1. Introduction

The composition of the terrigenous fraction in marine sediments reflects the geology of the surrounding landmasses, as well as the predominant sedimentary processes. The terrigenous fraction in the Aegean Sea has sources in the Aegean Islands and the drainage basins of moderately sized rivers draining into the Aegean Sea (Figure 1). Several discrete dark-colored sedimentary units rich in organic carbon (referred to as sapropels) have been recognized across the Mediterranean Sea (e.g., Rohling, 1994; Murat and Göt, 2000; van der Meer et al., 2007). These deposits are extraordinary because under normal conditions a large proportion of the organic matter in the ocean is readily oxidized and consumed by bacterial grazing, so does not accumulate on the seafloor. Therefore, sapropel deposition requires substantial modifications within the surface and bottom waters, which are thought to have occurred as a response to distinct changes in the local hydrographic regime and biogeochemical cycling linked to global and regional climatic variations (Rohling et al., 2004 and references therein).

Since the first discovery of Mediterranean sapropels, several hypotheses have been postulated to explain their formation; however, precise mechanisms are still debated. Excess accumulation of organic carbon on the seafloor can occur either due to enhanced preservation following the development of dysoxic/anoxic/euxinic bottom-water conditions (e.g., Demaison and Moore, 1980; Cramp and O'Sullivan, 1999; Emeis et al., 2000; Kotthoff et al., 2008) or when there is increased biological productivity in the surface ocean, which provides higher organic matter fluxes to the seafloor than can be readily oxidized...
or bacterially grazed (e.g., Calvert, 1983; Pedersen and Calvert, 1990; van Os et al., 1991; Calvert et al., 1992; Struck et al., 2001; Grelaud et al., 2012). Evidence from previous studies has indicated that sapropel formation is the result of a combination of high organic matter fluxes (ascribed to enhanced export production), intense oxygen consumption in the water column, and reduced oxygen advection to the deeper ocean (Rohling and Gieskes, 1989; Howell and Thunell, 1992; Rohling, 1994; Strohle and Krom, 1997; Casford et al., 2002).

This paper presents multiproxy data from five piston cores of 6–10 m in length from the Aegean Sea and discusses the surface- and bottom-water conditions during times of sapropel formation. It aims to elucidate the primary mechanism(s) leading to increased organic carbon accumulations in the Aegean Sea, and to determine the original environment of high organic carbon accumulation and the roles of preservation of organic matter on the seafloor versus enhanced biological productivity.

1.1. Seabed morphology and hydrography of the Aegean Sea
The Aegean Sea is an elongate embayment that forms the northeastern extension of the eastern Mediterranean Sea (Figure 1). To the northeast, it is connected to the Black Sea through the straits of Dardanelles and Bosphorus and the intervening small land-locked Marmara Sea. In the south, the Aegean Sea communicates with the eastern
The physical oceanography of the Aegean Sea is controlled primarily by the regional climate, the freshwater discharge from major rivers draining southeastern Europe, and seasonal variations in the Black Sea surface-water outflow through the Strait of Dardanelles (Zervakis et al., 2004). The surface water hydrography is characterized by a large-scale cyclonic circulation, although the most active dynamic features of the Aegean Sea are its mesoscale cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies (Figure 1; Lykousis et al., 2002). A branch of the westward-flowing Asia Minor Current deviates toward the north, out of the eastern Mediterranean basin and into the Aegean Sea, carrying the warm (16–25 °C) and saline (39.2–39.5 psu) Levantine Surface Water and Levantine Intermediate Water along the western coast of Turkey. The Levantine water mass occupies the uppermost 400 m of the water column. The Asia Minor Current reaches the northern Aegean Sea, where it encounters the relatively cool (9–22 °C) and less saline (22–23 psu) Black Sea water and forms a strong thermohaline front. As a result, the water column structure in the northern and central Aegean Sea comprises a surface veneer 20–70 m thick consisting of modified Black Sea water overlying a Levantine intermediate water mass of higher salinity that extends down to 400 m. The water column below 400 m in the Aegean Sea is of uniform temperature (13–14 °C) and salinity (39.1–39.2 psu; Zervakis et al., 2000, 2004; Velaoras and Lascaratos, 2005). The surface and intermediate waters follow the general counter-clockwise circulation of the Aegean Sea and progressively mix as they flow southwards along the eastern coast of mainland Greece.

Bottom-water formation in the Aegean Sea mainly occurs in two regions in the northern Aegean Sea where there is rapid cooling and downwelling of the Levantine Surface and/or the Black Sea Surface water masses during the winter months (Figure 1; Zervakis et al., 2004; Gertman et al., 2006). Minor deep water formation also occurs in the western portion of the Cyclades. This evolving bottom water mass flows southward, progressively spreading across the deep Aegean Sea basins (Figure 1; Zervakis et al., 2004). Thus, the water column below 400 m in the Aegean Sea is of uniform temperature (13–14 °C) and salinity (39.1–39.2 psu; Zervakis et al., 2000, 2004; Velaoras and Lascaratos, 2005). Previous studies have shown that there is a significant density contrast between the deep waters of the northern-central and southern Aegean basins; in particular, the density values in the north are the highest in the eastern Mediterranean region (29.64 kg m–3; Zervakis et al., 2000). The presence of such high-density bottom waters together with the limited exchange depth (down to ~400 m) suggest that deep water formation in these basins is a local phenomenon that, in turn, leads to the inference that the Aegean Sea, at least north of the Cyclades, behaves as a concentration basin. The rate of deep water formation and the residence time of this water are closely related to the size of each subbasin and the characteristics and circulation of the overlying intermediate layers. Hydrographic surveys show that an influx of Aegean Sea water has replaced 20% of the deep and bottom waters of the eastern Mediterranean Sea, suggesting that the Aegean Sea (in addition to the Adriatic Sea) may play an important role in the physical oceanography of the eastern Mediterranean Sea during highstand conditions like those in effect today (Roether et al., 1996).

2. Materials and methods

Five piston cores and their trigger-weight gravity cores were collected from the Aegean Sea during the 2003 cruise MAR03 of the RV Koca Piri Reis of the Institute of Marine Sciences and Technology, Dokuz Eylül University (Figure 1; Table 1). Piston cores were collected using a 9–12-m-long Benthos piston corer (1000-kg head weight) and a 3-m-long trigger-weight gravity corer (300-kg head weight). Core locations were recorded using an onboard Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver. Water depths at the core sites were determined using a 12-kHz echo sounder.

