

1-1-2022

Upper and lower bounds of the $\$A\$$ -Berezin number of operators

MUALLA BİRGÜL HUBAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

HUBAN, MUALLA BİRGÜL (2022) "Upper and lower bounds of the $\$A\$$ -Berezin number of operators," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 46: No. 1, Article 15. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2108-90>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol46/iss1/15>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Upper and lower bounds of the A -Berezin number of operators

Mualla Birgül HUBAN*^{ORCID}
 Isparta University of Applied Sciences, Isparta, Turkey

Received: 19.08.2021

Accepted/Published Online: 06.12.2021

Final Version: 19.01.2022

Abstract: Let A be a positive bounded linear operator acting on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Any positive operator A induces a semiinner product on \mathcal{H} defined by $\langle x, y \rangle_A := \langle Ax, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$, its A -Berezin symbol \tilde{T}^A is defined on Ω by $\tilde{T}^A := \left\langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A$, $\lambda \in \Omega$, where \hat{K}_λ is the normalized reproducing kernel of \mathcal{H} . In this paper, we introduce the notions (A, r) -adjoint of operators and A -Berezin number of operators on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space and prove some upper and lower bounds of the A -Berezin numbers of operators. In particular, we show that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A\text{-Ber}} \leq \max \left\{ |\sin|_A T, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right\} \text{ber}_A(T) \leq \text{ber}_A(T),$$

where $|\sin|_A T$ denotes the A -sinus of angle of T .

Key words: Reproducing kernel Hilbert space, Berezin symbol, Berezin number, A -Berezin number, positive operator, semiinner product

1. Introduction

Now, we give some necessary definitions for the main results and we give some proof using inequalities.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ stand for the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called self-adjoint if $A^* = A$, where A^* denotes the adjoint of A . It is easy to see that an operator A is self-adjoint if and only if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R} := (-\infty, \infty)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called positive if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Recall that a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (shortly, RKHS) is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ consisting of complex-valued functions on some set Ω such that the evaluation functionals $\varphi_\lambda(f) = f(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Omega$, are continuous on \mathcal{H} and for any $\lambda \in \Omega$ there exists f_λ such that $f_\lambda(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then by the Riesz representation theorem for each $\lambda \in \Omega$ there exists a unique function $K_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$f(\lambda) = \langle f, K_\lambda \rangle \tag{1.1}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. The collection $\{K_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$ is called the reproducing kernel of the space \mathcal{H} . We say that the reproducing kernel Hilbert space admits the Ber-property (in this case we will write $\mathcal{H} \in (\text{Ber})$), if for any bounded linear operator A on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, $\tilde{A}(\lambda) = 0$, $\forall \lambda \in \Omega$, implies that $A = 0$, i.e. for the Berezin symbols

*Correspondence: muallahuban@isparta.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A30, 47A63, 47B35

of operators on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ the uniqueness theorem holds, (i.e. the corresponding Berezin transform is injective). In particular, the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, where $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is the unit disc in \mathbb{C} , the Bergman space $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}^2(\mathbb{D})$ and the Fock space $F(\mathbb{D})$ are RKHSs with the property (Ber). A detailed presentation of the theory of RKHSs is given, for instance, in Aronszajn [4], Saitoh [25] and Halmos [18].

For any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, its Berezin symbol \tilde{A} is defined on Ω by (see Berezin [8])

$$\tilde{A}(\lambda) := \left\langle A\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle, \lambda \in \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\hat{K}_\lambda = \frac{K_\lambda}{\|K_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{H}}}$ is the normalized reproducing kernel of \mathcal{H} and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is taken with respect to the the RKHS \mathcal{H} . The Berezin norm, Berezin set and Berezin number of the operator A are defined respectively by

$$\|A\|_{\text{Ber}} := \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left\| A\hat{K}_\lambda \right\| \tag{1.3}$$

$$\text{Ber}(A) := \text{Range}(\tilde{A}) = \left\{ \tilde{A}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega \right\} \tag{1.4}$$

$$\text{ber}(A) := \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left| \tilde{A}(\lambda) \right|. \tag{1.5}$$

It is clear that $\text{ber}(A) \leq \|A\|_{\text{Ber}} \leq \|A\|$, $\text{Ber}(A) \subset W(A)$ and $\text{ber}(A) \leq w(A)$, where

$$W(A) := \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \|x\| = 1 \} \tag{1.6}$$

is the numerical range of the operator A and

$$w(A) := \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| \tag{1.7}$$

is its numerical radius (for more facts about reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces and Berezin symbol, see, Aronszajn [4], Berezin [8] and Karaev [21]).

