

## Rice cultures and nitrogen rate effects on yield and quality of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Muhammad MAQSOOD<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Asif SHEHZAD<sup>1\*</sup>, Syed N. Azam ALI<sup>2</sup>, Munawar IQBAL<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>School of Biosciences, Division of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Received: 03.03.2012 • Accepted: 30.01.2013 • Published Online: 23.09.2013 • Printed: 23.10.2013

**Abstract:** Field studies in 2010 and 2011 were conducted to evaluate the effect of variable nitrogen rates (0–100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and culture methods (transplanted and direct) on chlorophyll contents, yield, grain quality, and economics in rice crop (*Oryza sativa* L. 'Basmati-385'). Transplanted rice gave a significantly higher paddy yield of 19.18% versus direct-seeded crop. Nitrogen application at 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> provided a maximum paddy yield (4.39 and 4.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) in both years. The rice kernel characteristics were also affected by culture methods and nitrogen levels. In addition, return variable cost in the transplanted rice increased by 22.27% over direct-seeded rice. Regarding quality, the amylose (24.35%) and protein (8.56%) contents were also higher in transplanted rice as compared to direct seeding at 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> application. The lowest amylose contents were recorded in N<sub>0</sub> (23.55%) and N<sub>1</sub> (23.69%) treatments, and amylose contents were also found lower in N<sub>0</sub> and N<sub>1</sub> in the direct seeding method. The nitrogen rate and cultures method also affect the chlorophyll contents positively in transplanted plants at higher level of nitrogen. From the results, it is concluded that under the climatic conditions of Faisalabad, Pakistan, higher paddy yield and yield components, as well as greater economic benefits, can be obtained for the transplanted method at 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen application as compared to the direct seeding method.

**Key words:** Rice culture, planting methods, nitrogen application, yield, quality, amylose content, *Oryza sativa* L.

### 1. Introduction

Rice is an important cereal crop of the world that provides the primary staple food for more than 2 billion people in Asia, the world's most densely populated region, and for hundreds of millions of people in Africa and Latin America. It is also the main cash crop and livelihood of the rural populations of these developing regions. Because of the large number of people subsisting on rice, its annual output should be increased by over  $5 \times 10^6$  t a year just to keep pace with population growth (IRRI 1997). Moreover, its role in world trade seems to be certain and its demand in the international market would be well sustained for many years to come. The role of rice in the future will be more positive and conspicuous only if its quality and quantity is improved. Various techniques are in practice today to increase the yield of crops in response to increased consumption by using presowing seed or postemergence treatment (Perveen et al. 2011; Haq et al. 2012; Iqbal et al. 2012; Jamil et al. 2012; Naz et al. 2012; Shahzad et al. 2012; Shehzad et al. 2012a, 2012b). The quality of kernels can further be improved to attract the consumer and meet the international as well as the national requirements for good

quality rice (Bhattacharyya and Singh 1992). Although the introduction of high yielding International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) rice varieties have revolutionized rice production in Pakistan, those varieties are no match for our conventional fine rice varieties, particularly Basmati, especially in quality. Transplanting and direct seeding are the 2 usual methods used for planting rice, transplanting being widely used. Direct seeding is practiced where water supply control is good. Advantages of direct seeding over transplanting include good stand establishment, higher tillering, and sometimes higher grain yield. Other advantages are stable growth and reduced lodging, better pest control, less risk due to drought and flooding damage, and low requirements for better water management practices (Farooq et al. 2007; Kang et al. 2012; Tohidi et al. 2012). In contrary, transplanting is more laborious, time-consuming, and expensive than direct seeding. This is because of difficulties in raising seedlings, high cost of labor, and labor shortage (Pandey et al. 2002).

Several reports have indicated that, besides lower labor involvement, there is no fundamental difference between direct seeding and transplanting if good management is

\* Correspondence: asifbukhari01@gmail.com

practiced in rice culture (Akhgari and Kaviani 2011). Under proper cultural practices, however, direct seeding is significantly out-yielded by transplanted rice (Pandey et al. 2002). Effects of direct-seeded rice as compared to transplanting of fine rice on the growth, yield, and quality need to be investigated. The present study was therefore undertaken to determine and analyze the effect of different planting methods and nitrogen application rates on chlorophyll contents, quality, yield, and economic benefit of the fine rice Basmati-385.

## 2. Materials and methods

The cultivar Basmati-385, a commonly grown fine rice variety, was used as a test crop. The experiments were conducted at the Agronomic Research Area, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad (31°25'N, 73°09'E) for 2 seasons during 2010 and 2011. The soil was a sandy clay loam in texture. Before rice planting, a soil test showed a pH of 7.8 to 7.9, organic matter content varied from 0.69% to 0.73%, total nitrogen content from 0.063% to 0.069%, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from 13.9 to 16.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and extractable K<sub>2</sub>O from 185 to 187 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in both years. The meteorological data regarding rainfall, relative humidity, and air temperature were recorded from a meteorological observatory in the immediate vicinity of the field during the phase of crop development as shown in Table 1. The experimental design for 2-year trials was a randomized complete block design in split plot arrangement with planting methods (i.e. direct seeding and transplanting through drilling) as the main factor and nitrogen rates (i.e. 0, 25, 50, 75, and 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) for the layout of plots. The treatments with triplicate run were allocated in experimental units. Each plot consisted of 10 rows and 25-cm row spacing apart, for a net plot size of 6.0 m × 2.5 m.

