \cup (P_2 \setminus P_1)$ and $(P_1 \setminus P_2) \cap (P_2 \setminus P_1) = \emptyset$, without lost of generality we may assume that $x \in P_1 \setminus P_2$. First of all assume that R is not indecomposable. To take $q \in QId(R)$, $q = ue$ where $u \in UC(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$ which is non-trivial idempotent in $P_2 \setminus P_1$. Since $e \in P_1 \setminus P_2$, $q \in P_1 \setminus P_2$. Then $x = (x - q) + q$ where $x - q \in Z(R)$ (i.e. $x - q \in Reg(R)$) and $q \in QId(R)$. Now assume that R is indecomposable. Then, $R \setminus (P_1 \cap P_2)$ is indecomposable, so $P_1 + P_2 \neq R$. Now, for some $u \in UC(R)$, $x - u \in P_1$. If $(x - u) \in P_2$, then $u = x - (x - u) \in P_1 + P_2$, so $1 \in P_1 + P_2$ is a contradiction. Thus, $(x - u) \notin P_1 \cup P_2 = Z(R)$. Hence, $x = (x - u) + u$ where $(x - u) \in Reg(R)$ and $u \in QId(R)$. \square

Theorem 3.6 *Let R be an integral domain and M be an R -module.*

(1) *If $Z(M) = P$ a prime ideal then $T(R, M)$ is almost quasi-clean.*

(2) $T(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ is almost quasi-clean if and only if $Z(M) = (p)$ where p is prime, possibly 0.

Proof

(1) If $Z(M) = P$ a prime ideal, then $Z(T(R, M)) = T(P, M)$ is prime ideal. By Theorem 3.4, $T(R, M)$ is almost quasi-clean.

(2) (\Leftarrow): This follows from (1).

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that $(p) \cup (q) = Z(M)$ where p and q are different with each other (nonzero) primes. Choose $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ by Chinese Remainder Theorem. Then, $x - 0, x - 1 \in Z(M)$, so we cannot write $x = r + q$ where $r \in \text{Reg}(R)$ and $q \in \{0, 1\} \subseteq \text{QId}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{-1, 0, 1\}$. \square

Corollary 3.7 *The idealization $T(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ is almost quasi-clean if and only if $n = p^\alpha$ where p is prime integer and $\alpha \geq 1$*

Note that let R be a ring and $\mathbb{U}_n(R)$ (resp. $\mathbb{L}_n(R)$) the ring of $n \times n$ upper (resp. lower) triangular matrices over R .

Proposition 3.8 *For $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{U}_n(R)$ (resp. $A \in \mathbb{L}_n(R)$), if $A \in \text{QId}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ (resp. $A \in \text{QId}(\mathbb{L}_n(R))$) then, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $a_{ii} \in \text{QId}(R)$. Also, if for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $a_{ii} \in \text{QId}(R)$ then $\text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) \in \text{QId}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ (resp. $\text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) \in \text{QId}(\mathbb{L}_n(R))$).*

Proof Without losing generality, we do upper triangular case. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \text{QId}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$. Note that $A \in \text{UC}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ if and only $a_{ii} \in \text{UC}(R)$. Also, if $A \in \text{Id}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ then $a_{ii} \in \text{Id}(R)$ and if $a_{ii} \in \text{Id}(R)$ then $\text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) \in \text{Id}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$. If $A \in \text{QId}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ then $A = UE$ where $U = [u_{ij}] \in \text{UC}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ and $E = [e_{ij}] \in \text{Id}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$. Since $U = [u_{ij}] \in \text{UC}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ and $E = [e_{ij}] \in \text{Id}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$, $u_{ii} \in \text{UC}(R)$ and $e_{ii} \in \text{Id}(R)$. Because of $a_{ii} = u_{ii}e_{ii}$ where $u_{ii} \in \text{UC}(R)$ and $e_{ii} \in \text{Id}(R)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $a_{ii} \in \text{QId}(R)$. Also, let $a_{ii} \in \text{QId}(R)$. Then there exist $u_i \in \text{UC}(R)$ and $e_i \in \text{Id}(R)$ such that $a_{ii} = u_i e_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. So,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 e_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & u_n e_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & u_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where $U = \text{diag}(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \text{UC}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ and $E = \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \text{Id}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$. Hence $\text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) \in \text{QId}(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ \square

Theorem 3.9 *R is almost quasi-clean ring if and only if $\mathbb{U}_n(R)$ (resp. $\mathbb{L}_n(R)$) is almost quasi-clean.*

Proof Without lose generality we do upper triangular case. Note that $A \in \mathbb{U}_n(R)$ is a regular element if and only if for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $a_{ii} \in \text{Reg}(R)$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that R is almost quasi-clean ring. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{U}_n(R)$. Since R is almost quasi-clean, we can write $a_{ii} = r_i + q_i$ where $r_i \in \text{Reg}(R)$ and $q_i \in \text{QId}(R)$. Put,

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & r_2 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & q_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & q_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $A = R + Q$ where $R \in Reg(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ and $Q \in QId(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ by proposition 3.8.