For more material about the numerical radius and other results on numerical radius inequality, see, e.g., [1, 10, 17, 22, 23, 29], and the references therein.

The null space of every operator T is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(T)$, its range by $\mathcal{R}(T)$, and adjoint of T by T^* . If S is a linear subspace of \mathcal{H} , then \bar{S} stands for its closure in the norm topology of \mathcal{H} . An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called positive, denoted by $A \geq 0$, if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the absolute value of T , denoted by $|T|$, is defined as $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$. Throughout the article, A denotes a nonzero positive operator on \mathcal{H} . Notice that any positive operator A induces a semiinner product on \mathcal{H} defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_A := \langle Ax, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The seminorm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ is given by $\|x\|_A = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_A} = \|A^{1/2}x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

It is easy to check that $\|\cdot\|_A$ is norm if and only if A is injective and that the seminormed space $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_A)$ is complete if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} = \mathcal{R}(A)$.

Definition 1.1 For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the A -Berezin set of $\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A$ is defined by

$$\text{Ber}_A(T) := \left\{ \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A : \lambda \in \Omega \right\}.$$

It should be mentioned that $\text{Ber}_A(T)$ is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{C} and it is in general not closed even if \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional.

Definition 1.2 (i) A -Berezin symbol (also called A -Berezin transform) \widetilde{T}^A is defined on Ω by

$$\widetilde{T}^A(\lambda) := \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \quad (\lambda \in \Omega),$$

(ii) The supremum modulus of $\text{Ber}_A(T)$, denoted by $\text{ber}_A(T)$, is called the A -Berezin number of T , i.e.

$$\text{ber}_A(T) := \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|,$$

(iii) A -Berezin norm of operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$ is defined by

$$\|A\|_{A\text{-Ber}} := \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \|AT\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

If $A = I$, we get the Berezin number. So, this new concept generalizes the Berezin number of reproducing kernel Hilbert space operators and the Berezin norm of operators which have recently attracted the attention of many authors (see, for instance [5, 7, 13–16, 26–28]).

Definition 1.3 ([11]) Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. An operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called an A -adjoint of T if for every $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$, identity $\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A = \langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, S\widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A$ holds.

Definition 1.4 Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$. An operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$ is called (A, r) -adjoint of T if for every $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$, the identity $\langle T\widehat{K}_{\mathcal{H}, \lambda}, \widehat{K}_{\mathcal{H}, \mu} \rangle_A = \langle \widehat{K}_{\mathcal{H}, \lambda}, S\widehat{K}_{\mathcal{H}, \mu} \rangle_A$ holds.

Following [11, 12], observe that the existence of an A -adjoint of T is equivalent to the existence of a solution of the equation $AX = T^*A$. This kind of equation can be studied by using a well-known theorem due to Douglas [9] (for a survey of the recent results on this theorem, the readers can consult in Moslehian, Kian and Xu [24]). Briefly, Douglas theorem says that the operator equation $TX = S$ has a bounded linear solution X if and only if $\mathcal{R}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T)$; moreover, among its many solutions it has only one, denoted by Q , which satisfies $\mathcal{R}(Q) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$. Such Q is called the reduced solution or Douglas solution of $TX = S$. The set of all operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ admitting A -adjoint is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. By Douglas theorem, it holds that

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{R}(T^*A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)\}.$$

Further, the set of all operators admitting $A^{1/2}$ -adjoints is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$. Again, by applying Douglas theorem, we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \exists \lambda > 0, \|Tx\|_A \leq \lambda \|x\|_A, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Operators in $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ are called A -bounded.

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, the reduced solution (or Douglas solution) of the equation $AX = T^*A$ is a distinguished A -adjoint operator of T , which is denoted by T^{*A} . We observe that

$$T^{*A} = A^\dagger T^* A,$$

where A^\dagger is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A (see [2, 3]). It is well-known that the operator T^{*A} satisfies

$$AT^{*A} = T^*A, \mathcal{R}(T^{*A}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T^{*A}) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Also, note that if $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then $T^{*A} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $(T^{*A})^{*A} = P_A T P_A$, where P_A denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$. Moreover, if $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then $\|T^{*A}\| = \|T\|_A$. For more results and proofs related to this class of operators, the reader can consult in [2, 3] and their references.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be A -selfadjoint if AT is selfadjoint, that is, $AT = T^*A$. In addition, an operator T is called A -positive if $AT \geq 0$ and we write $T \geq_A 0$.

For the sequel, the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{R}(A^{1/2}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}(A^{1/2})})$ will be simply denoted by $\mathbb{R}(A^{1/2})$.