All transplanting or direct seeding was performed on puddled soil. Seeds for direct sowing were soaked for 24 h prior to sowing. All the direct-seeded plots were sown manually with the help of a single row hand drill on 4

July 2010 and 28 June 2011, using a seed rate of 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Transplanting was done manually on 4 July 2010 and 2011 using 30-day-old seedlings in both years. Nitrogen fertilizers were applied at the time of transplanting/drilling as a basal dose of respective rate and incorporated in the soil prior to planting. Phosphorus (23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as single super phosphate and zinc (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as zinc sulfate were also applied at the time of seedbed preparation. After transplanting or sowing, irrigation water was applied and maintained until mid-ripening phase (2 weeks before maturity). Weeds were controlled by hand pulling and plant protection measures following the standard recommendations. An area of 5 m<sup>2</sup> was harvested from each plot at random, avoiding the border effects. Paddy yields were weighed by using a triple beam balance at 14% moisture level. The yield of clean rough rice was expressed in tons per hectare. To investigate the level of sterility, abortiveness, opaqueness, and percentage of normal kernels, 20 primary panicles from each treatment were collected and information was recorded after having the panicle carefully sketched for differentiation of different categories of kernels/spikelets. A common electric lamp with a flexible stand and seed working board were used for this purpose (Nagato and Chaudhary 1969). Physical dimensions (length × width) were recorded on 100 healthy and normal kernels from each treatment with the help of a dial caliper and repeated 3 times (Ahmed and Khalid 1985). Rice kernels were ground in a Retsch Mill equipped with 60-mesh screen for the determination of amylose contents (Inayatullah-Awan et al. 1989). The intensity of blue color was read in a Spectronic-20 at 620 nm. The protein contents were determined by micro-Kjeldahl method (N × 5.95). The water absorption ratio was determined from the weight of cooked rice per weight of raw rice (Juliano et al. 1965). An area of 100 cm × 100 cm was harvested from each plot and threshed manually. Kernels (m<sup>-2</sup>) were air dried up to 14% moisture contents, weighed for kernel yield, and then expressed as t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Table 1.** The metrological conditions during the experimentation.

	Temperature			RH	Rainfall	Panevaporation	Sunshine	ETo	Wind speed
	Max.	Min.	Avg.						
2010	°C	°C	°C	%	mm	mm	hours	mm	km h <sup>-1</sup>
10 Jul	36.0	27.9	31.9	63.6	277.8	06.8	09.0	04.8	08.1
10 Aug	34.9	26.1	30.5	74.6	226.6	04.9	06.0	03.4	06.5
10 Sep	33.9	23.3	28.6	66.8	86.5	04.8	07.9	03.4	05.7
10 Oct	32.9	19.7	26.3	59.6	00.0	03.5	07.6	03.0	03.3

In 2011, the difference in weather conditions was ±2%. RH = relative humidity, ETo = evapotranspiration.

Chlorophyll a and b contents were determined following the method of Iqbal et al. (2012). Fresh leaves (0.2 g) were chopped and extracted overnight with 80% acetone at 4 °C. The extracts were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. Absorbance of the supernatant was read at 645 and 663 nm using a double beam spectrophotometer (CE Cecil 7200, Germany) and the contents of chlorophylls a and b were calculated by the following formulas:

$$\text{Chl a} = [12.7 (\text{OD}_{663}) - 2.69 (\text{OD}_{645})] \times V/1000 \times W,$$

$$\text{Chl b} = [22.9 (\text{OD}_{645}) - 4.68 (\text{OD}_{663})] \times V/1000 \times W.$$

All the data from all the experiments were analyzed on a personal computer using the analysis of variance function of the MSTAT statistical package (Steel et al. 1997). When the F-test indicated statistical significance, the treatments' means were separated using the least significance different (LSD) test at a 5% probability level.

### 3. Results

The paddy yield was not significantly affected by the planting methods in 2010 and ranged from 3.20 to 3.42 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in the direct-seeded and transplanted methods. In 2011, transplanting culture enhanced paddy yield (4.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to direct seeding (3.58 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Generally, increasing nitrogen rates significantly enhanced the paddy yield over the control (N<sub>0</sub>) in both of the seasons (Table 2). The pooled data for the paddy yield were positively and strongly correlated with the total leaf area duration. The

