Assessment of resistance gene analog, peroxidase, and WRKY gene polymorphisms in the genus *Lens* Miller
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Abstract: Resistance gene analogs (RGAs), peroxidases (POXs), and WRKY transcription factors are gene families related to stress-response pathways that regulate enhancement of stress tolerance in crop plants. In this study, the polymorphisms of these gene families were determined in the genus *Lens* Miller and *Vicia montbretii* (Fish. & Mey) Davis and Plitmann. A total of 32 genotypes of 7 lentil taxa, including 1 accession of cultivated lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik. subsp. *culinaris*), 11 accessions of *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* (Boiss.) Ponert, 3 accessions of *L. culinaris* subsp. *tomentosus* Ladiz., 4 accessions of *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* Ladiz., 3 accessions of *L. ervoides* (Brign.) Grande, 4 accessions of *L. lamottei* Czefr., 4 accessions of *L. nigricans* (M.Bieb.) Godr., and 2 accessions of *V. montbretii*, were used. Sixty-eight RGA, 22 POX, and 13 WRKY primers were used for molecular analysis. After using these degenerate primers in polymerase chain reaction, the highest polymorphism rate (90%) was observed in WRKY, followed by POX (89%) and RGA (79%). *Lens culinaris* Medik. subsp. *culinaris* had the lowest number of RGA and POX bands. Most RGA markers were obtained from *L. culinaris* subsp. *tomentosus*, *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis*, *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans*. Similarly, the number of WRKY markers was the highest in *L. nigricans* and *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis*. *Lens nigricans* had the highest number of POX markers among lentils. The highest numbers of RGA, POX, and WRKY markers in *L. nigricans* and *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* were in agreement with resistance for biotic and abiotic stresses, as these two taxa in the genus *Lens* Miller were resistant to many stresses. These results indicate that primers related to RGA, POX, and WRKY gene families may be considered potential markers for developing stress-related resistance genotypes.
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1. Introduction

Many biotic and abiotic stress factors, such as cold, drought, salinity, diseases, and insects, adversely affect plant growth and productivity worldwide (Ujagir et al., 2009). Under these stress conditions, plants activate their defense mechanisms to provide resistance (Sharma and Muehlbauer, 2007). These mechanisms are often initiated by the presence of resistance (R) genes in the plants (Mutlu et al., 2006). Resistance gene analogs (RGAs), which are associated with R genes, are tightly linked to plant–pathogen interactions (Mutlu et al., 2006). Other gene families, including peroxidases and WRKY transcription factors, are also related to stress-response pathways that regulate enhancement of stress tolerance in crop plants (Century et al., 2008).

Many R genes have been determined in plants to identify resistance against viruses, bacteria, and nematodes. These genes have been sequenced, and results of these sequences indicate that the R genes are represented largely by domains of nucleotide-binding sites (NBSs) and leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) (Martin et al., 2003). Although R genes exist in varied taxonomic groups, they share conserved domains and structural similarities. NBS-LRR, coiled-coil, extracellular transmembrane, and cytoplasmic serine/threonine kinase catalytic domains are parts of plant R genes (Ellis et al., 2000; Schulze-Lefert and Panstruga, 2011).

Plant peroxidases are heme-containing glycoproteins (Yoshida et al., 2003). Most peroxidase isoenzymes are encoded by multigene families in higher plants. Peroxidases have been characterized as having several physiological functions, such as oxidation of toxic reductants, biosynthesis and degradation of lignin in cell walls (Mader and Fussl, 1982; Lagrimini, 1991), auxin catabolism (Gazaryan and Lagrimini, 1996), and responses to pathogens or insects (Dowd and Lagrimini, 1997). The distal heme-binding domain, the central domain, and the proximal heme-binding domain are highly conserved motifs in plant peroxidases (Hiraga et al., 2001).
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The WRKY genes encode important transcription factors for plants that regulate physiological responses to stress factors, senescence, seed development, and production of secondary metabolites (Kim et al., 2000; Yoda et al., 2002). These genes contain sequences of 60 amino acids, referring to WRKY domain. In this domain, a conserved WRKYGQK sequence is followed by a C$_2$H$_2$- or C$_2$HC-type of zinc-finger motif. The WRKY domain binds to a DNA sequence designated as the W-box in the promoter of the target gene to regulate gene expression (Eulgem et al., 2000).

