Unsteady Natural Convection Heat Transfer of Micropolar Fluid over a Vertical Surface with Constant Heat Flux
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Abstract

This paper presents a numerical investigation of the natural heat transfer problem of a micropolar boundary layer flow near a vertical surface with constant heat flux. The governing equations are solved numerically using McCormack’s technique. Many results are obtained and a representative set is displaced graphically to illustrate the influence of the various physical parameters on the velocity profiles, rotation profiles, and transient wall coefficient of friction. It was found that the temperature increases inside the boundary layer for the micropolar flows, as compared to the Newtonian flows. Moreover, increasing the vortex viscosity parameter increased the rotation inside the boundary layer, which has a propensity to increase the coefficient of friction and to decrease the local Nusselt numbers. Comparison with previously published work in the limits are performed and the results are found to be in excellent agreement.
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Introduction

In recent years, the dynamics of micropolar fluids has been a popular area of research. As fluids consist of randomly oriented molecules and as each volume element of the fluid has translation as well as rotation motions, the analysis of physical problems in these fluids has revealed several interesting phenomena not found in Newtonian fluids. The theory of micropolar fluids and thermo micropolar fluids developed by Eringen (1966, 1972) can be used to explain the characteristics in certain fluids such as exotic lubricants, colloidal suspensions, or polymeric fluids, liquid crystals and animal blood. The micropolar fluids exhibit certain microscopic effects arising from local structure and microrotation of fluid elements. An excellent review about micropolar fluid mechanics was provided by Ariman et al. (1973, 1974).

In the past decades, researchers have focused mainly on the heat transfer of micropolar fluid flow over flat plates (Ahmadi, 1976; Gorla et al., 1983; Agarwal and Dhanapal, 1988) or regular surfaces (Lien et al., 1986, 1990). Yao (1983) studied natural convection heat transfer from wavy surfaces. Cheng and Wang (2000) studied the effect of wavy surfaces on micropolar fluids’ forced convection heat transfer,
and they found that increasing the micropolar fluid parameter results in decreasing heat transfer rates and increasing local coefficient of friction and hydrodynamic and thermal boundary layer thicknesses.

More recently, Bhargava and Takhar (2000) studied the micropolar boundary layer near a stagnation point on a moving wall; it was found that the temperature increases inside the boundary layer compared to the Newtonian flows. Mansour et al. (2000) studied heat and mass transfer effects on the magneto-hydrodynamic flow of micropolar fluid on a circular cylinder with uniform heat and mass flux, and the results indicated that micropolar fluids display a reduction in drag as well as heat transfer when compared with Newtonian fluids. Kelson and Desseaux (2001) set self-similar solutions for the boundary layer flow of micropolar fluids driven by a stretching sheet with uniform suction or blowing through the surface. Ibrahim and Hassanien (2001) obtained local similarity solutions for mixed convection boundary layer flow of a micropolar fluid on horizontal flat plates with variable surface temperature. Kim and Lee (2003) performed analytical studies on MHD oscillatory flow of a micropolar fluid over a vertical porous plate, and the effects of non-zero values of micro-rotation vector on the velocity and temperature fields across the boundary layer were studied using small perturbation approximation. Elbarbary and Elgazery (2004) studied the effect of thermal radiation and variable viscosity and thermal conductivity on micropolar fluids using the Chebyshev finite difference method. The results showed that the variable viscosity and thermal conductivity in the presence of thermal radiation had significant influences on the velocity, the angular velocity and temperature profiles, shear stress, couple shear stress, and Nusselt numbers.

The aim of the present work was to analyse the transient and steady natural convection heat transfer problems adjacent to a vertical finite plate for incompressible, micropolar fluid with constant heat flux. The governing equations are written in their dimensionless forms using a set of dimensionless variables and solved numerically using McCormack’s technique. Numerical results of velocity profiles, microrotation profiles, temperature profiles, local coefficient of friction and local Nusselt numbers under the effect of vortex viscosity parameter, spin gradient viscosity parameter, material parameter and microrotation parameter are presented in graphs and tables.

