The detailed analysis of rate equation roots of BH-laser diode using Volterra series
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Abstract: In this study, the rate equation analysis of a BH-laser diode was performed for output carrier density \( N_o \) using the zero-degree solution of the Volterra series. The carrier rate equation of the laser diode was analyzed in terms of input carrier density \( N_i \) and output carrier density modeling \( N_i N_o \), with respect to the DC current \( I_o \). The polynomial root, which is obtained from the zero-degree solution \( N_o \) and limit values of \( I_o \), was found. For the linear operation of the laser diode, the range of \( I_o \) current was also determined using a linearization approach and the maximum value of \( N_o \).
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1. Introduction

A semiconductor laser diode is necessary and one of the most important devices of commercial fiberoptic telecommunications and data transmission systems. It has 3 main characteristics [1–9]: gain [10–17], refractive index change [18,19], and alpha parameter [20–23]. This study is closely related to the gain, which also affects the other characteristic quantities.

The other elements used in the communication or data transmission system are selected according to the optical output power that is produced by the diode laser. The state of the optical output power is specifically important due to the effects of intermodulation distortion on applications and subcarrier systems. One especially important feature is the effect and fluctuation of optical power, which is more evident and greater when a low DC supply current \( I_o \) is applied [24–31]. As the amount of power increases to a certain level, the power fluctuation may be reduced significantly. However, it cannot be eliminated completely. Some of the techniques that are used to reduce fluctuations are optical, electronic or optoelectronic feedbacks, or a combination of these.

2. The basic rate equations of laser diodes

In this study, the kernels of the Volterra series (H1, H2, and H3) are analyzed. The equations of Hassine et al. [32] are approximations for the exact rate equations. We use the basic single-mode laser diode rate equations
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given by Hassine et al [32] to develop our model:

\[ \frac{dp(t)}{dt} = \Gamma A [n(t) - N_{tr}] [1 - \dot{\varepsilon} p(t)] p(t) - \frac{1}{\tau_p} p(t) + \frac{\beta \Gamma}{\tau_n} n(t), \]  

(1)

\[ \frac{dn(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{q} I(t) - \frac{1}{\tau_n} n(t) - \Gamma A [n(t) - N_{tr}] [1 - \dot{\varepsilon} p(t)] p(t), \]  

(2)

in which \( p(t) \) is the photon population number and \( n(t) \) is the charge carrier population number inside the laser diode active region. The other laser diode parameters are given in the Table.

| \( \Gamma \) | Confinement factor | 0.3 |
| \( A \) | Gain coefficient | \( 1.83 \times 10^4 \) s\(^{-1}\) |
| \( N_{tr} \) | Carrier density at transparency | 10\(^6\) |
| \( \tau_p \) | Photon lifetime | \( 1.6 \times 10^{-12} \) s |
| \( \tau_n \) | Carrier recombination lifetime | \( 2.2 \times 10^{-9} \) s |
| \( \beta \) | Spontaneous emission fraction | \( 10^{-4} \) |
| \( I(t) \) | Total current | |
| \( q \) | Electron charge | \( 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \) C |
| \( \dot{\varepsilon} \) | Dimensionless gain factor in which \( V \) is the active region volume | \( \dot{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon / V = 10^{-6} \) |

3. The zero-order solution

We first determine \( N_o \) and \( P_o \). We obtain:

\[ P_o = \frac{\tau_p}{q} I_o + \frac{\tau_p}{\tau_n} [\beta \Gamma - 1] N_o. \]  

(3)

Substituting this expression for \( P_o \), we obtain the cubic polynomial

\[ N_o^3 + N_o^2 R_{2N} + N_o R_{1N} + R_{oN} = 0, \]  

(4)

in which

\[ R_{2N} = - \left[ N_{tr} + \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_p} \right] \frac{2 \tau_n}{q (\beta \Gamma - 1)} I_o, \]

\[ R_{1N} = - \frac{1 - \Gamma A N_{tr} \tau_p (\beta \Gamma - 1)}{A \varepsilon \tau_p (\beta \Gamma - 1)^2} \left[ \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_p} \right] + \frac{2 \varepsilon N_{tr} \tau_p (\beta \Gamma - 1) + \tau_n \tau_n I_o}{q (\beta \Gamma - 1)^2} \left[ \frac{\tau_n}{q (\beta \Gamma - 1)} \right]^2 I_o^2, \]

and

\[ R_{oN} = \frac{1 + \tau_p \beta \Gamma N_{tr}}{q \Gamma A \varepsilon (\beta \Gamma - 1)^2} \left( \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_p} \right)^2 I_o - \left[ \frac{\tau_n}{q (\beta \Gamma - 1)} \right]^2 N_{tr} I_o^2. \]  

