Introduction

On June 5, 2005, an Iris L. specimen (MÖZT 3639, NGBB accession number 2004-452B, collected in 2004) flowered in the geophyte collection of the Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanic Garden, İstanbul (NGBB). It was certainly a juno iris (subgenus Scorpiris Spach) but it was an unusually late time of year for a juno iris to flower, even in İstanbul, since all the other junos in NGBB had finished flowering at the end of April. In addition to its late flowering time, the flowers were a very unusual brownish-pink. For these reasons, the first author started studying the material and realised that it might represent a new iris species. In general appearance it resembled Iris caucasica Hoffm., but its flower colour was similar to that of Iris galatica Siehe.

After some inquiry, the first author realised that Hayri Duman had already collected the same species (H. Duman 9356-Z. Aytaç) and he also thought that it might be a new species. Therefore, they decided to work together.

During a visit to the United Kingdom in 2005, the material was compared with other Iris material at the herbaria of both Kew (K) and Edinburgh (E), but no similar specimens were found. When Tony Hall (a specialist juno iris grower at Kew) saw photographs and dried material, he remembered seeing a photograph of the same species in the Alpine Garden Society Bulletin under the name of Iris galatica (Joyce, 2000).

Further material was collected in May and June 2006 from north-east Anatolia and, as a result of these studies, we concluded that the specimens did indeed represent a new Iris species.

Iris nezahatiae Güner & H.Duman sp. nov. (Subgen. Scorpiris Spach), Figure 1, 2, 3.

Type: [Turkey] A8 Artvin: Yusufeli, Kılıçkaya (Ersis) yukarı [above Kılıçkaya (Ersis)], 1315 m, metamorfik dik yamaçlar [metamorphic steep slopes], 40°42.332′N—41°29.552′E, 19/v/2006, A.Güner
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**Description:**

*Plant* 10-15 cm alta tempore florendi. *Bulbus* 3-3.5 x 1.8-2.2 cm, ovoideus; tunicae fusco-brunneae, papyraceae, ultra collum productae; radices penariae carnosae, incrassatae. Caulis 1-2(-3)-florus, simplex, internodis absconditis tempore florendi et fructifer. Folia (4-)5-6, falcata, canaliculata, linearo-lanceolata, 10-18
cm longa, basi 0.8-1.6 cm lata, leviter undulata, acuminata, marginibus albis, levibus vel scabrellibus, supera nitido-viridia, infra glauca et distincte et ordinate nervosa. Bractae et bracteolae fere aequales, arce vaginans tubo perianthorum; bractae 4-6.2 x 1.3-1.6 cm, lanceolatae, viridis, acuminatae, ad marginem membranacea, dorsaliter leviter carinatae; bracteolae 4.5-5.5 x 1.5-2.1, ovatae vel lanceolatae, acutae vel acuminatae, non carinatae, membranacea margine et in dimidio inferiore. Perigonium 5.5 cm diametro, rubello-brunneum, interdum pallentior crista lutea; tubus perigonii 2.4-3.6 cm longus, interdum interne hirsutus; segmenta exteria 3.5-4 x 1.3-1.7 cm, oblonga, ungue parum alata, linea mediana angusta, lutea, lamina 1.2-1.4 x 1.2-1.3 cm, oblonga, lamina ungue lata fere aequalis vel leviter angustior, crista c. 2.5 mm alta, undulata, acuminate, margins white, smooth or scabridulous, glossy green above, glaucous and distinctly and regularly veined below. Bracts and bracteoles almost equal, tightly sheathing perianth tube; bracts 4-6.2 x 1.3-1.6 cm, lanceolate, green, membranous at margins, acuminate, slightly carinate at back; bracteole 4.5-5.5 x 1.5-2.1 cm, ovate or lanceolate, green at upper half, membranous at lower half and on margins, acute or acuminate, not carinate. Flower 5.5 cm in diameter, reddish brown, sometimes paler with a yellow crest; perianth tube 2.4-3.6 cm long, sometimes hairy inside; falls 3.5-4 x 1.3-1.7 cm, oblong, claw 2.1-2.4 x 1.3-1.4 cm, somewhat winged with a narrow yellow band at centre, blade 1.2-1.4 x 1.2-1.3 cm, oblong, almost as wide as claw or slightly narrower, crest c. 2.5 mm high, crinkly, yolk yellow; standard reflexed or spreading, 1.5-1.7 x 0.4-0.5 cm, lanceolate, irregularly dentate; style branches 3.1-3.6 x 1.1-1.4 cm, reddish brown with paler to whitish margins; stigma bilobed and retuse, 0.1-0.2 x 0.4-0.5 cm; stamens 2.1-3 cm long, filaments 1.3-1.9 cm long, eburnea, anthera 1.1-1.3 cm longa, eburnea, pollen eburneum. Capsula oblongo-cylindracea, circa 2 x 1 cm, rostro circa 1 cm longo. Semina ovoidea, circa 4 mm diametro, rugosa.