Mathematical Formulation
Consider laminar free convection boundary layer flow of micropolar fluid above a heated vertical plate with prescribed wall heat flux in an unsteady manner. The problem is described in a rectangular coordinate system. The governing equations are written in their dimensionless forms using a set of dimensionless variables as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}} = 0
\]  

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{t}} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}} \right) = \left( \mu + \kappa \right) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \kappa \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial \bar{y}} + g \beta (T - T_\infty)
\]

\[
\rho j \left( \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial \bar{t}} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial \bar{y}} \right) = \kappa \left( - \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial \bar{y}} - 2 \bar{\omega} \right) + \gamma \frac{\partial^2 \bar{\omega}}{\partial \bar{y}^2}
\]

\[
\rho c_p \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \bar{t}} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \bar{x}} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \bar{y}} \right) = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \bar{y}^2}
\]

with the following initial and boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\bar{t}} & \leq 0, \quad \bar{u} = 0, \bar{v} = 0, \bar{\omega} = 0, T = T_\infty \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{x} = 0, \bar{y} \geq 0 \\
\dot{\bar{t}} & > 0, \begin{cases} \bar{u} = 0, \bar{v} = 0, \bar{\omega} = 0, T = T_\infty & \text{for} \quad \bar{x} = 0, \bar{y} \geq 0 \\ \bar{u} = -k(\partial T/\partial \bar{y}) & \text{for} \quad \bar{y} = 0, \bar{x} \geq 0 \\ \bar{u} = 0, \bar{\omega} = 0, T = T_\infty & \text{for} \quad \bar{y} \to \infty \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

In the boundary condition for microrotation variable \( \bar{\omega} \), which defines its relation with the surface shear stress, the parameter \( n \) is a number between 0 and 1 that describes the microrotation vector to the shear stress at the wall. The value of \( n = 0.5 \) represents a weak representation of the microelements and the value of \( n = 1.0 \) corresponds to the turbulent flow inside boundary layers of microrotation. Define the non-dimensional variables as
Substituting Eqs. (6) and (6) into Eqs. (1) to (4) gives

$$t = Gr^{1/2}(v/L)\bar{t}, \quad x = \bar{x}/L, \quad y = Gr^{1/4}(\bar{y}/L)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$u = Gr^{-1/2}(v/L)\bar{u}, \quad v = Gr^{-1/4}(v/L)\bar{v}, \quad \theta = k(T - T_\infty)/qL$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Substituting Eqs. (6) and (6) into Eqs. (1) to (4) gives

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = (1 + R)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + R\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} + \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} = R.B\left(-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - 2\omega\right) + \lambda\frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial y^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{Pr\bar{y}}\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

with the corresponding boundary conditions:

$$t \leq 0, \quad u = 0, v = 0, \omega = 0, \theta = 1$$ for all $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$

$$t > 0, \quad \begin{cases} u = 0, v = 0, \omega = 0, \theta = 0 \quad &\text{for} \quad x = 0, y \geq 0 \\ (\partial \theta/\partial y) = -1 \quad &\text{for} \quad y = 0, x \geq 0 \\ u = 0, \omega = 0, \theta = 0 \quad &\text{for} \quad x \to \infty \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The values of local coefficient of friction and local Nusselt numbers are given by