(5)

Comprehensive analysis of this solution and the Volterra series is made in [24,33–36]. The roots curves that are obtained from the zero-degree equation (Eq. (4)) are given below. The first root curve is shown in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 illustrates the \( I_o - N_o \) (output current-carrier density) increase. This curve corresponding to \( I_o \) does not increase linearly with the increase in \( N_o \). The characteristics of \( N_o \) between current values of 0 and 540
37 mA are decreasing, and at 37 mA it is approaching zero. We observe a cycle between 37 mA and 161 mA; it increases for $I_o$ values greater than 37 mA until a local minimum value, after which it declines and approaches zero value at 161 mA. We then observe a nonlinear increase between 161 and 300 mA current values.

Figure 2 shows the first root of $N_i$ and $N_o$ variation. The value of $N_i$ saturates approximately at $N_i = 1 \times 10^{29}, N_o = 2.1 \times 10^{27}$. When the $I_o$ current is increased, the value of $N_i$ also increases. However, a nonlinear decrease in $N_o$ occurs after the saturation point. $dN_o/dN_i$ varies in a nonlinear manner.

The second root of $N_i - N_o$ variation is seen in Figure 3. The overall structure of injected carrier density and current change is in the third-level type of deviation point. In general, this type is the unique singular point of origin.

The third root of $I_o - N_o$ variation is seen in Figure 4. This corresponds to the increase in $I_o$ current between 0 and 37 mA, where the reduction of $N_o$ occurs. This reduction is a low-order one that can be accepted as linear with negligible error.

When the $I_o$ current increases from 37 mA to 180 mA, $N_o$ increases nonlinearly. $dN_o/dI_o$ displays the nonlinear variation. Therefore, the linear increase in variation cannot be obtained as a function of $N_o$.

The relationship between $N_i - N_o$ curves is shown in Figure 5. This curve was obtained using the $I_o$ current from 15 to 45 mA. The curve can be considered linear with negligible error for approximately $1.5 \times 10^{28}$ M$^3$ values of $N_i$. The value of $1.5 \times 10^{28}$ M$^3$ can be used in real applications. Carrier density displays nonlinear conductance characteristics [35].

Figure 5 shows a nonlinear conductance curve of current-voltage curve type. The type of nonlinear conductance equation is given as $i_{max} \tanh [(g\nu)/(i_{max})]$. This equation can be taken as an electrical equivalent circuit of the laser diode. When this arrangement is completed, the maximum value of $I_o$ that is feasible in the linear region can be found. The variation of $dN_o/dN_i$ shows the same slope or gain in the limited region. It displays linear characteristics disregarding small amount of errors in this region.

The overall structure of $N_i - N_o$ root variation was also obtained as in Figure 6. The structure of the roots is a curve that rotates counter-clockwise. The carrier density ($N_o$) is also a parabolic curve. The effect of neither the saturation current nor the noise can be seen directly in the employed equations. The $N_i$ value decreases after reaching a maximum value, while $N_o$ value increases. Although we acknowledge this theoretically,
we do not think that this is acceptable for practical applications. However, in theory, the production of \( N_i \) depends on the input current \( I_o \), and therefore this case is a contradiction. According to these curves, the rotation points of 1, 2, and 3 roots can be accepted as the largest values of \( N_i \). The peak values of the roots are obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
N_i &= 1.0 \times 10^{29} \\
N_i &= 3.0 \times 10^{29} \\
N_i &= 4.0 \times 10^{29} \\
N_i &= 1.0 \times 10^{30} \\
N_i &= 2.0 \times 10^{30} \\
N_i &= 3.0 \times 10^{30} \\
N_i &= 2.0 \times 10^{31} \\
N_i &= 5.0 \times 10^{31}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 3. Second root of \( N_i - N_o \) variation.