Cores were shipped to Memorial University of Newfoundland where they were split and described. Sediment color was determined using the Rock Color Chart published by the Geological Society of America in 1984.
For oxygen isotopic analyses, the planktonic foraminifera *Globigerinoides ruber* and the benthic foraminifera *Uvigerina mediterranea* were used. For a few samples, where *G. ruber* was absent, *Globigerina bulloides* was picked instead. For planktonic foraminifera, the oxygen and carbon isotopic values of both *G. ruber* and *G. bulloides* are plotted using different colors and scales (see Appendices 1 and 2). There are 30 samples in which both *G. ruber* and *G. bulloides* were analyzed. These samples show a clear and remarkably consistent offset, which can be removed by shifting the oxygen and carbon isotopic curves for *G. bulloides* by ~1‰ (the middle column; Appendices 1 and 2), creating pseudocomposite isotopic curves. These pseudocomposite plots are carried forward into subsequent figures that require the oxygen and carbon isotopic records of cores MAR03-27 and MAR03-28, but with the isotopic values for both *G. ruber* and *G. bulloides* displayed using separate horizontal scales and different colors for clarity.

In each sample, 15–20 *G. ruber* and 4–6 *U. mediterranea* (or 15–20 *G. bulloides*) were hand-picked from the >150-μm fractions, cleaned in distilled water, and dried in an oven at 50 °C. The foraminiferal samples were then placed in 12-mL autoinjector reaction vessels. The reaction vessels were covered with Exetainer screw caps with pierceable septa, and were placed in a heated sample holder held at 70 °C. Using a GC Pal autoinjector, the vials were flushed with ultrahigh-purity He for 5 min using a double-holed needle connected by tubing to the He gas source. Sample vials were then manually injected with 0.1 mL of 100% H₃PO₄ using a syringe and needle. A minimum of 1 h was allowed for carbonate samples to react with the phosphoric acid. The samples were analyzed using a triple collector Thermo Electron Delta V Plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Reference gases were prepared from three different standards of known isotopic composition using the same methods employed for the unknown samples, and were used to calibrate each run. The δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C values are reported with respect to the Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB) standard.

The amounts of total organic carbon (TOC) and total sedimentary sulfur (TS) and the isotopic composition of TOC and sedimentary sulfur were determined using a CarloErba NA 1500 Elemental Analyzer coupled to a Finnegan MAT 252 isotope-ratio mass spectrometer. Samples were acidified using 30% HCl, and carbonate-free residues were dried overnight in an oven at 40 °C and then powdered. Approximately 15 mg of sample was transferred into 4–6-mm tin capsules, which were then sealed in preparation for analysis. TOC in the samples was converted to CO₂, SO₂, H₂O, and other oxidized gases in the oxidation chamber and then passed through a reduction reagent, a Mg(ClO₄)₂ water trap, and a 1.2-m Poropak QS 50/80 chromatographic column at 70 °C for final isolation. The TOC and TS concentrations in the samples were back-calculated as percentages of the dry weight sediment. Isotopic analyses for δ¹³Corg and δ³⁴S are reported in standard notation referenced to the standards VPDB and VCDT, respectively.

Stacked planktonic and benthic oxygen-isotope curves were constructed by averaging the age-converted isotopic values of *G. ruber* and *U. mediterranea* in the cores. The 0–110-ka portion of the stacked planktonic oxygen-isotope curve was constructed using the average isotopic values in cores MAR03-2, MAR03-28, and MAR03-27. The section between 110 and 130 ka is based on the average isotopic values in cores MAR03-02, MAR03-03, MAR03-25, and MAR03-28. The section between 110 ka and 130 ka is based on the average of the oxygen-isotope values in cores MAR03-3 and MAR03-28. Four samples from cores MAR03-25, MAR03-27, and MAR03-28 were radiocarbon dated (Table 2).
2.1. Lithostratigraphy

On the basis of macroscopic core descriptions, organic carbon content, and color, four sapropel units and five nonsapropel units are identified and labeled as 'A' through 'I' from top to bottom (Figure 2). The correlation of the units across the five cores was accomplished by matching peaks of oxygen isotopic curves together with the stratigraphic positions of several ash layers (Figure 3; Aksu et al., 2008). Sapropels are distinguished by their comparatively darker colors and their higher TOC contents. However, a quantitative threshold is not considered as a prerequisite for sapropel designation. Instead, a sapropel is recognized of oxygen isotopic curves together with the stratigraphic positions of several ash layers (Figure 3; Aksu et al., 2008). Sapropels are distinguished by their comparatively darker colors and their higher TOC contents. However, a quantitative threshold is not considered as a prerequisite for sapropel designation. Instead, a sapropel is recognized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$^{14}$C age (yrBP)</th>
<th>Cal age (yrBP)</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-28P</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>Foraminifera</td>
<td>39,470 ± 1050</td>
<td>42,860 ± 796</td>
<td>BE246398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-28P</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>Foraminifera</td>
<td>&gt;45,000 ± 1050</td>
<td>47,717 ± 1127</td>
<td>BE246399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-25P</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>Foraminifera</td>
<td>32,960 ± 280</td>
<td>36,300 ± 325</td>
<td>OXFORD-AX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-27P</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Foraminifera</td>
<td>35,910 ± 370</td>
<td>39,933 ± 445</td>
<td>OXFORD-A22427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Uncalibrated and calibrated AMS $^{14}$C ages in foraminiferal samples. Radiocarbon ages are converted into calibrated calendar years (cal yrBP) using the IntCal Marine04 curve with global reservoir correction of 408 years and the program Calib5.0.2 (Stuiver and Reimer, 1993; Hughen et al., 2004a). A local reservoir age correction ($\Delta R = 149 \pm 30$ years) was used for the Aegean Sea (Facorellis et al., 1998).

Figure 2. Downcore plots showing the lithostratigraphic units (A through I), total organic carbon (TOC) contents, and variations in oxygen isotope values ($\delta^{18}$O) in the Aegean Sea cores. Red and blue lines are the $\delta^{18}$O values in planktonic foraminifera G. ruber and G. bulloides, respectively; aquamarine lines are the $\delta^{18}$O values in benthic foraminifera U. mediterranea. MIS = marine isotopic stages. Black fills = sapropels, red fills = volcanic ash layers (from Aksu et al., 2008). Core locations are shown in Figure 1.
when the organic carbon content is twice the background level measured in underlying and overlying units (Figure 2). Macroscopically, both sapropel and nonsapropel sediments are composed of slightly to moderately burrowed sand-bearing muds and silty muds (Figure 4). Lack of evidence for resedimentation (e.g., graded beds, sand/silt to mud couplets), paucity of terrigenous sand-sized material, and ubiquitous presence of bioturbational mottling throughout the cores collectively suggest that the sedimentation was predominantly through hemipelagic rain. The sand fraction is predominantly composed of volcanic tephra as well as biogenic remains including foraminifera, pteropods, and bivalve and gastropod shells.