Lentil (Lens culinaris Medik. subsp. culinaris) is a self-pollinating, diploid (2n = 14), annual, cool-season food legume crop (Zohary, 1972; Erskine, 1997). It is one of the important protein sources for human nutrition (Laserna-Ruiz et al., 2012). As in many crops, several abiotic stresses, especially drought, heat, salinity susceptibility, and iron deficiency, affect lentil and play a large role in reducing its seed yield (Saxena, 1993; Bansal et al., 2014). Various biotic stress factors, such as Ascochyta blight, anthracnose, Fusarium wilt, Sclerotinia white mold, rust, and various aphid-transmitted viruses, cause adverse effects during plant growth (Muehlbauer et al., 2006). Breeding for resistant varieties requires screening methodologies and selection for resistant plants, which are often laborious and require extensive knowledge of plant–pathogen interactions (Jayashree et al., 2010). Specific molecular markers related to the genes conferring resistance have great advantages to combat biotic and abiotic stress factors.

The conserved motifs in all these domains have allowed, via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using degenerate primers, for amplification of RGA, peroxidase (POX), and WRKY gene families from many plant species. The RGA (Mutlu et al., 2006; Satya et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014), POX (Gulsen et al., 2007, 2010; Ceylan et al., 2014; Nemli et al., 2014; Satya et al., 2014), and WRKY (Borrone et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013) polymorphisms have been used in genetic diversity studies in different plants.

The goal of this study, therefore, was to identify RGA, POX, and WRKY gene families to enhance breeding and resistance studies in lentil. This is the first comprehensive report for which three different gene families were used among Lens species.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

Thirty accessions of Lens and two accessions of Vicia were used as genetic material in this study (Table 1). Out of L. culinaris Medik. subsp. culinaris, 29 accessions of wild species were used, comprising 5 of L. ervoides (Brig.) Grande, 4 L. lamottei Czefr., 4 L. nigricans (M.Bieb.) Godr., 4 L. culinaris subsp. odenensis Ladiz., 11 L. culinaris subsp. orientalis (Boiss.) Ponert, and 3 L. culinaris subsp. tomentosus Ladiz. Two accessions of V. montbretii (Fisch. and Mey.) Davis and Plitmann were used for confirmation, since Vicia montbretii was systematically classified as a member of Lens Miller before 1982 (Ladizinsky and Sakar, 1982).

2.2. DNA extraction

Total DNA was extracted from fresh leaves with some modifications of the CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle, 1990). Modifications consisted of extra chloroform and isoamyl addition and also ethanol washing in order to obtain higher purity. The concentration and the quality of DNA were tested using 1% agarose gel with a DNA standard. The DNA extracts were suspended in Milli-Q water and stored at –20 °C until use.

2.3. Degenerate primers

Sixty-eight RGA degenerate primers were used in this study. Out of 68, 20 primers were designed by Mutlu et al. (2006) from the highly conserved motifs of Kinase-1a (K) and the hydrophobic domain (HD) of NBS-LRR-type resistance genes. In the PCR reaction, K and HD primers acted as forward and reverse primers, respectively. Other RGA degenerate primers were acquired from the work of Rajesh et al. (2002), using 48 of the available RGA primers and their 24 different combinations.

Twenty-two combinations of 14 forward and 16 reverse peroxidase primers (Gulsen et al., 2007) were handled to target the amplification of peroxidase sequences from genomic DNA for genotypes. Thirteen WRKY degenerate primers were used, and 8 primers were studied by Kim et al. (2007). One of the primers (WS primer) was designed from nucleotides encoding WRKYG (the WRKY core sequence), and the other primer (G primer) was designed from conserved sequences of each group. The other 5 primers were obtained from the study of Borrone et al. (2004). Two of the 5 primers (WRKY 1 FP and WRKY 2 RP) were selected from the generated list. Three additional primers (WRKY 2 FP, WRKY 3 FP, and WRKY 3 RP) were selected based on the study of Borrone et al. (2004).