Using these notions, in the study in [30], the author investigated upper and lower bounds of the A -numerical radius of operators.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, inspired by the A -numerical radius inequalities of bounded linear operators in the study in [30] and by using (A, r) -adjoint of operators, we state a useful characterization of the A -Berezin number and A -Berezin norm for $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ as follows :

$$\text{ber}_A(T) = \sup_{x^2+y^2=1} \|x\mathcal{R}_A(T) + y\zeta_A(T)\|_{A\text{-Ber}}.$$

In particular, for $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ we prove that

$$\text{ber}_A(T) = \|T\|_{A\text{-Ber}},$$

$$\text{ber}_A(T) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A\text{-ber}}} \leq \|T\|_{A\text{-Ber}},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A\text{-Ber}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A\text{-Ber}} + 2\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_A(T^2)} \leq \text{ber}_A(T)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A\text{-Ber}} \leq \max \left\{ \left| \sin|_A T, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right\} \text{ber}_A(T) \leq \text{ber}_A(T) \right\}.$$

Our results generalize recent Berezin number inequalities of bounded linear operators thanks to Bařaran and Grdal [6] and Huban et al. [19, 20].

2. Main results

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel K_λ . It is natural to define the A -Berezin symbol of operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$ by the formula

$$\tilde{T}^A(\lambda) := \left\langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A = \left\langle AT\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle, \lambda \in \Omega.$$

We denote the set of all operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$ admitting (A, r) -adjoints by $\mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$.

Throughout this section, for an arbitrary operator $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$, we write

$$\mathcal{R}_A(T) := \frac{T + T^{*A}}{2} \text{ and } \zeta_A(T) := \frac{T - T^{*A}}{2i}.$$

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$, its Crawford number $c_A(T)$ is defined by

$$c_A(T) := \inf \{ |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \}$$

(see [30]). We also introduce the number $\tilde{c}_A(T) := \inf_{\lambda \in \Omega} |\tilde{T}^A(\lambda)|$. It is clear that

$$c_A(T) \leq \tilde{c}_A(T) \leq \text{ber}_A(T).$$

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$, let us recall the abbreviated notion

$$|\cos|_A T := \inf \left\{ \frac{|\langle Tx, x \rangle|}{\|Tx\|_A \|x\|_A} : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|Tx\|_A \|x\|_A \neq 0 \right\}$$

and

$$|\sin|_A T := \sqrt{1 - |\cos|_A^2 T}.$$

Our first result proves inequalities between $\|T\|_{A-\text{ber}}$ and $\text{ber}_A(T)$ with A in $\mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ be an (A, r) -selfadjoint operator. Then*

$$\text{ber}_A(T) = \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}.$$

Proof Let $\lambda \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$|\tilde{T}^A| = \left| \left\langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| \leq \|T\hat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \|\hat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}}$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left| \left\langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \|T\hat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}}$$

and hence,

$$\text{ber}_A(T) \leq \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}.$$

In addition, since T is an (A, r) -selfadjoint operator, for every $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$, we have

$$\left\langle T(\hat{K}_\lambda + \hat{K}_\mu), \hat{K}_\lambda + \hat{K}_\mu \right\rangle_A = \left\langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A + 2 \text{Re} \left\langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\mu \right\rangle_A + \left\langle T\hat{K}_\mu, \hat{K}_\mu \right\rangle_A$$

and

$$\langle T(\widehat{K}_\lambda - \widehat{K}_\mu), \widehat{K}_\lambda - \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A = \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A - 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A + \langle T\widehat{K}_\mu, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A.$$

Consequently, we conclude

$$4 \operatorname{Re} \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A = \langle T(\widehat{K}_\lambda + \widehat{K}_\mu), \widehat{K}_\lambda + \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A - \langle T(\widehat{K}_\lambda - \widehat{K}_\mu), \widehat{K}_\lambda - \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A.$$

So, we attain

$$4 \left| \operatorname{Re} \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A \right| \leq \operatorname{ber}_A(T) \left(\|\widehat{K}_\lambda + \widehat{K}_\mu\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 + \|\widehat{K}_\lambda - \widehat{K}_\mu\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 \right).$$

Then this parallelogram law as observed by that:

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A \right| \leq \frac{\operatorname{ber}_A(T)}{4} \left(2 \|\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 + 2 \|\widehat{K}_\mu\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 \right) = \operatorname{ber}_A(T). \quad (2.1)$$

Now, contemplate the polar decomposition $\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A = e^{i\theta} \left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A \right|$ with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. In (2.1) by replacing \widehat{K}_μ by $e^{i\theta} \widehat{K}_\mu$, we get

$$\left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A \right| = \operatorname{Re} \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, e^{i\theta} \widehat{K}_\mu \rangle_A \leq \operatorname{ber}_A(T).$$

