

1-1-2020

Comparative evaluation of the effects of different thawing methods on bull sperm characteristics with computer-assisted semen analysis

MURAT SELÇUK

ESER AKAL

BURCU ESİN

MUSTAFA YİĞİT NİZAM

MERVE DENİZ GENÇ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/veterinary>



Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons](#), and the [Veterinary Medicine Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

SELÇUK, MURAT; AKAL, ESER; ESİN, BURCU; NİZAM, MUSTAFA YİĞİT; and GENÇ, MERVE DENİZ (2020) "Comparative evaluation of the effects of different thawing methods on bull sperm characteristics with computer-assisted semen analysis," *Turkish Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences*: Vol. 44: No. 6, Article 19. <https://doi.org/10.3906/vet-2007-12>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/veterinary/vol44/iss6/19>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Comparative evaluation of the effects of different thawing methods on bull sperm characteristics with computer-assisted semen analysis

Murat SELÇUK* , Eser AKAL , Burcu ESİN , Mustafa Yiğit NİZAM , Merve Deniz GENÇ 

Department of Reproduction and Artificial Insemination, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey

Received: 03.07.2020 • Accepted/Published Online: 15.11.2020 • Final Version: 18.12.2020

Abstract: Postthaw survival of spermatozoa with high motility and vitality is required for successful artificial insemination. The aim of this study was to compare the effects of two different thawing methods on motility, morphology, kinematic parameters, and viability of spermatozoa with a computer-assisted semen analyzer (CASA). Frozen bull spermatozoa were thawed by using two different thawing procedures: 1) Water bath; straws were thawed in a water bath at 37 °C for 30 s. 2) Dry thawing system; straws were thawed in a dry thawing device at 37 °C for 30 s. A total of 10 straws were used for each thawing procedure. There were significant differences between the thawing methods for acrosome defects ($P < 0.05$), head defects ($P < 0.0001$), middle part defects ($P < 0.05$), and total abnormal spermatozoa rates ($P < 0.05$). There was no difference between the thawing methods for total and progressive sperm motility determined with CASA. There were significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between the thawing methods for STR and ALH values which were kinematic parameters. The STR (66.37%) and ALH (3,28 μm) values of dry system were higher ($P < 0.05$) than the STR (57.88%) and ALH (2.78 μm) values of water bath. In conclusion, the present study demonstrated the possibility of using dry thawing system as alternative to water bath for thawing bull sperm because some postthaw sperm values obtained when dry thawing system was used were better than those obtained when water bath was used.

Key words: Bull sperm, dry thawing, sperm characteristics

1. Introduction

Postthaw survival of spermatozoa with high motility and vitality is required for successful artificial insemination (AI). Senger [1] reported that freezing and thawing of sperm certainly cause a decrease in sperm motility and an increase in morphological, biochemical, and functional damages.

The membrane integrity of spermatozoa is affected by freezing or thawing temperatures. Thawed spermatozoa are more vulnerable than fresh spermatozoa due to a number of damages caused by freezing or thawing processes. Postthaw viability of spermatozoa is notably affected by thawing procedure such as thawing medium (water, air) and thawing temperature [2]. The sperm must be thawed at maximum speed because the rapid thawing of sperm increases sperm motility [3]. Numerous studies have been conducted to determine an ideal thawing temperature for optimum rate of the highest percentage of viable spermatozoa [2,4,5]. In general, frozen bull sperm in straws can be thawed at 33–35 °C in a water bath for 30–40 s if there is no specific recommendation or the diluent type and freezing procedure are not taken into account

[6,7]. However, it was also stated that frozen bull semen in a 0.25 mL straw could be thawed at 37 °C for 30 s [8].

Comparison of the results of studies conducted with a computer-assisted semen analyzer (CASA) may be objectively possible because CASA is more objective and reliable than subjective evaluation [9]. In addition to quantitative evaluation of sperm motility, CASA determines the data for the rates of total and progressive motility of spermatozoa and records every spermatozoon track. Therefore, sperm parameters can be individually retrieved. In addition, a CASA system is a useful tool for determining the effects of various in vitro procedures on sperm motility, as well as parameters that study the phenomenon of sperm hyperactivation. Forward progressive motility (FPM) along with certain velocity parameters are required for fertilization of spermatozoa. Spermatozoa kinematic parameters such as average path velocity (VAP), straight-line velocity (VSL), percentage linearity (LIN), percentage straightness (STR), percentage oscillation (WOB), amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH), beat cross frequency (BCF) are easily calculated with CASA and positively correlated with bull fertility [10–12].