common regression accounted for 68.7% of the variability in the data. There was a linear relationship between total dry matter and paddy yield in both of the seasons. The common regression accounted for 93.2% of the variance in yield. In general, paddy yield was significantly but differentially affected by the increasing rates of nitrogen application, irrespective of planting method (Table 2). The higher yield in these treatments may be due to increased growth resulting in improvement in yield components. This probably contributed to less sterility, less abortive kernels, and higher grain weight and thus higher yield. Higher paddy yield was seen in transplanted versus direct-seeded rice. The transplanting method increased the paddy yield up to 6.43% (2010) and 19.18% (2011) over direct-seeded rice, especially at the higher rates of N applications. Yield response to applied nitrogen was significant at the rate of 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in both the planting methods. These results differ from those of Dingkuhn et al. (1992), who reported slightly higher yields in row-seeded rice compared to transplanting. However, in another study, higher paddy yield in transplanted rice than row-seeded at lower N rates of application was reported (Furuya et al. 2005). Transplanted rice enables the crop to make rapid early growth, especially with adequate supply of N to intercept more solar radiation and thus to produce and fill spikelets. Similar results have also been previously reported (IRRI 1985; Raghuwanshi et al. 1986).

**Table 2.** Effect of planting methods and nitrogen rates on paddy yield and yield attributes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

Treatments	PY		AK		OK		NK	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Planting methods								
P <sub>1</sub>	3.20	3.58 <sup>b</sup>	2.19 <sup>a</sup>	1.85 <sup>a</sup>	2.16	2.16 <sup>a</sup>	99.64 <sup>b</sup>	114.43 <sup>b</sup>
P <sub>2</sub>	3.42	4.43 <sup>a</sup>	1.86 <sup>b</sup>	1.71 <sup>b</sup>	2.22	1.84 <sup>b</sup>	129.82 <sup>a</sup>	133.70 <sup>a</sup>
LSD (P ≤ 0.05)	NS	0.35	0.14	0.12	NS	0.23	6.68	8.89
Nitrogen rates								
N <sub>0</sub>	2.40 <sup>d</sup>	3.26 <sup>d</sup>	2.42 <sup>a</sup>	2.22 <sup>a</sup>	2.34 <sup>a</sup>	2.34 <sup>a</sup>	96.37 <sup>b</sup>	104.75 <sup>d</sup>
N <sub>1</sub>	3.00 <sup>bc</sup>	3.85 <sup>c</sup>	2.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.74 <sup>bc</sup>	2.40 <sup>a</sup>	2.23 <sup>a</sup>	107.77 <sup>c</sup>	114.87 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>2</sub>	3.26 <sup>bc</sup>	3.99 <sup>c</sup>	1.92 <sup>bc</sup>	1.57 <sup>bc</sup>	2.27 <sup>ab</sup>	1.85 <sup>b</sup>	188.31 <sup>b</sup>	127.12 <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>3</sub>	3.50 <sup>b</sup>	4.23 <sup>b</sup>	1.91 <sup>bc</sup>	1.52 <sup>c</sup>	2.05 <sup>bc</sup>	1.85 <sup>b</sup>	123.56 <sup>a</sup>	134.15 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>4</sub>	4.39 <sup>a</sup>	4.67 <sup>a</sup>	1.78 <sup>c</sup>	1.85 <sup>b</sup>	1.89 <sup>c</sup>	1.75 <sup>b</sup>	127.62 <sup>a</sup>	139.41 <sup>a</sup>
LSD (P ≤ 0.05)	0.28	0.20	0.27	0.32	0.29	0.30	4.88	6.64

Any 2 means not sharing a letter differ significantly at P ≤ 0.05; NS = nonsignificant; P<sub>1</sub> = direct seeding, P<sub>2</sub> = transplanting; N<sub>0</sub> = 0, N<sub>1</sub> = 25, N<sub>2</sub> = 50, N<sub>3</sub> = 75, N<sub>4</sub> = 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>; PY = paddy yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), AK = abortive kernels, OK = opaque kernels, NK = normal kernels.

Abortive kernels were considered, in which fertilization does take place but the development of the kernel starts taking place sluggishly, which finally discontinues at the early development stage of the kernel. The occurrence of abortive kernels was significantly different between the 2 planting methods in both years. In 2010, the number of abortive kernels in the transplanted method was 1.86 in comparison to 2.19 in the direct seeding method. In 2011, equivalent figures were observed: 1.71 and 1.86, respectively (Table 2). Maximum abortive kernels (2.42) were recorded in control plots ( $N_0$ ) compared to  $N_1$  (2.09),  $N_2$  (1.92),  $N_3$  (1.91), and  $N_4$  (1.78) treatments. The lowest abortive kernels were recorded (1.78) with application of  $100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ , which was statistically on par with  $N_3$  and  $N_2$  treatments. In 2011, a similar trend was observed, where  $N_0$  also enhanced the number of abortive kernels over all other rates of N application. The number of abortive kernels was 2.22 in  $N_0$ , 1.74 in  $N_1$ , 1.57 in  $N_2$ , 1.52 in  $N_3$ , and 1.85 in  $N_4$ , respectively, in 2011.

