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Abstract: The timing of the deposition of the well-preserved Quaternary marine terraces in the coastal region of northeastern Turkey are crucial in understanding the Quaternary tectonics of the Pontides. The chronology of raised marine terraces between Trabzon and Rize has remained unrevealed because of chronologic limitations. This study aims to establish chronology for the terrace deposits by applying optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating methods using single aliquot regenerative dose (SAR) techniques on quartz grains extracted from marine terraces. Eleven samples were collected from the lowest three Quaternary marine terraces. The OSL ages clusters into three groups: 52.4 ± 4.6 to 60.0 ± 4.7 ka (terrace level T1); 16.8 ± 0.8 to 33.9 ± 2.8 ka (T2); and 11.7 ± 0.9 ka (T3). This chronology is consistent with the classical terrace stratigraphy; i.e. younger terraces are located at lower elevations and vice versa for the older terraces. We correlate the established terrace chronology with MIS 3c, MIS 3a, and MIS 1. We calculated apparent uplift rates are 0.98 ± 0.12 mm/year, 1.39 ± 0.26 mm/year, and 1.50 ± 0.78 mm/year from marine terrace levels 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Based on the existing eustatic sea-level data/curve, we estimated tectonic uplift rates up to 5 mm per year. Our results indicate that the coastal region of the Eastern Pontides experienced three accumulation periods, with sea-level highstands overprinting the uplifting coastline, and the coastal region of Eastern Pontides has been tectonically active from Late Pleistocene to Early Holocene. This study reveals that marine terraces in the coastal region of northeastern Anatolia might have displaced by the South Black Sea Fault which ultimately points to a regional subsidence with the higher uplift rate, and it points to a differential uplift along the Eastern Pontides.
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1. Introduction

The chronology of the marine terraces is linked to their time of deposition and a critical component in the understanding of Quaternary tectonics worldwide. Stratigraphic associations and geographic distributions of marine deposits, when combined with the chronology, can provide beneficial knowledge regarding global and regional tectonic movements, as well as sea-level changes. Various Quaternary geochronological techniques have been used to establish the age of these sequences to enable comparison to global climatic and tectonic pattern changes in many regions (e.g., Yaltırak et al., 2002; Pedoja et al., 2006, 2018; Tari et al., 2018; Özalp, 2020), but each method has its advantages, disadvantages, and limitations, such as bedrock geology, age construction, absence of suitable material. However, radiocarbon dating (14C) is the most used technique for terrace chronologies, but 14C dates, which constrain the chronology of the Late Pleistocene-Holocene deposits are rare because of the absence of organic material in these deposits as well as the range of the radiocarbon dating [max. 60 ka, Beukens (1994)]. Other dating techniques such as U/Th (e.g., Schwarcz, 1989), OSL (e.g., Aitken, 1985), ESR (e.g., Grün, 1989) and cosmogenic nuclides (e.g., Sançar et al., 2020) have also been applied to reconstruct terrace chronologies. In recent years, optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating has become a widely used and well-known dating technique (e.g., Preusser et al., 2008; Rhodes, 2011). In particular, OSL dating has been successfully applied to marine terrace deposits (e.g., Tanaka et al., 1997; Choi et al., 2003a, 2003b; Rhodes et al., 2006; Jacobs, 2008; Gurrola et al., 2014; Bateman, 2015; Lamotte, 2016).

Marine terraces at various elevations on the coastal region of Eastern Pontides have been studied since the mid-1800's (Hamilton, 1842; Oswald, 1906; Karajiyan, 1920; Ardel, 1943; Erol, 1952; Semerci, 1990; Solmaz, 1990).
The Western Pontides was reconstructed with OSL (e.g., Yaltarak, 2002; Yildirim et al., 2013). However, the studies focusing on the understanding the timing, rate and pattern Quaternary deformation in the Eastern Pontides are rare except for Keskin (2007) and Keskin et al. (2011). These studies applied electron spin resonance (ESR) techniques to date gastropod and bivalve macrofossils collected from the four lowest terraces, which were dated 408.0 ± 67.5 ka (T3), 292.5 ± 49.8 ka (T2), 124.8 ± 26.0 ka (T1), and 5.1 ± 0.3 ka (TH) in Trabzon. To bridge the gap in the chronology of these terraces, we aimed at a better understanding of the interaction with active tectonics, climate, and the Quaternary uplift in the Eastern Pontides by mapping terraces and faults, and at exploring the competence of OSL dating by using quartz grains extracted from terrace deposits. This study marks the first OSL dating using the single aliquot regenerative dose (SAR) protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000, 2003; Wintle and Murray, 2006) applied to marine terraces in the coastal area of Eastern Pontides between Trabzon and Rize, including the Keskin et al’s (2011) outcrops in Trabzon. In brief, our OSL chronology supports the description of the building of marine terraces and various tectonic movements, as well as sea-level fluctuations in this area. This information provides better understanding of tectonism linked with the rapid vertical uplift of Eastern Pontides.

1.1. Late Pleistocene-Holocene sea-level changes of the Black Sea

The Black Sea is one of the largest semienclosed sea in the world, and it is connected to global oceans via the Bosphorus strait. During the Quaternary, this connection was cut off and reconnected periodically because the global sea-level dropped below the Bosphorus straits during glacial periods and sea-level rise during the transition interglacial periods (e.g., Smith et al., 1995; Aksu et al., 2000; Çağatay et al., 2015). According to Badertscher et al. (2011), these transition periods repeated several times during the past 670 ka. One of the important consequences of these cut-off and connection events is the migration of marine organisms into the Black Sea. For example, during the MIS 1 and MIS 3, saline Mediterranean water penetrated to Black Sea via Dardanelles and Bosphorus straits (e.g., Aksu et al., 2002; Yaltarak et al., 2002). Especially, marine conditions during the MIS 3a and 3c interglacials were suitable for macro and micro marine organisms in the Black Sea (e.g., Aksu et al., 2002; Yaltarak et al., 2002). In the literature, while there is a consensus for saline water and fresh-melted water exchange event during the MIS 1 and Late MIS 2, the MIS 3 to MIS 7 events might seem to be controversial due to the unrevealed archives of these events and their chronology (e.g., Aksu et al., 2002; Hiscott et al., 2002, 2007; Ryan, 2007).