* Correspondence: mselcuk@omu.edu.tr

Dry thawing system has some advantages. It is more practical than thawing sperm in a water bath because there are some difficulties of thawing sperm in water (risk of mixing sperm with water and difficulty of maintaining water temperature in cold weather). Dry thawing system is portable and can be easily used everywhere such as farms and barns. It keeps the required temperature for thawing in it for a certain time (about 10 min) and has a heating section for warming the catheter before AI. There is no necessity for wiping the water from the straw. Therefore, this study was conducted to compare the effects of two different thawing methods (water bath and dry thawing system at 37 °C for 30 s) on motility, morphology, kinematic parameters, and viability of spermatozoa with CASA.

2. Materials and methods

Frozen bull spermatozoa collected at the same date from the same bull and frozen in straws in volumes of 0.25 mL that were obtained from a commercial company, and stored under the same conditions were used and two different thawing procedures were compared with each other in the study. 1) Water bath; 0.25-mL straws were thawed in water bath at 37 °C for 30 s. 2) Dry thawing system; 0.25-mL straws were thawed in a newly developed device at 37 °C for 30 s (Figure). There are four round holes on the top surface of the dry thawing device. One of the holes is used for warming the catheter before AI. One of them is for 0.50-mL straws. The last two holes are for 0.25-mL straws. Since the device is portable and works with 12–13.6 V, it can be used by lighter socket of vehicle. It keeps the required temperature for thawing in it for a certain time (about 10 min). A total of 10 straws were used for each thawing procedure.

2.1. Motility and kinematic characteristics

Total motility and progressive motility were examined with a CASA (Sperm Class Analyzer[®], version 6.3.0.59, Microptic, Barcelona, Spain). The slide (Leja 20 µm) was placed onto a stage warmer set at 37 °C. A minimum of five microscopic fields and 500 spermatozoa were analyzed for each sample. For kinematic characteristics of sperm movement; VSL (straight-line velocity, µm/s), VCL (curvilinear velocity, µm/s), VAP (average path velocity, µm/s), ALH (amplitude of lateral head displacement, µm), LIN (linearity, VSL/VCL × 100), WOB (wobble, VAP / VCL × 100), STR (straightness, VSL/VAP × 100), and BCF (beat-cross frequency, hertz) were determined with the software system.

2.2. Sperm morphology

Spermatozoa were morphologically evaluated by using Spermac[®] (Stain Enterprises, Wellington, South Africa). Sperm samples were smeared across on a clear slide and allowed to air dry. After the samples dried, smears were



Figure. Dry thawing system working with 12–13.6 V, at adjusted temperature of 37 °C, with holes for 0.25 and 0.50 mL straws and for catheter.

stained with Spermac[®] stains, following the manufacturer's recommendations.

2.3. Plasma membrane functionality test

The hypoosmotic swelling test (HOST) was used to assess post-thaw functionality of the sperm plasma membrane [13]. In brief, 30 µL of semen and 300 µL of hypoosmotic solution [fructose (0.05 M) + sodium citrate (0.023 M) in distilled water, osmolality = 100 mOsm/kg] were mixed. This mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 60 min and then 0.2 mL of the mixture was put on a warm slide and covered with a cover slip. Using a phase-contrast microscope, 200 spermatozoa were assessed and spermatozoa having coiled tail were evaluated to be intact and recorded [14].

2.4. Sperm viability

Eosin–nigrosin stain was used for assessment of sperm viability as described by Raseona et al. [15]. After staining, the slides were dried and covered with a cover slip before evaluation by using CASA at 60× magnification. The rates (%) of live (white sperm heads) and dead (pink sperm heads) sperm were determined by counting a total of 200 spermatozoa per each stained slide.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The data were described as means (\bar{X}) ± standard error of means ($S_{\bar{X}}$). Comparisons of the groups were made with the least square method. All statistical analysis and

evaluations were made using SAS (2009) statistic suits [16].