Opaque kernels were considered as those that had stopped gaining weight at a little later stage of kernel development. These kernels do not get translucent or clear and have an overall chalky and porous structure due to shortage of filling material. The planting method did not significantly affect the number of opaque kernels panicle<sup>-1</sup> in 2010, and it varied from 2.16 to 2.22 opaque kernels panicle<sup>-1</sup> in both practices. However, in 2011 the number of opaque kernels panicle<sup>-1</sup> was significantly affected by the 2 culture methods. The direct seeding showed a higher number of opaque kernels of (2.16 vs. 1.84) over transplanting during the year 2011 (Table 2). The lower rate of opaque kernels in the transplanting method may be due to the availability of a sufficient amount of moisture at the dough and later kernel development stages, which facilitated the translocation of carbohydrates to the kernel at these stages (Nagato and Chaudhary 1969). In the case of the direct-seeding method, restricted moisture was available for translocation of already lower carbohydrates, and thus more opaque kernels were produced. Nitrogen rates also significantly affected the number of opaque kernels panicle<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons (Table 2). More opaque kernels were recorded in the control and  $N_1$  ( $25 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ) treatments (2.34 and 2.40) as compared to higher rates of nitrogen application. In 2011, the average numbers of opaque kernels were also on par between  $N_2$ ,  $N_3$ , and  $N_4$  treatments and varied from 1.75 to 1.85 panicle<sup>-1</sup>. Overall, opaque kernels varied from 2.05 to 2.40 panicle<sup>-1</sup> in both the seasons.

In 2010, the transplanting method increased normal kernels by 23.24% (129.82 vs. 99.64) over direct seeding. In 2011, transplanting also enhanced the normal kernels by 14.41% over direct seeding (133.70 vs. 114.43). Increasing rates of nitrogen significantly increased the number of normal kernels with lower rates of nitrogen application.

However,  $N_3$  and  $N_4$  did not differ significantly in this respect. A similar study regarding the number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was also reported previously for the transplanting method (Singh et al. 1981). These differential effects of planting methods or nitrogen rates affect the number of abortive and opaque kernels, which may be large enough to cause significant differences in the normal kernels.

The average kernel length varied from 6.6 to 6.7 mm in both of the planting methods. However, application of various nitrogen rates significantly affected kernel length in both years. In 2010, increasing rates of nitrogen increased kernel length over the control. However, kernel length was statistically on par in all of the nitrogen rates ( $N_1$  to  $N_4$ ) (Table 3). In 2011, the same trend was observed. The increasing rate of nitrogen from  $N_2$  to  $N_4$  enhanced kernel length over  $N_0$  or  $N_1$  ( $25 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ) treatments. Both  $N_0$  and  $N_1$  ( $25 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ) treatments were on par in kernel length in 2011. Overall, kernel lengths varied from 6.4 to 6.9 mm in various nitrogen treatments in both seasons. Nitrogen rates also did not affect the kernel width in the 2010 season. However, there were significant differences in kernel width among nitrogen rates during 2011. Maximum kernel width of 1.7 mm was recorded with the application of  $100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  ( $N_4$  compared to  $N_0$  and other nitrogen rates, except  $N_2$ ,  $50 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ), where the kernel width was on par with that of the  $N_4$  treatment. Overall, the kernel width varied from 1.5 to 1.7 mm among various treatments in both the seasons (Table 3). Sidhu et al. (1989) reported kernel length of 7.15 mm and kernel width of 1.65 mm in Basmati-385, which is on par with that recorded in the present study.

Kernel length width ratio was not significantly affected by either planting method or nitrogen rates and varied from 4.0 to 4.2 among various treatments (Table 3). Under normal conditions, the kernel dimensions (length  $\times$  width) are genetically determined in rice plants. In accordance with our results, a similar study was also reported previously for Basmati-385 rice (Sidhu et al. 1989).

The water absorption ratio was significantly higher in transplanting as compared to direct seeding in both seasons. The  $N_4$  ( $100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ) treatment showed a higher water absorption rate of 4.35% as compared to all other nitrogen rates in both seasons (Table 3). In 2011, both  $N_4$  and  $N_3$  rates were on par with each other in water absorption rate. On the average, water absorption rates were 3.76%, 4.01%, 4.17%, 4.31%, and 4.36% in  $N_0$ ,  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $N_3$ , and  $N_4$ , respectively (Table 3). These results are in line with those of Khan (1993), who also noted increased water absorption of rice kernels in transplanted rice and with higher rates of N application.

The transplanting method increased amylose content (24.33%) as compared to direct seeding (23.53%). Regarding nitrogen levels, a significant effect on the amylose content was observed during 2011, where

**Table 3.** Effect of planting methods and nitrogen rates on quality attributes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