By taking the supremum over $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$ above inequality, we have

$$\|T\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}} \leq \operatorname{ber}_A(T).$$

This finalizes the proof. □

Corollary 2.2 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, for $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$0 \leq \|T\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \operatorname{ber}_A^2(T) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \|T - \gamma I\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \widetilde{c}_A^2(T - \gamma I) \right\}.$$

Proof Let $\lambda \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. An elementary calculus shows that

$$\|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 = \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda - \gamma \widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda - \gamma \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 &\leq \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \inf_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 \\ &= \|T - \gamma I\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \widetilde{c}_A^2(T - \gamma I). \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly

$$\|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \|T - \gamma I\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \widetilde{c}_A^2(T - \gamma I) \right\}$$

and by taking supremum over $\lambda \in \Omega$,

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left(\|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \left| \langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 \right) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \|T - \gamma I\|_{A-\operatorname{Ber}}^2 - \widetilde{c}_A^2(T - \gamma I) \right\}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\|T\|_{A\text{-Ber}}^2 - \text{ber}_A^2(T) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \|T - \gamma I\|_{A\text{-Ber}}^2 - \tilde{c}_A^2(T - \gamma I) \right\}$$

as required. □

Lemma 2.3 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$. For every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\text{ber}_A \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right) = \left\| \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}}.$$

Proof Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $\left(\frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} \right)^{*A} = \frac{((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A} + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2}$. By applying the property $AT^{*A} = T^*A$, then we can reach the following easily.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \right\rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle A \left(\frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} \right) \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(A \frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} + A \frac{((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} \right) \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} A \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^*}{2} A \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(\frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} \right)^{*A} A \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\mu \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle A \widehat{K}_\lambda, \left(\frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} \right) \widehat{K}_\mu \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$. This indicates that $\frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2}$ is an (A, r) -selfadjoint operator. So by Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\text{ber}_A \left(\frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} \right) = \left\| \frac{(e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $\text{ber}_A(\mathcal{E}^{*A}) = \text{ber}_A(\mathcal{E})$ and $\|\mathcal{E}^{*A}\|_{A-\text{Ber}} = \|\mathcal{E}\|_{A-\text{Ber}}$ for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$, from (2.2) it follows that

$$\text{ber}_A\left(\frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2}\right) = \left\|\frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2}\right\|_{A-\text{Ber}}.$$

□

Now, we explain the third lemma which will be applied to prove Theorem 2.5.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right)^{\sim A}(\lambda) \right| = |\tilde{T}^A(\lambda)|. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| &= \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{i\theta} \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A + e^{-i\theta} \langle T^{*A} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| e^{i\theta} \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A + e^{-i\theta} \langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, T \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| = \left| \text{Re} \left(e^{i\theta} \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right) \right|. \tag{2.4}$$

Now, it is observed that

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| \leq \left| \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|,$$

whence

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right)^{\sim A}(\lambda) \right| \leq |\tilde{T}^A(\lambda)|. \tag{2.5}$$

Now, if $\left| \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right| = 0$, the result becomes clear. Suppose that $\left| \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right| \neq 0$. Then we state

$e^{i\theta_0} = \frac{\langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, T \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A}{\left| \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|}$. Therefore, by (2.4), we find

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{e^{i\theta_0}T + (e^{i\theta_0}T)^{*A}}{2} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| = \left| \text{Re} \left(e^{i\theta_0} \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right) \right| = \left| \langle T \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|. \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) it follows that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right)^{\sim A}(\lambda) \right| = |\tilde{T}^A(\lambda)|.$$

□

It is time to explain a useful characterization of the A -Berezin number for semi-Hilbertian space operators.

Theorem 2.5 Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then

$$\text{ber}_A(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}}.$$

Proof Let \widehat{K}_λ be a reproducing kernel of space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 2.3 it follows that

$$\text{ber}_A\left(\frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2}\right) = \left\| \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 we come to this conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}} &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \text{ber}_A\left(\frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2}\right) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left| \left\langle \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| \\ &\quad \text{(by the equation (2.3))} \\ &= \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left| \widetilde{T}^A(\lambda) \right|_A = \text{ber}_A(T). \end{aligned}$$

□

We introduce one of the main results of this section.