3. Results

Motility and kinematic parameters belonging to bull spermatozoa thawed in water bath and dry thawing system are given in Table 1. There was no difference between thawing methods for total and progressive sperm motility determined with CASA. The rates of total motility of postthaw sperm in a water bath and dry thawing system were $49.25 \pm 4.89\%$ and $57.15 \pm 4.31\%$, respectively. The percentages of progressive motility of postthaw sperm in a water bath and dry thawing system were found as $29.02 \pm 2.84\%$ and $36.21 \pm 3.53\%$, respectively. Dry thawing of bull spermatozoa significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) STR and ALH kinematic values compared to water bath thawing.

The rates of HOST of postthaw sperm in a water bath and dry thawing system were $76.00 \pm 0.77\%$ and $74.00 \pm 1.66\%$, respectively. The percentages of viability of postthaw sperm in a water bath and dry thawing system were found as $48.40 \pm 3.51\%$ and $55.60 \pm 3.87\%$, respectively Table 2.

4. Discussion

Thawing procedure of sperm is as crucial as freezing procedure because of its impact on the survival of spermatozoa [17]. It has been known that an increase in postthaw viability will result in increased fertility of sperm [18].

There were no differences between thawing in a water bath and dry thawing system for postthaw sperm motility and viability. Tanghe et al. [19] stated that postthaw total motility, postthaw progressive motility, and morphology of sperm are reliable for predicting in vitro fertilization results for bulls. Although there was no significant difference between the two thawing methods in the current study, total motility and progressive motility of postthaw sperm in dry thawing system were higher than those of sperm thawed in a water bath. It has been shown that while thawing at different thawing temperatures or with different methods, it should be pointed out that the duration of thawing should be carefully timed and shortened [1,2,18]. Tekin et al. [20], who conducted a study comparing spermatological parameters of bull semen using subjective assessment and CASA system, reported that the motility values of postthaw bull semen were 49.2% and 52.8%, respectively. In the present study, after thawing sperm with two different methods, the motility values were 49.25% for water bath and 57.15% for dry thawing system. Whereas the result for motility rate of sperm thawed in water bath in our study is compatible with that of Tekin et al. [20], postthaw motility rate of sperm thawed in dry thawing system is higher than theirs [20]. Postthaw sperm values of dry thawing system were different from

both those of water bath in our study and those of other studies and this result may be attributed to differences in thawing procedures.

Recently, there has been a growing interest in evaluating sperm kinematic parameters with CASA to determine sperm motility properties more accurately and objectively than subjective assessment [9–11, 20]. Several researchers [21,22] reported that there were correlations between fertility and sperm kinematic characteristics evaluated with CASA, and VCL, VSL, and VAP may be used for estimation of in vivo fertility. In our study, since there was no difference for velocity parameters between two different thawing methods, it can be said that the effects of dry thawing and water bath thawing on sperm motion traits were the same with each other. An indicator of fertility in bulls is the ability of sperm to transform into a hyperactive stage. The most commonly used parameters to identify hyperactive sperm are high VCL and ALH values and low LIN values. However, it is not beneficial for the spermatozoa to become hyperactive before reaching the oviducts; therefore, VCL, ALH, and LIN kinematic values should be low in sperm samples to be frozen before AI [23]. In our study, thawing methods did not affect some motility kinematic values but the spermatozoa STR and ALH values changed. The results obtained from the study indicated that ALH and STR values of sperm thawed in dry thawing system were significantly higher than those of sperm thawed in a water bath. Some studies [24– 27] reported that spermatozoa with ALH higher than 7 $\mu\text{m/s}$ and VCL higher than 70 $\mu\text{m/s}$ were considered as indicative of hyperactivation. ALH value of our study was lower than 7 $\mu\text{m/s}$. Although VCL value of our study was a little bit higher than 70 $\mu\text{m/s}$, it was not affected by thawing methods. In this study, kinematic motility values of dry thawing system and water bath thawing were found to be lower than the average kinematic motility values of the frozen-thawed bull semen of the study conducted by Muino et al. [28]. It has been said that if spermatozoa are progressive, STR value of spermatozoa is higher than spermatozoa in circular swimming pattern [29]. In light of this information, dry thawing significantly increased STR value compared to water bath thawing. This result was in agreement with the statement by Ratnawati et al. [29].