Treatments	KL (mm)		KW (mm)		KLWR		WAR (%)		AC (%)		PC (%)	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Planting methods												
P <sub>1</sub>	6.6	6.7	1.6	1.6	4.1	4.1	4.00 <sup>b</sup>	4.03 <sup>b</sup>	24.51	23.53 <sup>b</sup>	8.00	7.56 <sup>b</sup>
P <sub>2</sub>	6.7	6.7	1.6	1.6	4.1	4.0	4.19 <sup>a</sup>	4.20 <sup>a</sup>	24.74	24.33 <sup>a</sup>	7.80	8.11 <sup>a</sup>
LSD (P ≤ 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.08	0.07	NS	0.82	NS	0.08
Nitrogen rates												
N <sub>0</sub>	6.4 <sup>b</sup>	6.5 <sup>c</sup>	1.6	1.5 <sup>b</sup>	4.0	4.1	3.83 <sup>d</sup>	3.76 <sup>d</sup>	24.35	23.55 <sup>d</sup>	7.12 <sup>e</sup>	7.10 <sup>e</sup>
N <sub>1</sub>	6.8 <sup>a</sup>	6.6 <sup>bc</sup>	1.6	1.6 <sup>b</sup>	4.2	4.1	3.95 <sup>c</sup>	4.01 <sup>c</sup>	24.36	23.69 <sup>d</sup>	7.56 <sup>d</sup>	7.60 <sup>d</sup>
N <sub>2</sub>	6.7 <sup>a</sup>	6.8 <sup>ab</sup>	1.7	1.7 <sup>a</sup>	4.0	4.1	4.14 <sup>b</sup>	4.17 <sup>b</sup>	24.59	23.91 <sup>c</sup>	7.95 <sup>c</sup>	8.00 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>3</sub>	6.7 <sup>a</sup>	6.7 <sup>ab</sup>	1.6	1.6 <sup>b</sup>	4.1	4.1	4.21 <sup>b</sup>	4.31 <sup>a</sup>	24.65	24.15 <sup>b</sup>	8.22 <sup>b</sup>	8.41 <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>4</sub>	6.7 <sup>a</sup>	6.9 <sup>ab</sup>	1.7	1.7 <sup>a</sup>	4.1	4.0	4.35 <sup>a</sup>	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	24.26	24.35 <sup>a</sup>	8.65 <sup>a</sup>	8.56 <sup>a</sup>
LSD (P ≤ 0.05)	0.2	0.30	NS	0.1	NS	NS	0.16	0.12	NS	0.15	0.18	0.11

Any 2 means not sharing a letter differ significantly at P ≤ 0.05; NS = nonsignificant; P<sub>1</sub> = direct seeding, P<sub>2</sub> = transplanting; N<sub>0</sub> = 0, N<sub>1</sub> = 25, N<sub>2</sub> = 50, N<sub>3</sub> = 75, N<sub>4</sub> = 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>; KL = kernel length, KW = kernel width, KLWR = kernel length width ratio, WAR = water absorption ratio, AC = amylose content, PC = protein content.

amylose contents of 24.35% were recorded with the application of 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>4</sub>) as compared to all other rates of nitrogen application. The lowest amylose contents were recorded in N<sub>0</sub> (23.55%) and N<sub>1</sub> (23.69%) treatments, respectively. The lowest amylose contents were noted in direct-seeded rice at N<sub>0</sub> or lower rates of N application (Table 3). Both the transplanting methods and increased rates of N application were at a greater advantage in terms of increased growth. This increased growth probably resulted in higher interception of radiation and thus greater production of photosynthate. Stimulation of growth could provide increased assimilates and metabolites resulting in increased amylose content. The average amylose contents varied from 23.93% to 24.44%, similar to results reported by Sidhu et al. (1989) for Basmati-385 rice (23.52%).

The transplanted rice showed higher protein contents (8.11%) as compared to the direct-seeded rice (7.56%). Application of nitrogen significantly and linearly increased protein contents in both seasons (Table 3). Application of 100 N ha<sup>-1</sup> enhanced protein content up to 8.65% compared to all other nitrogen applications. The lowest protein contents of 7.12% in 2010 and 7.10% in 2011 were recorded in N<sub>0</sub> plots. In 2011, the average protein contents were 7.10%, 7.60%, 8.00%, 8.41%, and 8.56% with N<sub>0</sub>, N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub>, and N<sub>4</sub> treatments, respectively. Protein content in rice ranging from 6.0% to 8.0% in different agronomic treatments was also reported previously for the same cultivar (Khan 1993).

The transplanted rice showed considerably higher chlorophyll a and b contents as compared to the direct-seeded rice. The chlorophyll a and b contents of 1.99 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.67 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in 2010 and 1.97 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.66 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in 2011 were recorded, respectively, while these were 1.75 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.59 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in 2010 and 1.76 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.58 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in 2011 in direct-seeded plants. Application of nitrogen significantly and linearly increased chlorophyll contents in both seasons (Table 4). Application of N at the dose of 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> also enhanced the chlorophyll a and b contents significantly. Lowest chlorophyll a and b contents of 1.13 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.38 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in 2010 and 1.12 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.37 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in 2011 were observed in N<sub>0</sub>, which increased linearly with the nitrogen rate.

The data indicated higher net benefit in transplanting than in the direct seeding method by about 22.27% (3.41 vs. 4.10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Application of different N levels markedly increased the net benefit over N<sub>0</sub>. Maximum net return was obtained at 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> by Rs 17,205 (US\$191) in 2010 and Rs 19,615 (US\$218) in 2011, respectively (Table 5). The results showed that under climatic conditions of Faisalabad, Pakistan, transplanted rice produced higher yield and thus higher economic benefit with nitrogen application at the rate of 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. There was no interaction between the culture method and nitrogen application. Both culture methods showed maximum response at a higher level of nitrogen application.



