Corrigendum: On density theorems for rings of Krull type with zero divisors
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Abstract: This corrigendum is written to correct some parts of the paper “On density theorems for rings of Krull type with zero divisors”. The proofs of Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 4.3 are incorrect and the current note makes the appropriate corrections.
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In [3], for the Marot ring provided in Example 2.5, [2, Proposition 2.4] does not hold. Thus, we change our hypothesis “Marot” to “additively regular” in [2, Proposition 2.4] and reprove it.

Proposition 2.4 Let $R$ be an additively regular ring and $P, P_1, \ldots, P_n$ a collection of prime regular ideals such that $P \not\subseteq P_i$ for any $i$. Then $\text{Reg}(P) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i$.

Proof We have that $P \not\subseteq P_i$ for any $i$, and hence, by [1, Proposition 1.11], $P \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i$. Thus, there is $a \in P - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i$. Since the product of regular elements is regular, there exists a regular element $b \in P \cap P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \ldots \cap P_n$. Thus, there exists a $u \in R$ such that $x = a + ub$ is regular in $R$, and $x \in \text{Reg}(P) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i$. □

This change we make in [2, Proposition 2.4] affects only [2, Lemma 2.5] and [2, Proposition 3.1], where the hypothesis “Marot” is changed to “additively regular”. Furthermore, we note that the 2-generated regular ideal $A$, found in [2, Theorem 4.2], may not be invertible.

Proposition 4.3 Let $R$ be an additively regular ring of Krull type. Denote by $v_i$ the valuation associated with the valuation ring $R_{(P_i)}$, where $P_i$ is the center of $v_i$ for each $i$ and by $G_i$ the associated value group. For the 2-generated regular ideal $A$, found in Theorem [2, Theorem 4.2], there exists an ideal $I$ such that $(AI)(P_i) = R_{(P_i)}$ for each center $P_i$.

Proof The ring $R$ is of finite character, and there are at most finitely many centers $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ with corresponding valuations $v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, at which $A$ is positive. By [2, Theorem 4.1], we can choose a regular element $x \in R$ such that $v_i(x) = v_i(A)$ for all $i$. Let $Q_1, \ldots, Q_t$ with corresponding valuations $w_j$, $1 \leq j \leq t$, be the set of centers, other than $P_i$, at which $w_j(x)$ is positive. By [2, Theorem 4.1], we can choose a regular element $y \in R$ such that $v_i(y) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $w_j(y) = w_j(x)$, $1 \leq j \leq t$. Let $M_1, \ldots, M_l$ with the corresponding valuations $u_k$, $1 \leq k \leq l$, be the set of centers, other than $P_i$ and $Q_j$, at which $u_k(y)$ is positive. Again by [2, Theorem 4.1], there exists a regular element $z \in R$ such that $v_i(z) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $u_k(z) = 0$.

*Correspondence: basakay@iyte.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 13F05, 13A18

1446
and \( w_j(z) = w_j(x), 1 \leq j \leq t. \) Let \( I = (x^{-1}y, x^{-1}z). \) Consider the ideal \( AI. \) We observe that, locally at each center \( P \) with the corresponding valuation \( v_P, v_P((AI)_P) = 0, \) implying that \( (AI)_P = R_P. \) 

Finally, the hypothesis that \( R \) be Prüfer should be added to [2, Corollary 4.4].
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