2. Study area

2.1. Geological background and neotectonic setting

The Pontides is divided tectonically into three zones, namely, the Western, Central and Eastern Pontides due to their different geologic and tectonic features (e.g., Yilmaz et al., 1997). Extending ~500 km by ~200 km, the Eastern Pontides orogenic belt lies along the southeastern coast of the Black Sea basin. The latter is divided into two parts, the western and eastern Black Sea basins, separated by the Andrusov high (Figure 1a).

Numerous studies have been proposed to shed light on the geologic and geodynamic evolution of the Eastern Pontides (e.g., Dewey et al., 1973; Adamia et al., 1977; Şengör and Yilmaz, 1981; Ustaömer and Robertson, 1996; Bektas et al., 1999; Eyuboglu et al., 2006, 2007, 2011). According to these studies, the Eastern Pontides is accommodated by progressive deformation between the North Anatolian Fault (NAF) and the Black Sea within the Eastern Black Sea Mountain Belt (Figure 1a). It is on a transpressional deformation zone due to the N-NW direction of the Arabian Plate which is considered to cause uplift and crustal thickening in the Pontides.

The Eastern Pontides is divided tectonically into northern, southern and axial subzones by many researchers (e.g., Özsaray, 1971; Bektas et al., 1995; Eyuboglu et al., 2006, 2007). The boundaries of each zone are represented by NE-SW, E-W, and NW-SE trending fault zones, which play a significant role in the active tectonics of the Eastern Pontides (Figure 1b).

In the Eastern Pontides between the Black Sea and the NAF, there are several active and potentially active faults (Softa et al., 2018, 2019) (Figure 1b). There, the NAF has multiple riedel faults, such as the Northeast Anatolian Fault (NEAF) (Badgley, 1965; Riedel, 1929; Vialon et al., 1976; Wilcox et al., 1973). The NEAF is about 800 km long, and northeast trending dextral strike-slip fault connected with a north-dipping thrust fault around the Bayburt city namely Borjomi-Kazbegi Fault (BKF) that is about 250
km length (Westaway, 1994; Koçyiğit et al., 2001; Softa et al., 2018, 2019). Besides, the NEAF and NAF is connected by a 400 km long northeast trending and south dipping Black Sea Fault (BSF) that has a ramp-flat structure. The Eastern Pontides continues to uplift gradually at a rate of more than 0.5 mm per year because of this strain, progressed by the push-up geometry with the thrust faults of BSF at sea and the BKF on the land (Softa et al., 2018, 2019). In addition to these transpressional system, which are accommodated by the uplift in the Eastern Pontides, there are dip/oblique-slip normal fault segments of an en-echelon geometry known as Southeast Black Sea Fault that is composed of 65-km long and more than 1 km wide en-echelon distributed fault zone (Softa et al., 2019).
2.2. Stratigraphy
The lithostratigraphy of the study area consists of seven units: (I) Çağlayan Formation, (II) Çayırbağ Formation, (III) Bakırköy Formation, (IV) Kabaköy Formation, (V) Kaymaklı Formation, (VI) Beşirli Formation, (V) red clays, (VI) alluvium and (VII) marine terraces (Figure 2). The oldest unit in the study area is the Late Cretaceous (Campanian-Maastrichtian)-Paleogene units, characterized by volcano-sedimentary rocks and divided into the Çağlayan, Çayırbağ, and Bakırköy Formations (Gedikoğlu, 1970; Güven, 1993). The unconformably overlying Eocene Kabaköy Formation is composed of basalt, andesite with pyroclastic fragments, some sandstone and limestone with nummulites. The Kaymaklı Formation contains Miocene clayey and sandy siltstone; this unit was originally named Pontian clays by Özsayar (1971) and overlies the unconformably the older units. The Beşirli Formation is represented by Pliocene andesitic and basaltic agglomerate, coarse-grained sandstone, tuff, and claystone-marl-sandstone intercalations, and this unit is seen close to the seashore. Red clays are locally composed of red colored silt, mud and clays with nearly horizontal layers. Quaternary alluvium and marine terraces unconformably overlie the Beşirli Formation and the Kabaköy Formation (e.g., Yilmaz et al., 1998) (Figure 2c).

Here, we focus on the youngest unit, the Quaternary alluvium, and terraces, which are mostly observed on hanging walls and footwalls of the normal faults (Figures 2b and 2c). For in-depth review and discussions about the older units, we recommend readers examine the following resources (e.g., Gedikoğlu, 1970; Özsayar, 1971; Güven, 1993; Eyuboglu et al., 2006).

3. Methodology
The methodology is given in three sections. In the first section, we present: (i) exploring stratigraphy and mapping the faults and marine terraces in detail, and (ii) sampling...

Figure 2. a) Geological map of the studied region, after Güven (1993) with modifications. Hillshade generated from SRTM-30m (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission) b) Detailed geological map of the Trabzon-Akçaabat and nearest region, SeBF: Southeast Blacksea Fault. c) Field crosssection with geological relation of marine terraces has displaced by the Southeast Blacksea Fault.

marine terraces for OSL dating. In the second section, we focus on laboratory process on luminescence dating, and in the third on the calculation of Quaternary uplift rate.

3.1. Fieldwork and sampling
The Eastern Pontides was first investigated with a combination of Google Earth images and the 30 m resolution SRTM maps and digitized 1/25,000 (version 1967) topographic maps from the General Command of Mapping (Turkey). We explored three marine terrace deposits. To obtain the altitudes, hand type global positional system [GPS ± 10 m (instrumental error of the GPS)] was used.

Optically Luminescence dating (OSL) is one of the state of arts and well-known technique to constrain the timing of identified layers worldwide (e.g., Fattahi et al., 2006; Preusser et al., 2008; Fattahi et al., 2010; Rhodes, 2011; Stahl et al., 2016; Tsodoulos et al., 2016). As each terrace level constituted materials of possibly different sea-level changes, making an ideal sampling location in order not to be affected possibly postdepositional contamination. Eleven samples were collected from three marine terraces using metal cylinders, taking care of limit any light exposure on the open cylinder ends and assuring that terrace sediments completely filled the cylinder to avoid mixing of light-exposed grains within the cylinder during the transport. As an additional precaution, sampling was undertaken during a moonless night. The following terraces are arranged stratigraphically from youngest to oldest (Table 1).