**Table 4.** Effect of planting methods and nitrogen rates on chlorophyll contents of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

Treatments	Chlorophyll content			
	Chl a (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )		Chl b (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	
	2010	2011	2010	2011
Planting methods				
P <sub>1</sub>	1.99	1.97	0.67	0.66
P <sub>2</sub>	1.75	1.76	0.59	0.58
LSD (P ≤ 0.05)	0.17	0.18	0.06	0.07
Nitrogen rates				
N <sub>0</sub>	1.13	1.12	0.38	0.37
N <sub>1</sub>	1.48	1.47	0.50	0.49
N <sub>2</sub>	1.55	1.56	0.52	0.53
N <sub>3</sub>	1.69	1.71	0.57	0.57
N <sub>4</sub>	1.98	1.96	0.67	0.65
LSD (P ≤ 0.05)	0.16	0.14	0.07	0.04

P<sub>1</sub> = direct seeding, P<sub>2</sub> = transplanting; N<sub>0</sub> = 0, N<sub>1</sub> = 25, N<sub>2</sub> = 50, N<sub>3</sub> = 75, N<sub>4</sub> = 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Table 5.** Economic analysis of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as affected by different planting methods and nitrogen rates during 2010 and 2011.

Treatments	Total variable cost (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross income* (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Total expenditures (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net income (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	BCR (%)
Planting methods					
P <sub>1</sub>	415.00	16,800	4499.00	12,301.00	2.73
P <sub>2</sub>	741.25	17,955	4820.75	13,134.25	2.72
Nitrogen rates					
N <sub>0</sub>	0	12,600	4820.75	7779.25	1.61
N <sub>1</sub>	255.39	15,855	5076.14	10,778.86	2.12
N <sub>2</sub>	511.36	17,115	5332.11	11,782.89	2.21
N <sub>3</sub>	766.19	18,375	5586.94	12,788.06	2.29
N <sub>4</sub>	1021.59	23,047.5	5842.34	17,205.16	2.94
2011					
Planting methods					
P <sub>1</sub>	433.00	19,869.00	4509.50	15,359.50	3.41
P <sub>2</sub>	745.75	24,586.50	4825.25	19,761.25	4.10
Nitrogen rates					
N <sub>0</sub>	0	18,093.00	4825.25	13,267.75	2.74
N <sub>1</sub>	369.51	21,367.50	5194.76	16,172.72	3.11
N <sub>2</sub>	739.02	22,144.50	5564.27	16,580.23	2.98
N <sub>3</sub>	1108.54	23,476.50	5933.79	17,542.71	2.96
N <sub>4</sub>	1478.05	25,918.50	6303.30	19,615.20	3.11

P<sub>1</sub> = direct seeding, P<sub>2</sub> = transplanting; N<sub>0</sub> = 0, N<sub>1</sub> = 25, N<sub>2</sub> = 50, N<sub>3</sub> = 75, N<sub>4</sub> = 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

\*In 2010, 1 US dollar was equal to 90.08 rupees. In 2011, 1 US dollar was equal to 89.98 rupees.

#### 4. Discussion

Maximum paddy yield was obtained in the transplanted method at higher rates of N application. The average paddy yield of the present study at 331 g m<sup>-2</sup> (2010) to 400 g m<sup>-2</sup> (2011) was similar to earlier experimental yields of cv. Basmati-385 (Sidhu et al. 1989). Generally, there is severe competition among spikelets for nutrients after fertilization, and formation of abortive kernel competition was reduced slightly in the transplanting method as compared to direct seeding with high nitrogen rate applications. Therefore, where occurrence of abortive kernels was high, opaque kernels were reduced, and vice versa. Ahmad et al. (2009) also reported similar effects of different planting methods on abortive kernels in rice crops and reported that fertilized plants efficiently utilize the micronutrients during their growth and development, resulting in increased synthesis of proteins and other biological molecules. Regarding seeding method, a number of authors have suggested the direct seeding method due to cost effectiveness and labor savings; however, the yield that they obtained was lower than that of the transplanting method (Naklang et al. 1997; Farooq et al. 2009). Bhuiyan et al. (1995) revealed that the rice growth duration decreased for 7 to 10 days by direct cultivation and also that land occupation can be decreased; thus, it saves water and labor by 25%–30%. Field experiments showed water savings of 12%–60% for the direct seeding method with lower yields for the transplanted method (Balasubramanian et al. 2003; Gupta et al. 2003). Other researchers also proposed the direct seeding method as compared to transplanting for increasing production and release of early cultivars and suggested the adaptation of the cultivation method according to factors such as workers, labor, and water savings (Pandey et al. 2002; Dawe 2005).