Nonsapropel units A, C, E, G, and I are composed of burrow-mottled foraminifera-bearing calcareous clayey muds (Figure 4). These units are predominantly yellowish/dark yellowish brown (10YR5/4, 10YR4/2) and gray (yellowish, light and dark; 5Y5/2, 5Y6/1, 5GY6/1 gray) (Figure 4). The average TOC content is 0.5% and mainly ranges between 0.4% and 0.7% with relatively higher organic carbon contents in unit G, reaching 0.9% (Figure 2). Unit A contains an ash layer that is largely disseminated in fine mud. The ash is widespread throughout the Aegean Sea and part of the eastern Mediterranean Sea and has been identified as the Z2 tephra from the Minoan eruption of Santorini Island (Aksu et al., 2008).

Unit C contains three tephra layers that were described and identified by Aksu et al. (2008): (i) the Y2 tephra associated with the Cape Riva eruption on the island of Santorini (also known as the Akrotiri eruption), (ii) the Y5 tephra related to the Campanian Igimbrite eruption of the Phlegraean Fields of the Italian Volcanic Province, and (iii) the Nisyros tephra associated with the Nisyros eruptions on the island of Nisyros. These ash layers form discrete beds with discernible sharp bases and tops in the cores, with thicknesses ranging from 3 to 53 cm (Figure 4). Unit E contains an ash layer disseminated in mud in cores MAR03-25 and MAR03-27. This tephra layer is correlated with the X1 tephra, most likely derived from the Aeolian Islands, Italy (Aksu et al., 2008).

Sapropel units B, D, F, and H are distinguished from overlying/underlying units by their darker olive gray color (5Y4/1, 5Y3/2, 5Y4/2, 5Y5/2, 5Y2/2, 5Y2/1). They are composed of color-banded clayey mud with a sharp base, overprinted by sharp-walled and oval-shaped burrows ~1 mm in diameter identified as Chondrites (Figure 4). The

Figure 3. Correlation of ash layers (red) and lithostratigraphic units across the Aegean Sea cores. Ash layers Z2, Y2, Y5, Nis, and X1 (red fills) are from Aksu et al. (2008). Sapropels are shown as black fills with S1, S3, S4, and S5 designations. Global oxygen isotopic stage boundaries are from Lisiecki and Raymo (2005). Core locations are shown in Figure 1. Numbers in brackets below core identifiers are water depths.
organic carbon contents display significant variations among sapropel units ranging between 1% and 12.65% (Figure 2).

2.2. Age models

The cores were converted from a depth domain to a time domain using a number of age control points (Figure 5; Table 3). The control points include (i) beds/units for which the ages are well constrained, including the most recent sapropel layer S1 and the tephra layers Z2, Y2, and Y5, and (ii) points determined by curve matching of the oxygen-isotope signals from the cores with those in the global oxygen-isotope curve of Lisiecki and Raymo (2005). Maximum isotopic enrichments are considered more reliable than depleted values for the purposes of curve matching because the depleted oxygen-isotope signals, particularly high amplitude values, can be generated by local/episodic changes (e.g., river input pulses) and, accordingly, might not correspond to global climatic changes.

The tephra ages used in this paper come from dating of the associated eruptions on land (summarized in Aksu et al., 2008) because these are more direct measurements than ages interpreted from marine cores (e.g., Satow et al., 2015). Recent refinements to the age model for the δ¹⁸O record of the eastern Mediterranean area (Grant et al., 2012) are consistent with the global curve of Lisiecki and Raymo (2005) at the level of resolution of the cores considered in this paper. This is demonstrated by the excellent correspondence of all prominent peaks and troughs of the Lisiecki and Raymo (2005) curve with the isotopic curve from U/Th-dated speleothems of Soreq cave, Israel (Figure 5; Soreq cave data from Grant et al., 2012, their supplementary data, worksheet 2, columns 1 and J). In particular, the age picks of the control points used in this paper differ by no more than 1 ka from where equivalent points are found on the Soreq cave plot.

The depth-to-age conversion reveals that the oldest sediment recovered in the cores (unit I) dates from ~130 ka at the transition from MIS 6 to MIS 5 (Figure 5). The interpolated basal ages of sapropels S3, S4, and S5 are 83.2–80.4 ka, 106.4–105.8 ka, and 128.6–128.4 ka, respectively (Table 4). These ages are in good agreement with the previously published ages of sapropels S3, S4, and S5 during MIS 5a, 5c, and 5e in the eastern Mediterranean Sea (Figure 5; Rossignol-Strick, 1985; Emery et al., 2003).

3. Results

3.1. Oxygen isotopes

The age-converted stacked δ¹⁸O curves for planktonic and benthic foraminifera illustrate that there are predictable
variations in oxygen isotopic composition of the Aegean Sea during the last 130 ka. Moderate to large amplitude excursions in the δ¹⁸O records correspond to glacial and interglacial stages (Figure 6). For example, the ~4‰ δ¹⁸O depletions in the upper segments of the cores mark the MIS 2–1 transition (Figure 6). The prolonged enrichment of ~3‰ in planktonic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O values in the middle portions of the cores (80–60 ka) reflects the transition from MIS 5 to MIS 4 (Figure 6). The abrupt enrichment of ~3‰ within MIS 5 is associated with the transition from MIS 5e to 5d. MISs 1, 3, 5a, 5c, and 5e are marked by moderately depleted (~1.2‰) to highly...
depleted planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}O$ (0.2‰–0.6‰ in MIS 1 and MIS 5), suggesting warmer and possibly less saline conditions. Planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}O$ values are notably heavier during MIS 2 and 4 (~2.8‰–3.2‰ in MIS 2 and MIS 4), suggesting cooler and possibly more saline conditions (Figure 6). These $\delta^{18}O$ oscillations can be readily correlated with the global oxygen isotopic data (Figure 6; Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005). The depleted $\delta^{18}O$ values during MIS 1 and MIS 5 show clear association with times of sapropel deposition. The data show that