To define the depositional environment, micropaleontological sampling was implemented. A total of 11 samples were collected. The sample preparations include some routine washed techniques such sieving, drying, chemical process (hydrogen peroxide and acetic acid), and the subsampling for the analyses. Then all material was analyzed under an Olympus BX50 binocular microscope for microfossils and photomicrographs were taken by an Olympus E330 camera attached to the microscope.

3.2. Luminescence dating
3.2.1. Sample preparation
Mineral preparation and OSL measurements were carried out at the Luminescence Research and Dating Laboratory of the department of geology at Kansas State University. The preparation of quartz for OSL dating was similar to the standard procedures described by Aitken (1998) and Spencer and Robinson (2008), and the preparation steps were as follows. The first step involved removing the sample from the cylinder; to minimize the possibility of analyzing grains that were inadvertently bleached during or postsampling, approximately 3 cm of deposit was removed from the ends of each cylinder. Field moisture content was assessed for both the sediment removed from the cylinder ends and the material for dating in the central part of the cylinder. This was carried out by comparison of sediment mass before and after weight stabilization in a 50 °C oven. Saturated water content estimates were also recorded. The second process was wet sieving, and a grain size fraction of 125–180 μm was chosen for each sample. Silicate-rich grains were extracted from the samples after these processes using 10% HCl and 30% H2O2 for the removal of carbonates and organic matter, respectively;

Table 1. Sample details and data from gamma spectrometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample IDa</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>U (226Ra) (ppm)b</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>K (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR-3</td>
<td>60 ± 4</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-1</td>
<td>48 ± 5</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-7</td>
<td>43 ± 2</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.09</td>
<td>11.16 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-8</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
<td>3.92 ± 0.11</td>
<td>4.39 ± 0.13</td>
<td>10.86 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-5</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-6</td>
<td>45 ± 5</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-2</td>
<td>42 ± 4</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.06</td>
<td>5.50 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-4</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3.50 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-12</td>
<td>37 ± 2</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.05</td>
<td>8.25 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-13</td>
<td>36 ± 2</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.92 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-9</td>
<td>27 ± 3</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.07</td>
<td>9.74 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a See text for the sampling localities and sample details (Figures 2 and 4), and T1; T2; T3 indicates the terrace level.
b Radium-226 is a daughter product of the uranium-238 series.
next came density separation of heavy minerals (>2.70 g/cm³) with lithium metatungstate (LMT) heavy liquid, and then we used 48% HF for 40 min to etch the outer surface of quartz grains affected by external alpha radiation and to eliminate feldspar grains. This was followed by another 10% HCl treatment to redissolve and remove any precipitated fluorides.

All samples were then washed with deionized water and acetone and then briefly oven dried at 50 °C. Prepared quartz aliquots of 1-mm, and in some cases 3-mm, diameter circles of grains were fixed to ~9.8-mm-diameter stainless steel discs using silicone oil and a spray template. All sample preparation including chemical processes and OSL measurement procedures was conducted under low intensity red safe lighting.

3.2.2. Equivalent dose (D_e) measurements

OSL analytical procedures followed the methodology outlined in Spencer and Robinson (2008) and Spencer et al. (2015). OSL measurements were carried out on a Riso TL/OSL Model DA-20 reader (Bøtter-Jensen et al., 2003) with blue-green (470 nm, FWHM 20 nm) and infrared (870 nm, FWHM 40 nm) light-emitting diode (LED) optical stimulation sources, with detection in the UV via an EMI 9235QB photomultiplier tube fitted with 7.5 mm of Hoya U-340 filter. Laboratory irradiations were implemented using a calibrated 90Sr/90Y beta source (~0.14 Gy s⁻¹) on the reader.

The D_e of all quartz aliquots was determined using a SAR protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000, 2003; Wintle and Murray, 2006). OSL measurements comprised 40 s diode stimulation at a sample temperature of 125 °C. For calculation of sensitivity-corrected OSL signals and D_e estimates the OSL signal was defined as the initial 0.8 s integral with a background integral of the final 8 s (Wintle and Murray, 2000) (Figure 3a). The test dose administered for sensitivity correction was ~14 Gy. The D_e values were found using interpolation of the natural OSL signal with a best-fit saturating exponential function to the regenerative OSL data (Figure 3b).

To determine optimal preheat and cutheat treatments, dose recovery tests (Roberts et al., 1999; Murray and Wintle, 2003) were carried out with preheat variation (Spencer and Robinson, 2008). Dose recovery and D_e data were analyzed with typical acceptance thresholds of 10% for measured-to-given ratios and recycling ratios and 5% for recuperation levels (Murray and Wintle, 2000, 2003). Uncertainty in D_e was calculated from counting statistics, curve fitting errors, and instrumental uncertainty (Duller, 2007). Test results that exceeded thresholds were accepted with allowance for typical uncertainty limits. At the end of each SAR cycle, a hot bleach treatment of 40 s OSL at 280 °C (Murray and Wintle, 2003) was added. IR depletion tests (Duller, 2003) were used to confirm the absence of contaminant signals.

Dose-distribution analysis was implemented for all quartz aliquots. The D_e data were evaluated by means of a radial plot (Galbraith, 1990) together with sample-specific estimates of overdispersion (Galbraith et al., 2005). D_e data for each sample were analyzed using both the minimum age model (MAM) and the central age model (CAM) (Galbraith et al., 1999). All results were assessed together with geologic, geomorphologic, and sedimentological information from the study area.

3.2.3. Dose rate determination

Environmental dose-rates were assessed using high resolution gamma spectrometry measurements (Murray et al., 1987; Gilmore, 2008) conducted at the Illinois Geological Survey, and from calculations of the ionizing cosmic ray dose-rate component (Prescott and Hutton, 1994), (Table 1).