(\Leftarrow): Let $\mathbb{U}_n(R)$ be almost quasi-clean and $a \in R$. Then $\begin{bmatrix} a & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{U}_n(R)$ can be written $\begin{bmatrix} a & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} =$

$R + Q$ where $R = [r_{ij}] \in Reg(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$ and $Q = [q_{ij}] \in QId(\mathbb{U}_n(R))$. Then, $a = r_{11} + q_{11}$ where $r_{11} \in Reg(R)$ and $q_{11} \in QId(R)$. Hence R is almost quasi-clean. \square

Theorem 3.10 *Let R be an elementary divisor ring, which is every matrix over R is a diagonal reduction, and $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over R . If R is almost quasi-clean, then $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ is almost quasi-clean for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof Let $M \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$. Then we have some invertible $P, Q \in \mathbb{M}_n(R)$ such that $PMQ = diag(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Because the R is almost quasi-clean, for each i , $d_i = r_i + q_i$ where $r_i \in Reg(R)$ and $q_i \in QId(R)$. Hence,

$$PMQ = diag(r_1 + q_1, \dots, r_n + q_n) = diag(r_1, \dots, r_n) + diag(q_1, \dots, q_n).$$

By Proposition 3.8, $diag(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in Reg(\mathbb{M}_n(R))$ and $diag(q_1, \dots, q_n) \in QId(\mathbb{M}_n(R))$. Therefore, $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ is almost quasi-clean. \square

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the article.

References

- [1] Ahn MS, Anderson DD. Weakly clean rings and almost clean rings. The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 2006; 36 (3): 783-798. doi: 10.1216/rmj/1181069429
- [2] Akalan E, Vaš L. Classes of almost clean rings. Algebras and Representation Theory 2013; 16: 843-857. doi: 10.1007/s10468-012-9334-6
- [3] Anderson DD, Camillo VP. Commutative rings whose elements are a sum of a unit and idempotent. Communications in Algebra 2002; 30 (7): 3327-3336. doi: 10.1081/AGB-120004490
- [4] Camillo VP, Khurana D. A characterization of commutative unit regular rings. Communications in Algebra 2001; 29 (5): 2293-2295. doi: 10.1081/AGB-100002185
- [5] Camillo VP, Khurana D, Lam TY, Nicholson NK, Zhou Y. Continuous modules are clean. Journal of Algebra 2006; 304 (1): 94-111. doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.06.032
- [6] Endo S. Note on p.p. rings. Nagoya Mathematical Journal 1960; 17: 167-170. doi: 10.1017/S0027763000002129
- [7] Ghashghaei E, Koşan MT. Rings in which every element is the sum of a left zero-divisor and an idempotent. Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen 2019; 95(3-4): 321-334. doi: 10.5486/PMD.2019.8410
- [8] Koşan MT. The p.p. property of trivial extensions. Journal of Algebra and its Applications 2015; 14 (8): 1550124 (5 pages). doi: 10.1142/S0219498815501248
- [9] Koşan MT, Yildirim T, Zhou Y. Rings whose elements are the sum of a tripotent and an element from the Jacobson radical. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin (CMB) 2019; 62 (4): 810-821. doi: 10.4153/S0008439519000092
- [10] Koşan MT, Zhou Y. On weakly clean rings. Communications in Algebra 2017; 45 (8): 3494-3502. doi: 10.1080/00927872.2016.1237640

- [11] McGovern WW. Clean semiprime f -rings with bounded inversion. *Communications in Algebra* 2003; 31: 3295-3304. doi: 10.1081/AGB-120022226
- [12] Mohamed SH, Müller BJ. *Continuous and discrete modules*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 147, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [13] Nicholson WK. Lifting idempotents and exchange rings. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 1977; 229: 3295-3304. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1977-0439876-2
- [14] Nicholson WK. Strongly clean rings and Fitting's lemma. *Communications in Algebra* 1999; 27 (8): 3583-3592. doi: 10.1080/00927879908826649
- [15] Vaš L. $*$ -clean rings; cleannes of some Baer $*$ -rings and von Neumann algebras. *Journal of Algebra* 2010; 324 (12): 3388-3400. doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.10.011