

1-1-2021

On elements whose Moore-Penrose inverse is idempotent in a \ast -ring

HAIYANG ZHU

JIANLONG CHEN

YUKUN ZHOU

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ZHU, HAIYANG; CHEN, JIANLONG; and ZHOU, YUKUN (2021) "On elements whose Moore-Penrose inverse is idempotent in a \ast -ring," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 45: No. 2, Article 16.

<https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2101-93>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol45/iss2/16>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On elements whose Moore–Penrose inverse is idempotent in a $*$ -ring

Haiyang ZHU , Jianlong CHEN* , Yukun ZHOU 
School of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

Received: 21.01.2021

Accepted/Published Online: 11.02.2021

Final Version: 26.03.2021

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the elements whose Moore–Penrose inverse is idempotent in a $*$ -ring. Let R be a $*$ -ring and $a \in R^\dagger$. Firstly, we give a concise characterization for the idempotency of a^\dagger as follows: $a \in R^\dagger$ and a^\dagger is idempotent if and only if $a \in R^\#$ and $a^2 = aa^*a$, which connects Moore–Penrose invertibility and group invertibility. Secondly, we generalize the results of Baksalary and Trenkler from complex matrices to $*$ -rings. More equivalent conditions which ensure the idempotency of a^\dagger are given. Particularly, we provide the characterizations for both a and a^\dagger being idempotent. Finally, the equivalent conditions under which a is EP and a^\dagger is idempotent are investigated.

Key words: Moore–Penrose inverse, group inverse, core inverse, idempotent, EP

1. Introduction

Recall that an involution $*$: $a \mapsto a^*$ in a ring R is an antiisomorphism of degree 2, i.e. $(a^*)^* = a$, $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$, $(a + b)^* = a^* + b^*$, for arbitrary $a, b \in R$. For simplicity, we call R a $*$ -ring if it has an involution $*$. Let $a \in R$. If $a = a^*$, then a is called Hermitian. The element a is called a projection if $a^2 = a = a^*$. If there exists $x \in R$ such that the following four equations hold:

$$(1) \ axa = a, \quad (2) \ xax = x, \quad (3) \ (ax)^* = ax, \quad (4) \ (xa)^* = xa,$$

then x is called the Moore–Penrose inverse of a . If x exists, then it is unique and denoted by a^\dagger . The symbol R^\dagger denotes the set of all Moore–Penrose invertible elements in R . If a is Moore–Penrose invertible and $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$, then a is called EP. Generally, x is called a $\{1\}$ -inverse (i.e. inner inverse) of a if the equation (1) holds. $a\{1\}$ denotes the set of all $\{1\}$ -inverses of a . If the equation (2) holds, then x is called a $\{2\}$ -inverse (i.e. outer inverse) of a and $a\{2\}$ denotes the set of all $\{2\}$ -inverses of a . If x satisfies equations (1) and (3), then x is called a $\{1, 3\}$ -inverse of a . We use $a^{(1,3)}$ to denote a $\{1, 3\}$ -inverse of a . And $a\{1, 3\}$ denotes the set of all $\{1, 3\}$ -inverses of a . Similarly, if x satisfies equations (1) and (4), then x is called a $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse of a . We use $a^{(1,4)}$ to denote a $\{1, 4\}$ -inverse of a . And $a\{1, 4\}$ denotes the set of all $\{1, 4\}$ -inverses of a . The symbols $R^{\{1,3\}}$ and $R^{\{1,4\}}$ denote the sets of all $\{1, 3\}$ -invertible and $\{1, 4\}$ -invertible elements in R , respectively. For more details, readers can refer to [9, 11, 15].

*Correspondence: jlchen@seu.edu.cn

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W10, 15A09

According to Drazin [7], $a \in R$ is called Drazin invertible if there exists $x \in R$ satisfying the following equations:

$$xax = x, \quad ax = xa, \quad a^{k+1}x = a^k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

If x exists, then it is unique and denoted by a^D . If k is the smallest positive integer such that the above equations hold, then k is called the Drazin index of a and denoted by $\text{ind}(a) = k$. In particular, x is called the group inverse of a and denoted by $a^\#$ when $k = 1$. The symbol $R^\#$ denotes the set of all group invertible elements in R .