The main objective of rice planting is yield, which ultimately depends on the cost-to-benefit ratio and the marginal rate of return. In the present study, it was found that the transplanting method was better as compared to direct seedling regarding the quality, yield, and cost-to-benefit ratio. Various researchers suggested the use of the direct seeding method on the basis of labor, water utility, and time savings; however, they did not study the cost-to-benefit ratio, on the basis of which we can suggest the culture method. In other regions of the worlds, some other researchers also suggested the use of the direct method for rice cultivation. This difference

might be attributed to the agroclimatic conditions, the soil, and rice cultivar differences. The results obtained in the transplanting method were found to be contrary in different reports (Pandey et al. 2002; Akhgari and Kaviani 2011). Furthermore, Farooq et al. (2009) recorded a higher number of sterile spikelets and abortive, opaque, and chalky kernels in direct seeding as compared to the transplanting method. Akhgari and Kaviani (2011) detected no significant difference between direct and transplanting methods in the case of number/panicle and differentiated both methods on the basis of environmental conditions. This difference might be attributed to the cultivar difference as well as the agroclimatic difference. In this regard, Pantuwan et al. (2002) revealed that the yield of early genotype cultivars was low because of their shorter growth duration, and seeding method was also a limiting factor. However, in conformity to results of the transplanting method, Nourbakhshian (2000) showed that the grain yield was very low in the direct method versus the transplanting method. Kukal and Aggrawal's (2002) views were neutral toward the direct and transplanting methods with respect to yield. However, the economic analysis of the direct and transplanting methods is the more reliable approach for generalizing the results.

In conclusion, we found that the transplanting method was superior regarding yield and other yield attributes under the agroclimatic condition of Faisalabad, Pakistan. The rice yield and quality were significantly affected by the seeding method. The photosynthetic rate was also affected by the seeding method positively and the significantly higher yield and other yield components may be due to the accelerated photosynthetic rate. The Basmati-385 fine rice responded to nitrogen application differentially in the case of the transplanting and direct seeded methods. However, there was no interaction between the seeding method and nitrogen application rate. Both culture methods showed higher yield at the highest level of nitrogen. The transplanting method was found better than the direct seeding method for the enhancement in yield and yield components at the same level of nitrogen application. The transplanting method showed a significantly higher cost-to-benefit ratio and marginal rate of return as compared to the direct method, and on the basis of the marginal rate of return, the adaptation of the transplanting method is suggested under agroclimatic conditions similar to those of Faisalabad, Pakistan.

#### References

- Ahmad S, Zia-ul-Haq M, Ali H, Ahmad A, Khan M, Khaliq T, Husnain Z, Hussain A, Hoogenboom G (2009). Morphological and quality parameters of *Oryza Sativa* L. as affected by population dynamics, nitrogen fertilization and irrigation regimes. Pak J Bot 41: 1259–1269.
- Ahmed M, Khalid ZM (1985). Some studies on the grain quality characteristics of rice. Sarhad J Agric 1: 123–128.