Figure 3. a) A typical OSL decay curve for a quartz aliquot of sample TR-8 showing the signal as measured with blue light emitting diodes. The x-axis is the time of measurement in seconds(s) and the y-axis is photon counts/0.16 s over the course of the 40 s measurement period. A sharp decay is seen indicating the fast component of the OSL signal. b) TR-8 growth curve (using a saturating exponential function), with the natural OSL plotted on the Lx/Tx axis at ~3.5. The x-axis is the dose indicated in seconds; interpolation of natural OSL gives D_e of ~70 Gy. The y-axis shows the sensitivity corrected (regenerative OSL response over the test dose OSL response) OSL.
Sediment in a number of the OSL samples was poorly sorted with some clasts exceeding ~1-2 cm in size. Normalized by weight, larger clasts are primarily a gamma emitter, as most of the beta particles, internally released via radioactive disintegrations, are reabsorbed within the clast (i.e. Urbanova et al., 2015). Because of this, we evaluated the uranium, thorium, and potassium content by distinguishing two separate size fractions of <2 mm and >2 mm in order to account for the effect on the gamma dose-rate from different clast sizes, for the first time. Material removed from the cylinder ends was dried, sieved into <2 mm and >2 mm fractions, and their mass recorded. The size fractions were crushed and mounted in thin cylindrical plastic boxes (about 20 g). Each was sealed with wax, stored for a minimum of 21 days to restore the postradon equilibrium, and then gamma spectrometry measurements conducted.

Dry beta dose-rate was calculated from the <2 mm fraction data; dry gamma dose-rate, \( \dot{D}_{\gamma,\text{total}} \) was calculated as follows:

\[
\dot{D}_{\gamma,\text{total}} = x \dot{D}_{\gamma,\leq 2} + (1-x) \dot{D}_{\gamma,>2}
\]

where \( \dot{D}_{\gamma,\leq 2} \) and \( \dot{D}_{\gamma,>2} \) are gamma dose-rates from <2 mm and >2 mm size fractions, respectively, and \( x \) represents the mass fraction of <2 mm size fraction. Moisture attenuation (beta and gamma) and grain size and etching (beta) factors were applied to derive final beta and gamma dose-rates (Zimmerman, 1971). The total dose-rate included an estimated internal alpha dose rate of 0.010 ± 0.002 mGya\(^{-1}\) (Zimmerman, 1971). The total dose-rate included an estimated internal alpha dose rate of 0.010 ± 0.002 mGya\(^{-1}\) (Zimmerman, 1971). A = the age of the marine terrace from the correlation with the marine isotope stages. To calculate precise uplift rates and error propagations, we used a modified version of the uplift rate formula incorporating the different eustatic sea-level uncertainties like marine terrace studies which were used by Pedoja et al. (2018) and Normand et al. (2019).

\[
U_{\text{max}} = \frac{(E-\Delta E)-(e+\Delta e)}{(A+\Delta A)}, \quad U_{\text{min}} = \frac{(E+\Delta E)-(e-\Delta e)}{(A-\Delta A)}
\]

\[
\Delta U = \left| U \right| \sqrt{\frac{\Delta A}{|A|}^2 + \left( \frac{\sqrt{\Delta E^2 + \Delta e^2}}{|E-e|} \right)^2},
\]

where the delta symbols represent the estimated variability in the different parameters. We also used the marine record (Siddall et al., 2006 and Spratt and Lisiecki, 2016) to correlate these highstands with our chronology.

4. Results

4.1. Field work in marine terraces

We identified three terraces in the field: T1, T2, and T3. These terrace levels have a high discrepancy in the elevation of their top surfaces such as T3 (3–27 m), T2 (35–45 m), and T1 (48–60 m) above the sea-level. The terrace deposits consist mainly of clays, silts, sands and gravels and have thicknesses ranging from 1 to 17 m. The deposits are made up of mostly gravel derived from basaltic, andesitic, and limestone and these gravels are of ellipsoidal, square and flat shapes. The terraces are over lain by brownish-gray soil. Even though all terrace deposits generally appear very similar, majority of the features (micro fossil faunas, cement type, colors, altitudes, etc.) vary significantly. Because of this, we identified three marine terrace levels based on the sedimentology and morphostratigraphy of these deposits as mentioned above. These marine terraces increase in height and thickness from west to east, and they are displaced by normal faults. Micropaleontological observations indicate that T2 terrace has marine benthic foraminifera. It has a planispiral-evolute coiling shape. Because of the paucity of the fauna, the specific kind of foraminifera could not deeply be characterized. In addition to that, Keskin et al. (2011) defined marine macro gastropods in their lowest four terrace deposits in the Trabzon.

The T3 terrace is only exposed in the center of the Yomra (Trabzon) district. T3 terrace is located at between 3 m and 27 m elevation above sea-level. This section is characterized by sedimentary deposits, ranging from 1 to 4.5 m thick, poorly to moderately sorted gravels and containing an upward-finishing sand succession (Figures 4a and 4b). The bottom of this section consists of coarse sand and mud with a thickness of 2 m. Overlying these are beds consisting of intercalated medium to coarse sand and clay with a thickness of 1 to 2 m. The present shoreline angle of the T3 terrace has a maximum altitude of 27 m (varies...
between 3 m and 27 m), and it can be traced laterally for around 200 m. One OSL sample was collected from the top unit of this site: TR-9, at a depth of 265 cm.

Outcrops of T2 terrace is observed in the Yalköy (Trabzon), Keremköy (Trabzon), Söğütlü (Trabzon), Yalıncık (Trabzon, three sites), Yalköy (Rize) districts and in Rize city. T2 terrace is located at between 35 m and 45 m elevation above sea-level. The present shoreline angle of T2 terrace has an average altitude of 40 m, and it can be traced laterally in the 8 districts for distances ranging from 100 to 200 m. The T2 terrace consists of a moderate to poorly sorted 1 m thick clast-supported gravel beds (Figures 4c and 4d). This section comprises fining upwards sedimentary deposits with a thickness of up to 7 m and finishes upward. The bottom of the section comprises coarse gravel, sand and mud with a thickness of 1 m. Above this the section consists of medium to coarse sand and clay beds, ranging from 1 to 2 m thick. Microfossils were discovered in the sandy levels of the T2 terrace (Figure 4d, inset). Eight OSL samples were collected from outcrops of T2 terrace: TR-2, TR-4, TR-5, TR-6, TR-7, TR-8, TR-12, and TR-13 at depths of 240, 130, 230, 210, 440, 280, 260, and 220 cm, respectively.