In 2010, Baksalary and Trenkler [1] introduced the core inverse of a complex matrix. Later, Rakić et al. [16] generalized this notion to a $*$ -ring and characterized it by five equations, which were reduced to three equations by Xu et al. [17] as follows. Let $a \in R$. If there exists $x \in R$ such that the following equations hold:

$$(ax)^* = ax, \quad xa^2 = a, \quad ax^2 = x,$$

then x is called the core inverse of a . It is unique if it exists and denoted by a^\oplus . The symbol R^\oplus denotes the set of all core invertible elements in R .

Recall that in [4, Fact 8.7.6], Bernstein proved that A^\dagger is idempotent if and only if $A^2 = AA^*A$ for any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. In [2], Baksalary and Trenkler investigated the matrices whose Moore–Penrose inverse is idempotent. They gave more characterizations for the idempotency of A^\dagger , as well as both A and A^\dagger being idempotent.

Motivated by the above work, we generalize their results from complex matrices to $*$ -rings. Throughout the paper, R is a $*$ -ring. Let $a \in R^\dagger$. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first give a concise characterization for the idempotency of a^\dagger : $a \in R^\dagger$ and a^\dagger is idempotent if and only if $a \in R^\#$ and $a^2 = aa^*a$, which establishes the relationship between Moore–Penrose invertibility and group invertibility. Then, we present some equivalent conditions which ensure that a^\dagger is idempotent by inner and outer inverses. In Section 3, we provide the characterizations for both a and a^\dagger being idempotent. Furthermore, the equivalent conditions under which a is EP and a^\dagger is idempotent are investigated.

2. Characterizations for the idempotency of the Moore–Penrose inverse

In this section, we investigate the elements whose Moore–Penrose inverse is idempotent and give several corresponding equivalent characterizations. Firstly, let us recall some auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [10] *Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in R^\#$ if and only if $a \in a^2R \cap Ra^2$. Moreover, if $a = a^2x = ya^2$ for some $x, y \in R$, then $a^\# = yax$.*

Lemma 2.2 [6, 12] *Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then*

- (i) $(a^\dagger)^\dagger = a$;
- (ii) $(a^*)^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^*$;
- (iii) $(aa^*)^\dagger = (a^*)^\dagger a^\dagger, (a^*a)^\dagger = a^\dagger (a^*)^\dagger$.

Lemma 2.3 [17] *Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in R^\oplus$ if and only if $a \in R^\# \cap R^{\{1,3\}}$. In this case, $a^\oplus = a^\#aa^{\{1,3\}}$.*

Lemma 2.4 [8] *Let $a \in R$ and $p, q \in R$ be two projections. If $Ra = Rp$, then for any $x \in R$ such that $p = xa$, we have $x \in a\{1, 4\}$. If $aR = qR$, then for any $y \in R$ such that $q = ay$, we have $y \in a\{1, 3\}$.*

Lemma 2.5 [3] *Let $a \in R$. Then*

- (i) $Ra = Ra^*a$ if and only if $a \in R^{\{1,3\}}$;
- (ii) $aR = aa^*R$ if and only if $a \in R^{\{1,4\}}$.

Lemma 2.6 [15] *Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in R^\dagger$ if and only if $a \in R^{\{1,3\}} \cap R^{\{1,4\}}$. In this case, $a^\dagger = a^{(1,4)}aa^{(1,3)}$.*

Lemma 2.7 [18] *Let $a \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $a \in R^\dagger$;
- (ii) $a \in Raa^*a$. In this case, $a^\dagger = (xa)^*$, where $a = xaa^*a$;
- (iii) $a \in aa^*aR$. In this case, $a^\dagger = (ay)^*$, where $a = aa^*ay$.

In [4, Fact 8.7.6], Bernstein proved that A^\dagger is idempotent if and only if $A^2 = AA^*A$ for any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Inspired by his work, we generalize the results from complex matrices to $*$ -rings, and explore the relationship between group invertibility and Moore–Penrose invertibility in this case.

Theorem 2.8 *Let $a \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $a \in R^\dagger$ and a^\dagger is idempotent;
- (ii) $a \in R^\dagger$ and $a^2 = aa^*a$;
- (iii) $a \in R^\#$ and $a^2 = aa^*a$.