Table 3. Control points used in the construction of the chronology in the Aegean Sea cores. The ages of the marine isotope stages (MIS) are from Lisiecki and Raymo (2005), the ages of the tephra layers are from Aksu et al. (2008), the ages of sapropel S1 are from İşler et al. (2015), and $^{14}C$ dates are from Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control points</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z2 tephra</td>
<td>3613</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 top</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 base</td>
<td>9900</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS1/2</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>110,5</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS2 max</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2 tephra</td>
<td>21,554</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}C$ date 1</td>
<td>36,300</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}C$ date 2</td>
<td>39,933</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y5 tephra</td>
<td>39,280</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}C$ date 3</td>
<td>42,860</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 3/4</td>
<td>57,000</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 4/5</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 5.2</td>
<td>87,000</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 5.4</td>
<td>109,000</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 5.5</td>
<td>123,000</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 5/6</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Calculated ages of sapropels S3, S4, and S5 in the Aegean Sea cores compared to those identified in core LC21 from the Cretan Trough (Grant et al., 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-02</td>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>82,800</td>
<td>106,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>76,600</td>
<td>94,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-03</td>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>83,200</td>
<td>105,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>72,600</td>
<td>100,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-25</td>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>81,600</td>
<td>105,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>76,800</td>
<td>97,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-27</td>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>80,400</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR03-28</td>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>80,600</td>
<td>105,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>70,800</td>
<td>96,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC21</td>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>86,140</td>
<td>108,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>82,950</td>
<td>100,950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
depletions are strongest during and immediately following the accumulation of sapropels S1 and S5, ranging from 0.6‰ to 0.9‰ in *U. mediterranea* and from 0.3‰ to 0.6‰ in *G. ruber* (Figure 6). In sapropels S3 and S4, δ18O values show similar yet modest variations changing on average between 1.4‰ and 1.8‰ relative to adjacent units. In cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-02, the planktonic and benthic δ18O values demonstrate similar magnitude depletions and enrichments (Figure 6). Such close covariation allows credible interpretations of the surface-water conditions for cores for which only benthic foraminiferal δ18O data are available.

3.2. Elemental carbon and sulfur (TOC, TS)
The TOC and TS percentages show close covariation in the Aegean Sea cores. Across nonsapropel intervals, the TOC and TS values fluctuate between 0.3% and 0.6% and between 0.1% and 0.4%, respectively (Figures 7 and 8). In cores MAR03-27, MAR03-25, and MAR03-28, sulfur concentrations are higher between 40 and 18
ka, showing values ranging generally from 0.4% to 1% (cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-3; Figure 8). Within the most recent sapropel S1, organic carbon content varies from 1.1% in core MAR03-2 to 2.98% in core MAR03-25 (Figure 7). In core MAR03-2, it changes upward from 2.3% to 1.1% to 1.8%, suggesting two peaks of organic matter accumulation in the North Ikaria Basin. The intervening decline in organic-matter accumulation is not recognized in the other cores, either because it is not present or because it was not captured by the 10-cm sample spacing. In sapropel S3, TOC contents range from 1.05% to 2.97%, averaging 1.74%. In sapropel S4, maximum and minimum TOC contents of 9.41% and 0.47% are observed in cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-3; it is certainly a nonsapropel mud in the latter core (Figure 7). Moreover, in cores MAR03-2, MAR03-3, and MAR03-28, organic carbon percentages display fluctuations across S4 creating a double-peaked plot, becoming lower in TOC contents within the mid-portions ranging from 0.47% to 0.83%. Sapropel S5 contains the highest organic carbon content, reaching 12.65% at its middle in core MAR03-28, and shows a noticeably higher average TOC content than the upper sapropels, with values of 9.49% and 6.15% in cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-3, respectively (Figure 7).

TS values range from 0.5% to 1.6% in sapropel S1. In parallel to the S3 TOC concentrations, higher TS abundances are observed in sapropel S3 in cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-27, reaching 1.2% and 2.4%, respectively (Figure 8). In sapropel S4, TS values range from 0.8% to 1.35%. In core MAR03-28, both the TOC and TS concentrations show a prominent spike within the lower portions of S4 where they increase to 9.65% and 3.5%. Maximum TS values are 2.8% in sapropel S5 (Figure 8).
3.3. Carbon and sulfur isotopes (\(\delta^{13}C_{\text{org}}\) and \(\delta^{34}S\))

The \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{org}}\) values range between \(-22.5\%\) and \(-24\%\) with episodic intervals showing maximum depletions of about \(-27\%\) (Figure 7). Sapropels S3, S4, and S5 are characterized by slight enrichments in \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{org}}\) values with respect to the intervening nonsapropel sediments. This is not the case for S1, which does not show a consistent pattern of \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{org}}\) variation from one core to another.

Sulfur isotopes show large fractionations of \(40\%\sim50\%\) within the uppermost portions of the cores and across MIS 5 associated with interstadial/stadial transitions (Figure 8). Maximum depletions are observed within sapropels S3, S4, and S5 where \(\delta^{34}S\) values range between \(-38\%\) and \(-45\%\). Cores MAR03-28, MAR03-25, MAR03-27, and MAR03-2 exhibit similar upward trends between sapropel S3 and S1. A high amplitude positive excursion changing by as much as \(42\%\) in core MAR03-25, above sapropel S3, is followed by consistently more depleted small amplitude changes until below the most recent sapropel S1 (around 17–20 ka), where an abrupt enrichment occurs prior to sapropel S1 onset (except in core MAR03-2). A persistent enrichment in \(\delta^{34}S\) starts at the onset or middle portions of sapropel S1 and continues until the core tops with shifts of as much as \(52\%\) (core MAR03-28; Figure 8).

3.4. Benthic foraminifera

In this study, benthic foraminiferal assemblages are not described in detail; however, benthic foraminifera were examined in samples from sapropels S3, S4, and S5. These samples contain a low-abundance and low-diversity benthic foraminiferal fauna dominated by *Globobulimina affinis*, *G. pseudospinescens*, *Chilostomella mediterranensis*, *G. ruber*, and *U. mediterranea*.
Beneath an O2-free (euxinic) water column (Demaison and in marine sediments might be attributed to deposition considerable debate. High concentrations of organic carbon in marine sediments has been a subject of oceans. The role of dissolved oxygen in the preservation of controlling the deposition of organic carbon in the Sedimentation rates, bottom-water conditions (i.e. oxic, Abu-Zied et al., 2008; Melki et al., 2010).