The T1 terrace is observed in the Yıldızlı (Trabzon, two sites) district. T1 terraces is located at between 48 m and 60 m elevation above sea-level. This section is composed of deposits with a thickness of approximately 8 m. The bottom of this section consists of a 1 to 3 m thick coarse conglomerate and sand, followed by a 1 to 4 m thick fine to coarse sand (Figures 4e and 4f). The 0.5 to 2 m thick sand layers have no structure patterns. The T1 marine terrace can be traced laterally at the two sites for 100 to 200 m. One OSL sample per site was collected from each site: TR-1 from the Yıldızlı site (hanging wall of the fault) and TR-3 from the Yıldızlı site (footwall wall of the fault) at depths of 225, and 120 cm, respectively.

4.2. Chronology of marine terraces

OSL dating results are shown in Table 2. Nine of the samples had sufficient specific sensitivity to enable measurement of 1 mm aliquots; for the remaining 2 samples (TR-2 and TR-13) 3 mm aliquots were measured. Dose recovery tests indicated optimized preheat treatments ranging from 220 °C to 280 °C for 10 s; a 160 °C cutheat was used for all measurements. Six of the samples (TR-1, TR-3, TR-6, TR-7, TR-8, and TR-13) passed SAR acceptance thresholds at the 75% or greater level, whereas the remaining 5 samples only had 25%–50% aliquot acceptance. This latter group was dominated by poor recycling or recuperation data, and for 3 of the samples (TR-4, TR-5, and TR-9) resulted in low numbers of accepted aliquots. All the SAR $D_e$ data for sample TR-9 failed the 5% acceptance threshold for recuperation tests. The SAR data were reanalyzed using the early background subtraction (signal 0–0.8 s, background 0.8–2.72s) method (Cunningham et al., 2015), but recuperation data remained above the 5% threshold. SAR data for TR-9 using the original late background subtraction analysis was reanalyzed with a 15% recuperation acceptance threshold.

In dose distribution assessment we used a similar approach to Johnson et al. (2019) by comparing overdispersion ($\sigma_D$) data calculated from our samples (Table 2; Figure 5) to factorial experiments using what were considered to be well-bleached samples (Galbraith et al., 2005). We estimate our 125–180 μm quartz discs comprised ~30 or ~260 grains for 1 mm or 3 mm diameter aliquots, respectively. As described in detail by Galbraith and Roberts (2012), determination of $D_e$ using central age model (CAM) can be reliable only if the dispersion (OD) value of measurements lies within an acceptable range (20%–30% maximum). In this assessment, overdispersion values for all our samples exceed values from Galbraith et al. (2005) (with estimated modifications of their data for numbers of grains and aliquot size), and all of the samples analyzed here would be expected to exhibit some level of partial bleaching. This was somewhat expected given the 1–2 cm clasts observed in a number of the samples, with an interpretation of the higher level of energy and rapidity of sedimentary deposition, resulting in poor to moderate sorted. Accordingly, as a first step we analyzed the data using MAM. For 3 of the samples (TR-1, TR-2, and TR-6) the MAM analysis was repeated after removing lowest $D_e$ values, and in each case the P-value appreciably increased. The MAM results were further reanalyzed by inflating the instrumental uncertainty from 1.5% to 3% (Spencer et al., 2015); this only made an appreciable difference in the analysis for sample TR-6. For 2 of the samples (TR-8 and TR-13) the MAM analysis had very low P-values, and for these 2 samples the CAM result is reported. The result from the initial MAM analysis was then examined visually on radial plot diagrams, together with an assessment of the asymmetric or symmetric nature of the $D_e$ data (Figure 5), and a comparative evaluation to other sample ages and terrace location. Based on these dose distribution analysis steps either a MAM or CAM $D_e$ result was chosen (Table 2, Figure 5).

For samples TR-12 and TR-13 a Cs-137 peak was positively identified by gamma spectrometry. Its specific activity is low, $1.0 \pm 0.2$ and $4.3 \pm 0.3$ Bq kg$^{-1}$, respectively. These are significantly higher than the minimum detectable activity, defined by the upper limit observed from other samples in this project (Gilmore, 2008). The source of the Cs-137 is somewhat difficult to determine, as this is an anthropogenic nuclide produced from nuclear weapon testing and nuclear power plant accidents. The laboratories that handled these samples process the occasional modern sample, but none were being processed at the time we analyzed the TR samples discussed here. Although it is hard to completely discount a laboratory contamination,
Figure 4. a) View of the OSL sampling location in the T3 Terrace level near Yomra city. b) View of the actual sediment. The red dashed line indicates wave-cut notch of the paleoshoreline. c) View of the OSL sampling location in the T2 terrace level near Keremköy village. d) View of the 3 to 4 m T2 marine terrace and sampling location. Inset view depicts a marine foraminifer in the T2 terrace level. e) and f) View of the OSL sampling location in the T1 marine terrace. g) View of the marine terraces on the coast of the Eastern Pontides.
Cs-137 has never been observed in the gamma spectra of non-modern samples analyzed by these laboratories before. Also, given the sequential analytical procedures employed we would expect to observe evidence of these peaks in most if not all of the samples analyzed here. In addition, we also observed a Cs-137 peak for the larger than 2 mm grain size fraction of sample TR-13; the sample that has the highest activity for Cs-137. The larger than 2 mm fraction has a 1.1 ± 0.2 Bqkg⁻¹ activity. It is lower, for a larger grain size, which makes sense if this Cs-137 contamination came from the environment. On balance, the source of the Cs-137 is more likely to be at the sampling sites themselves, possibly transported from surficial (modern) deposits to the sediment samples for OSL either by infiltrating waters or bioturbated clays, silts, or fine sands. The sediment prepared for gamma spectrometry measurement was taken from the OSL cylinder ends; an alternative would be that the Cs-137 is only present at the surface, such as the outcrop, or present at the end of the OSL cylinder. Fortunately, the presence of Cs-137 has a negligible effect on the dose-rate for the samples discussed here, if only for the very short duration of exposure, compared to their total burial age. However, if this contaminant carried with it very fine sands it would point to a possible source of modern contamination that could result in a lower $D_e$ value and thus underestimate the true depositional age. Samples TR-12 and TR-13 do have the two lowest OSL ages (20.7 ± 2.1 ka and 16.8 ± 0.8 ka, respectively; Table 2) for the group of samples from terrace level T2, but they are not significant outliers given the range in age (22.5 to 27.7 ka; Table 2) for the other T2 samples and should not be excluded for this reason.