$$C_f Gr^{3/4} = (1 + R)\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}_{(x,0,t)} + R\omega|_{(x,0,t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$Nu Gr^{-1/4} = \frac{1}{\bar{y}}|_{(x,0,t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The boundary layer equations describe the conservation of mass; momentum, microrotation and energy are formulated and solved in their time-dependent formulation using McCormack’s technique, which is an explicit finite difference technique and a second order accuracy in space and time. The details of this solution are clearly explained by Anderson (1995). The numerical solution used is a time marching technique giving the downstream velocity microrotation and temperature profiles using the known upstream profiles. In the present work the above quantities were calculated by obtaining explicitly the flow field variables at grid point $(i, j)$ at time $t + \Delta t$ from the known flow field variables at grid points $(i, j), (i+1, j), (i-1, j), (i, j-1)$ and $(i, j+1)$ at time $t$. The flow field variables at all other grid points at time $t + \Delta t$ are obtained in a similar fashion. Then the local coefficient of friction and local Nusselt numbers are calculated from Eqs. (12) and (13). In order to verify the accuracy of the present method a comparison of results with similarity solutions presented in Kayes and Crawford (1980) is shown in Table 1 for the steady laminar free convection over a vertical plate of Newtonian fluids. The comparison reveals excellent agreement.

### Results and Discussion

The transient laminar free convection heat transfer effects from the vertical surface of micropolar fluids are studied. The governing equations are written in dimensionless form using a set of variables and then solved using a finite difference technique. The micropolar fluid effects on this problem are found to be proportional to material parameters and vortex viscosity parameter. The material parameter $B = L^2/j Gr^{1/2}$ is found to be proportional directly to the length of the plate and inversely to microrotation density and Grashof number, while the material parameter $\lambda = \gamma/\mu j$ is directly proportional to the spin-gradient viscosity and inversely to absolute viscosity and microrotation density. Note that the material parameters’ effects of micropolar fluid decrease as the $Gr$ or buoyancy effect increases.
Table 1. Correspondence between the values of $Nu_xGr_x^{-1/4}$ for various Prandtl numbers for the present code and that presented in Kayes and Crawford (1980).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pr</th>
<th>Present code</th>
<th>[19]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0680</td>
<td>0.0669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2a-c shows the dimensionless steady velocity profiles $u(x, y, t)$, temperature profiles $\theta(x, y, t)$ and rotation profiles $\omega(x, y, t)$ for different vortex viscosity parameter $R = 0, 1, 2, 5$ and for certain values of $B = 0.5, Pr = 1.0, \lambda = 5.0, m = 0.0$ and $x = 0.5$. The increasing of the vortex viscosity parameter increased the velocity and temperature inside the boundary layer and broadened the hydrodynamic and thermal boundary layer thicknesses and increased rotation and rotation boundary layer thickness. This is due to extra mixing of fluid layers due to the new used shear stress. Figure 3a-c shows the

Figure 2. a, b, c, Dimensionless velocity, temperature and angular velocity profiles, respectively, for different values of vortex viscosity parameter $R$ and for certain values of $B = 0.5, Pr = 1.0, \lambda = 5.0, m = 0$ and $x = 0.5$. 
variation in local coefficient of friction $C_fGr^{3/4}$ and local Nusselt numbers $NuGr^{-1/4}$ against transient times ($x = 0.5$) or streamwise direction distance along the plate ($t \to \infty$) for different values of vortex viscosity parameter and for certain values of $B = 0.5, Pr = 1.0, \lambda = 5.0, m = 0.0$ and $x = 0.5$. These figures show that the increasing of the vortex viscosity parameter increased the coefficient of friction due to higher mixing of fluid layers and decreased local Nusselt numbers due to excessive heating of fluid layers. These figures show also how the steady state solutions are approached as the transient time increases at $t \to \infty$. The case of $R = 0$ corresponds to Newtonian fluids.

Figure 4a and b shows dimensionless steady velocity profiles $u(x, y, t)$ and rotation profiles $\omega(x, y, t)$ for different dimensionless material parameter $B = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0$ and for certain values of $R = 2.0, Pr = 1.0, \lambda = 5.0, m = 0.5$ and $x = 0.5$. The increasing of the dimensionless material parameter decreases velocities inside boundary layers due to the retarding effect of micro inertia density and consequently small buoyancy forces; this is similar to a flow against adverse pressure gradient. The increasing dimensionless material parameter also increased rotation inside boundary layers because of favourable non-Newtonian effects, and has negligible effects on temperature profiles, which are not presented here. Figure 5a and b show the variations in local coefficient of friction against transient times ($x = 0.5$) and streamwise direction distance along the plate ($t \to \infty$) for different values of dimensionless mate-
rial parameter where the increasing of this parameter increased coefficient of friction, and the figures again show how the steady state solutions are approached.