2.4. PCR amplification conditions

The PCR analyses were conducted with templates for the PCR reactions set up for 15 µL as follows: 1.5 µL of 10X PCR buffer, 200 μM of each dNTP, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 PM L$^{-1}$ of the primer pairs, 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas Life Sciences, Burlington, Canada), 1 µL of genomic DNA template, and Milli-Q water to a final volume of 15 µL. Amplification was performed in a programmable thermocycler (MyGenie, Bioneer) under the following conditions: 94 °C for 2 min; 34 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, annealing temperature of 48-57 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 90 s; and a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. The PCR products were separated in 2%-3% agarose gels in...
Table 1. Plant identification numbers and origins of *Lens* and *Vicia* taxa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>PI number</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>culinaris</em></td>
<td>Cultivated</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PI 72558</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>odemensis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PI 72686</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>odemensis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Syria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PI 572360</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>odemensis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PI 572361</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>odemensis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PI 72524</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PI 72534</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Syria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PI 72592</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PI 72593</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PI 72599</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Palestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PI 72847</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>PI 72900</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PI 72901</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>PI 72925</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Lebanon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>PI 572386</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>PI 612249</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>orientalis</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>PI 72613</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>tomentosus</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>PI 72616</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>tomentosus</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>PI 72672</td>
<td><em>Lens culinaris</em> subsp. <em>tomentosus</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Syria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>PI 572335</td>
<td><em>Lens ervoides</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>PI 572338</td>
<td><em>Lens ervoides</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>PI 72565</td>
<td><em>Lens ervoides</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>PI 72552</td>
<td><em>Lens lamottei</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>PI 110813</td>
<td><em>Lens lamottei</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>PI 116006</td>
<td><em>Lens lamottei</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>PI 136637</td>
<td><em>Lens lamottei</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>PI 72546</td>
<td><em>Lens nigricans</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>PI 72547</td>
<td><em>Lens nigricans</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>PI 572344</td>
<td><em>Lens nigricans</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>PI 615676</td>
<td><em>Lens nigricans</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>PI 515984</td>
<td><em>Vicia montbretii</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>PI 632670</td>
<td><em>Vicia montbretii</em></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1X TBE buffer and visualized under ultraviolet light after staining with ethidium bromide. The expected bands were determined visually and recorded.

2.5. Statistical analysis
Each band was scored as present (1) or absent (0), and data were analyzed with the NTSYS-pc version 2.1 software package (Exeter Software, Setauket, NY, USA) (Rohlf, 1993). A similarity matrix was constructed based on Dice's coefficient (Dice, 1945), which considers only one-to-one matches between genotypes for similarity.

3. Results
The RGA primers were tested with bulk DNA, and amplicons yielded were identified in all 32 accessions. After this process, polymorphic primer combinations were selected. These combinations are given in Table 2. A total of 103 bands for RGA primers were scored in Lens and Vicia taxa. Eighty-five (79%) bands were polymorphic. Band sizes ranged from 50-1500 bp (Table 3). Similarity of bands of RGAs ranged from 0.63 to 0.91, averaging 0.77. The LM 637/LM 638 primer combinations had the lowest number of bands with 5, while the S2-INV/AS3-INV combination produced the highest number of bands per amplification (Figure 1). The K03/HD01 primer combination had 7 polymorphic bands out of 10 that ranged from 50 to 1000 bp. Five polymorphic bands were obtained using the K04/ HD01 combination in the 150-950-bp range. The K06/ HD03 primer combination produced 6 bands in the 210-1400-bp range. One band of 210 bp was monomorphic in all genotypes. All other bands were polymorphic. All of the 12 polymorphic bands were observed with the S2INV/ AS3INV primer combination.

The polymorphisms of the POX marker exhibited appropriate numbers of different fragments for 22 primer pairs. Primer sizes ranged from 13 (POX9F) to 24 (POX14R), averaging 18.4 bases. The highest number of bands was observed in the 7 primer combinations that were selected. A total of 66 DNA bands, amplified by 7 different oligonucleotide primers, were scored among each accession. Fifty-nine out of 66 (89%) bands were polymorphic (Table 3). The approximate sizes of the bands varied from approximately 150 to 1250 bp. Amplification with the POX 3 FP/RP and POX 5 FP/RP primer combinations yielded the highest number of bands with 11, while the POX 7 primer produced the lowest number of bands with 7 (Figure 1).