- Akhgari H, Kaviani B (2011). Assessment of direct seeded and transplanting methods of rice cultivars in the northern part of Iran. *Afr J Agric Res* 6: 6492–6498.
- Balasubramanian V, Ladha JK, Gupta RK, Naresh RK, Mehla RS, Bijay-Singh, Yadvinder-Singh (2003). Technology options for rice in the rice-wheat system in South Asia. In: *Improving the Productivity and Sustainability of Rice-Wheat System: Issues and Impacts* (Eds. JK Ladha, JE Hill, JM Duxbury, RK Gupta, RJ Buresh). ASA Special Publication 65, ASA Inc., CSSA Inc., SSSA Inc., Madison, WI, USA.
- Bhattacharyya HC, Singh KN (1992). Response of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*) to level and time of nitrogen application. *Indian J Agron* 37: 681–684.
- Bhuiyan SI, Sattar MA, Khan MAK (1995). Improving water use efficiency in rice irrigation through wet seeding. *Irrig Sci* 16: 1–8.
- Dawe D (2005). Increasing water productivity in rice-based systems in Asia: past trends, current problems, and future prospects. *Plant Prod Sci* 8: 221–230.
- Dingkuhn M, DeDatta SK, Pampola R, Jevellana C, Schnier H (1992). Effect of late-season N fertilization on photosynthesis and yield of transplanted and direct seeded tropical flooded rice. A canopy stratification study. *Field Crop Abs* 45: 4362.
- Farooq M, Basra SMA, Ahmed N (2007). Improving the performance of transplanted rice by seed priming. *Plant Growth Regul* 51: 129–137.
- Farooq M, Basra SMA, Ahmed N, Murtaza G (2009). Enhancing the performance of transplanted coarse rice by seed priming. *Paddy Water Environ* 7: 55–63.
- Furuya H, Tubaki K, Matsumoto T, Fuji S, Naito H (2005). Deleterious effects of fungi isolated from paddy soils on seminal root of rice. *J Gener Plant Pathol* 71: 333–339.
- Gupta RK, Naresh RK, Hobbs PR, Jianguo Z, Ladha JK (2003). Sustainability of post-green revolution agriculture. The rice-wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic Plains and China. In: *Improving the Productivity and Sustainability of Rice-Wheat System: Issues and Impacts* (Eds. JK Ladha, JE Hill, JM Duxbury, RK Gupta, RJ Buresh). ASA Special Publication 65, ASA Inc., CSSA Inc., SSSA Inc., Madison, WI, USA.
- Haq Z, Jamil Y, Irum S, Randhawa MA, Iqbal M, Amin N (2012). Enhancement in the germination, seedling growth and yield of radish (*Raphanus sativus*) using seed pre-sowing magnetic field treatment. *Pol J Environ Stud* 21: 369–374.
- Inayatullah-Awan HK, Chaudhry FM (1989). Comparative study of direct seeding and transplanting methods on the grain yield of rice. *Sarhad J Agric* 5: 119–124.
- Iqbal M, Zia ul Haq, Jamil Y, Ahmad MR (2012). Effect of presowing magnetic treatment on properties of pea. *Int Agrophys* 26: 25–31.
- IRRI (1985). *International Rice Research Institute: 25 Years of Partnership*. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, the Philippines.
- IRRI (1997). *Rice Almanac*, 2nd ed. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, the Philippines.
- Jamil Y, Zia ul Haq, Iqbal M, Jamil T, Amin N (2012). Enhancement in growth and yield of mushroom (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) using magnetic field treatment. *Int Agrophys* 26: 375–380.
- Juliano BO, Onate LU, DebMundo AM (1965). Relation of starch compaction, protein content, and gelatinization in temperature to the cooking quality of milled rice. *Food Technol* 19: 1006–1011.
- Kang MY, Heo KH, Kim JH, Cho SS, Seo PD, Rico C, LEE SC (2012). Effects of carbonized rice hull and wood charcoal mixed with pyrolygneous acid on the yield, and antioxidant and nutritional quality of rice. *Turk J Agric For* 36: 45–53.
- Khan Z, Tahmida Begum Z, Mandal R, Hossain M (1994). Cyanobacteria in rice soils. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 10: 296–298.
- Kukul SS, Aggarwal GC (2002). Percolation losses of water in relation to puddling intensity and depth in sandy loam rice (*Oryza sativa*) field. *Agric Water Manage* 57: 49–59.
- Nagato K, Chaudhary FM (1969). A comparative study of ripening process and kernel development in Japonica Indica rice. *Proc. Crop Sci Soc Japan* 38: 425–433.
- Naklang K (1997). Direct seeding for rainfed lowland rice in Thailand. In: *Breeding Strategies for Rainfed Lowland Rice in Drought-Prone Environments*. ACIAR Proceedings No. 77 (Eds. S Fukai, M Cooper, J Salisbury). ACIAR, Canberra, pp. 126–136.
- Naz A, Jamil Y, Zia ul Haq, Iqbal M, Ahmad MR, Ashraf MI, Ahmad R (2012). Enhancement in the germination, growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) using pre-sowing magnetic treatment of seeds. *Ind J Biochem Biophys* 49: 211–214.
- Nourbakhshian SJ (2000). Function comparison of rice varieties in direct seeded and transplanting methods. *Iran Agric Sci J* 2: 25–32.
- Pandey S, Mortimer M, Wade I, Tuong TP, Lopez K, Hardy B (2002). *Direct Seeding: Research Strategies and Opportunities*. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, the Philippines.
- Pantuwan G, Fukai S, Cooper M, Rajatasereekul S, O'Toole JC (2002). Yield response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotype to different types of drought under rainfed lowlands. Part 1. Grain yield and yield components. *Field Crops Res* 73: 153–168.
- Perveen R, Jamil Y, Ashraf M, Ali Q, Iqbal M, Ahmad MR (2011). He-Ne laser-induced improvement in biochemical, physiological, growth and yield characteristics in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Photochem Photobiol* 87: 1453–1463.



- Raghuwanshi RKS, Paradkar VK, Gupta RK, Jain SC (1986). Effect of plant spacing and nitrogen on the yield of rice on sodic black clay soil. *Indian J Agron* 31: 428–429.
- Shahzad K, Shehzad MA, Iqbal M, Arif M (2012). Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes to soil and foliar application of boron. *Asian J Pharma Biol Res* 2: 65–72.
- Shehzad MA, Iqbal M, Arif M, Ahmed N, Areeb A (2012a). Weed control and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) yield under application of different post-emergence herbicides. *Int Res J Agric Sci Soil Sci* 2: 133–141.
- Shehzad MA, Nadeem MA, Iqbal M (2012b). Weed control and yield attributes against post emergence herbicides application in wheat crop, Punjab, Pakistan. *Global Advan Res J Agric Sci* 1: 7–16.
- Sidhu GS (1989). Quality rice for export purpose. In: Annual AICRIP Workshop Held at Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India, pp. 19–22.
- Singh RK, Pande RC, Namdee KN (1981). Response of Ratna to methods of planting and nitrogen levels. *Oryza* 18: 182–183.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dicky DA (1997). Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach, 3rd ed. McGraw Hill, New York.
- Tohidi M, Sadeghi M, Mousavi SR, Mireei SA (2012). Artificial neural network modeling of process and product indices in deep bed drying of rough rice. *Turk J Agric For* 36: 738–748.