*In this case, $a^\# = (a^\dagger)^*a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*$ and $a^\dagger = (a^\#a)^*$. Furthermore, $a^n \in R^\dagger \cap R^\#$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and*

$$(a^n)^\dagger = (a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^{n-1}a^\dagger = a^\dagger((a^\dagger)^*a^\dagger)^{n-1},$$

$$(a^n)^\# = (a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^n = ((a^\dagger)^*a^\dagger)^n(a^\dagger)^*.$$

Therefore, $a^n \in R^{\oplus}$ and

$$(a^n)^{\oplus} = (a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^n a^n (a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^{n-1} a^\dagger = ((a^\dagger)^*a^\dagger)^n (a^\dagger)^* a^n a^\dagger ((a^\dagger)^*a^\dagger)^{n-1}.$$

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Since $(a^\dagger)^2 = a^\dagger$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 &= aa^\dagger aaa^\dagger a = a(a^\dagger a)(aa^\dagger)a = a(a^\dagger a)^*(aa^\dagger)^*a \\ &= a(aa^\dagger a^\dagger a)^*a = a(a(a^\dagger)^2 a)^*a = a(aa^\dagger a)^*a = aa^*a. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= aa^\dagger a = a(a^\dagger a)^* = aa^*(a^\dagger)^* = aa^*(a^\dagger aa^\dagger)^* = aa^*(aa^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger)^* \\ &= (aa^*a)a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^* = a^2 a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* \in a^2 R. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= aa^\dagger a = (aa^\dagger)^* a = (a^\dagger)^* a^* a = (a^\dagger aa^\dagger)^* a^* a \\ &= (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger aa^* a = (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger a^2 \in Ra^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $a = a^2x$, $a = ya^2$, where $x = a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*$, $y = (a^\dagger)^*a^\dagger$. According to Lemma 2.1, $a^\#$ exists and $a^\# = yax = (a^\dagger)^*a^\dagger aa^\dagger(a^\dagger)^* = (a^\dagger)^*a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Since $a \in R^\#$ and $a^2 = aa^*a$, we obtain $a = a^\#a^2 = a^\#aa^*a \in Raa^*a$. Then, according to Lemma 2.7, we have $a \in R^\dagger$ and $a^\dagger = (a^\#a)^*$. And $(a^\dagger)^2 = (a^\#a)^*(a^\#a)^* = (a^\#aa^\#a)^* = (a^\#a)^* = a^\dagger$.

Next, we will verify that in this case, $a^n \in R^\dagger \cap R^\#$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Since $a \in R^\#$, we have $a^n \in R^\#$ and $(a^n)^\# = (a^\#)^n$ [7]. According to Lemma 2.1, $Ra = Ra^2$, then $Ra^n = Ra = Ra^\dagger a = Rp$. Similarly, since $aR = a^2R$, we have $a^nR = aR = aa^\dagger R = qR$. Therefore, $p = a^\dagger a = a^\dagger a^2 a^\# = a^\dagger a(aa^\#)^n = a^\dagger a(a^\#)^n a^n = xa^n$, and $q = aa^\dagger = aa^\#aa^\dagger = (aa^\#)^n aa^\dagger = a^n(a^\#)^n aa^\dagger = a^n y$, where $x = a^\dagger a(a^\#)^n$ and $y = (a^\#)^n aa^\dagger$. According to Lemma 2.4, we can obtain $x \in a^n\{1, 4\}$ and $y \in a^n\{1, 3\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.6, $a^n \in R^\dagger$. Then, according to Lemma 2.3, $a^n \in R^\oplus$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} (a^n)^\dagger &= (a^n)^{(1,4)} a^n (a^n)^{(1,3)} = xa^n y \\ &= a^\dagger a (a^\#)^n a^n (a^\#)^n aa^\dagger \\ &= a^\dagger a (a^n)^\# a^n (a^n)^\# aa^\dagger \\ &= a^\dagger a (a^n)^\# aa^\dagger \\ &= a^\dagger a (a^\#)^n aa^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

According to the above proof, $a^\# = (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (a^\#)^2 &= (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* \\ &= (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* \\ &= (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^*)^2, \\ (a^\#)^3 &= (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* \\ &= (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* \\ &= (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^*)^3 \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

By the induction,

$$(a^n)^\# = (a^\#)^n = (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^*)^n = ((a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger)^n (a^\dagger)^*.$$