Kennett, 1984; McCorkle et al., 1990; Stefanelli et al., 2005; Stefanelli et al., 2005; Abu-Zied et al., (Cita and Podenzani, 1980; Herman, 1981; Mullineaux and Lohmann, 1981; Stefanelli et al., 2005; Melki et al., 2010) and are known to be abundant in oxygen-poor (dysoxic) bottom water conditions (Ross and Kennett, 1984; McCorkle et al., 1990; Stefanelli et al., 2005; Abu-Zied et al., 2008; Melki et al., 2010).

4. Discussion
4.1. Bottom-water conditions
Sedimentation rates, bottom-water conditions (i.e. oxic, suboxic, dysoxic, anoxic/euxinic), the amount of export production, and bioturbation are the primary factors controlling the deposition of organic carbon in the oceans. The role of dissolved oxygen in the preservation of organic matter in marine sediments has been a subject of considerable debate. High concentrations of organic carbon in marine sediments might be attributed to deposition beneath an O2-free (euxinic) water column (Demaison and Moore, 1980) where anaerobic processes of organic carbon decomposition are less efficient than decomposition in the presence of dissolved oxygen. However, sedimentation rate plays a significant role as shown by the fact that at high rates (i.e. >40 cm ka^-1) preservation of organic carbon does not vary with the dissolved oxygen content of the bottom waters and decomposition occurs mostly under anaerobic conditions below the sediment–water interface. At sedimentation rates of <40 cm ka^-1, enhanced preservation requires bottom waters with low dissolved oxygen content or euxinic environments. Because sedimentation rates are calculated to be always <40 cm ka^-1 throughout the eastern Mediterranean Sea including the Aegean Sea, the enhanced accumulation of organic carbon leading to sapropel formation must be explained by some combination of low bottom-water oxygen contents and enhanced rates of export production.

4.1.1. Source of organic matter
In sapropels, δ13Corg values cluster within narrow ranges, varying from −23.7‰ to −23‰ in sapropel S4, −23‰ to −22‰ in sapropel S3, and −23.2‰ to −22.2‰ in sapropel S5 (Figure 9). These small variations indicate a spatial uniformity of the organic carbon isotopic values during sapropel formation with a slightly higher terrigenous component in S4. Unlike older sapropels, S1 demonstrates larger variations in δ13Corg values (−21.1‰ to −24.7‰), suggesting stronger fluctuations between marine and terrestrial organic-matter input (Figure 9). Significant increases in riverine and Black Sea water input might account for these fluctuations.

Organic carbon isotopic values have been used to identify the sources of organic matter using a linear mixing model (e.g., Fontugne and Calvert, 1992; Aksu et al., 1995a). Although the end members for the marine and terrestrial components have been reported to be −22‰ and −27‰ (Deines, 1980), it is difficult to accurately determine the end members. Considering the range of measured δ13Corg values (between −21.5‰ and −27.4‰) and those from the eastern Mediterranean Sea (maximum of −19.5‰), it is reasonable to assume a range of −18‰ to −20‰ for the marine end member and −28‰ for the terrestrial end member. Therefore, values of −19‰ and −28‰ are used as the marine and terrestrial end members, respectively. Calculations using a linear mixing model show that terrestrial- and marine-sourced organic carbon contributed nearly equally during the deposition of sapropels S5, S4, and S3 (Figure 9). In sapropel S1, the δ13Corg values are lighter at the bottom but become heavier towards the top, implying that fluvial delivery of isotopically light organic carbon was important during the onset of sapropel deposition.

On average, δ13Corg values from MIS 5 sapropels in the eastern Mediterranean are 1‰ to 2‰ heavier than those in the Aegean Sea, which indicates a noticeably higher contribution of terrestrial organic carbon during sapropel deposition in the Aegean Sea relative to the eastern Mediterranean (Figure 9). Similarly, in the eastern Mediterranean sapropel S1, the δ13Corg values are generally more enriched than those from the Aegean Sea.

4.1.2. C/S ratios
Sulfur and organic carbon relationships in modern and ancient sediments have been used to estimate bottom-water conditions at the time of deposition (e.g., Berner, 1984, 1989; Lin and Morse, 1991). The relationship between these elements can be a useful indicator for depositional environments (i.e. freshwater, normal marine, and anoxic/euxinic), since the biogeochemical cycle of sulfur is inseparable from that of carbon, and the relationship depends on the depositional environment (Berner, 1989). The sulfur in marine sediments is primarily contained in iron sulfide minerals (e.g., pyrite, pyrite). Their amount in sediment is governed by the amount/reactivity of organic matter, the availability of dissolved sulfate, and the content/reactivity of iron minerals (Berner, 1984). In normal marine sediments there is a good correlation between organic carbon and pyrite sulfur with a constant C/S ratio of about 2.8 on a weight basis (Berner, 1984). According to Berner (1984), the constant C/S ratio in normal marine sediments is due to constant fractions of organic carbon and reduced sulfur being preserved in the sediments, with organic matter limiting the amount of iron.
sulfide formed. For freshwater sediments, the availability of sulfate ions limits the amount of iron sulfide formed, resulting in a much higher C/S ratio than in normal marine sediments (Berner and Raiswell, 1983, 1984). In euxinic sediments, given the excessive presence of H₂S and HS⁻ both in the water column and at the sediment–water interface, reactive iron determines the amount of iron sulfide formed irrespective of the amount of organic carbon present.

C/S plots in the cores show positive correlations (0.63 < r < 0.86), indicating that increased TOC was associated with higher sulfide precipitation. Such a relationship suggests that the main factor controlling the sulfur content in Aegean Sea sediments is the amount of organic matter rather than availability of dissolved sulfate or iron (Figure 10). The majority of the C/S ratios from the studied sapropels plot around the normal marine line, suggesting that these sapropels formed under normal marine conditions. In all five cores, C/S ratios from upper/top portions of S1 plot on the nonmarine line (Figure 10). Such low ratios might be explained by an increase in the freshwater/brackish water budget towards the end of sapropel formation, thereby limiting the availability of SO₄⁻² and restricting the amount of S deposition as sedimentary sulfides. Several C/S ratios plotting well above the normal marine line and within the euxinic zone are observed in cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-27.