The OSL ages for the marine terraces (Table 2), broadly cluster into 3 groups with ages of 11.7 ka (terrace level T3), 16.8 to 33.9 ka (T2), and 52.4 to 60.0 ka (T1), and at altitudes ranging from 3–27 m (T3), 35–45 m (T2), and 48–60 m (T1), respectively, (Table 3, Figure 6).

### 4.3. Vertical displacement and uplift rates of marine terraces

Based on the established OSL chronology, the terraces were assigned to MIS 3c, MIS 3a and MIS 1. The apparent vertical movement rates range from 1.50 mm/year to 0.98 mm/year. The uplift rate results are 0.98 ± 0.12 mm/year, 1.39 ± 0.26 mm/year, and 1.50 ± 0.78 mm/year, as calculated from marine terrace level 1, 2, and 3, respectively (Table 4). After incorporating the eustatic sealevel estimations based on the Spratt and Lisiecki (2016), the uplift rates were estimated as 2.13 mm/year, 4.88 mm/year, and 6.74 mm/year.

### Table 2. Quartz OSL data and ages for marine terraces between Trabzon and Rize, Turkey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Terrace level</th>
<th>Terrace level</th>
<th>Overburden depth (m)</th>
<th>Water contenta (%)</th>
<th>Aliquot sizeb (mm)</th>
<th>Preheatc (°C)</th>
<th>$n^d$</th>
<th>OD$^e$ (%)</th>
<th>Equivalent dose, $D_e$(fGy)</th>
<th>Dose-rate (Gy/ka)</th>
<th>OSL age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR-3</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>4.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>18 (24)</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>57.7 ± 4.5g</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.04</td>
<td>52.4 ± 4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-1</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>9.5 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>21 (24)</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>54.0 ± 3.6h</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.04</td>
<td>60.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-7</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>17.1 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>31 (40)</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>66.8 ± 5.7i</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.19</td>
<td>32.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-8</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>16.5 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>35 (44)</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>91.4 ± 6.9j</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.10</td>
<td>33.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-5</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>8.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>54.3 ± 5.1k</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.10</td>
<td>22.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-6</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>5.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>19 (24)</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>44.4 ± 1.5l</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.07</td>
<td>27.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-2</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>10.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>17 (48)</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>53.2 ± 6.1m</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.09</td>
<td>24.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-4</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>12.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>10 (44)</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>39.6 ± 3.5n</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.06</td>
<td>26.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-12</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>11.2 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>17 (68)</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>41.3 ± 3.8o</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.09</td>
<td>20.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-13</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>9.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>41 (41)</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>46.8 ± 1.2p</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.11</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a For most samples estimates of field moisture determined when samples were collected was used, and in these instances the field and estimated saturation level were similar or consistent within the given uncertainty level. For samples TR-1 and TR-7 there was greater disparity between field and saturated values, and to account for this the estimated water content over the burial period for these samples was adjusted.
- b All quartz grains analyzed were 125–180 μm in size. Estimated numbers of grains are ~30 or ~260 on 1 mm or 3 mm aliquots, respectively.
- c Preheat chosen from dose recovery tests and used for $D_e$ replication; cutheat was 160 °C for all measurements.
- d Number of aliquots of $D_e$ measurement that passed threshold limits (recycling, recuperation) and used to estimate final $D_e$. Figures in parenthesis indicate total number of aliquots measured. For sample TR-9, recuperation threshold for accepted aliquots was set at 15%.
- e Over-dispersion in $D_e$ data.
- f Superscript ‘c’ indicates CAM result; superscript ‘m’ indicates MAM result. See text for details of results and discussion.
year and 3.96 mm/year, respectively, (Table 4). The uplift rate estimations show a gradual increase during a short time interval. In the Eastern Pontides, multiple normal faults displaced the deposits (Figure 6). Albeit these faults contribute to regional subsidence of the coastal region of Eastern Pontides, our results indicate a differential uplift along the coast.

5. Discussion
5.1. Chronology of marine terraces
Many marine terraces have been studied in the northeast region of the Black Sea and the Caspian Sea, where the active deformation is in progress. Especially Russia, Ukraine, and Baku region holds well-preserved marine
terraces that incorporates macro and micro fossil faunas (e.g., Nesmeyanov, 1995; Zubakov, 1998; Panin and Popescu, 2007; Yanina, 2012, 2013; Kurbanov et al., 2014; Zastrozhnov et al., 2020). However, these terraces were dated to Pleistocene to Holocene time interval, which indicate a discrepancy with respect to fossil faunas when compared to the eastern Black Sea terraces. As our chronology between 11.7 to 60 ka, these terraces attributed to MIS 1, MIS3a, and MIS3c (Figure 7).

Similarly, Choi et al. (2003a, 2003b) report broad clusters of ages with varying terrace altitude (50–70 ka and ca. 110 ka with 7–25 m.a.s.l for their terrace #2) in their SAR OSL dating of marine terraces in Korea. Choi et al. (2003a, 2003b) interpret the varying terrace altitude across their study area supportive of considerable tectonic activity in the Late Pleistocene. Moreover, Kurbanov et al. (2014) dated the marine terrace succession with a radiocarbon age of 12–14 ka in the east of the Caspian Sea. The terraces levels of the Russian coast dated with the radiocarbon method with the age of 30–40 ka (Zubakov, 1988). Also, Zubakov and Kochegura (1974) and Sudakova et al. (1977) dated with the thermoluminescence dating the marine terraces in the north of the Black Sea (Russia). Their age results ranging from 15 to 37 ka.