Since $a^\dagger a(a^\dagger)^* = (a^\dagger a)^*(a^\dagger)^* = (a^\dagger a^\dagger a)^* = (a^\dagger a)^* = a^*(a^\dagger)^*$ and similarly, $(a^\dagger)^* a a^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^* a^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a^n)^\dagger &= a^\dagger a(a^\#)^n a a^\dagger = a^\dagger a(a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^n a a^\dagger \\ &= a^*(a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^n a a^\dagger = a^*(a^\#)^n a a^\dagger \\ &= a^*((a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger)^n (a^\dagger)^* a a^\dagger = a^*((a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger)^n (a^\dagger)^* a^* \\ &= (a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^n a^* = (a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^{n-1} a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^* a^* \\ &= (a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^{n-1} a^\dagger = a^\dagger((a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (a^n)^\oplus &= (a^n)^\# a^n (a^n)^\dagger \\ &= (a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^n a^n (a^\dagger(a^\dagger)^*)^{n-1} a^\dagger \\ &= ((a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger)^n (a^\dagger)^* a^n a^\dagger ((a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

However, it is worth noting that merely $a^2 = a a^* a$ cannot imply that $a \in R^\#$ or $a \in R^\dagger$. We give a counterexample in the following.

Example 2.9 Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{R})$, where \mathbb{R} denotes the set of all real numbers. We define the involution $*$: $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_4 & -x_2 \\ -x_3 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ (i.e. the adjoint matrix). Let $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $a^2 = 0 = a^* a$. By Lemma 2.1, $a \notin R^\#$. And $Ra \neq Ra^* a$, then $a \notin R^\dagger$ according to Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6.

In [2, Theorem 3.1], Baksalary and Trenkler gave four equivalent characterizations for the idempotency of $A^\dagger \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Inspired by them, we obtain the version in $*$ -rings.

Theorem 2.10 Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then a^\dagger is idempotent if and only if any of the following statements holds:

- (i) $a^* a^\dagger = a^*$;
- (ii) $a^\dagger a^* = a^*$;
- (iii) $(a a^*)^\dagger$ is an inner inverse of a ;
- (iv) $(a a^*)^\dagger$ is an outer inverse of a ;
- (v) $(a^* a)^\dagger$ is an inner inverse of a ;
- (vi) $(a^* a)^\dagger$ is an outer inverse of a ;
- (vii) $(a^* a)^\dagger$ is an inner inverse of a^* ;
- (viii) $(a^* a)^\dagger$ is an outer inverse of a^* ;
- (ix) $(a a^*)^\dagger$ is an inner inverse of a^* ;

(x) $(aa^*)^\dagger$ is an outer inverse of a^* .

Proof (i): (\Rightarrow) On one hand,

$$a^* = (aa^\dagger a)^* = a^*(aa^\dagger)^* = a^*aa^\dagger.$$

On the other hand, since $(a^\dagger)^2 = a^\dagger$, we have

$$a^*a^\dagger = (aa^\dagger a)^*a^\dagger = a^*(aa^\dagger)^*a^\dagger = a^*(aa^\dagger)a^\dagger = a^*a(a^\dagger)^2 = a^*aa^\dagger.$$

Therefore, $a^*a^\dagger = a^*$.

(\Leftarrow) Since $a^*a^\dagger = a^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^\dagger &= a^\dagger aa^\dagger = a^\dagger (aa^\dagger)^* = a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a^* = a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a^* a^\dagger \\ &= a^\dagger (aa^\dagger)^* a^\dagger = a^\dagger (aa^\dagger)a^\dagger = (a^\dagger aa^\dagger)a^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is to say, a^\dagger is idempotent.

(ii): The proof is dual to that of (i).

(iii): Since

$$\begin{aligned} a(aa^*)^\dagger a = a &\Leftrightarrow a(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger a = a \Leftrightarrow a(a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger a)^* = a \\ &\Leftrightarrow a(a^\dagger aa^\dagger)^* = a \Leftrightarrow a(a^\dagger)^* = a \Leftrightarrow a^\dagger a^* = a^*, \end{aligned}$$

and according to the above (ii), we can obtain that a^\dagger is idempotent if and only if $(aa^*)^\dagger$ is an inner inverse of a .