Samples with very high TOC values of 9%-12.5% in sapropel S5 (MAR03-28) plot below the normal marine line. With such high organic carbon contents, higher sulfur concentration would be expected. Less sulfide formation and/or sulfur uptake in the sediment might be ascribed to relatively higher fresh/brackish water input during the deposition of sapropel S5, perhaps elevated at the MAR03-28 site, which is at the northern end of the Aegean Sea nearest the outlet of the Strait of Dardanelles (Figure 1).

Fields defined by Stein (1986) for plots of sedimentation rate versus carbon content provide a preliminary assessment of both bottom-water oxygenation and sea-
Figure 10. Left: Relationships between total organic carbon (TOC) and total sedimentary sulfur (TS), modified from Leventhal (1995); right: relationships between marine organic carbon (OC) and sedimentation rate (modified from Stein, 1986) showing the bottom-water conditions during the deposition of sapropels S1, S3, S4, and S5. Dashed line on left is C/S = 1:2.8 reference line (from Berner, 1984). White circles = data from nonsapropel sediments. Yellow, purple, pink, and green circles represent data from sapropels S1, S3, S4, and S5, respectively. Regression lines (red) are based on all data points. Anoxic, high-productivity and normal-marine fields are based on data from Recent to Miocene sediments with insignificant amounts of terrestrial organic carbon, deposited under oxic and anoxic sea-water conditions (Leventhal, 1995).
surface primary productivity (Figure 10). Such plots are only valid for the marine component of the organic carbon fraction (OC), since the terrestrial component has no bearing on sea-surface productivity. Plots of sedimentation rate versus OC for the Aegean Sea sapropel units show a clear clustering in the ‘open marine oxic’ field, except that samples with very high TOC values (S4 and S5 in cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-3) plot within or near the anoxic field (Figure 10). These same samples plot either along the normal marine line or below it.

4.1.3. δ34S values
Core top δ34S values range between +9‰ and +11‰ with maximum and minimum values of +14‰ and −0.8‰ in cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-27, respectively (Figure 8). These values are comparatively lighter than the Mediterranean seawater value of +20.6‰ reported by de Lange et al. (1990). The δ34S signal difference of 10‰−20‰ between the Aegean core tops and Mediterranean seawater can be attributed to the following four factors: (i) the δ34S values of sulfate diffusing into Aegean Sea sediments is probably lighter than that of seawater as a consequence of preferential diffusion of 32S (Jorgensen, 1978; Chanton et al., 1987), (ii) part of the sulfur pool in the partly isolated Aegean Sea might originate from freshwater sulfate, (iii) isotope exchange reactions may have weakened the isotope signal (Fossing and Jorgensen, 1990), and (iv) coretop samples might have a mixture of sulfur with a primary seawater-sulfate signature and sulfur (as FeS) fixed during the first stages of early diagenetic sulfate reduction, which involves a large negative isotopic shift.

In MIS 5 sapropels, the δ34S values range between −40‰ and −45‰, whereas in sapropel S1, the δ34S values range between −30‰ and −35‰ in cores MAR03-27, MAR03-25, and MAR03-3 and −40‰ and −42‰ in cores MAR03-28 and MAR03-2 (Figure 8). These light values imply that fractionations of at least 60‰−65‰ occurred relative to Mediterranean seawater. To achieve fractionations of sulfur isotopes of this magnitude between sulfate and pyrite, sulfate reduction must have proceeded with a continuous and abundant supply of dissolved sulfate (open system) where exchange between near-surface pore waters and seawater readily occurred, followed by further δ34S depletion in the sulfide pool by reoxidation and disproportionation processes in the sulfur cycle (Passier et al., 1999). Provided that benthic fauna were continuously present during sapropel accumulation (to account for widely developed bioturbation) so that bottom waters were never anoxic, the appropriate geochemical conditions likely occurred immediately below the sediment-water interface as a result of a large SO42− supply via diffusion or advection relative to the SO42−-reduction rates, so that SO42− was never depleted.

Across sapropels, the δ34S values show similar values irrespective of the amount of TOC present (Figure 8). Even in sapropel S5 (12.5% TOC) the δ34S fractionations are similar to those for sediments with TOC contents of ~2%, implying that all sulfate reduction occurred below the sediment–water interface. Significantly lighter δ34S values in sapropel units (~40‰) as opposed to intervening nonsapropel layers (~2‰) suggest the presence of dysoxic bottom waters and near-surface pore waters to encourage thorough sulfate reduction, a suggestion that is supported by the dominance of Chondrites burrows in the sapropels (Löwemark et al., 2006). Moreover, maximum depletions across sapropels are even more negative than those observed in Holocene shelf muds from the southwestern Black Sea (e.g., about −32‰; Hiscott et al., 2007), probably because the Aegean Sea has a higher sulfate content due to its higher salinity.

4.2. Paleoproductivity
Sapropels are reported to form at times of elevated productivity in the surface waters (e.g., Rossignol-Strick et al., 1982; Calvert et al., 1992; Murat and Gött, 2000; Kouli et al., 2012). Estimates of the organic carbon that is exported from the photic zone into the deep sea, called the export paleoproductivity (PP), have been obtained using equations from two empirical studies. Eq. (1) is simplified from equations 1, 2, and 8 of Howell and Thunell (1992) and incorporates a preservation factor (pf), which those authors indicate to be 0.2%–0.5% in oxic marine environments, ~2.5% for the most poorly oxygenated sites in the Bannock Basin, and ~5% in the anoxic Black Sea.

\[ PP_1 = \frac{OC^*_{\text{LSR}}(dw - (1.025^*\Phi))}{10^*pf} \]  
(1)

Eq. (2) is from Müller and Suess (1979).

\[ PP_2 = \frac{OC^*_{\text{LSR}}(1-\Phi)}{0.003^*1.5^*SR^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]  
(2)