Theorem 2.6 Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$. Then for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\text{ber}_A(T) = \sup_{x^2+y^2=1} \|x\mathcal{R}_A(T) + y\zeta_A(T)\|_{A\text{-Ber}}.$$

Proof Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Put $x = \cos \theta$ and $y = -\sin \theta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} &= \frac{(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)T + (\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)T^{*A}}{2} \\ &= x \frac{T + T^{*A}}{2} + y \frac{T - T^{*A}}{2i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}} = \sup_{x^2+y^2=1} \left\| x \frac{T + T^{*A}}{2} + y \frac{T - T^{*A}}{2i} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}}$$

and hence, by Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$\text{ber}_A(T) = \sup_{x^2+y^2=1} \left\| x \frac{T + T^{*A}}{2} + y \frac{T - T^{*A}}{2i} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}}.$$

□

By establishing $(x, y) = (1, 0)$ and $(x, y) = (0, 1)$ in Theorem 2.6, the following result is achieved.

Corollary 2.7 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\max \left\{ \left\| \frac{T + T^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}}, \left\| \frac{T - T^{*A}}{2i} \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \right\} \leq \text{ber}_A(T).$$

Another consequence of Theorem 2.6 is shown as this:

Corollary 2.8 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq \text{ber}_A(T) \leq \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof Let $\lambda \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$|\tilde{T}^A| = \left| \langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right| \leq \|T\hat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \|\hat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}}.$$

By taking the supremum over $\lambda \in \Omega$ above inequality, we have

$$\text{ber}_A(T) \leq \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}.$$

Hence, by using Corollary 2.7, we get

$$T = \frac{T + T^{*A}}{2} - \frac{T^{*A}}{2} = \frac{T + T^{*A}}{2} + i \frac{T - T^{*A}}{2i}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} &\leq \left\| \frac{T + T^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + \left\| \frac{T - T^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \\ &\leq 2\text{ber}_A(T). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have $\|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq 2\text{ber}_A(T)$. □

We improve the second inequality in (2.7) in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then*

$$\text{ber}_A(T) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{ber}}} \leq \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}.$$

Proof Put $P := \frac{T^{*A} + (T^{*A})^{*A}}{2}$ and $Q := \frac{T^{*A} - (T^{*A})^{*A}}{2i}$. Then $T^{*A} = P + iQ$. Also, an elementary calculus shows that

$$P^2 + Q^2 = \frac{(T^{*A})^{*A}T^{*A} + T^{*A}(T^{*A})^{*A}}{2} = \left(\frac{TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T}{2} \right)^{*A}.$$

Since $\|\mathcal{E}^{*A}\|_{A-\text{Ber}} = \|\mathcal{E}\|_{A-\text{Ber}}$ for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$, hence

$$\|P^2 + Q^2\|_{A-\text{Ber}} = \frac{1}{2} \|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Now, let $\lambda \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, T\widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 &= \left| \langle T^{*A}\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 \\
 &= \langle (P + iQ)\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, (P + iQ)\widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \\
 &= \left(\langle P\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A + i \langle Q\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right) \left(\langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, P\widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A - i \langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, Q\widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right) \\
 &= \left| \langle P\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 + \left| \langle Q\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 \\
 &\leq \langle P\widehat{K}_\lambda, P\widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A + \langle Q\widehat{K}_\lambda, Q\widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \\
 &\text{(by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)} \\
 &= \langle P^2\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A + \langle Q^2\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \\
 &\text{(since } P^{*A} = P \text{ and } Q^{*A} = Q) \\
 &= \langle (P^2 + Q^2)\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \langle (TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T)^{*A}\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \\
 &\text{(by the inequality (2.8)).}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left| \langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, T\widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \langle (TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T)\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A,$$

or equivalently,

$$\text{ber}_A(T) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{ber}}}. \tag{2.9}$$

In addition, since $\|TT^{*A}\|_{A-\text{Ber}} = \|T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} = \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2$, by the triangle inequality we reach

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A}\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + \|T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} = \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \leq \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}. \tag{2.10}$$

By the inequalities (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$\text{ber}_A(T) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \leq \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}$$

as required. □

We express another improvement of the second inequality in (2.8).