Postthaw defective acrosome rate as well as some other morphological defects when straws were thawed in dry system were lower ($P < 0.05$) than those of straws thawed in water bath. Especially, there was a difference ($P < 0.0001$) for abnormal head rate of spermatozoa when straws were thawed in dry thawing system. The reason for the positive effect of dry thawing system on morphological defects in this study is unknown. However, Nur et al. [17] reported that thawing method affected morphological damages. The results for the effects of thawing methods

Table 1. Values (mean \pm standard error of means) for semen motility and kinematic characteristics in different thawing methods.

Parameters	Dry system	Water bath	P-value
	$\bar{X} \pm S_{\bar{X}}$	$\bar{X} \pm S_{\bar{X}}$	
TM (%)	57.15 \pm 4.31	49.25 \pm 4.89	>0.05
PM (%)	36.21 \pm 3.53	29.02 \pm 2.84	>0.05
VCL ($\mu\text{m/s}$)	76.33 \pm 3.34	75.20 \pm 2.96	>0.05
VAP ($\mu\text{m/s}$)	44.20 \pm 2.71	51.23 \pm 3.29	>0.05
VSL ($\mu\text{m/s}$)	34.21 \pm 2.62	35.54 \pm 2.48	>0.05
STR (%)	66.37 \pm 2.21	57.88 \pm 2.17	<0.05
LIN (%)	42.65 \pm 2.61	38.37 \pm 2.21	>0.05
WOB (%)	58.53 \pm 2.30	60.00 \pm 2.43	>0.05
ALH (μm)	3.28 \pm 0.11	2.78 \pm 0.13	<0.05
BCF (Hz)	6.67 \pm 0.37	6.25 \pm 0.35	> 0.05

TM: Total motility, PM: Progressive motility, VCL: Curvilinear velocity, VAP: Average path velocity, VSL: Straight-line velocity, STR: Straightness (VSL/VAP \times 100), LIN: Linearity (VSL/VCL \times 100), WOB: Wobble (VAP / VCL \times 100), ALH : Amplitude of lateral head displacement, BCF : Beat-cross frequency.

Table 2. Values (mean \pm standard error of means) for spermatological parameters in different thawing methods.

Parameters		Dry system	Water bath	P-value
		$\bar{X} \pm S_{\bar{X}}$	$\bar{X} \pm S_{\bar{X}}$	
Abnormal spermatozoa (%)	Acrosome	2.50 \pm 0.26	3.40 \pm 0.26	<0.05
	Head	5.00 \pm 0.36	8.10 \pm 0.43	<0.0001
	Middle part	1.20 \pm 0.24	3.10 \pm 0.75	<0.05
	Tail	5.10 \pm 0.52	4.60 \pm 0.70	>0.05
	Total	14.70 \pm 0.80	18.50 \pm 1.03	<0.05
Host (%)		74.00 \pm 1.66	76.00 \pm 0.77	>0.05
Viability (%)		55.60 \pm 3.87	48.40 \pm 3.51	>0.05

on morphological damages were in agreement with those reported by Senger [1] and Nur et al. [17].

Whereas the viability stains are used to assess physical plasma membrane damage, HOST evaluates plasma membrane's biochemical activity but intact plasma membrane does not imply that it is functional [30]. In our study, there was no difference for postthaw HOST values of spermatozoa thawed with different methods. Mishra et al. [31] stated that postthaw HOST values belonging to different breeds and evaluated after holding at more than 35 °C were between 68.70% and 72.20%. The results of the present study were a little bit higher than those of Mishra et al. [31].

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated the possibility of using dry thawing system as alternative to water bath for thawing bull semen because some postthaw sperm values obtained from dry thawing system were better than those obtained from water bath thawing. In other words, thawing in dry system may be useful for successful artificial insemination. However, the results of this study need to be supported by in vivo studies.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the GENOVET Company for supplying the dry thawing device and frozen bull sperm.