PP has units of g C m−2 year−1 (g C = grams carbon). LSR is the linear sedimentation rate (cm ka−1). Parameters fixed in the analysis presented here are \( d_w \) = the sediment wet density = 1.5 g cm−3, \( d_g \) = the sediment grain density = 2.7 g cm−3, and \( \Phi \) = the fractional porosity = 0.72. In Eq. (1), \( PP_1 \) and \( pf \) are both unknowns (within realistic limits defined by other studies), so a unique solution is not possible. As input to both Eqs. (1) and (2), LSR is crudely captured by the age models for the five cores (Figure 11), but it is not known with sufficient temporal resolution to investigate what might be important differences between sapropel and nonsapropel sedimentation rates. This issue is explored in Section 4.
Eqs. (1) and (2) appear quite dissimilar but are actually equivalent under most open-marine conditions. This is because pf, as a decimal fraction, is a function of LSR alone in nonrestricted seas (regression coefficient $R^2 = 0.98$; Müller and Suess, 1979; their figure 5 and equation 5). Specifically:

$$pf = 0.00030 \text{LSR}^{1.30} \quad (3)$$

Substituting Eq. (3) and fixed parameters into Eq. (1) gives:

$$PP_1 = \frac{254 \times \text{OC}}{1 \times \text{SR}^{0.5}} \quad (4)$$

Substituting fixed parameters into Eq. (2) gives an essentially identical equation:

$$PP_2 = \frac{252 \times \text{OC}}{1 \times \text{SR}^{0.5}} \quad (5)$$

The amount of organic carbon preserved in sediments is primarily controlled by the sedimentation rate and the amount of primary production within the photic zone. Extracting estimates of primary paleoproductivity is highly dependent on an accurate knowledge of sedimentation rates. Even with known rates, Müller and Suess (1979) attached an uncertainty of 200% to calculated values. Because of the rather high uncertainty in results expected from Eqs. (1) and (2), other relatively small analytical uncertainties (e.g., in TOC and marine organic carbon (OC$_{mar}$) content) are not tracked through the discussion. The reader should therefore view final conclusions of this paper as somewhat qualitative, although based on underlying hard analytical results from the 5 piston cores.

The sedimentation rate at a core location is controlled by several factors such as distance to source (e.g., river mouth), water depth, and sea bed morphology. Higher sedimentation rates will increase the burial rate of the organic matter, thus decreasing the time of exposure to oxic degradation. High sedimentation rates will also dilute organic carbon concentrations so that the TOC content of a sampled interval (e.g., sapropel) may not represent the actual organic carbon flux to the sea floor. The calculation of paleoproductivity values thus depends on how accurately the sedimentation rate and the organic carbon flux during a particular time interval can be specified. Sedimentation rates throughout the Aegean Sea exhibit a wide range from 2.9 cm ka$^{-1}$ to as high as 58.5 cm ka$^{-1}$ (Aksu et al., 1995; Roussakis et al., 2004; Casford, et al., 2007) with an average range of 10–13 cm ka$^{-1}$. Age tie-points in the Aegean Sea cores provide the sedimentation rates used in this paper (Figure 11), but short-term rates from the base

Figure 11. Age-converted plots showing the variations in sedimentation rates in lithostratigraphic units A through I in the Aegean Sea cores. Ash layers Z2, Y2, Y5, Nis, and X1 (red fills) are from Aksu et al. (2008). Sapropels are shown as black fills with S1, S3, S4, and S5 designations. Global oxygen isotopic stage boundaries from Lisiecki and Raymo (2005). Core locations are shown in Figure 1.
to the top of individual sapropel units are not available, introducing some uncertainty into the paleoproductivity analysis. Sedimentation rates higher than 20 cm ka\(^{-1}\) have been reported for sapropel S1; however, most researchers report a range between 9 cm ka\(^{-1}\) and 14 cm ka\(^{-1}\) with an average sedimentation rate of 12 cm ka\(^{-1}\) (Aksu et al., 1995a, 1995b; Casford et al., 2002; Roussakis et al., 2004; Gogou et al., 2007). The sedimentation rates for MIS 5 sapropels range between 2.9 cm ka\(^{-1}\) and 9.1 cm ka\(^{-1}\) with an average range of 4–6 cm ka\(^{-1}\). These are all less than the rate of 25.2 cm ka\(^{-1}\) that was reported for sapropel S5 in core LC21 northeast of Crete (Casford et al., 2002), far from the core locations considered in this paper.

Müller and Suess (1979) did not include sediments accumulating under permanently anoxic bottom water in their empirical regression analysis. Because the bottom-water conditions during accumulation of sapropels S1, S3, S4, and S5 in the Aegean Sea were never anoxic (İşler, 2012), it is valid to use Eq. (2) to infer past sea water productivity variations as downcore PP\(_2\) profiles. Both Eqs. (1) and (2) were developed for areas with negligible delivery of terrigenous organic matter to the sea floor, which is not the case for the Aegean Sea because of its semienclosed geography and significant riverine input through several rivers and the Dardanelles Strait. The \(\delta^{13}C_{org}\) values show equally important organic matter contributions from both marine and terrestrial sources (Figures 9 and 12). Only the marine fraction (i.e. OC) is relevant to paleoproductivity calculations, and it is this fraction that is used to generate downcore profiles for the five Aegean Sea cores (Figure 13); downcore preservation factors consistent with Eq. (1) and these paleoproductivity values are derived either from Eq. (3) or by setting PP\(_1\) = PP\(_2\) and solving Eq. (1) for \(P\). Although quantitative paleoproductivity calculations might be viewed with skepticism due to their high sensitivity to sedimentation rates, they do have the potential to provide valuable insight into past primary productivity fluctuations at the sea surface.

Except near the top of core MAR03-02 and the central part of core MAR03-27, the calculated nonsapropel preservation factors are ≤1% and mostly <0.5%. Many of these values are within the range of 0.2%–0.5% postulated for oxic marine environments by Howell and Thunell (1992).

### 4.3. Assessment of the paleoproductivity estimates for sapropel units

Paleoproductivity values calculated with Eq. (2) (Müller and Suess, 1979) are mostly <300 g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\), except in sapropels S4 (core MAR03-28) and S5 (cores MAR03-03 and MAR03-28). S5, in particular, has calculated paleoproductivity values as high as ~1000 g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\). These latter values suggest that the surface productivity was at least 30 times higher than present-day surface productivity in the Aegean Sea (30 g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\)), exceeding (in the case of S5) the productivity in modern upwelling regions where values reach 500 g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\). Actualism speaks strongly against such high paleoproductivities. Instead, it is proposed that preservation levels, at least during the accumulation of S4 and S5, must have been higher than predicted by Eq. (3), similar to the situation in the Black Sea (but to a lesser degree) where preservation factors are ~4–5 times those predicted by Eq. (3) (Müller and Suess, 1979). As explained by the latter authors, extraordinary preservation of the export production from surface waters can result from bottom-water oxygen depletion (or absence). Water-column stratification and poor bottom-water ventilation (hence low \(O_2\) levels) in the semienclosed Aegean Sea during times of surface freshening would have decreased the amount of primary production needed to account for the observed \(OC_{mar}\) abundances. \textit{Chondrites} burrows in all Aegean Sea sapropels are inconsistent with anoxia, but instead indicate low oxygen levels in bottom waters and the uppermost sediments. For this reason, it is more likely that the appropriate preservation factor to use in Eq. (1) is a few times higher than predicted by Eq. (3), but not as high as 5.