Theorem 2.10 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\text{ber}_A(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^*A + T^*AT\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + 2\text{ber}_A(T^2)} \leq \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}.$$

Proof Since $\|\mathcal{E}^{*A}\|_{A-\text{Ber}} = \|\mathcal{E}\|_{A-\text{Ber}}$ and $\|\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}^{*A}\|_{A-\text{Ber}} = \|\mathcal{E}\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2$ for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$, by Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ber}_A(T) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A} \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\left\| (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A} \left(((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A} + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} \right) \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\left\| T^*A(T^*A)^{*A} + (T^*A)^{*A}T^*A + ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^2 + \left(((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A} \right)^2 \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\left\| T^*A(T^*A)^{*A} + (T^*A)^{*A}T^*A \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + \left\| ((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^2 + \left(((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A})^{*A} \right)^2 \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\|TT^*A + T^*AT\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + 2 \left\| \frac{e^{2i\theta}T^2 + (e^{2i\theta}T^2)^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^*A + T^*AT\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + 2 \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \frac{e^{2i\theta}T^2 + (e^{2i\theta}T^2)^{*A}}{2} \right\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^*A + T^*AT\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + 2\text{ber}_A(T^2)} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.5}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^*A\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + \|T^*AT\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + 2\text{ber}_A(T^2)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2 + \text{ber}_A(T^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2 + \|T^2\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.8}) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2 + \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2} = \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired inequalities. □

We achieved an improvement of the first inequality in (2.7) in the theorem below.

Theorem 2.11 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A\text{-Ber}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A\text{-Ber}} + 2\tilde{c}_A(T^2)} \leq \text{ber}_A(T).$$

Proof Let $\lambda \in \Omega$ be an arbitrary. Suppose that $\left| \left\langle T^{*A}T^{*A}\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| = e^{-2i\theta} \left\langle T^{*A}T^{*A}\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A$ for some real number θ . Since $\|\mathcal{E}^{*A}\|_{A\text{-Ber}} = \|\mathcal{E}\|_{A\text{-Ber}}$ and $\|\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}^{*A}\|_{A\text{-Ber}} = \|\mathcal{R}\|_{A\text{-Ber}}^2$ for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{2i\theta} \left\langle (T^{*A})^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \\ &= e^{-2i\theta} \overline{\left\langle T^{*A}T^{*A}\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A} = \left| \left\langle T^{*A}T^{*A}\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| = \left| \left\langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, T^2\widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$e^{-2i\theta} \left\langle T^{*A}T^{*A}\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A = \left| \left\langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, T^2\widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| = e^{2i\theta} \left\langle (T^{*A})^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A. \quad (2.11)$$

So, by Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\text{ber}_A^2(T) \\ & \geq \left\| e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}}^2 \\ &= \left\| (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + \left((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} \right)^{*A} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}}^2 \\ &= \left\| (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + \left((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} \right)^{*A} \left((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} + \left((e^{i\theta}T)^{*A} \right)^{*A} \right)^{*A} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}} \\ &= \left\| T^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} + (T^{*A})^{*A} T^{*A} + e^{-2i\theta} T^{*A} T^{*A} + e^{2i\theta} (T^{*A})^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} \right\|_{A\text{-Ber}} \\ & \geq \left\langle T^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} + (T^{*A})^{*A} T^{*A} + e^{-2i\theta} T^{*A} T^{*A} + e^{2i\theta} (T^{*A})^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle \left(T^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} + (T^{*A})^{*A} T^{*A} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right) \right\rangle_A \\ & \quad + e^{-2i\theta} \left\langle T^{*A} T^{*A} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A + e^{2i\theta} \left\langle (T^{*A})^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle \left(T^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} + (T^{*A})^{*A} T^{*A} \right) \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A + 2 \left| \left\langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, T^2\widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| \\ & \text{(by inequality (2.11))} \\ & \geq \left\langle \left(T^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} + (T^{*A})^{*A} T^{*A} \right) \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A + 2 \inf_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left| \left\langle \widehat{K}_\lambda, T^2\widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A \right| \\ &= \left\langle \left(T^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} + (T^{*A})^{*A} T^{*A} \right) \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A + 2\tilde{c}_A(T^2). \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left\langle \left(T^{*A} (T^{*A})^{*A} + (T^{*A})^{*A} T^{*A} \right) \widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \right\rangle_A + 2\tilde{c}_A(T^2)} \leq \text{ber}_A(T).$$

Taking the supremum over $\lambda \in \Omega$ in the above inequality we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{ber}} + 2\tilde{c}_A(T^2)} \leq \text{ber}_A(T). \tag{2.12}$$

Furthermore, since $T^{*A}T$ is an A -positive operator, from $\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \geq \|TT^{*A}\|_{A-\text{Ber}} = \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2$ it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{ber}} + 2\tilde{c}_A(T^2)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Now, by (2.12) and (2.13) we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{*A} + T^{*A}T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + 2\tilde{c}_A(T^2)} \leq \text{ber}_A(T).$$

□

Another improvement of the first inequality in (2.7) is stated.