References

1. Senger PL. Handling frozen bovine serum-factors which influence viability and fertility. *Theriogenology* 1980; 13 (1): 51-62. doi: 10.1016/0093-691x(80)90014-x
2. Correa JR, Rodriguez MC, Patterson DJ, Zavos PM. Thawing and processing of cryopreserved bovine spermatozoa at various temperatures and their effects on sperm viability, osmotic shock and sperm membrane functional integrity. *Theriogenology* 1996; 46 (3): 413-420. doi: 10.1016/0093-691X(96)00163-X
3. Lyashenko A, Bashchenko M. Effect of different thawing procedures on the quality and fertility of the bull spermatozoa. *Research Journal of Animal Science* 2014; 8 (3-6): 18-23. doi: 10.36478/rjnasci.2014.18.23
4. Pace MM, Sullivan JJ, Elliott FI, Graham EF, Coulter GH. Effects of thawing temperature, number of spermatozoa and spermatozoal quality on fertility of bovine spermatozoa packaged in 5-ml French straws. *Journal of Animal Science* 1981; 53 (3): 693-701. doi: 10.2527/jas1981.533693x
5. Dhama AJ, Sahni KL. Evaluation of different cooling rates, equilibration periods and diluents for effects on deep-freezing, enzyme leakage and fertility of taurine bull spermatozoa. *Theriogenology* 1993; 40 (6): 1269-1280. doi: 10.1016/0093-691X(93)90297-I
6. DeJarnette JM, Barnes DA, Marshall CE. Effects of pre- and post-thaw thermal insults on viability characteristic of cryopreserved bovine semen. *Theriogenology* 2000; 53: 1225-1238. doi: 10.1016/S0093-691X(00)00267-3
7. DeJarnette JM, Marshall CE. Straw-thawing method interacts with sire and extender to influence sperm motility and conception rates of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 2005; 88: 3868-3875. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(05)73072-1
8. Underwood SL, Bathgate R, Ebsworth M, Maxwell WMC, Evans G. Pregnancy loss in heifers after artificial insemination with frozen-thawed, sex-sorted, re-frozen-thawed dairy bull sperm. *Animal Reproduction Science* 2010; 118 (1): 7-12. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2009.06.004
9. Mortimer ST, van der Horst G, Mortimer D. The future of computer-aided sperm analysis. *Asian Journal of Andrology* 2015; 17 (4): 545-553. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.154312
10. Yeste M, Bonet S, Rodríguez-Gil JE, Del Álamo MMR. Evaluation of sperm motility with CASA-Mot: which factors may influence our measurements? *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* 2018; 30 (6): 789-798. doi: 10.1071/RD17475
11. Farrell PB, Foote RH, Mcardle MM, Trouern-Trend VL, Tardif AL. Media and dilution procedures tested to minimize handling effects on human, rabbit, and bull sperm for computer-assisted sperm analysis (CASA). *Journal of Andrology* 1996; 17 (3): 293-300. doi: 10.1002/j.1939-4640.1996.tb01785.x
12. Perumal P, Selvaraju S, Selvakumar S, Barik AK, Mohanty DN et al. Effect of pre-freeze addition of cysteine hydrochloride and reduced glutathione in semen of crossbred Jersey bulls on sperm parameters and conception rates. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals* 2011; 46 (4): 636-641. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0531.2010.01719.x
13. Revell SG, Mrode RA. An osmotic resistance test for bovine semen. *Animal Reproduction Science* 1994; 36: 77-96. doi: 10.1016/0378-4320(94)90055-8
14. Mousavi SM, Towhidi A, Zhandi M, Amoabediny G, Mohammadi-Sangcheshmeh A et al. Comparison of two different antioxidants in a nano lecithin-based extender for bull sperm cryopreservation. *Animal Reproduction Science* 2019; 209: 106171. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2019.106171
15. Raseona AM, Ajao OA, Nethengwe LD, Madzhie LR, Nedambale TL et al. Viability of bull semen extended with commercial semen extender and two culture media stored at 24 C. *South African Journal of Animal Science* 2017; 47 (1): 49-55. doi: 10.4314/sajas.v47i1.8
16. SAS. SAS Stat Software. SAS Campus Drive Cary, NC. 27513, USA; 2009.
17. Nur Z, Dogan I, Soylu MK, Ak K. Effect of different thawing procedures on the quality of bull semen. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire* 2003; 154 (7): 487-490.
18. Rastegarnia A, Shahverdi A, Rezaei Topraggaleh T, Ebrahimi B, Shafipour V. Effect of different thawing rates on post-thaw viability, kinematic parameters and chromatin structure of buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) Spermatozoa. *Cell Journal (Yakhteh)* 2013; 14 (4): 306-313.
19. Tanghe S, Van Soom A, Stercckx V, Maes D, De Kruif, A. Assessment of different sperm quality parameters to predict in vitro fertility of bulls. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals* 2002; 37 (3): 127-132. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0531.2002.00343.x
20. Tekin N, Daşkın A, Uysal O, Akçay E, Tirpan M et al. Evaluation of spermatological parameters of frozen bull semen with conventional and CASA (computer-aided sperm analysis) method. *İstanbul Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi* 2016; 42 (1): 20-25. doi: 10.16988/iuvfd.2016.74833
21. Liu DY, Clarke GN, Baker HG. Relationship between sperm motility assessed with the Hamilton-Thorn motility analyzer and fertilization rates in vitro. *Journal of Andrology* 1991; 12: 231-239. doi:10.1002/j.1939-4640.1991.tb00258.x
22. Fleischa A, Malamaa E, Witschib U, Leiding C, Siuda M et al. Effects of an extension of the equilibration period up to 96 hours on the characteristics of cryopreserved bull semen. *Theriogenology* 2017; 89: 255-262. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.10.018
23. Malo C, Elwing B, Soederstroem L, Lundeheim N, Morrell JM et al. Effect of different freezing rates and thawing temperatures on cryosurvival of dromedary camel spermatozoa. *Theriogenology* 2019; 125: 43-48. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2018.07.037
24. Robertson L, Wolf DP, Tash JS. Temporal changes in motility parameters related to acrosomal status: identification and characterization of populations of hyperactivated human sperm. *Biology of Reproduction* 1988; 39: 797-805. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod39.4.797