(iv): (\Rightarrow) Since a^\dagger is idempotent, $(a^\dagger)^*$ is also idempotent. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (aa^*)^\dagger a(aa^*)^\dagger &= (a^*)^\dagger a^\dagger a(a^*)^\dagger a^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger a(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger \\ &= (a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger a)^*(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger = (a^\dagger aa^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger \\ &= (a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger = (a^*)^\dagger a^\dagger = (aa^*)^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

That is to say, $(aa^*)^\dagger$ is an outer inverse of a .

(\Leftarrow) Since $(aa^*)^\dagger a(aa^*)^\dagger = (aa^*)^\dagger$, premultiplying and postmultiplying aa^* on both sides at the same time, we can get $aa^*(aa^*)^\dagger a(aa^*)^\dagger aa^* = aa^*$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} aa^* &= aa^*(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger a(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger aa^* = aa^*(a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger a)^*(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger aa^* \\ &= aa^*(a^\dagger aa^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger aa^* = aa^*(a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger aa^* = a(a^\dagger a)^*(a^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger a)^* a^* \\ &= a(a^\dagger a)^*(a^\dagger)^*(aa^\dagger a)^* = aa^\dagger a(a^\dagger)^* a^* = a(a^\dagger)^* a^* = a(aa^\dagger)^* = a aa^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Postmultiplying a on both sides, we can get $aa^*a = a^2$. Then according to Theorem 2.8, a^\dagger is idempotent.

(v): Since

$$\begin{aligned} a(a^*a)^\dagger a = a &\Leftrightarrow aa^\dagger(a^\dagger)^* a = a \Leftrightarrow (aa^\dagger)^*(a^\dagger)^* a = a \\ &\Leftrightarrow (a^\dagger aa^\dagger)^* a = a \Leftrightarrow (a^\dagger)^* a = a \Leftrightarrow a^* a^\dagger = a^*, \end{aligned}$$

and according to the above (i), we can obtain that a^\dagger is idempotent if and only if $(a^*a)^\dagger$ is an inner inverse of a .

(vi): (\Rightarrow) Since a^\dagger is idempotent, $(a^\dagger)^*$ is also idempotent. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a^*a)^\dagger a (a^*a)^\dagger &= a^\dagger (a^*)^\dagger a a^\dagger (a^*)^\dagger = a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* \\ &= a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* (a a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger)^* = a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger a a^\dagger)^* \\ &= a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger)^* = a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* = a^\dagger (a^*)^\dagger = (a^*a)^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

That is to say, $(a^*a)^\dagger$ is an outer inverse of a .

(\Leftarrow) Since $(a^*a)^\dagger a (a^*a)^\dagger = (a^*a)^\dagger$, premultiplying and postmultiplying a^*a on both sides at the same time, we can get $a^*a (a^*a)^\dagger a (a^*a)^\dagger a^*a = a^*a$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a^*a &= a^* a a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a^*a = a^* (a a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger)^* a a^\dagger (a^\dagger)^* a^*a \\ &= a^* (a^\dagger a a^\dagger)^* (a a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger)^* a^*a = a^* (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger a a^\dagger)^* a^*a \\ &= a^* (a^\dagger)^* (a^\dagger)^* a^*a = (a^\dagger a)^* (a a^\dagger)^* a = a^\dagger a a a^\dagger a = a^\dagger a a. \end{aligned}$$

Premultiplying a on both sides, we can get $aa^*a = a^2$. Then according to Theorem 2.8, a^\dagger is idempotent.

(vii), (viii), (ix) and (x): It is obvious that a^\dagger is idempotent if and only if $(a^*)^\dagger$ is idempotent. According to the equivalence between $(a^\dagger)^2 = a^\dagger$ and (iii) [(resp. (iv), (v) and (vi)], we can obtain that a^\dagger is idempotent if and only if (vii) [resp. (viii), (ix) and (x)] holds. \square

The next proposition shows the properties of an element whose Moore–Penrose inverse is idempotent, which generalizes [2, Theorem 3.5]. Recall that $a \in R^\dagger$ is called star-dagger if $a^*a^\dagger = a^\dagger a^*$.

Proposition 2.11 Let $a \in R^\dagger$. If a^\dagger is idempotent, then:

- (i) $a \in R^{\oplus}$;
- (ii) a is star-dagger;
- (iii) $(a^\dagger)^* = a^\# a^* a = a a^* a^\#$;
- (iv) $a^2 (a^\dagger)^2 = a a^*$;
- (v) $(a^\dagger)^2 a^2 = a^* a$.