Theorem 2.12 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{ber}_A^2(T)}{2} + \frac{\text{ber}_A(T)}{2} \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - \tilde{c}_A^2(T)}} \leq \text{ber}_A(T).$$

Proof Clearly, $\sqrt{\frac{\text{ber}_A^2(T)}{2} + \frac{\text{ber}_A(T)}{2} \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - \tilde{c}_A^2(T)}} \leq \text{ber}_A(T)$. Now, let $\lambda \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. Suppose that $\left| \langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right| = e^{i\theta} \langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A$ for some real number θ . Put $P := \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2}$ and $Q := \frac{e^{i\theta}T - (e^{i\theta}T)^{*A}}{2i}$. Then $P + iQ = e^{i\theta}T$ and

$$\langle P\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A + i \langle Q\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A = e^{i\theta} \langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A = \left| \langle T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \right| \geq 0.$$

It follows from $\langle Q\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A = \text{Im} \langle e^{i\theta}T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{i\theta}T\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A &= \langle P\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \\ \text{since } \langle Q\hat{K}_\lambda, \hat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\|e^{i\theta}T\widehat{K}_\lambda - \langle e^{i\theta}T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2 + |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\|P\widehat{K}_\lambda - \langle P\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \widehat{K}_\lambda + i \langle Q\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2 + |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

since $\langle Q\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\|P\widehat{K}_\lambda - \langle P\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A \widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}} + \|Q\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \right)^2 + |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\sqrt{\|P\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 - |\langle P\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2} + \|Q\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \right)^2 + |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\sqrt{\|P\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 - |\langle e^{i\theta}T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2} + \|Q\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \right)^2 + |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

since $\langle P\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A = \langle e^{i\theta}T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\sqrt{\|P\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2 - |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2} + \|Q\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \right)^2 + |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2} + \text{ber}_A(T) \right)^2 + |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

(since $\|P\|_{A-\text{Ber}}, \|Q\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq \text{ber}_A(e^{i\theta}T) = \text{ber}_A(T)$)

$$= \frac{\text{ber}_A^2(T)}{2} + \frac{\text{ber}_A(T)}{2} \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{ber}_A^2(T)}{2} + \frac{\text{ber}_A(T)}{2} \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - \inf_{\lambda \in \Omega} |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2}}, \tag{2.14}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{ber}_A^2(T)}{2} + \frac{\text{ber}_A(T)}{2} \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - \tilde{c}_A^2(T)}}.$$

Taking the supremum over $\lambda \in \Omega$ in the above inequality we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{ber}_A^2(T)}{2} + \frac{\text{ber}_A(T)}{2} \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - \tilde{c}_A^2(T)}}.$$

□

A considerable improvement of the first inequality in (2.7) is said in the last theorem.

Theorem 2.13 *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A,r}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq \max \left\{ |\sin|_A T, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right\} \text{ber}_A(T) \leq \text{ber}_A(T).$$

Proof Obviously,

$$\max \left\{ |\sin|_A T, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right\} \text{ber}_A(T) \leq \text{ber}_A(T).$$

Furthermore, by (2.14) we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{ber}_A^2(T) + \text{ber}_A(T)}{2} \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - |\langle T\widehat{K}_\lambda, \widehat{K}_\lambda \rangle_A|^2}}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \leq \sqrt{\frac{\text{ber}_A^2(T) + \text{ber}_A(T)}{2} \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 |\cos|_A^2 T}}.$$

From this it follows that

$$\|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 - 2\text{ber}_A^2(T) \leq 2\text{ber}_A(T) \sqrt{\text{ber}_A^2(T) - \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 |\cos|_A^2 T} \tag{2.15}$$

We contemplate two cases.

Case1. $\|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 - 2\text{ber}_A^2(T) \leq 0$. Then we reach that $\|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \leq \sqrt{2}\text{ber}_A(T)$ and so

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \text{ber}_A(T). \tag{2.16}$$

Case 2. $\|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 - 2\text{ber}_A^2(T) > 0$. By (2.15) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^4 - 4 \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2 \text{ber}_A^2(T) + 4\text{ber}_A^4(T) \\ & \leq 4\text{ber}_A^4(T) - 4\text{ber}_A^2(T) \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_{A-\text{Ber}}^2 |\cos|_A^2 T. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A^2 \leq 4 \left(1 - |\cos|_A^2 T\right) \text{ber}_A^2(T).$$

This yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\widehat{K}_\lambda\|_A \leq |\sin|_A T \text{ber}_A(T),$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A-\text{Ber}} \leq |\sin|_A T \text{ber}_A(T). \tag{2.17}$$

Now, by (2.16) and (2.17) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_{A\text{-Ber}} \leq \max \left\{ |\sin|_A T, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right\} \text{ber}_A(T).$$

□

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referees for valuable comments and fruitful suggestions which enhanced the readability of the paper.