Of the 10 possible WRKY primer combinations, only the GI, GII, GIII, and WRKY 2 FP/WRKY 3 RP and WRKY 3 FP/WRKY 3 RP combinations consistently resulted in prominent PCR products. A total of 52 bands were obtained with the primer combinations. Band sizes ranged from 200 to 1450 bp. Forty-seven of the scored bands were polymorphic; the rate of polymorphism was 90% (Table 3). The WRKY 3 FP/WRKY 3 RP combination produced the fewest number of bands, while GI and GII produced the highest numbers of bands per amplification (Figure 1). All of the bands obtained using the GI and WRKY 3 FP/WRKY 3 RP primers were polymorphic.

The RGA, WRKY, and POX primers amplified different numbers of bands for each species (Figure 2). The cultivated species, L. culinaris subsp. culinaris, produced the least number of bands in agarose gel after RGA and POX analyses. L. culinaris subsp. tomentosus, L. lamottei, and V. montbretii produced the highest number of bands using RGA, WRKY, and POX primers, respectively.

Table 2. Polymorphic primers of RGA, POX, and WRKY primers of Lens and Vicia taxa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene-specific primer</th>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RGA primers</td>
<td>K03/HD01, K04/HD01, K05/HD01, K06/HD02, K06/HD03, K06/HD06, K07/HD03, K07/HD10, K08/HD03, K10/HD03, LM 637/LM 638, XLRR F/R, S2-INV/AS3-INV, Xa1-NBS F-R</td>
<td>Rajesh et al., 2002; Mutlu et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POX primers</td>
<td>POX 1, POX 2, POX 3, POX 5, POX 7, POX 8c, POX 10</td>
<td>Gulsen et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRKY primers</td>
<td>GI, GII, GIII, WRKY 2/3, WRKY 3/3</td>
<td>Borrone et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of primers for their capacity to generate polymorphisms in Lens and Vicia taxa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Band sizes (bp)</th>
<th>No. of resolvable bands</th>
<th>No. of polymorphic bands</th>
<th>Polymorphism (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RGA</td>
<td>50–1500</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POX</td>
<td>150–1250</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRKY</td>
<td>200–1450</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

Generation of RGA, POX, and WRKY polymorphisms used a PCR-based approach with degenerate primer combinations that targeted the conserved domains in these gene families. The RGA, POX, and WRKY primers were evaluated in this study. They also were studied by Rajesh et al. (2002) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), Borrone et al. (2004) in cacao (*Theobroma cacao*), Mutlu et al. (2006) in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), Gulsen et al. (2007) in buffalograss (*Buchloe dactyloides* [Nutt.] Engelm.), and Kim et al. (2007) in chili pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Several primers yielded scorable bands that were used to understand resistance genes among the lentil genetic resources. Eighty-five out of the 103 RGA markers (79%) from *Lens* sp. and *Vicia* sp. were polymorphic. The polymorphism rate was 89% in POX markers and 90% in WRKY markers. Different results previously were reported using gene families in other plants. Only one RGA primer pair (Ptokin1: 5’GCATTGGAACAAGGTGAA-3’; Ptokin2: 5’ AGGGGGACCACCACGTAG-3’) revealed polymorphism in the *Cicer* genotypes studied by Rajesh et al. (2002). Such a low level of polymorphism in *Cicer* has also been observed using various other marker systems (Winter et al., 2000). In our study, we observed no scorable bands with this primer combination, and the polymorphism value was 79%. Toklu et al. (2009), using AFLP for 38 Turkish lentil landraces and 6 commercial lentil cultivars, observed 119 polymorphic bands with a polymorphism value of 56%. Thus, the level of RGA polymorphism was higher compared to AFLP polymorphism. The same POX primers were analyzed in apple collections by Gulsen et al. (2010). All primers used in their study yielded scorable bands, except for POX gene
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polymorphism (POGP) 9. Similarly, we also observed no scorable bands with this primer. Fifty-nine out of the 66 POGP markers (89%) from Lens sp. and Vicia sp. were polymorphic. Zhang et al. (2001) indicated that the POX gene family had the second-lowest sequence conservation among 25 multigene families. Degenerate PCR primer pairs designed to specifically amplify WRKY gene fragments directly from genomic DNA have been reported in cacao (Borrone et al., 2004) and chili pepper (Kim et al., 2007). A number of bands ranging from 250 bp to more than 1.5 kb were observed. These results were consistent with our results.

