An Estimation of the Recreational Use Value of Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park by the Individual Travel Cost Method*
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Abstract: The recreational use value of Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park in the Antalya province of Turkey was studied by using the Individual Travel Cost Method (ITCM). For this purpose, 500 on-site questionnaires were administered between September 1998 and June 1999. By applying certain criteria to these questionnaires, 280 cases were selected for economic analysis. The number of visits made by individuals was used as the dependent variable, while travel costs of individuals to the Park, socio-economic variables (age, education and household income) and alternative sites were selected as the independent variables in the demand model of the Park. A semi-log functional form was used to estimate the consumer surplus of the Park users. The results showed that Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park has an annual recreational use value of $50,000 with July 1999 exchange rates. It was concluded that the ITCM can be used in the estimation of recreational use value of the natural areas in Turkey, but further research on the type of costs to be considered in the calculation of travel costs is needed.
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Introduction

The economics of outdoor recreation deals with the supply of and demand for natural resources for recreational purposes (McConnell, 1985). Some methods were developed for estimating the economic value of non-market environmental goods such as parks and recreation areas in the last 40 years. These methods may be divided into two groups: direct and indirect methods. The indirect methods rely on the behavior of consumers in related markets to reveal their valuations of the non-market goods, while direct methods use surveys to ask individuals' valuations for these goods in a hypothetical market (Smith et al., 1986).

The Travel Cost Method (TCM) is the most common indirect method used to estimate the recreational use value of natural areas. This method was initially

* This study was funded by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK), Project No: TARP-2152.
suggested by Harold Hotelling in the 1930s as a potential
means of valuing national parks. Clawson and Knetsch
developed Hotelling’s approach and used the name Travel

TCM is based on the assumption that total
expenditures made by an individual for visiting a
recreation site reflects his/her willingness to pay for this
site. The sole decision variable is the number of visits to
a certain recreation site in a certain period of time
(generally one year). Consumer surplus is estimated by
relating expenditures to the number of visits (Ortaçeşme
et al., 1999). The Travel Cost Method is applied in two
different ways, namely the Individual Travel Cost Method
(ITCM) and the Zonal Travel Cost Method (ZTCM).

Materials and Methods

Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park was selected for this
research. The Park is located near the city of Antalya,
some 25 km from the city center, on the new road to the
neighboring Isparta province. An area of 30 hectares
around Kursunlu Waterfall was declared for the first time
a Forest Recreation Area in 1979. Later, in 1991, the
area was given the status of a Nature Park due to its rich
flora and fauna and interesting geological stands, by
enlarging its size to approximately 400 hectares
Park is a very popular recreation site in Antalya province.
It offers opportunities for a variety of recreation
activities, and receives some 400,000 visitors each year
according to estimations.

The Individual Travel Cost Method (ITCM) was applied
to determine the consumer surplus of the visitors and the
economic value of the recreation activities in the Park.
Five hundred on-site questionnaires were administered
on weekdays as well as on weekends and during holidays
between September 1998 and July 1999. The
questionnaire contained questions to determine the
socioeconomic characteristics of visitors and to find out
the travel costs involved in their visiting the Park.

In the selection of the questionnaires to be included in
the economic analysis, the following criteria were applied.

The visitors included in the analysis are as follows:

- Those who came to the Park for a day-long visit,
- Those who traveled that day for visiting the Park
  only.
- Those who live in Antalya and the two neighboring
  provinces,
- Those who do not live in Antalya or the two
  neighboring provinces (Burdur and Isparta), but
  they spend their vacations in their second houses
  or in the houses of their relatives in Antalya and
  engage in either of the first two.

The following visitors were not included in the
economic analysis:

- Those who visited the park while spending their
  vacations in Antalya,
- Those who had not visited the Park before,
- Those who traveled that day for visiting other
  recreation areas too,
- Those who have houses in Antalya, but live in
  other countries, and came to Antalya for a
  vacation,
- Those who gave unreliable answers (e.g. some
  respondents said that they visit the Park 50 or 60
times a year).

Based on the above criteria, the problem of different
types of travelers is solved by disregarding holidaymakers
and other non-traditional visitors from the sample.
Therefore 280 questionnaires were considered in the
economic analysis.

Results

Socioeconomic and Other Trip-related
Characteristics of the Visitors

Number of Visits

The number of visits made by an individual in a year
is used as a dependent variable in the Individual Travel
Cost Method. The rate of visits to the Park twice a year
was most common (35.7%). It was followed by three
visits (21.1%) and only one visit a year (19.6%). The
average number of visits a year was found to be 2.75.