The effects of the dimensionless material parameter, which represent spin-gradient viscosity, are drawn in Figure 6a and b on both steady state velocity and angular velocity profiles. It is clear from these figures that the increasing of this material parameter increases the velocity and decreases the rotation inside the boundary layer. The reason is that the material parameter $\lambda$ is a viscosity ratio parameter and decreasing the dynamic fluid viscosity (increasing $\lambda$) causes the friction between the fluid layers to decrease and to decrease the rotation inside the boundary layer at the same time. Figure 7a and b show the variations in the local coefficient of friction against transient times $t$ ($x = 0.5$) and streamwise direction distance ($t \to \infty$) for different values of dimensionless material parameter $\lambda$. As can be concluded from Figure 6, the effect of the dimensionless material parameter $\lambda$ is to decrease the local coefficient of friction.
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**Figure 4.** a, b. Dimensionless velocity and angular velocity profiles, respectively for different values of material parameter $B$ and for certain values of $R = 2.0$, $Pr = 1.0$, $\lambda = 5.0$, $m = 0.5$ and $x = 0.5$.
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**Figure 5.** a, b, Local coefficient of friction for different values of material parameter $B$ and for certain values of $R = 2.0$, $Pr = 1.0$, $\lambda = 5.0$, $m = 0.5$ and $x = 0.5$. 
Finally, by comparing Figures 4 and 5 ($m = 0.5$) with Figures 6 and 7 ($m = 1.0$) we can study the effect of micro-gyration vector $m$ on angular velocity profiles and local coefficient of friction. As the micro-gyration dimensionless parameter increases the angular rotation inside the boundary layer and local coefficients of friction decrease. This is due to excessive angular momentum within fluid layers and consequently this enhances local values of Nusselt numbers.

**Conclusions**

The transient and steady natural convection heat transfer problem of a micropolar fluid past a vertical finite plate with constant heat flux is studied. The governing equations are simplified by using a set of dimensionless variables and then solved numerically using McCormack’s technique. It was found that increasing the vortex viscosity parameter $R$ increased the rotation inside the boundary layer, which has a propensity to increase the coefficient of friction due to higher mixing of fluid layers and to decrease the
local Nusselt numbers due to excessive heating of fluid layers. The effect of the dimensionless material parameter $B$ is to increase the local coefficient of friction while increasing the material parameter, which represents spin-gradient viscosity $\lambda$, and the microgyration vector $m$ causes a decrease in the coefficient of friction.

**Nomenclature**

- $B$: dimensionless material parameter
- $C_f$: local coefficient of friction
- $C_p$: specific heat of the fluid at constant pressure
- $g$: magnitude of acceleration due to gravity
- $Gr$: Grashof number, $g\beta(T_w-T_\infty)L^3/\nu^2$
- $h$: heat transfer coefficient
- $j$: microinertia density
- $k$: thermal conductivity
- $L$: characteristic length of plate
- $N$: microrotation
- $Nu_x$: local Nusselt number
- $Pr$: Prandtl number, $\nu/\alpha$
- $q$: surface heat flux
- $R$: vortex viscosity parameter
- $t$: dimensionless time
- $T$: temperature

**Greek symbols**

- $\alpha$: thermal diffusivity
- $\beta$: volumetric coefficient of thermal expansion
- $\gamma$: spin gradient viscosity
- $\kappa$: vortex viscosity
- $\lambda$: dimensionless material parameter
- $\theta$: non-dimensional temperature
- $\mu$: dynamic viscosity
- $\nu$: kinematic viscosity
- $\rho$: fluid density
- $\omega$: microrotation component

**Subscripts**

- $\infty$: free stream condition

**Superscripts**

- dimensional variables
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