Proof (i): By Theorem 2.8, $a \in R^{\oplus}$.

(ii): Since a^\dagger is idempotent, according to Theorem 2.10, we have $a^*a^\dagger = a^* = a^\dagger a^*$.

(iii): By Theorem 2.8, $a^\dagger = (a^\# a)^*$. Therefore, $(a^\dagger)^* = a^\# a = (a^\#)^2 a a = (a^\#)^2 a a^* a = a^\# a^* a$. Similarly, $(a^\dagger)^* = a a^\# = a a (a^\#)^2 = a a^* a (a^\#)^2 = a a^* a^\#$.

(iv): Since a^\dagger is idempotent, according to the above (ii) and Theorem 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^2(a^\dagger)^2 &= a^2a^\dagger = a(aa^\dagger)^* = a(a^\dagger)^*a^* \\ &= (a^\dagger)^*aa^* = (a^\dagger)^*aa^\dagger a^* = (a^\dagger)^*(aa^\dagger)^*a^* \\ &= (aa^\dagger a^\dagger)^*a^* = (aa^\dagger)^*a^* \\ &= aa^\dagger a^* = aa^*. \end{aligned}$$

(v): The proof is dual to that of (iv). □

Besides, we find that $a^2 = aa^*a$ can imply several properties.

Proposition 2.12 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \setminus \{1\}$, $a \in R$ and $a^2 = aa^*a$. Then $a^n = a(a^{n-1})^*a$, a^*a^n and $a^n a^*$ are Hermitian. More generally, for any $k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+$, if $k_1 + k_2 = l_1 + l_2$, then $(a^{k_1})^*a^{k_2} = (a^{l_1})^*a^{l_2}$.

Proof When $n = 2$, it is true. Suppose that the conclusion holds when $n = k$. Then when $n = k + 1$, we have

$$a(a^k)^*a = a(a(a^{k-1})^*a)^*a = aa^*a^{k-1}a^*a = a^{k+1}.$$

Therefore, $a^n = a(a^{n-1})^*a$ for $n \geq 2$ holds.

Thus,

$$a^*a^n = a^*a(a^{n-1})^*a = (aa^*a)^*(a^{n-2})^*a = (a^n)^*a.$$

Similarly, $a^n a^*$ is Hermitian.

In this case, for any $k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+$, if $k_1 + k_2 = l_1 + l_2$, we have

$$(a^{k_1})^*a^{k_2} = a^*a^{k_1+k_2-1} = (a^{l_1})^*a^{l_2}.$$

□

3. Characterizations for both an element and its Moore–Penrose inverse being idempotent

In [2, Theorem 3.2], Baksalary and Trenkler gave several equivalent conditions which ensure that both A and $A^\dagger \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are idempotent. We generalize them from complex matrices to $*$ -rings. The conclusions are summarized as follows. First, recall that $a \in R$ is called normal (resp. binormal) if $aa^* = a^*a$ (resp. $aa^*a^*a = a^*aaa^*$) and $a \in R^\dagger$ is called a partial isometry (resp. bi-dagger and bi-EP) if $a^\dagger = a^*$ (resp. $(a^\dagger)^2 = (a^2)^\dagger$ and $aa^\dagger a^\dagger a = a^\dagger aaa^\dagger$). The notions of bi-dagger and bi-EP are generalizations to $*$ -rings of [5, Theorem 2] on EP operators in Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 3.1 Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) a is idempotent and a^\dagger is idempotent;
- (ii) a^\dagger is idempotent and a is a partial isometry;
- (iii) a^\dagger is idempotent and a^\dagger is a partial isometry;
- (iv) a is idempotent and a is a partial isometry;

(v) a is idempotent and a^\dagger is a partial isometry;

(vi) a^\dagger is idempotent and a is bi-dagger;

(vii) a is idempotent and a is bi-dagger.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Since a and a^\dagger are both idempotent, by Theorem 2.10 (i), we have

$$a^* = a^*a^\dagger = a^*a^\dagger aa^\dagger = a^*(a^\dagger a)^*a^\dagger = (a^\dagger aa)^*a^\dagger = (a^\dagger a)^*a^\dagger = a^\dagger aa^\dagger = a^\dagger.$$

Therefore, a is a partial isometry.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): If a is a partial isometry, then $a^* = a^\dagger$. Since a^\dagger is idempotent, by Theorem 2.8, we have

$$a^2 = aa^*a = aa^\dagger a = a.$$

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii): The proof is the same as that of (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii).