Alternative Sites

Availability and price of alternative goods is one of the
important factors that determines the price of a good in
free market economies. This is also true for
environmental goods. Therefore alternative sites are
considered an independent variable. As there is another
recreation site very similar in characteristics to Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park in Antalya (Duden Waterfall Recreation Area), alternative sites were used as an independent variable in this study also. It was found that 79.3% of the respondents were aware of the alternative site.

**Travel Costs**

Traveling costs are the most important independent variable that determines the value of consumer surplus in TCM studies. Estimations of travel cost vary in different research. In this study, only gasoline costs were considered as travel costs. In the calculation of gasoline costs, the travel distance was determined for each respondent by using a map of Antalya province scaled to 1/100,000. Travel distance was multiplied by two to find out total distance.

As for gasoline price, the research team decided to take per km gasoline support of the financing institution of the project, the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK), which was calculated as 50,000 TL ($0.12)* according to the normal gasoline prices in July 1999.

It was found that the travel cost of visitors (gasoline cost) varied between 50,000 TL ($0.12) and 16,000,000 TL ($37.60) per visit, with an average of 2,890,000 TL ($6.80). About 51.5% of the respondents’ gasoline costs varied between 2,500,000 and 2,800,000 TL ($5.90 – 6.60).

**Age**

The age of visitors was considered according to age groups as follows: 18-25, 26-35, 36-45, 46-60 and over 60. Visitors 36-45 and 26-35 years of age were represented at levels very close to each other with 35.7% and 33.6% respectively. The age groups 18-25 and 46-60 were also very close to each other, having a share of about 15%.

**Education**

Visitors were classified into 5 groups based on their education level: illiterate, primary education, middle school education, high school education, university bachelor’s level education and university masters and PhD education. It was found that visitors that graduated from a university (bachelor’s level) had the highest share (42.1%). They were followed by visitors with high school education (28.6%) and visitors with primary school, middle school and university masters and PhD education. The share of the illiterate visitors was the lowest among the respondents.

**Household Income**

Household income was also grouped into 5 different groups: 50–100 million TL ($120–240), 101–150 million TL ($241–360), 151–200 million TL ($361–480), 201–250 million TL ($481–600), and more than 250 million TL ($600) monthly incomes. Visitors with incomes more than 250 million TL ($600) ranked first, represented by 42.5%. This means that approximately half of the total respondents belong to higher income groups.

**Model Specification**

The demand function of Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park was formed as follows:

\[ V_{ak} = f(TC_{ak}, D_{a}, A_{a}, E_{a}, HI_{a}, e_{ak}) \]

\[ V_{ak} \]: Number of annual visits of individual \( a \) to Kursunlu Waterfall,

\( TC_{ak} \): Travel costs (gasoline costs) of individual \( a \) involved in his/her visiting the Kursunlu Waterfall,

\( D_{a} \): 0-1 dummy variable for alternative waterfall recreation site,

\( A_{a} \): Age,

\( E_{a} \): Education,

\( HI_{a} \): Household income,

\( e_{ak} \): Error.

F and t tests were applied to the model. According to the test results and in light of previous studies, a semi-log function type was selected. The results are given in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

---

*1 $ equals 425,000 TL according to the exchange rates in July 1999.
According to the results, the function was found to be significant at the 1% level. The results in the model variables are parallel to the theoretical expectations in TCM applications. The fact that the travel cost variable has a negative (-) value shows that there is an opposite relation between the travel costs and the annual number of visits. In other words, as the travel costs increase, the number of annual visits decrease. Similarly, the variable of “alternative site” took a negative (-) value, which means that the existence of an alternative site affects the number of the annual visits negatively. All three other variables (age, education and household income) had a positive relationship with the number of annual visits. This means that as the age, education level and household income increase, the number of visits to Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park increase also.

**Value of Consumer Surplus**

In this study, the following formula was used to estimate the consumer surplus:

\[ \text{CS}_{\text{SL}} = \frac{q}{-\beta_{\text{SL}}} \]

where

- \( \text{CS}_{\text{SL}} \) is the consumer surplus,
- \( q \) is the average of the total annual number of visits,
- \( \beta_{\text{SL}} \) is the curve of the demand function (cost coefficient).