Figure 4. Third root of \( I_o - N_o \) variation.

Figure 5. Third root of \( N_i - N_o \) (14–50 mA) variation.

\[
\begin{align*}
1 \to N_i &= 0.65 \times 10^{32} \to N_o = 1.6 \times 10^{32}, \\
2 \to N_i &= 7.5 \times 10^{32} \to N_o = 1.3 \times 10^{33}, \text{ and} \\
3 \to N_i &= 2.5 \times 10^{32} \to N_o = 3 \times 10^{32}.
\end{align*}
\]

In the descending order of 1, 3, and 2, the rate of increase or the angle of the gradient of \( N_i \) describes a straight line. However, the decrease in \( N_i \) versus increasing \( N_o \) is observed in the results for the roots of 1, 2, and 3. This is not physically possible. We acknowledge these turning points as the maximum value of \( N_i \). Accordingly, the photon output is also reduced. This corresponds to the maximum carrier density at a point of saturation current.
Under these conditions, the maximum value that may be acknowledged is $N_o = 1.3 \times 10^{33}$ M$^3$. All kinds of noises are the results of other effects in this equation. When we remove the loss terms from this theoretical multiplication, the real value of $N_o$ can be obtained. As a function of real $N_o$ or gain, the photon density at the output can be obtained. Accordingly, $N_o$ is greater than $N_i$ at the input. Under normal circumstances, this cannot be correct. These results are directly related to the photon density, gain, and optical output power of the laser diode. $G = \Gamma g \nu_g$ is defined as the linear net gain [37–42]. The first practical solution of these processes to increase this gain is selecting a material with high gain when manufacturing the laser diode. The second solution is to boost the confinement factor ($\Gamma$) or decrease the group velocity ($\nu_g$). Certainly, optimum results can be obtained if all of these solutions are applied altogether. However, in practice, this is not always feasible. The largest value of the roots has been identified as $N_i = 7.5 \times 10^{32}$ M$^3$. This value is the peak or the return value of the parabola. The rate values of $N_i/N_o$ and $N_o/N_i$ correspond to 0.576 and 1.733, respectively. This ratio is obtained theoretically with no loss as a result of the 3 roots, which are derived from Eq. (4). $N_p + \Delta N_p = Npe^{\gamma \Delta z}$ is defined as the ultimate density. The parameter $\Delta N_p$ can be set as $N_p\nu_g\Delta t$. This change is defined by $\frac{dN_p}{dt} = -\frac{\Delta N_p}{\Delta t} = \nu_g\nu_Np$ or $\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{\eta I}{V_p} - \frac{N}{\tau} - \nu_g\nu_Np$. Depending on the carrier density, the characteristics of optical power can be changed by $V/V_p(\Gamma)$ [37–42].

Gain is defined as a function of the carrier $g \approx \alpha(N - N_{cr})$. $\alpha$ expression in the differential gain is defined as the $\partial g/\partial N$. From the values of electron density ($V$) and photon density ($V_p$), the electron-photon overlap factor can be given as $V/V_p$. This confinement factor ($\Gamma$) is defined in [37–42]. According to these definitions, the value of $N_o/N_i = 1.733$ is not correct. Depending on the previous study, the calculation of values of $N$ and $P$ was completed related to the $I_o$ current. Thus, the second root is used as an appropriate root [24]. The other 2 roots are not suitable for $N$ and $P$ values. The lack of solution after 1250 mA in the present study is displayed. This study supports our previous works.

![Figure 6. Overall structure of $N_i - N_o$ root variation.](image1)

![Figure 7. Total $I_o - N_o$ output and piecewise linear approach.](image2)

$I_o$ currents versus the total carrier density are obtained in Figure 7. The value of $N_o$ that is obtained from the zero-order solution can be evaluated by the gain according to the partial linearity. These regions are divided into 5 categories. The slope of the straight lines can be given as:
1st region: This region corresponds to slope (m) $\tan g = 9.7^\circ$.

2nd region: This region corresponds to slope (m) $\tan g = 24.3^\circ$.

3rd region: This region corresponds to slope (m) $\tan g = 37.87^\circ$.