In addition to the Black sea region, marine terraces in Hatay along the Mediterranean coasts were dated using

### Table 3. Spatio-temporal positions of the terraces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrace</th>
<th>OSL ages- A (ka)</th>
<th>Shoreline angle elevation E ± ΔE (m)</th>
<th>Sediment thickness (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>52.4 ± 4.6</td>
<td>60 ± 4.7</td>
<td>56.2 ± 4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>33.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>25.5 ± 2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Actual terrace at the present shoreline angle, as observed in the field (see Figure 4b and text).

### Table 4. Marine isotope stages and uplift rate calculation of marine terraces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrace</th>
<th>Assigned MIS stage</th>
<th>Assigned MIS age ± ΔA (ka)</th>
<th>Shoreline angle elevation E ± ΔE (m)</th>
<th>Apparent uplift rate U = E/A (mm/year)</th>
<th>Eustatic estimations (m)</th>
<th>Uplift ranges U = E - e/A (mm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>55 ± 6</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.82</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.12</td>
<td>-63 19 14.7 ± 25.8 12.44 ± 3.97 5.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>28 ± 5</td>
<td>39 ± 3</td>
<td>1.83 ± 1.09</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.26</td>
<td>-92.5 10.5 14.5 6.70 ± 3.73 4.88 ± 7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 ± 5</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>3.40 ± 0.87</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.78</td>
<td>-24.6 14.7 25.8 13.44 ± 1.53 5.03 ± 4.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MIS letters and ages and defined by Siddal et al. (2006); Railsback et al. (2015). Eustatic estimations based on the Spratt and Lisiecki (2016) and positive and negative error (Δ) based on the bootstrapping results, 97.5% and 2.5% respectively.
ESR dating with ranged from 0.2 to 256 ka (Florentin et al., 2014). Similarly, in the same region, marine terraces were dated using the ESR dating between 8.3 and 214 ka (Tari et al., 2018). Tari et al. (2018) reported most of the dated terraces contained mollusks reworked from several earlier deposits due to later tectonic movements, sea-level fluctuations, and associated sedimentary processes. Also, Nalin et al. (2020) dated using the infrared-stimulated luminescence (IRSL) dating the marine terraces on the Mediterranean coast (southern Italy). Their IRSL ages range from 64 ka to >240 ka with varying terrace altitude (3.5–225 m.a.s.l).

Similarly, the SAR OSL ages presented here indicate such differential uplift for the coastal northeastern Pontides region where terraces have been displaced by normal faults (e.g., Softa et al., 2019) (see Figure 6). However, Keskin et al. (2011) reported ages of up to 416.2 ± 92.7 ka, 292.5 ± 49.8 ka and 124.8 ± 26.0 ka for our T1, T2 and T3 levels, respectively using ESR dating of gastropods. Albeit we collected 11 samples including Keskin et al’s (2011) sites, although we encountered few marine foraminifers during the micropaleontological analysis, we did not find any fossils suitable for amino acid racemization analysis and ESR dating. Ideally, macrofossil faunas such as shells are more common in marine terraces at the open systems and thus may be subject to postdepositional contamination that prevents their use as dating material (e.g., Ortlieb et al., 1992; Pedoja et al., 2006). Given the numerous intrinsic tests of the luminescence characteristics and detailed environmental radioactivity assessment, we would argue that the OSL indicates sedimentary depositional ages of ≤ 60 ka (Figure 7).

The Black sea shows marine conditions during MIS 1 and MIS 3 (e.g., Aksu et al., 2002), is contrary to the findings of Yanko-Hombach et al. (2007); Panin and Popescu (2007); Ryan et al. (2003); Yanchilina et al. (2017); Yanina (2014) and Krijgsman et al. (2019). The majority of these studies argued for lacustrine conditions during MIS 3 based on the radiocarbon dating of sediment cores. However, MIS 3 high stand is still under debate, the sea-level drop is estimated ranging from 10 to 40 m, as evidenced by marine terraces in the Caucasian and Romanian coast at the Black sea (Panin, 1983; Chepalyga, 1984; Panin and Popescu, 2007). In addition, some studies investigated the paleo-salinity rate of the Marmara Sea and the Black Sea to evaluate the connection times (e.g., Aksu et al., 2002; Soulet et al., 2010; Nowaczyk et al., 2012; Çağatay et al., 2015; Aloisi et al., 2015). Although CaCO$_3$, Cl$^-$ and

Figure 7. Correlation of marine terrace cycles with the Global and Black Sea level changes along with the Eastern Pontides (Plot adopted from Erturş, 2020, and the published chronology is compiled from Keskin et al., 2011). The yellow boxes reflecting variations in age and vertical positions (m.a.s.l), ages and positions of OSL samples and global relative sea-level curve (PC1, Spratt and Lisiecki, 2016) and Black Sea level curve (Panin and Popescu, 2006 and Yanchilina et al., 2017). Dashed black lines indicate extend of the ages attributed to a certain terrace level and green lines are used to correlate the proposed terraces to sea-level curves. Dashed horizontal line indicates ~35 m sill depth of the Bosphorus.
Ca measurements cannot be used directly determining paleo-salinity, these specific data can reflect characteristics of the water bodies (Yanchilina et al., 2017). Aloisi et al. (2015) stated that the Marmara Sea was not freshwater [Salinity = 4 psu (practical salinity unit)] during MIS 3. Moreover, as evidence of marine conditions during MIS 3, we have found a marine foraminifer in T2. Thus, it can be deduced that marine conditions prevailed in the Black Sea during MIS 3. But this finding is still needed to be verified by detailed paleontological studies that should define the characteristic of fossil faunas in the southeastern Black Sea coasts.

Keskin et al. (2011) recorded the MIS 5e, which was significant sea-level changes in the eastern Black Sea region. But we did not encounter MIS5e in the marine terraces. Considering our robust OSL chronology, this is possibly related to Keskin et al.'s (2011) ESR chronology. However, some studies observed MIS 5e in the closer region eastern Black sea such as Central Pontides and north of the Black Sea (Nesmeyenay, 1995; Zubakov, 1998; Panin and Popescu, 2007; Yildirim et al., 2013). This can be explained by four reasons; (i) tectonic factors may temporally were dominant, (ii) the anthropogenic erosion of marine terraces due to urbanization and agricultural activities, (iii) discrepancy in chronology dependent on the applied dating technique, and (iv) morphological evolution of the marine terraces in the coastal region of Eastern Pontides. We interpret that marine terrace evolution is mainly related to the tectonic process and rapid uplift.