When the values were put into the formula, the individual consumer surplus was estimated to be:

\[ \text{CS}_{\text{SL}} = \frac{2.75}{-0.00005126} = 53,648 \text{ TL} (\$0.13) \]

As 400,000 persons visit Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park each year according to the West Mediterranean Regional Forestry Directorate, this value was multiplied by the individual consumer surplus to estimate total consumer surplus (TCS):

\[ \text{TCS} = \text{CS} \times 400,000 = 53,648 \times 400,000 = 21,459,200,000 \text{ TL year}^{-1} (\$50,000 \text{ year}^{-1}) \]

The value of the consumer surplus represents the annual recreational use value of Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park. In other words, the Park provides a social benefit of some 21.5 billion TL ($50,000) each year.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Economic valuation studies on the benefits of natural areas have been done for many years in many countries. The recreational benefits derived from these areas should be determined. The results of these studies are helpful for planners and managers as well as policy makers. These results may help to determine the importance of

---

**Table 2. Variance Analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df value</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>5.379</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.076</td>
<td>3.605**</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>81.781</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>87.161</td>
<td>279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significant at 1% alpha level.**

**Table 3. Coefficients.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant ((a))</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>1.987</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel costs</td>
<td>-5.126E-05</td>
<td>-1.976</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative sites</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>-1.323</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>6.001E-02</td>
<td>1.657</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>6.464E-02</td>
<td>2.082</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td>3.883E-02</td>
<td>1.285</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
recreational areas as well as to determine the amount of funds to be reserved for these areas.

There are many protected areas such as national parks, nature parks and forest recreation areas used for tourism and recreation purposes in Turkey. There are also many natural areas not protected. The economic benefits provided from these areas need to be known for the areas’ future protection, planning and management.

In this research, Kursunlu Waterfall Nature Park, one of the most visited recreation sites in the Antalya province of Turkey, was selected. The Individual Travel Cost Method (ITCM) was used to estimate the recreational use value of this site. However, some difficulties, mainly originating from the inclusion of the type of costs and calculation of travel expenditures, were encountered.

With reference to the type of costs to be considered, different approaches are adopted in different TCM studies. In many studies, depreciation and insurance costs in addition to the gasoline costs of automobiles and time costs, entrance fees and some other expenditures are considered in the calculation of travel costs.

Visitors have many types of automobiles with different ages, brands, motor volumes, types of gasoline consumed and associated costs. For this reason, it is not reasonable to consider the same amount of gasoline and other costs for all types of automobiles and there is a need to develop standards for the cost calculation. In the UK, the Royal Automobile Club (RAC) has solved this problem by developing a standard of full running costs, which is used in most UK studies. However, there is no standard value in Turkey for full car running costs. Because of the calculation difficulties, only the gasoline costs were considered as travel costs in this study.

In the calculation of gasoline costs, there are also some other difficulties. The cost of gasoline changes according to the type of automobile. No standard value developed by public or private institutions in Turkey was found. For that reason, the research team decided to consider the gasoline support of TÜBİTAK (the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey) to the project (12 liters of normal gasoline per 100 km), which was accepted as a reasonable amount to be used. From this amount, per km gasoline cost was calculated as 50,000 TL ($0.12), based on the normal gasoline prices in July 1999.

With reference to the time costs, which is another cost considered in some previous studies, no standard was found in Turkey either. Various approaches are used regarding this matter. One approach is the consideration of 1 hour’s equivalence of the individual’s salary as the cost of time (McConnell and Strand, 1981; Adamowicz and Graham-Tomasi, 1991; Loureiro and Albiac, 1995). In the second approach, a certain proportion of the individual’s salary is considered as the cost of time (Willis and Garrod, 1991; Benson and Willis, 1992). In most UK studies, 43% of the individual’s salary is used as the value of non-working time, which is an official figure developed by the UK Ministry of Transport. Although some approaches have been developed, whether to include or how to include the value of time in travel costs are open to debate. Therefore, the value of time was not considered in this research.

Another question in the calculation of travel costs is whether to include entrance fees and other expenditures associated with the visit (e.g. photographic films). Theoretically, if there is an entrance fee, it must be included in the travel costs. However, when entrance fees were included in the travel costs in this research, the explanatory power of the model was reduced. A similar thing happened when entrance fees and other expenditures were included in the travel costs. For these reasons, entrance fees and other expenditures were not included in the travel costs.

In conclusion, the ITCM was found to be a method that can be used in the estimation of the recreational use values of the natural areas in Turkey. However, some points, as discussed above, must be clarified in detail and some standards should be developed according to the conditions in Turkey.

For future studies on this subject, the calculation of travel costs and the determination of the prices for certain factors are of importance. In particular, standard values for full car running costs and for the value of time should be developed.
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