References

- [1] Abu-Omar A, Kittaneh F. Upper and lower bounds for the numerical radius with an application to involution operators. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* 2015; 45 (4): 1055-1064.
- [2] Arias ML, Corach G, Gonzales MC. Partial isometric in semi-Hilbertian spaces. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 2008; 428 (7): 1460-1475.
- [3] Arias ML, Corach G, Gonzales MC. Metric properties of projection in semi-Hilbertian spaces. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* 2008; 62: 11-28.
- [4] Aronszajn N. Theory of reproducing kernels. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 1950; 68: 337-404.
- [5] Bakherad M. Some Berezin number inequalities for operator matrices. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* 2018; 68: 997-1009.
- [6] Başaran, H, Gürdal M. Berezin number inequalities via inequality. *Honam Mathematical Journal* 2021; 43 (3): 523-537.
- [7] Başaran H, Gürdal M, Günçan AN. Some operator inequalities associated with Kantorovich and Hölder-McCarthy inequalities and their applications. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* 2019; 43 (1): 523-532.
- [8] Berezin FA. Covariant and contravariant symbols for operators. *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk Seriya Matematicheskaya* 1972; 36 (5): 1134-1167.
- [9] Dragomir SS. On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert spaces. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 1966; 17: 413-416.
- [10] El-Haddad M, Kittaneh F. Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators II. *Studia Mathematica* 2007; 182 (2): 133-140.
- [11] Feki K. A note on the A -numerical radius of operators in semi-Hilbert spaces. *Archiv der Mathematik* 2020; 115: 535-544.
- [12] Feki K. Spectral radius of Semi-Hilbertian space operators and its applications. *Annals of Functional Analysis* 2020; 11: 926-946.
- [13] Garayev MT, Alomari MW. Inequalities for the Berezin number of operators and related questions. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory* 2021; 15: 30: 1-30. doi.org/10.1007/s11785-021-01078-7
- [14] Garayev MT, Gürdal M, Okudan A. Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and power inequalities for Berezin numbers of operators. *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications* 2016; 19 (3): 883-891.
- [15] Garayev MT, Gürdal M, Saltan S. Hardy type inequality for reproducing kernel Hilbert space operators and related problems. *Positivity* 2017; 21 (4): 1615-1623.
- [16] Garayev M, Saltan S, Bouzeffour F, Aktan B. Some inequalities involving Berezin symbols of operator means and related questions. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales- Serie A: Matematicas* 2020; 114 (85): 1-17.

- [17] Gustafson KE, Rao KM. Numerical range. The field of values of linear operators and matrices. Universitext. Springer-Verlag: New York, 1997.
- [18] Halmos PR. A Hilbert space problem book. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [19] Huban MB, Başaran H, Gürdal M. New upper bounds related to the Berezin number inequalities. Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions 2021; 12 (3): 1-12.
- [20] Huban MB, Başaran H, Gürdal M. Some new inequalities via Berezin numbers. 3rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Applied Mathematics in Engineering (ICAIAME 2021), October 1-3, 2021; Antalya, Turkey.
- [21] Karaev MT. Berezin symbol and invertibility of operators on the functional Hilbert spaces. Journal of Functional Analysis 2006; 238: 181-192.
- [22] Kittaneh F. Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators. Studia Mathematica 2005; 168 (1): 73-80.
- [23] Kittaneh F, Moslehian MS, Yamazaki T. Cartesian decomposition and numerical radius inequalities. Linear Algebra and its Applications 2015; 471: 46-53.
- [24] Moslehian MS, Kian M, Xu Q. Positivity of 2×2 block matrices of operators. Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis 2019; 13(3): 726-743.
- [25] Saitoh S, Sawano Y. Theory of applications. Singapore: Springer, 2016.
- [26] Tapdigođlu R, Gürdal M, Altwaijry N, Sarı N. Davis-Wielandt-Berezin radius inequalities via Dragomir inequalities. Operators and Matrices 2021; 15 (4): 1445-1460.
- [27] Yamancı U, Gürdal M. On numerical radius and Berezin number inequalities for reproducing kernel Hilbert space. New York Journal of Mathematics 2017; 23: 1531-1537.
- [28] Yamancı U, Gürdal M, Garayev MT. Berezin number inequality for convex function in reproducing kernel Hilbert space. Filomat 2017; 31 (18): 5711-5717.
- [29] Zamani A. Some lower bounds for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators. Advances in Operator Theory 2017; 2: 98-107.
- [30] Zamani A. A -numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbertian space operators. Linear Algebra and its Applications 2019; 578: 159-183.