4th region: This region corresponds to slope (m) $\tan g = 46.87^\circ$.

5th region: This region corresponds to slope (m) $\tan g = 54.70^\circ$.

The region of the largest or the most rapid variation of $dN_o/dI_o$ is the 5th region. However, the 5th region corresponds to the $I_th = 13.8$ mA of laser diode, and the $I_o$ current is equal to 1250 mA. This current is quite large for the laser diodes. Therefore, it is theoretically probable but not practically possible. In addition, we showed that Eqs. (1) and (2) were unresolved after 1250 mA in previous studies [25]. In this case, the 1st and 2nd regions are appropriate for practical applications of the laser diode. Slope angles of these regions are 9.7° and 24.30°, respectively. Region 2 has more gain than others in the nonlinear curve that is obtained from Figure 7. Thus, the $N_o$ value will be bigger in than other regions. These values of $N_i$, $N_o$, and rate are $5 \times 10^{32}$ M$^3$, $3.5 \times 10^{32}$ M$^3$, and $N_o/N_i = 3.5/5 = 0.7$, respectively. If the other losses are subtracted from these values, the $N_o/N_i$ ratio falls to much lower values. Taking into account that the confinement factor ($\Gamma = V/V_p$) is approximately 0.3 in practice, the $N_o/N_i$ ratio is only effective for a very small part of the photon production [37–42]. The best linear region is region 1, in which the $I_o$ current is below 100 mA. Region 1 can be considered as the most linear part of the optical output power. $I_o$ injection current with maximum gain is 67.55 mA and 24–30.50 mA for the best linear region, as stated in [30]. There is a direct and indirect relationship between $dP_o/dI_o$ and $dN_o/dI_o$ slopes in the piecewise linear approach.

The output power and current of the CW laser diode characteristics obtained from the experimental results are given in Figure 8. There is a close relationship between the optical output power and the carrier density. When the absolute gain $dp(t)/dt = (G - \gamma) P + R_sp$ increases, the optical output power increases, too [39,40]. The turning point of the power-current curve corresponds to the maximum output power. After reaching the maximum value of power, the gain and the output power decrease. Optical output power is limited by limiting the gain increase. The optical output power is decreased due to the decreasing gain. This situation indirectly affects the state of $N_o$ carrier density. The power-current curve that is obtained via experimentation is also given in Figure 9.

![Figure 8. Optical output power versus current ($I_o$) of CW laser diode.](image-url)
4. Conclusion

In this study, an analysis of the carrier density \( N \) rate equation of laser diodes was performed. In this analysis, the results obtained from the zero-order solutions are:

1. Root change is not linear.
2. Noise effects are not observed directly.
3. Although there is no saturation current in the expression, maxima are found in the analysis of the root equations.

The following results are found as shown in Figure 6:

For \( N_o \),
\[
1 \to 20 \log_{10}(1 \times 10^{32}) = 640 \text{ dB}, \quad 3 \to 20 \log_{10}(4.5 \times 10^{32}) = 653 \text{ dB}, \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \to 20 \log_{10}(1.3 \times 10^{33}) = 662 \text{ dB};
\]

For \( N_i \),
\[
1 \to 20 \log_{10}(0.75 \times 10^{32}) = 637 \text{ dB}, \quad 3 \to 20 \log_{10}(2.5 \times 10^{32}) = 647 \text{ dB}, \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \to 20 \log_{10}(7.3 \times 10^{32}) = 657 \text{ dB}.
\]

Temperature dependencies of the variables used in the equations of this study are not known. In this aspect, this is a shortcoming. However, in this case, the real photon \( P \) output was obtained. In addition, we think that every noise term should be taken into account. Theoretically, the laser diode rate equation has a solution as \( I_o \to \infty \). However, root variations for \( I_o \) have a solution in the limited area. In that case, the results are not suitable for practical applications in the calculation of the carrier density. The conclusion that the optical output power is affected by carrier density is important for practical applications. The consistency of the experimental result with the theoretical results proves the applicability of the proposed study.

In future studies, the rate equations must be rearranged for infinitive real solutions of \( I_o \). These equations should be included in various arrangements depending on noises, temperature of variables, saturation, and cutting conditions. In this case, the application will be closer to the obtained real results.
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