RGA polymorphism analysis indicated that similarity ranged from 0.63 to 0.91, averaging 0.77 among the 32 accessions. The results showed significant diversity among the wild and cultivated types of plants used in this study. The number of amplified fragments ranged from 5 to 12, with a mean of 11.2 bands per amplification. The K07HD10 and LM 637/LM 638 primer combinations produced the lowest number of bands, while S2-INV/AS3-INV produced the highest number per amplification. In the POGP analysis, band size ranged from 150 to 1250 bp. The POGP bands exhibited a similarity range of 0.58-0.92, averaging 0.75. All of the amplified fragments were polymorphic using POX 7 and POX 10 primers. Results indicating POX markers appear to be useful for identifying polymorphism in lentil.

Turkey is within the diversity center for the genus Lens Miller. Although abundant variation exists among species of Lens, advances in breeding studies on lentil have not been achieved due to the lack of traits related to stress factors and low genetic diversity in cultivated lentils (Erskine et al., 1994; Erskine, 1997; Ferguson et al., 2000; Durán and Pérez de la Vega, 2004). The wild species are good sources to combat biotic or abiotic stresses (Table 4) and for many other useful agronomic characters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress factors</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Accessions</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthracnose</td>
<td>L. ervoides</td>
<td>ILWLs-123, 128, 131, 127, 280, 292, 461, 406, 413</td>
<td>Tullu et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. nigricans</td>
<td>ILWLs-27, 28, 34, 190</td>
<td>Tullu et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. lamottei</td>
<td>ILWLs-428, 429</td>
<td>Tullu et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. odemensis</td>
<td>ILWLs-20, 83, 100, 170, 254, W6 3244, W6 3222</td>
<td>Ahmad et al., 1997; Tullu et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. orientalis</td>
<td>ILWLs-99, 119, 306, 88, 69, 382, W6 3241, W6 3261, W6 3248</td>
<td>Bayaa et al., 1994; Ahmad et al., 1997; Tullu et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascochyta</td>
<td>L. ervoides</td>
<td>ILWLs-123, 128, 131, 279, 292, W6 3173, W6 3176</td>
<td>Bayaa et al., 1994; Ahmad et al., 1997; Tullu et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. lamottei</td>
<td>ILWL-432</td>
<td>Tullu et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. nigricans</td>
<td>ILWLs-24, 30, 34, 110, 190, 272, 452, W6 3208, W6 3210, W6 3218, W6 3221</td>
<td>Bayaa et al., 1994; Ahmad et al., 1997; Tullu et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powdery mildew</td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. orientalis</td>
<td>ILWLs-40, 41, 42, 60, 61, 204, 207, 251, 271, 337</td>
<td>Gupta and Sharma, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ILWLs-22, 26, 29, 37, 38</td>
<td>Gupta and Sharma, 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. orientalis</td>
<td>ILWLs-70, 72, 74, 117, 189, 200, 226, 247</td>
<td>Gupta and Sharma, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. nigricans</td>
<td>ILWLs-22, 24, 25, 31, 37, 38, 191, 430</td>
<td>Gupta and Sharma, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitona weevils</td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. odemensis</td>
<td>ILWLs-166, 203, 207, 254</td>
<td>El-Bouhssini et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. orientalis</td>
<td>ILWL-245</td>
<td>El-Bouhssini et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. ervoides</td>
<td>ILWLs-136, 258</td>
<td>El-Bouhssini et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. nigricans</td>
<td>ILWL-110</td>
<td>El-Bouhssini et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. odemensis</td>
<td>ILWLs-20, 100, 222, 254, 436</td>
<td>Podder et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenphylium blight</td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. orientalis</td>
<td>ILWLs-99, 119, 88, 69, 384, 382, 421</td>
<td>Podder et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. ervoides</td>
<td>ILWLs-123, 128, 131, 276, 292, 413</td>
<td>Podder et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. nigricans</td>
<td>ILWLs-16, 24, 26, 27, 37, 110, 272, 446</td>
<td>Podder et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. orientalis</td>
<td>ILWLs-89, 91, 309</td>
<td>Hamdi et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>L. culinaris subsp. odemensis</td>