25. Mortimer ST, Mortimer D. Kinematics of humanspermatozoa incubated under capacitating conditions. *Journal of Andrology* 1990; 11: 195-203. doi: 10.1002/j.1939-4640.1990.tb03228.x.
26. Zhu J, Barratt CL, Lippes J, Pacey AA, Cooke ID. The sequential effects of human cervical mucus, oviductal fluid and follicular fluid on sperm function. *Fertility and Sterility* 1994; 61: 1129–1135. doi: 10.1016/S0015-0282(16)56768-5.
27. Marquez B, Suarez SS, 2007: Bovine sperm hyperactivation is promoted by alkaline-stimulated Ca^{2+} influx. *Biology of Reproduction* 2007; 76: 660-665. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.106.055038.
28. Muiño R, Peña AI, Rodríguez A, Tamargo C, Hidalgo CO. Effects of cryopreservation on the motile sperm subpopulations in semen from Asturiana de los Valles bulls. *Theriogenology* 2009; 72 (6): 860-868. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2009.06.009.
29. Ratnawati D, Isnaini N, Susilawati T. Character motility of liquid semen on ongole crossbreed (po), bali and madura bulls with different diluents at cold storage. *Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Environmental Sciences* 2018; 20 (1): 21-28.
30. Brito LF, Barth AD, Bilodeau-Goeseels S, Panich PL, Kastelic JP. Comparison of methods to evaluate the plasmalemma of bovine sperm and their relationship with in vitro fertilization rate. *Theriogenology* 2003; 60 (8): 1539-1551. doi: 10.1016/S0093-691X(03)00174-2
31. Mishra SR, Kundu AK, Mahapatra APK. Effect of ambient temperature on membrane integrity of spermatozoa in different breeds of bulls. *The Bioscan* 2013; 8: 181-183.