5.2. Evaluation of uplift rates, vertical movement and seismic activity

To constrain uplift rate, we used the elevations of the dated marine terraces. According to our results the formation marine terraces was strongly associated with Quaternary regional uplift and sea-level changes. Uplift rates of the coastal Western, Central, and Eastern Pontides have previously been calculated by Ertuğraç (2020), Yıldırım et al. (2013), Berndt et al. (2018), Erhart and Kiyan (2017), Keskin (2007), and Keskin et al. (2011) from OSL and ESR ages of fluvial and marine terraces. From studies of raised fluvial and marine terraces in the Sakarya region, Sinop Peninsula, Samsun region, and Amasya region, more than 400km west of our study area, uplift rates 0.78 ± 0.03 mm/ year (Ertuğraç, 2020), between 0.04 ± 0.01 mm/year and 0.23 ± 0.04 mm/year (Yıldırım et al., 2013), 0.28 ± 0.07 mm/year (Berndt et al., 2018), and 0.94 ± 0.26 mm/year (Ertuğraç and Kiyan, 2017) were calculated. Except for these local uplift rate studies, Okay et al. (2020) stated that central Anatolia was uplifted less than 0.05 km/ Myr since 41 Ma in the light of the previously published papers, as well as new thermochronological data. From previous work in the Trabzon area, the mean uplift rates were calculated as 0.62 ± 0.01 mm/year to 1.34 ± 0.06 mm/year (Keskin, 2007) and 0.07 ± 0.05 mm/year to 0.17 ± 0.03 mm/year Keskin et al. (2011). Considering the high relief in the Eastern Pontides, these uplift rates are slightly lower than our own results. This eastward regional increase in uplift rates as a factor of two along the Black Sea coast may be explained not only by the distance from the NAF’s and NEAF’s main strand and strain accumulation along the coast (Berndt et al., 2018) but it also of the material used (mineral, fossils, etc.) for dating methods depend on whether related to postdepositional contamination and fluvial reworking or not. This differentiate uplift can be interpreted to be related to the asymmetrical uplifting along the NEAF, the KF, and BKF. Ideally, the higher uplift rates should be expected in the northeastern Pontides.

The cause of the higher uplift rates in the Eastern Pontides might be associated with global tectonic processes linked with a push up structure within the BSF in the sea and the BKF on land in conjunction with the NAF (e.g., Softa et al., 2018, 2019). In addition, the Kazbegi-Borjomi thrust fault is the part of NEAF, (e.g., Philip et al., 1989). Moreover, Altuntaş (2014) stated that the Eastern Pontides has been drifting northeasterly direction based on GNSS measurement, which is the biggest deformation values up to 6.2 mm on the north axis, and up to 17.4 mm on the east axis between Trabzon and Gümüşhane. This GNSS data is consistent with our proposed uplift model.

According to our field studies, the marine terraces have displaced at least 50 m by the Southeast Black Sea Fault. In the light of geomorphic data, field evidence and our OSL chronology, we suggest that the Southeast Black Sea Fault is active. However, the earthquakes were generated by this fault is still under discussion. This displacements can be caused by more than one large earthquake and/or continuously aseismic creeping along the fault surfaces. As the Southeast Black Sea Fault is a normal fault, we expect that earthquakes related to normal fault mechanism. But we did not identify any earthquake related to normal fault among the documented over the fifty earthquakes with magnitude higher than 4 between 1900 and 20201. Given the tectonic structure of the Eastern Pontides, a plausible scenario is that the unidentified earthquakes related to Southeast Black Sea Fault is probably responsible for the aseismic creeping (Softa et al., 2019; Nas et al., 2020). Although aseismic creep is more frequently observed on strike-slip faults (Schulz et al., 1982; Sieh and Williams, 1990; Karabacak et al., 2011) such as San Andreas Fault Zone and North Anatolian Fault Zone, this phenomenon is also reported for normal faults (Hreinsdóttir and Bennett, 2009; Özkaymak et al., 2019). In addition, Maden and Özتورk (2015) stated that the lowest b values were

obtained among the NAF, the eastern Anatolia, and Black Sea. These data confirm the higher strain and higher uplift rate within the area. In brief, Keskin et al.’s (2011) study and our study are pioneers in reconstructing the chronology of the marine terraces in the Eastern Pontides and more studies needed to refine the existing knowledge.

6. Conclusion
We have examined sediments from a sequence of emerged marine terraces that are located on the coastal region of the Eastern Pontides, on the push-up system, between the Black Sea Fault and the Borjomi-Kazbegi Fault. The OSL samples were collected from 3 marine terraces which have heights ranging from 3 to 60 m. These deposits have displaced by an active normal faults. Luminescence behavior was mixed with 5 of the 11 samples failing recycling and recuperation test thresholds, resulting in limited numbers of accepted $D_e$ values for these samples. Three different terrace sedimentation periods have been determined, one at 11.7 ka, one between 16.8 to 32.8 ka and the last one at 52.4 to 60 ka.

Based on the stratigraphy and the geomorphology of the study area, marine terraces of currently equal altitude are of the same age. Our result is consistent with the usual evolutionary model of a terraced sequence, moving from the highest to the lowest elevation. Based on the obtained OSL ages, we correlate each terrace level with the marine isotope stage/substage. The terraces are assigned to MIS 3c, MIS 3a and MIS 1. While the measured Late Quaternary apparent uplift rate ranges from 0.9 mm/year to 1.5 mm/year, after the eustatic sea-level estimations, the surface uplift rate ranges from 2.13 mm/year to 4.88 mm/year and is probably related to tectonic processes. These tectonic processes might be associated with tectonic push up deformation between the Black Sea Fault and the Kazbegi-Borjomi thrust faults. Moreover, movements of these faults have accommodated higher uplift rates over the Late Quaternary.
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