Plant 10-15 cm tall at flowering time. Bulb 3-3.5 x 1.8-2.2, ovoid; tunic dark brown, papery, prolonged into a neck on stem; storage roots fleshy, swollen. Stem hidden by leaves with invisible internodes even in fruit, unbranched, with 1-2(-3) flowers. Leaves (4-)5-6, falcate, canaliculate, linear-lanceolate, 10-18 cm long, 0.8-1.6 cm wide at base, slightly undulate, acuminate, margins white, smooth or scabridulous, glossy green above, glaucous and distinctly and regularly veined below. Bracts and bracteoles almost equal, tightly sheathing perianth tube; bracts 4-6.2 x 1.3-1.6 cm, lanceolate, green, membranous at margins, acuminate, slightly carinate at back; bracteole 4.5-5.5 x 1.5-2.1 cm, ovate or lanceolate, green at upper half, membranous at lower half and on margins, acute or acuminate, not carinate. Flower 5.5 cm in diameter, reddish brown, sometimes paler with a yellow crest; perianth tube 2.4-3.6 cm long, sometimes hairy inside; falls 3.5-4 x 1.3-1.7 cm, oblong, claw 2.1-2.4 x 1.3-1.4 cm, somewhat winged with a narrow yellow band at centre, blade 1.2-1.4 x 1.2-1.3 cm, oblong, almost as wide as claw or slightly narrower, crest c. 2.5 mm high, crinkly, yolk yellow; standard reflexed or spreading, 1.5-1.7 x 0.4-0.5 cm, lanceolate, irregularly dentate; style branches 3.1-3.6 x 1.1-1.4 cm, reddish brown with paler to whitish margins; stigma bilobed and retuse, 0.1-0.2 x 0.4-0.5 cm; stamens 2.1-3 cm long, filaments 1.3-1.9 cm long, creamy white, anther 1.1-1.3 cm long, creamy white, pollen grains creamy white. Capsule oblong-cylindrical, c. 2 x 1 cm, beak c. 1 cm long. Seeds ovoid, c. 4 mm, rugose.
Material examined:


A8 Artvin: Yusufeli, around Çevreli Köyü, Çoruh Valley, 690 m, steppic open scrub, alluvial slopes, 40º44.381′N—41º28.434′E, A.Güner 13977-M. Johnson, M. Öztekin, R. Unwin, P. Ravenhill (flowering material).

A8 Artvin: Yusufeli, around Öğdem, 1635 m, steppe, metamorphic ground, 40º55.005′N—41º38.434′E, A.Güner 13991-M. Johnson, M. Öztekin, R. Unwin, P. Ravenhill (living material, accession number 2006-474, NGBB).

A8 Artvin: Yusufeli, below Öğdem, around Hazara, 1360 m, meadow, metamorphic slopes, 40º54.639′N—41º36.987′E, A.Güner 13994-M. Johnson, M. Öztekin, R. Unwin, P. Ravenhill (living material, accession number 2006-446, NGBB).

A8 Artvin: Yusufeli, below Çıralı Köyü, 770 m, scrubby slopes, metamorphic deep valley, 40º54.808′N—41º33.450′E, A.Güner 13999-M. Johnson, M. Öztekin, R. Unwin, P. Ravenhill (living material, accession number 2006-400, NGBB).

A8 Artvin: Yusufeli, below Kılıçkaya (Ersis), 800 m, metamorphic steep slopes, 40º44.035′N—41º26.651′E, A.Güner 14022-M. Johnson, M. Öztekin, R. Unwin, P. Ravenhill (living material, accession number 2006-400, NGBB).

Discussion

Iris nezahatiae is closely related to I. caucasica, but, based on the characters shown in Table 1, it is well separated from this species. Its flowering time is quite different from that of I. caucasica and all other Juno iris species observed at the NGBG. However, due to the big altitude differences in habitat, flowering time may slightly overlap because Iris nezahatiae grows at lower altitudes. The most striking difference is the flower colour. Many iris species show colour variation and flower colour is therefore not a reliable character, but, in the case of I. caucasica, the flower colour is a relatively stable yellow or greenish yellow. So far, although there is some minor variation in the density of colour, no other flower colour has been observed for Iris nezahatiae, even though it has been collected from quite a wide area around Yusufeli. It occurs in different habitats to those preferred by I. caucasica. In addition, its shorter perianth tubes convinced us that the specimens represent a new species.

The photograph shown in the Alpine Garden Society Bulletin (Joyce, 2000) under the name of Iris galatica should be re-identified as Iris nezahatiae.

According to Mathew (1984), the number of Juno irises known in Turkey was 6, but Iris nezahatiae now brings the total to 7.

Ecology and status:

Iris nezahatiae occurs in the Çoruh Valley in the Yusufeli district, where it prefers dry, stony, steep scree slopes or sometimes alluvial slopes. Paliurus spina-christi Mill., Acer divergens C.Koch & Pax ex Pax, and Juniperus oxycedrus L. are present in the open scrubby vegetation occurring at the bottom of the valley. Other accompanying shrubs are Colutea armena Boiss. & Huet and Cotinus coggygria Scop. In this endemic-rich

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Comparison of Iris nezahatiae and I. caucasica s.l.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. nezahatiae</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower colour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perianth tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowering time in habitat</td>
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Currently this new species is known from 5 localities around Yusufeli. Its ‘extent of occurrence’ is approximately 225 km$^2$ in an area roughly 15 km by 15 km. According to the IUCN red list categories (IUCN, 2001), *Iris nezahatiae* may be assessed as ‘endangered (EN)’ (criteria b1 and b1a), but unfortunately when the Yusufeli dam is constructed in the near future more than 50% of the distribution area of the new species will be flooded. For assessing a taxon as ‘critically endangered’, criterion A4a estimates that an 80% reduction of the population size is required. However, although the estimated reduction in this case is approximately 50% (which is insufficient for the species to be classified as ‘critically endangered’), since it is already an ‘endangered species’ and close to the ‘critically endangered’ criterion, we conclude that *Iris nezahatiae* must therefore be assessed as ‘critically endangered (CR)’.

**Etymology**

The new species is named after the late Mrs Nezahat Gökyiğit, the wife of Mr A Nihat Gökyiğit, the sponsor of the Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanic Garden.
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