(i) \Rightarrow (iv): The proof is the same as that of (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Since a is idempotent and a is a partial isometry, we have

$$a^2 = a = aa^\dagger a = aa^*a.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.8, a^\dagger is idempotent.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (v): The proof is the same as that of (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv).

(i) \Rightarrow (vi): Since a and a^\dagger is idempotent, $(a^2)^\dagger = a^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^2$.

(vi) \Rightarrow (i): Since a^\dagger is idempotent and a is bi-dagger, $a^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^2 = (a^2)^\dagger$. Therefore, $a = a^2$.

(i) \Rightarrow (vii): The proof is the same as that of (i) \Rightarrow (vi).

(vii) \Rightarrow (i): Since a is idempotent and a is bi-dagger, we have $(a^\dagger)^2 = (a^2)^\dagger = a^\dagger$. Therefore, a^\dagger is idempotent. □

From the above theorem, we can directly obtain the following corollary, which will be discussed later.

Corollary 3.2 Let $a \in R^\dagger$. If a^\dagger is idempotent, then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) a is bi-dagger;

(ii) a is a partial isometry;

(iii) a is idempotent.

Proposition 3.3 Let $a \in R^\dagger$. If a^\dagger is idempotent, then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) a is Hermitian;

(ii) a is normal;

(iii) a is binormal;

(iv) a is EP;

(v) a is bi-EP.

In this case, a is idempotent.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii): The proof is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Since a^\dagger is idempotent, we have $a(a^2)^*a = a^3$ by Proposition 2.12. Then $a^*a^2a^* = (a^3)^*$. Since a is bi-normal, $a(a^2)^*a = a^*a^2a^*$. Thus, $a^3 = (a^3)^*$ and then $a^3R = (a^3)^*R$. Due to Theorem 2.8, $a \in R^\#$. Then $aR = a^2a^\#R = a^2R = a^3R$. Similarly, $a^*R = (a^*)^3R$. Therefore, $aR = a^*R$. And since $a \in R^\dagger$, according to [14], we know that a is EP.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): The proof is trivial.

(v) \Rightarrow (i): Since a^\dagger is idempotent, we have $aa^\dagger a^\dagger a = aa^\dagger a = a$ and $a^\dagger aaa^\dagger = a^\dagger aa^*aa^\dagger = (a^\dagger a)^*a^*(aa^\dagger)^* = a^*(aa^\dagger)^* = a^*$. Due to $aa^\dagger a^\dagger a = a^\dagger aaa^\dagger$, we obtain $a = a^*$.

In this case, since a is EP, we have $a^2 = aa^\dagger a^2 = a(a^\dagger)^2 a^2 = a(a^\#)^2 a^2 = a$. □

Remark 3.4 Under the assumption that a^\dagger is idempotent, we can obtain the equivalence between (ii), (iv) and (v) in Proposition 3.3, which is a generalization of [5, Theorem 2] on EP operators in Hilbert spaces to elements in $*$ -rings. Inspired by several equivalent characterizations for EP elements when $a \in R^\# \cap R^\dagger$ presented in [13, Theorem 2.1], we obtain more equivalent conditions of EPness under a stronger condition that a^\dagger is idempotent in Proposition 3.3.

Actually, in [2, Theorem 3.4], Baksalary and Trenkler pointed out that if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has an idempotent Moore–Penrose inverse, then the above three in Corollary 3.2 and five in Proposition 3.3 are consistently equivalent. But in a $*$ -ring R , the two parts cannot be equivalent. In other words, both a and a^\dagger being idempotent cannot imply that a is EP.

Example 3.5 Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{R}) \times M_2(\mathbb{R})$. For any given $(a, b) \in R$, take the involution to be $*$: $(a, b) \mapsto (b^T, a^T)$. Set $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. By computation, we can obtain $(a, b)(a, b)^*(a, b) = (a, b)$. Since (a, b) is idempotent, $(a, b) \in R^\#$. According to Theorem 2.8, $(a, b) \in R^\dagger$ and $(a, b)^\dagger$ is idempotent. But in this case, $(a, b)^* \neq (a, b)$. Therefore, (a, b) is not EP by Proposition 3.3.