<td>ILWLs-175, 167</td>
<td>Hamdi and Erskine, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. ervoides</td>
<td>ILWLs-311, 303</td>
<td>Hamdi and Erskine, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. nigricans</td>
<td>ILWLs-266, 305</td>
<td>Hamdi and Erskine, 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
resistance sources, anthracnose resistance in *L. ervoides*, *L. lamottei*, and *L. nigricans* (Tullu et al., 2006); *Ascochyta* blight resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and subsp. *orientalis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (Bayaa et al., 1994; Ahmad et al., 1997; Tullu et al., 2010); *Fusarium* wilt resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* and *L. ervoides* (Bayaa et al., 1994; Gupta and Sharma, 2006); powdery mildew resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* and *L. nigricans* (Gupta and Sharma, 2006); rust resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and subsp. *orientalis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (Gupta and Sharma, 2006); *Stemphylium* blight resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and subsp. *orientalis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans*; drought resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (Hamdi and Erskine, 1996; Gupta and Sharma, 2006); cold tolerance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* (Hamdi et al., 1996); and *Sitona* weevil resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and subsp. *orientalis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (El-Bouhssini et al., 2008) have been identified. In the present study, a parallelism was found between the number of identified RGA, POX, and WRKY markers and resistance for biotic and abiotic stresses in wild species (Table 4), as wild lentils had more markers than cultivated lentil (Figure 2). Furthermore, *V. montbretii* had more markers than the cultivated lentil (Figure 2). Most RGA markers were obtained from *L. culinaris* subsp. *tomentosus*, followed by *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis*, *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (Figure 2). These 5 taxa were reported as resistance sources (Table 4). Similarly, the number of WRKY markers was the most in *L. nigricans* and *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* (Figure 2). *L. nigricans* had the most number of POX markers among lentils and *V. montbretii* (Figure 2). As seen in Table 1, *L. nigricans* and *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* had the most desirable genes responsible for resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Bayaa et al., 1994; Ahmad et al., 1997; Gupta and Sharma, 2006; El-Bouhssini et al., 2008; Tullu et al., 2010). The cultivated lentil had fewer resistance markers for biotic and abiotic stresses compared to wild species (Figure 2), since the cultivated lentils, especially local types, lost most biotic and abiotic resistance genes during domestication. Erskine et al. (1994) reported that indigenous lentils were lacking desirable genes. Therefore, these markers should be evaluated for further crop breeding and selection. Introggression of desirable genes from wild *Lens* taxa to cultivated lentil might help the flow of useful genes into cultivated lentil. However, there has been limited success in transferring biotic and abiotic stress resistance from wild *Lens* to cultivated lentil, mainly because of postzygotic barriers (Muehlbauer et al., 2006). *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* is readily crossable with the cultivated lentil (Ladizinsky et al., 1984), and *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and both other subspecies of *L. culinaris* crosses produce partially fertile hybrids (Abbo and Ladizinsky, 1991). However, cultivated lentil crossed with *L. ervoides* or *L. nigricans* causes pod abortion (Abbo and Ladizinsky, 1991). Embryo rescue techniques make possible the development of interspecific hybrids between the cultivated lentil and *L. ervoides* and *L. nigricans* (Ladizinsky et al., 1985).

Identification of resistance-related genes using wild species is important for developing new markers. The markers obtained in this study were considered to be related to abiotic or biotic stresses. These potential markers should also be taken into consideration to develop stress-related resistance genotypes via crosses between wild types and cultivars.
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