The presence in the sediments of significant terrigenous organic carbon, particularly in sapropels, might have created an additional boost to the preservation potential of the comingled marine OC, leading to an additional overestimation of required primary paleoproductivity levels. The \(\delta^{13}C_{org}\) values show that both marine and terrestrial organic matter contributed equally to the pool of organic matter, thus likely an enhanced riverine input during the deposition of the MIS 5 sapropels S3, S4, and S5. Across sapropel S1, upward carbon-isotopic enrichment suggests a progressively diminishing terrigenous input toward the end of sapropel deposition. The \(\delta^{13}C_{org}\) values in the Aegean Sea sapropels are 1‰–2‰ more depleted than their Mediterranean Sea counterparts, signifying a stronger terrestrial contribution to the pool of organic matter, thus likely an enhanced riverine input during the deposition of the MIS 5 sapropels S3, S4, and S5. The paleoproductivity estimates of Eq. (2) (Figure 13) ignored the terrigenous component of the TOC in the Aegean Sea sapropels. Preservation of the terrigenous component is considerably higher in sapropels S1–S5 than in background sediments. This might indicate higher fluxes from coastal rivers at those times, but it is also possible that higher levels of \(OC_{mar}\) accumulation, moderate bottom-water stagnation, and elevated preservation factors facilitated an enhanced level of preservation for terrigenous organic carbon, even if its delivery into the Aegean Sea was approximately constant through time. The extra carbon load from terrigenous input would intensify water column stratification and impede advection of oxygenated surface waters, further
promoting bottom-water stagnation and thus improved preservation (e.g., dysoxia). In this scenario, the marine flux and structure of the water column could have provided the trigger for sapropel onset, and the terrestrial flux might then have amplified these conditions so as to ensure strong organic-matter preservation.

Finally, there is one additional factor that might have contributed to the locally high OC contents of S3–S5: the interpreted presence of a deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) layer (İşler et al., 2016). A DCM layer will develop if the nutricline/chemocline rises toward shallower waters because of a decreased density contrast between the surface and intermediate waters. Shoaling of the pycnocline above the thermocline and into the lower portions of the photic zone could contribute greatly to the export production by adding a new contribution of organic detritus from biological activity at the base of the photic zone, even if the contemporary productivity of the surface waters was not exceptional. In contrast, the relatively high PP values calculated for sapropel S1 (Figure 13) are needed to provide sufficient carbon flux to the sea floor because a DCM layer was absent at that time, as demonstrated by the disappearance of *N. pachyderma* (d) immediately below the sapropel (Rohling and Gieskes, 1989; Rohling et al., 1993).

4.4. Depositional model for Aegean Sea sapropels

A plausible, qualitative depositional model is illustrated using data from core MAR03-28 (Figure 14). Through the intervals of sapropel accumulation, pf values have been multiplied by a factor of 4 to qualitatively illustrate

---

**Figure 12.** Downcore plots showing the total organic carbon (TOC) contents and variations in the proportions and percentages of marine (blue, OC) and terrestrial (brown) fractions of the total organic carbon in the Aegean Sea cores. MIS = marine isotopic stages. Black fills = sapropels, red fills = volcanic ash layers (from Aksu et al., 2008). Stacked oxygen isotope curves are from Figure 6. Core locations are shown in Figure 1.
the effects of enhanced preservation levels caused by a combination of water-column stratification, buffering of low oxygen levels by the load of terrestrial organic carbon, and contribution to the carbon flux from a deep chlorophyll maximum layer. With higher pf values than those typical of open-ocean settings, Eq. (1) gives primary paleoproductivity estimates significantly lower in sapropel units than those in Figure 13 that were based on Eq. (2). The degree to which pf should be incremented to reflect past conditions is not known with any certainty, except that for sapropel S1 the extent of enhanced preservation might reasonably be lower than for S3–S5 because of the lack of a deep chlorophyll maximum in this youngest sapropel. However, Figure 14 provides some useful constraints on the paleoceanographic conditions during sapropel accumulation. In particular, higher pf values succeed in reducing the calculated PP values to levels consistent with modern zones of organic matter accumulation like the Bannock Basin and zones of upwelling. Nevertheless, some increase in the level of primary productivity above the modern 30 g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\) is unavoidable to maintain realistic pf values and to explain the triggering of sapropel events. It is a reasonable conclusion that a combination of enhanced primary productivity, some degree of water-column stratification, and a good supply of terrigenous organic carbon and nutrients from continental runoff all contributed to sapropel development. Refinement of this model will require more tightly constrained sedimentation rates from older sapropels, which is a difficult proposition because these units are too old to be dated by radiocarbon techniques, and age tie-points of any kind do not lie precisely at sapropel boundaries, a requirement if there is a strong facies control on accumulation rates.

C/S plots suggest that sapropels S1, S3, S4, and S5 were deposited under normal marine conditions with possible establishment of near-euxinic bottom-water conditions. Highly depleted and relatively uniform \(\delta^{34}S\) values together with the absence of fully euxinic conditions during...
sapropel accumulation suggest that sulfate reduction took place consistently below the sediment–water interface and not in the water column.
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Appendix 1. Details of the raw oxygen isotopic data in cores MAR03-27 and MAR03-28, showing the construction of the pseudocomposite plot. Red and blue symbols and lines are the δ^{18}O values in planktonic foraminifera *G. ruber* and *G. bulloides*, respectively. Note that there are two scales in each graph. The pseudocomposite plot (column on far right) is carried forward into figures that require the oxygen isotopic records of cores MAR03-27 and MAR03-28.
Appendix 2. Details of the raw carbon isotopic data in cores MAR03-27 and MAR03-28, showing the construction of the pseudocomposite plot. Red and blue symbols and lines are the δ^{13}C values in planktonic foraminifera *G. ruber* and *G. bulloides*, respectively. Note that there are two scales in each graph. The pseudocomposite plot (column on far right) is carried forward into figures that require the carbon isotopic records of cores MAR03-27 and MAR03-28.