In addition, there are several sufficient conditions which ensure the idempotency of both a and a^\dagger as follows.

Proposition 3.6 Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) a is idempotent and a is Hermitian;
- (ii) a is idempotent and a is EP;
- (iii) a is idempotent and a^\dagger is Hermitian;
- (iv) a is idempotent and a^\dagger is EP;
- (v) a^\dagger is idempotent and a is Hermitian;

- (vi) a^\dagger is idempotent and a is EP;
- (vii) a^\dagger is idempotent and a^\dagger is Hermitian;
- (viii) a^\dagger is idempotent and a^\dagger is EP.

In this case, both a and a^\dagger are idempotent.

Proof (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Since a is idempotent and Hermitian, obviously, $a^\dagger = a$. Therefore, $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$, that is, a is EP. Conversely, if a is idempotent and EP, then $a = aa^\dagger a = a^\dagger aa = a^\dagger a$. Therefore, a is Hermitian.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii): Since a is Hermitian if and only if a^\dagger is Hermitian, the proof is trivial.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv): Since a is EP if and only if a^\dagger is EP, the proof is trivial.

Thus, (i) – (iv) are equivalent. Similarly, (v) – (viii) are equivalent.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (v): Since a is idempotent and Hermitian, we have that $a^\dagger = a$ is idempotent. Conversely, according to Proposition 3.3, if a^\dagger is idempotent and a is Hermitian, then a is idempotent.

To sum up, (i) – (viii) are equivalent. In this case, both a and a^\dagger are idempotent. \square

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their constructive suggestions which help us to improve considerably the presentation of the paper. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11771076, 11871145, 12071070) and the Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province.

References

- [1] Baksalary OM, Trenkler G. Core inverse of matrices. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 2010; 58 (5-6): 681-697. doi: 10.1080/03081080902778222
- [2] Baksalary OM, Trenkler G. On matrices whose Moore-Penrose inverse is idempotent. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 2020. doi: 10.1080/03081087.2020.1781038
- [3] Ben-Israel A, Greville TNE. *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*. 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [4] Bernstein DS. *Scalar, Vector, and Matrix Mathematics: Theory, Facts, and Formulas*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 2018.
- [5] Campbell SL, Meyer CD. EP operators and generalized inverses. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin-Bulletin Canadien de Mathématiques* 1975; 18 (3): 327-333. doi: 10.4153/CMB-1975-061-4
- [6] Djordjević DS, Rakočević V. *Lectures on Generalized Inverses*. Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Nis. Nis, Serbia: University of Nis, 2008.
- [7] Drazin MP. Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups. *American Mathematical Monthly* 1958; 65: 506-514.
- [8] Han RZ, Chen JL. Generalized inverses of matrices over rings. *Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* 1992; 7(4): 40-49.
- [9] Hartwig RE. Block generalized inverses. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 1976; 61 (3): 197-251. doi: 10.1007/BF00281485

- [10] Hartwig RE, Luh J. A note on the group structure of unit regular ring elements. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 1977; 71(2): 449-461.
- [11] Koliha JJ. Elements of C^* -algebras commuting with their Moore-Penrose inverse. *Studia Mathematica* 2000; 139 (1): 81-90.
- [12] Mosić D, Djordjević DS. Moore-Penrose-invertible normal and Hermitian elements in rings. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 2009; 431 (5-7): 732-745. doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2009.03.023
- [13] Mosić D, Djordjević DS, Koliha JJ. EP elements in rings. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 2009; 431 (5-7): 527-535. doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2009.02.032
- [14] Patrício P, Puystjens R. Drazin-Moore-Penrose invertibility in rings. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 2004; 389: 159-173. doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2004.04.006
- [15] Penrose P. A generalized inverse for matrices. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 1955; 51: 406-413.
- [16] Rakić DS, Dinčić NC, Djordjević DS. Group, Moore-Penrose, core and dual core inverse in rings with involution. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 2014; 463: 115-133. doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2014.09.003
- [17] Xu SZ, Chen JL, Zhang XX. New characterizations for core inverses in rings with involution. *Frontiers Mathematics in China* 2017; 12 (1): 231-246. doi: 10.1007/s11464-016-0591-2
- [18] Zhu HH, Chen JL, Patrício P. Further results on the inverse along an element in semigroups and rings. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 2016; 64 (3): 393-403. doi: 10.1080/03081087.2015.104371